SANTA FE COUNTY

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

REGULAR MEETINNG

September 24, 2013

Kathy Holian, Chair – District 4
Danny Mayfield, Vice Chair – District 1
Robert Anaya – District 3
Miguel Chavez – District 2
Liz Stefanics – District 5

COUNTY CLERK
GERALDINE SALAZAR
SANTA FE COUNTY NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF SANTA FE)
STATE OF NEW MEXICO) ss

BCC MINUTES
PAGES: 141

I Hereby Certify That This Instrument Was Filed for Record On The 5TH Day Of November, 2013 at 09:15:40 AM And Was Duly Recorded as Instrument # 1722326 Of The Records Of Santa Fe County

Witness My Hand And Seal Of Office
Geraldine Salazar
Deputy _____ County Clerk, Santa Fe, NM

SANTA FE COUNTY

REGULAR MEETING

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

September 24, 2013

This regular meeting of the Santa Fe Board of County Commissioners was called to order at approximately 1:10 p.m. by Chair Kathy Holian, in the Santa Fe County Commission Chambers, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Employees of the Health Department, Kathleen Roybal and Teresa Casados, led the Pledge of Allegiance and State Pledge, following roll call by County Clerk Geraldine Salazar which indicated the presence of a quorum as follows:

Members Present:
Commissioner Kathy Holian, Chair
Commissioner, Danny Mayfield Vice Chair
Commissioner Robert Anaya
Commissioner Miguel Chavez
Commissioner Liz Stefanics

Members Excused:
[None]

V. MOMENT OF REFLECTION

The moment of Reflection was led by Patricia Boies from the Health Department.

VI. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

A. Amendments
B. Tabled or Withdrawn Items

CHAIR HOLIAN: Katherine, what are the changes to the agenda?

KATHERINE MILLER (County Manager): Madam Chair, from the agenda as posted on last Tuesday we have a couple of changes. Under item XII, Appointments/Reappointments, item A has been tabled. Under item XIV. Consent, items C. 3, 4, and 5 have been moved to the Consent Calendar, and under item XVII. A. 3, i has been added.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you. Are there any other proposed changes to the agenda? Commissioner Chavez and then Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I have a question if I could of the County Manager. On XII. A, the Growth Management, the COLTPAC Committee, could you give us a brief explanation of the status on that committee and what kind of a timeframe we're looking at to get that committee in place?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, the committee is in place; it's just there's two vacancies and we just didn't have a chance to do the background

checks on those before making a recommendation. So they'll be on the next meeting, I hope, unless there's any additional individuals that the Commissioners would like to see interviewed for those positions.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. That clarifies it for me and actually kind of refreshes my memory a little bit because I did meet with some of the members of the COLTPAC Committee and I think they were concerned about the appointments, and maybe were feeling that they didn't have much direction. And I don't know if they've been meeting or not but as long as we have the discussion and that we're moving forward I think that's good. I was a little concerned that we may lose their interest in this time and so –

CHAIR HOLIAN: Penny, do you have something to add?

PENNY ELLIS-GREEN (Growth Management Director): Madam Chair, Commissioners, just to let you know, we did we meet with COLTPAC last month. We are meeting the beginning of October as well. They've changed their regular scheduled meeting dates to a Wednesday and we will be taking – hopefully we will have the new open space planner available for that meeting and we will be bringing the COLTPAC appointments next meeting.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Good. Good. Okay. Thank you, Penny. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, we're still just on the agenda, correct? Not doing withdrawals at this point?

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes. Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair. Just on item XII. A., Ms. Ellis-Green, the COLTPAC Committee has regularly been meeting, though, correct?

MS. ELLIS-GREEN: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, I believe there was a couple-month gap that they were not meeting but we did meet last month and we are meeting next month, so, yes, we're back on a regular schedule.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Because I've been receiving numerous emails. Some of those meetings that they did not meet on were because of inadequate noticing, as I understand it.

MS. ELLIS-GREEN: Madam Chair, Commissioner, I believe that's correct. I don't know that they necessarily had business to meet on. So we do have the next couple of meetings already scheduled. Yes.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay. And then to date, how many applicants have you received that you're doing, I guess, background vetting on?

MS. ELLIS-GREEN: We have two applicants. One is for District 3 and we've only received one application for that district. And the at-large we've received three applications – one is in District 1, one for District 4 and one for District 5. And so we were – before we could get this packet together we were doing interviews and checking on conflict of interest and things like that.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And Madam Chair, I don't know if there was – I appreciate there was one District 1 applicant. I don't know if Mr. Rios has forwarded you an additional District 1 or if it's one and the same in my district. If there was I'll ask him to forward that if it's not too late.

MS. ELLIS-GREEN: Madam Chair, Commissioner, I believe we had one woman that applied in District 1.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: I don't know if there was a second one or not but I'll let Juan forward that to you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield, we're on the agenda.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you. Again, I didn't ask for this.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Any further amendments to the agenda.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: No, but I think it's the Commission that takes action on tabling, so I wasn't ready to table it. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair, I'll move to approve the amended agenda.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay, I have a motion and a second to approve the agenda as amended.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

VII. APPROVAL OF CONSENT CALENDAR

A. Consent Calendar Withdrawals

CHAIR HOLIAN: First, I will note that there are resolutions on the Consent Calendar, so is there anyone here from the public who would like to come forward and comment or address the Board on those resolutions? Seeing none, any withdrawals?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: I'm going to request to withdraw items D. 1, 2 and 5.

CHAIR HOLIAN: So XIV. D. 1, 2 and 5.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: And Madam Chair, item C. 3.

CHAIR HOLIAN: C. 3. Any further withdrawals?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair, I think we then, if we withdraw C.3, we have to withdraw C. 4 and 5.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: And, Madam Chair, I just have some general questions, so if we could do C. 3, 4, and 5, I just have a few questions.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay. Any further withdrawals? If not, is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Move for approval, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Of the Consent Calendar as – without the withdrawals.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay. I have a motion and a second.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

VIII. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Approval of August 27, 2013 BCC Meeting Minutes

CHAIR HOLIAN: Are there any changes? Is there a motion?
COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I'll move for approval.
COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.
CHAIR HOLIAN: I have a motion and a second.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.
CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.
COMMISSIONER ANAYA: I guess I'm confused. We go VII. Approval of Consent Calendar and then withdrawals and then – show me again, where do we talk about the withdrawals?
CHAIR HOLIAN: As we go through the agenda, I will go through the items that were withdrawn as we move forward on the agenda.
COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, thank you. I apologize. Just, Madam Chair, if I could.
CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.
COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Would it help any if we did that right away? When we do a withdrawal? Why do we withdraw something and then wait till the item –
CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya, if I could just – well, I don't know why we do this, but this has been the tradition and I will say that in this particular case we don't really have that much in front of this Consent Calendar.
COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Just curious.
CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez.
COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And I'll apologize if I broke tradition but it made more sense to discuss it when that item was being tabled. I had just a couple of questions on background on that particular committee and I felt it more appropriate to ask at that point in time instead of later. So I apologize on that but I think that at minimum, there does need to be some discussion on the how and why things are tabled. I think that's appropriate. I just think we need to figure out when is the best time for that. So I'll just share that with you and leave it at that.

IX. PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

A. Overview of Santa Fe County Efforts for Breast Cancer Awareness Month

CHAIR HOLIAN: Hello, Kristine. I'm wearing pink.
KRISTINE MIHELCIC (Public Information Officer): You are. That's wonderful. I just wanted to give you a brief overview of what we're doing for breast cancer awareness. Last year we were a major sponsor in the raise awareness campaign with the Santa Fe *New Mexican* and Christus St. Vincent. We're doing that again this year. We have run one

ad and there'll be another one. It's basically the same as the overall ads in just saying we rise to the occasion and staff wearing pink. And then also our logo is on all the ads that the *New Mexican* runs, all the donated ads, they also print, I believe 3,000 pieces with our logo on them. They hand them out before the walk and for the walk. So we are doing that as well.

In addition to that, Santa Fe County has a team for the Making Strides Walk on October 5th. We did send out a Countywide email about this event. You can sign up to be on our team. In addition to that, the County Manager's Office is going to be selling little ribbons and also proceeds are going to be going to the walk, and then we're asking to staff to wear pink for all Fridays in the month of October, including the last Friday in September, which is the 27th, to just kind of boost awareness before the walk. Vehicles also have the Support Breast Cancer Awareness stickers on them and staff offices – and in addition to that, our Fire Department, which I see they're here, they're going to be wearing pink t-shirts throughout the month of October, so they have some shirts and I think are going to speak a little bit more to that.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Kristine. Just before they address us, tell me, on the walk, when does that start and where is it actually –

MS. MIHELCIC: The walk is on Saturday, October 5th. It starts and ends at the Villa Linda Mall in the parking lot, right there kind of by the park and the soccer field. And it starts at 8:00 am. I think they kind of do a few things before. They have like some stretches and snacks and then they get the walk going. But we do have a team if any of you would like to sign up, we'd love to have you and I can get you more info on that later. If you have any questions on the campaign also, I just wanted to give you a quick overview, we have four ads throughout the month of September and the first week of October, and then we're also on ten ads with our actual logo. So it's a great sponsorship and we had a lot of fun joining with the Cancer Society last year. So we look forward to it this year.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Great. Thank you, Kristine.

ESTEBAN ARNELAS: Good afternoon. My name is Firefighter Esteban Arnelas from the Santa Fe County Fire Department. This is Firefighter Justin Armijo and Firefighter Eric Anderson, who is also our president of Local 4366. On behalf of 4366, we would like to present each one of you with the t-shirt that the Fire Department will be wearing this year for the month of October for the Breast Cancer Awareness. Also, the Fire Department will be selling these t-shirts at $16 each to benefit a local charity.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you very much. Those are really pink. Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair, I'd like to thank all of our staff and our firefighters, everyone who's involved in supporting breast cancer awareness, for the men and the women who are going through breast cancer scares or treatment. My mother – I've mentioned before that my mom turned 91, but at the age of 50 she had her first cancer, which was a breast cancer, so I tell all cancer survivors that you too an live for many, many years after you've been diagnosed. But thank you to all the employees who are working on this. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, ditto what Commissioner Stefanics just said. Thanks to the entire staff and the Fire Department and good work and keep it up.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you very much. Thank you all for your hard work. I think that this is the best that we've ever done with this campaign, so good work. Yes, Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you so much. Who do we make the checks out to? Santa Fe County Fire Department?

MR. ARNELAS: They'll be the local, Santa Fe County Firefighters Association.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: But I'm going to have to swap my large out for an XL, please.

MR. ARNELAS: Not a problem, and we'll also be contacting you to make sure if any of you guys need a different size. We do have multiple sizes.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: I'll write a check to your favorite charity. Thank you.

IX. B. A Proclamation in Recognition of the Santa Fe Business Incubator Named by Entrepreneur Magazine as One of the Top Five Best Under-the-Radar Incubators

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you very much, Madam Chair. I'd like to ask Maggie Ohnesorgen to come forward during this presentation and I believe Marie Longserre was not available today to come, but as you all know, we are involved in an economic development class here at the County, along with other counties and local governments with Commissioners and staff to actually identify how we can encourage and support a business in our community and it was during one of these classes that it came to our attention that we have a prime model right here in our own community. So, Commissioner Mayfield and I wanted to bring this forward. It's a proclamation in recognition of the Santa Fe Business Incubator named by *Entrepreneur Magazine* as one of the top five best under-the-radar incubators.

Whereas, the Santa Fe Business Incubator is a non-profit corporation that helps entrepreneurs accelerate the growth and success of their businesses;

Whereas, the program supports rapid growth technical firms, service companies and manufacturing enterprises;

Whereas, the Santa Fe Business Incubator provides its participants with a wide range of business services including onsite technical assistance, training workshops, shared equipment, community resources and access to financial networks;

Whereas, the Santa Fe Business Incubator program helps produce strong companies that create new jobs, contributing to the expansion of a thriving local economy;

Whereas, the Santa Fe Business Incubator has catered to a variety of startups including the recently launched $1.25 million bio-science initiative;

Whereas, since its inception the Santa Fe Business Incubator has worked with more than 77 firms and helped create more than 800 new jobs for the community. Client entrepreneurs come for all areas of Santa Fe County;

Whereas, today there are more than 1,250 incubators in the US;

Whereas, in August, 2013 the Santa Fe Business Incubator was named by *Entrepreneur Magazine* as one of the top five Best Under-the-Radar Incubators. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner. Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Santa Fe Board of County Commissioners does hereby recognize the Santa Fe business incubator for their commitment to economic development in Santa Fe. Signed and dated on this 24th day of September, 2013. All Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I would move for approval.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Second, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: We have a motion and a second.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: So, Madam Chair, if others have comments before we go down to present the proclamation and take a picture.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes, I wanted to thank Commissioner Stefanics and Mayfield for bringing this forward. It's good that we can recognize our local government for their success, and I think that in restating that, we're talking about establishing or helping businesses that are in many cases already here. We're helping them to expand so that they can expand not only their product but expand their workforce, so that we're doing both. We're creating a manufacturing base as much as possible, and then putting people to work. I think that if this is a model that used or a model that's recognized across the country, maybe it's a model that the County could replicate in its own version to supplement or augment what the City is doing or expand in whatever way possible. So anyway, congratulations to the Santa Fe incubator on your accomplishments. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, also, ditto Commissioner Chavez' comments and Commissioner Stefanics and Commissioner Mayfield, thank you very much for bringing this forward. Business incubator is still housed off of Airport Road in District 3. They've been doing good work there for many years. I'm very supportive of them. What I would like to ask the question is what are some of the gaps that are existing and what are the things that we can do collectively as a community to help the business incubator get on the radar even more? Because I think that's what Commissioner Mayfield and Commissioner Stefanics' resolution is doing, helping to put the good work onto the radar.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Ms. Ohnesorgen, would you like to comment?

MAGGIE OHNESORGEN: I think spreading the word really helps. A lot of people don't know about the incubator. Funding of course, we're underfunded generally. We've got the new bio-lab that's about to open so spreading the word with the science community is going to be helpful and just letting people know that we're out there.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Could you speak to – Madam Chair, Maggie, could you speak to the funding of the incubator? And then also speak to – I know in the resolution it speaks of businesses countywide that have accessed the incubator. Could you just speak to that a little bit? Your funding and then who can access the program and how?

MS. OHNESORGEN: Yes. We get our funding partially from the City. We also get some private grants. We got a large grant from the EDA for the bio-lab. We also get our own revenue through some of our tenants' rents. It's open to anybody in the county to apply. There's an application process where there's a selection committee. So anybody can walk in the door and we'll kind of see what we can do for them. We might not let everybody be a tenant but we can at least offer some services for them. We work closely with SCORE and West and other partners in the community.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, Commissioner Stefanics, thank you for bringing this forward. Maggie, I'd like to visit with you in more detail, talk about other ways that through some of the resources I have I might be able to help the incubator.

MS. OHNESORGEN: Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Maggie, did you want to add any other words?

MS. OHNESORGEN: No, Marie just wanted me to thank the County for your recognition and continued support of the incubator. We appreciate it very much, our clients and our board and our staff. So thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, and congratulations to the incubator.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Ms. Ohnesorgen, I've been to your facility a few times when I used to sit on one of the boards prior to Commissioner Stefanics, but just touring your facility is amazing. I don't know if it's open to the public but I think it is because a lot of entrepreneur startup companies, that's kind of why they're out there. You provide space for them, new startup business and provide them services and resources and so it's a great facility. They have access to your building 24/7, they have keys to the doors. They have their labs to work in. They have a great commercial environment, a safe environment with all the infrastructure that's needed. You all are great resources for them. I see some shops in there, some signage shops with some folks doing some pretty amazing things of a three-person teams. Maybe there's even small teams than that, of three persons – single teams, to a little bit bigger teams. But the services that you provide are pretty amazing. And these are some pretty I think multi-million dollar companies after they get started, if I'm not mistaken on that.

MS. OHNESORGEN: Madam Chair, we have large companies and pretty impressive science companies as well as getting started with just one person that wants to get off his coffee table at home. So we've got a big variety and it's open any time for people to come in and take a tour and see what we've got. We've got conference rooms that are available for use.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And can you let – because we have a big listening audience out there and you're going to even have some people in the television audience watching you. Can you let people know where you're located at?

MS. OHNESORGEN: 3900 Paseo del Sol, which is right off of Airport Road, across from Auto Zone.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay. And can you let them know like kind of the footprint of your building – how it's kind of structured and how they would make contact with you.

MS. OHNESORGEN: You can contact us – we've got a website, which is sfbi.net. You can walk in the door, there's a front door where you enter into the lobby area and you're greeted. We've got everything from small office spaces to large production warehouses and then labs. And then of course we're going to be opening our bio-science wet lab.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: If they have some ideas for a startup business, do they kind of go through a vetting process? You guys have like a review team that looks at the startup venture, their business, and how does that process work?

MS. OHNESORGEN: They put in an application which they can get on our website, and then make an appointment with our programs director who will walk them through the process. If they're not quite ready for the incubator we kind of help them match them to other partners – SCORE, West, some other free programs out there. We'll help them become ready with a business plan and financials.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And you do a lot of work with the environment, with science. What other type of startup companies do you work with?

MS. OHNESORGEN: We've got a yarn company. We've got some tech firms. We've got a couple bio-science companies. We've got home healthcare. A couple roofing companies. Kind of a variety.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you so much, and Commissioner Stefanics, thank you for asking me to sign on to this resolution with you.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you and Madam Chair, when we're ready I'd like go down to present the plaque and have a photo.

[Photographs were taken.]

IX. C. Road Report on Santa Fe County Road Low-Water Crossing Conditions

CHAIR HOLIAN: Robert, are you taking this?

DIEGO GOMEZ (Public Works/Roads): Madam Chair, Commissioners, good morning or good afternoon. I was asked to put together a presentation on the low-water crossings. My name is Diego Gomez. I'm the road maintenance manager for Santa Fe County. Due to all the flooding I kind of expanded my presentation to show – I'm sure all of you guys have been receiving lots of complaints about our roads so I was out there taking pictures, seeing what our guys do, and I wanted to just show that to you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you.

MR. GOMEZ: As you all are aware, the road maintenance department consists of maintaining 577 miles of road. 247 of those miles are surfaced road and 330 miles are unsurfaced road. The work requests we've received have been quite a bit in the last couple of weeks. We have received massive amounts of rainfall in the last couple of weeks. The big rainfalls started about the second week of September, from 9/6 through September 23, we

have had 96 work requests due to flooding. On average, in an average year we complete about 1,000 work requests. And so we have received quite a bit of work requests this week.

The Public Works Department has received over 1,600 phone calls regarding the flooding and our road maintenance department has worked 797 hours of overtime in this last pay period. We have 34 employees and so that is above and beyond their 80-hour workweek. And so that averages out to about 23.4 hours per employee that we've been working out there in the field. It's kind of hard to see but if you look at this, this is a calculation of our drainage structures out there. These are in road maintenance districts, because that's how we monitor things in the road maintenance department. I have a couple slides that show the Commission districts. We have about over 6,000 culverts in the district. We have over 66 cattle guards. We have over 60 low-water crossings. We have 35 bridges. We have five retention ponds and we have two concrete box culverts. So that's quite a bit of structures. So when it rains those structures have to handle the flow of our water, and so this is usually the areas that we have problems on, are our drainage, the low-water crossings – the bridges, not so much, because usually they can handle the water and a lot of our culverts and our retention ponds.

So the low-water crossings, these are our priorities that we have here are the low-water crossings. I broke these into Commission districts. So obviously, where it rains affects what we do, but if it rains throughout the entire county, let's say in Commission District 1, we go and we attack County Road 84 because it's the largest low-water crossing in Commission District 1. Then we go onto County Road 109S, County Road 117S, 113S – those are in Nambe. Then we go and hit a low-water crossing in Cuyamungue, 89D, then County Road 113, County Road 115 and County Road 88.

Now, all those crossings are actually designed concrete low-water crossings with concrete and either pipes or grates. There's a lot of crossings where the water crosses the road and it's just asphalt paved over the arroyo. And so those aren't shown here, but those are secondary. So we hit all the major low-water crossings that are actually designed structures first, and then we filter down to everywhere else that the water has crossed the road. In Commission District 2 we have about four low-water crossings and they're all in the Pinon Hills Subdivision. In Commission District 3 we have one on County Road 55A which is General Goodwin Road. You're going to see a picture of that in a little while. And we have one on Los Pinos, which gives us problems. You're going to see a picture of that in a little while.

In Commission District 4 we have one on County Road 51, which is Ojo de la Vaca, up the hill, and we have another low-water crossing on Cañada de los Alamos. In Commission District 5 we only have one, on Avenida Azul. It's only actually flowed once in about the last 30 years and that was this summer. That was in the Eldorado Subdivision. Roads that are not designed low-water crossings that we have a bunch of problems with are County Road 84G. That road is basically an arroyo. Arroyo Griego is an arroyo. Arroyo Alamo East, Arroyo Alamo West, hence their names. They're carrying the water every time it floods, so technically, they are not a low-water crossing, but we have to go out there and we have to maintain them every time it rains. And so our priority is listed from top to the bottom in that list in each Commission district respectively.

Now, a part of the problem is that we have had an immense amount of rainfall. This is taken from the NOAA website. This is for the month of September and this is the state of

New Mexico. Where Santa Fe County is located you can see that we have had between four and seven inches of rain. I'm going to skip to the next slide and I actually have the county broken down. In this slide, it's only for the last two weeks. It's from September 10th to September 24th. So you can see there, the County of Santa Fe is primarily orange and red. If you look at that scale, that is between four and seven inches of rain in the last two weeks.

Our average annual precipitation for this area is nine inches. So we are receiving historic amounts of rain. And so if you look at this – this is kind of small. I apologize. But this is also from the NOAA. If you look for 100-year, seven-day storm events, that's only 4.29 inches and everywhere in the county received more than that. So these storms that we're receiving are big, 100-year storms and believe it or not, our roads did a lot better than other local municipalities and there's a lot of other entities that did not fare quite as much as we did, quite as good.

The major roads that we had problems on were General Goodwin Road and County Road 84. County Road 84 is still currently closed and General Goodwin Road is passable but it still needs a lot of work. The official City of Santa Fe Airport the precipitation reading is 4.14 inches and so if you look at that, that is still within the 50-year storm limit. And so we're just receiving a lot, a lot of rain. So we're going to go and talk about specific roads. Those are all the major roads – or those are the roads that we had the major, major issues. General Goodwin Road was closed for five days. It was impassable to traffic. Even our vehicles were unable to get through the road and the National Guard actually had to go out there and help us a little bit, because our big, heavy equipment, our bulldozers, our graders, were stuck. So that's a list of the roads that we're going to go through and we're going to talk about.

We have spent, in the last two weeks, $100,000 just on materials. That does not include the overtime, the gas for the equipment. That is strictly materials. I estimate that by the time we're done cleaning up, which is most likely going to be in the end of October, that we're going to spend over $300,000. And that's just to get back the roads in the condition that they were in prior to the flooding. However, there's going to be some new structures that are needed to be built which are probably going to cost in the millions of dollars. So this is just to repair the roads, to get them back to their condition prior to when it started flooding at the beginning of September.

We had some pavement preservation projects scheduled for this summer that we were unable to complete because the flooding – that's all that we can focus on right now. So some of them are grant money where we're asking to get that extended from the DOT and we'll see if they extend that grant money, but we basically had to stop everything that we were doing just to concentrate on flooding and we'll be doing that till probably the end of October.

This is General Goodwin Road. It's kind of dark. These slides are a little bit dark. I apologize. On the left you see, that's a picture of our bulldozer completely buried in mud. On the top right there's a picture of the road. There's our grader stuck in the mud. And so General Goodwin Road is probably the road that got hit the worst. If I back up to that slide that showed how much precipitation we got, General Goodwin Road is actually the road that is right in that red spot. It got the most rain. And the water doesn't have anywhere to go. It comes from the east, it runs down the mountains on to the railroad and the railroad blocks all the water. The County Public Works is in the process of trying to get this situation solved. We have a design, a set of plans and we're going out to bid here in the future. So a majority

of these problems will get corrected here in the next couple years but right now, it's still a mess out there and so there is actually a picture of the National Guard. I have been coordinating with Martin Vigil on a daily basis to coordinate what's going on. As you can see the National Guard actually had to pull out one of our pieces of equipment there on the left. There's the National Guard in there and their piece of equipment pulling us out because the road was just so muddy that there wasn't anything we could do. We hauled about $70,000 worth of rock onto that road in the last couple of weeks to try to stabilize it.

There's another picture of General Goodwin Road. You can see right there our grader is trying to cut through the mud to get to the dry material and we cut about three feet there, and you can still see that the ground is completely saturated. And so these are the situations that we're trying to deal with.

There's a picture of the low-water crossing on County Road 55A. As you can see, there's no way that a vehicle could pass that when it's flooding. And so in situations like this not even the road is passable. When it rains, that low-water crossing is impassable. Those people are isolated. It's the only way in and the only way out of this little area called Los Cerrillos. And so if we have the road up to excellent condition, this low-water crossing flooded seven to eight times in the last couple of weeks. So there were numerous times that nobody could get in or out of there, even after we repaired the road. There's a private driveway off of General Goodwin Road. You can see that that's like a three to four-foot gouge in the road. There's a car that's been stuck on the road for about two weeks; they haven't come to get their car. We went and we had gotten the road pretty good on Friday. It rained again on Sunday. This picture was taken on Monday morning. That picture was some of the rocks that we had hauled in. That rock is two feet in diameter so if you can see that hole – it's kind of hard to see – that's like a four-foot trench in the middle of the road.

So our guys are out there working day and night. I had guys reporting to work at 12:00 midnight, guys reporting to work at 4:00 in the morning. And so we're doing the best that we can. As you saw by the overtime hours we were out there all the time. That used to be a road. If you look at that picture right there, that is General Goodwin Road. It turns into County Road 55C there. I have a couple pictures here that I didn't take but I was emailed from residents about what the road looked like when it was running. That's about a five-foot drop right there. Not even a four-wheel drive truck, not even a grader can get through that road right there. So we have a lot of problems with these roads.

This is County Road 84 in Pojoaque. It runs right adjacent to the Pojoaque River. The Pojoaque River changed its course during the flood on last Sunday night and wiped out our road. So we spent the last couple of days hauling in material to try to bring that road up to passable conditions. Currently it's still closed. So Friday of last week, we almost – we were up there, we almost had the road passable. My guys worked on Saturday. Sunday it flooded again and all that work got destroyed. So there we are on Friday afternoon. They had done some more work on Saturday that they didn't take pictures of. Hard to see on that picture but that was Monday morning where the road was completely washed out again.

This is County Road 101B, also in Pojoaque. It looks like a river; that is a County road. That river was flooding about 30 feet wide, about five feet deep. At the end of that picture right there, that is County Road 84 that was washed away. So it was getting hit from two sides. It was getting hit from the Rio Pojoaque, and from County Road 101B.

I have a couple more pictures here. That's the tire bale structure that we built with a grant from the State Environment Department about three years ago. Had we not built that tire bale construction that road would have been completely washed away. You can see those waves washing up onto that tire bell construction, that tire bell retaining wall. There's another picture showing you how that wall prevented that erosion from the road. I had a video here. I don't know if it's going to play or not, to show you. It's very rare that we're actually out there when it's raining. Usually it's in the middle of the night and we just show up to see the aftereffects. This was in the middle of the day on Friday, I think. It was September 15th. Is that going to play, Robert? No. So it was a video. You should have seen the waves are like three, four feet high in that river. So we're just getting massive, massive amounts of rain. So the amount of calls – people – we're just basically on an emergency remediation.

So this is Old Cash Ranch Road. This is right off of General Goodwin. This is another one that we have problems. That was a road before it flooded. Here is a picture of the road when it's flooding. So our road goes right through that arroyo and so there's not really too much we can to try to prevent what is happening to our roads. We fared really. Really well with the exception of County Road 84 and General Goodwin Road, a majority of our roads held up really good. We're in a much better position than a lot of other local municipalities. Here's another picture. These are from two separate floods on the same day. This is a picture from 11:00 and this is another picture from 1:00. So that arroyo, flooded, stopped flooding, it rained and it started flooding again. So these are some of the issues that we're dealing with.

This is on Gold Mine Road, right next to – it runs parallel to General Goodwin Road where it washed away the asphalt on our road. And so we're having major problems. There's a picture of the road falling apart. This is not as big of a problem that some of the pictures that I showed you but it's still an issue that we get a lot of complaints on. It's kind of hard to see because they're small pictures. That is Camino Catalina, County Road 89C, and it's putting a bunch of water down into this guy's private property. You can see he's pretty upset that we're flooding him out. So that's another issue that we have out there with the rain. It gets onto private people's property and floods them out. The water got into his house.

This is on County Road 89. This is our retaining pond. This retaining pond busted and dropped probably about 50,000 gallons in about two minutes onto County Road 89, busted over County Road 89. You can see right there the water jumped the road. There's supposed to be a culvert there that takes the water into that other retention pond. It didn't go through the culvert. It went down the road, washed out the road and went on to private people's property. So that doesn't look too bad. That was impassable in four-wheel drive for the first couple of days that that happened. That's about a 30-foot hole right off of the private driveway where the water washed over.

And so we have major, major problems but they're not as bad as other communities and other municipalities. This is another issue on Rio Vista Run. We just recently made some drainage modifications to this part of the road. We put in a large culvert. That's about a five-foot culvert. The existing culvert that was there was two feet. Had we not made that modification, even though it kind of messed up this private driveway, because it was a huge storm, it would have washed out the entire road because water wouldn't have been able to pass through there. This is another picture of that same Rio Vista Run.

This is a picture of Arroyo Alamo East. Hence its name: it's in an arroyo. The east side of Arroyo Alamo East there is a huge, huge retention pond that was made by BLM. Without the construction of that pond these people probably wouldn't even be able to build houses in La Puebla, but because of that retention pond it has a controlled outflow which means when it rains, they have a small concrete box culvert on the bottom of the dam that lets the water out. This is three days after it rained. And so you can see that. That's about 20 foot wide. There's still massive amounts of water and so our road is like a river. The water runs. The water's been running since September 11th on this road. Today I think is the 24th. It's been running for two weeks. So the residents of this La Puebla area, Arroyo Alamo West are saying, hey, you need to come in and fix our road. Well, your road's a river; there's only so much we can do. So we're hauling in material. We're doing the best we can. We're grading it. But when you haul in material and you dump it in a river it just flows down. It flows down so it doesn't stay.

This is another picture. This is Arroyo Alamo East. That's a private driveway. That guy had spent a couple thousand dollars fixing his driveway with concrete and now that structure is no longer there. This is another picture. Arroyo Alamo West, I didn't have any pictures of that one, but we have major issues. It's just about a mile west of Arroyo Alamo East.

These are some roads in Commission District 3. This is Simmons Road. Hard to see from that picture but the road washed away about five feet of our road. If you can see that, there's a five-foot difference between the top of the road and where the road is now. And so that's going to take massive amounts of material to put back in on that road. There's another picture of Simmons Road showing the gouge. That's not quite as deep. There's another picture of the same road, County Road 26. There's a picture of our guys trying to get the road passable.

There is a picture of the road after it was passable, so we did a lot of work. We did our best, but it's still going to need some work and some material and a little bit of design on where to put the water.

This is another issue. This is a road that was just recently built with our bond projects. It's just the massive amounts of rain, it came and ate away the side of the road. As you can see, that's an eight-foot hole right there. So it ate away eight feet into the road.

That's a road, Whitelakes Road, County Road 20B. I think that is between New Mexico State Road 41 and the other state road, 285. That was impassable on Monday morning. That picture was taken yesterday. My foreman told me that he almost got stuck. In situations like this there's not much to do. That road is I think 11 miles long and we've got to wait for it to dry out. We'll go out there and we'll grade the best that we can, but it just has to dry out. This is another picture. You can see the ruts from one pass that the foreman took to just evaluate that road. There's no way that a vehicle would be able to drive on that road yesterday. I don't know the condition it is in today, but he said it was getting better because there were some breezes drying out the roads.

This is another picture that was taken yesterday from the storm on Sunday. So you would need four-wheel drive to drive on that road. There's no way you could get through that in a passenger vehicle. It's just massive amounts of water that have been coming down. There's another picture of that same road, Valley Irrigation Road, County Road 23.

This is kind of scary. I've never seen this. This is County Road 42, which is Camino Los Abuelos. It goes between State Road 41 and State Road 14. There was a hole underneath that culvert. I don't know if you can see that. It's hard to see in the picture, but one of our employees was doing what he's supposed to do, driving on the road, looking for drainage problems. He found that there was a hole underneath that culvert. So that culvert basically is sitting in the air. I don't know if you can see that little moon right there; that's the other side. You could see through that culvert. So people are driving over that road, and nothing's supporting it. So that was very dangerous. It was scary to see.

We hired a contractor, an emergency contractor to go out there on Friday and he pumped concrete in that hole and filled it up. So those are situation that had we not caught, somebody could have been seriously injured because that culvert would have fallen two feet and somebody would have come up on a two-foot hole in the road. So situations like that, we're very lucky that the guys that are doing their job are doing their job and they're finding situations like that.

This is Pinon Hills Subdivision. I didn't have any pictures of this but I have some pictures last year from a storm. But we've got a bunch of low-water crossings here. There's a dirt low-water crossing there. There's an asphalt low-water crossing there. There's a concrete low-water crossing there, a dirt low-water crossing there. There's an arroyo that passes through Calle Francesca. We made a drainage improvement there where people were getting flooded out last spring. It solved that problem, but the problem is still happening. These people on this property – and I'm coordinating with Land Use have built up that arroyo illegally. So we have about 600 cubic feet of water running down this arroyo, and when it gets there it has nowhere to go; the arroyo stops. So we're going to show you what happens when the water has nowhere to go.

As you see those pictures, that's our County road. That's Calle Enrique, and this is all private property getting flooded. This is during the flood. The water just sits there and it has nowhere to go, and so we're in the process of trying to communicate with the private property owners and Land Use and figure out what to do. This is the County road low-water crossing after it rains. And we usually only get there to see the results of what happened. This is what's happening when it's raining. This is another place – I'm going to see if I can go back. Can you go back for me, Robert? Okay. So if you here, if you live over here and you get flooded out, there's nowhere you can go; you're stuck. You cannot get out of that area. If you live right here, same thing. You're stuck. If you live anywhere past these two low-water crossings and it's flooding, an ambulance, a fire truck, the police – nobody can get to you. You're stuck. We're doing some bond projects. This is scheduled, all these roads to get chip-sealed next summer and I'm going to design and improve these structures here hopefully so nobody gets trapped back there. But this is one of our major issues every time it floods. We've got to be out there clearing the road.

That's what the road looks like after it's flooded. We get a lot of complaints and I'm sure you guys get a lot of complaints from the roads. There's very few people that actually call us up and say you're doing a good job. This is a letter to the editor that came out yesterday. And so Clay Sheff wrote a letter to the Santa Fe *New Mexican* to say, hey, thank you. You guys are doing a good job. Thank you for your Herculean efforts on behalf of your fellow New Mexicans. So I just wanted to let you know that our guys are doing the best that we

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

can. We're out there. We're working ten to 12-hour days every day for like the last three weeks to try to get all these roads.

And here's another email. A little bit small. This was from somebody on General Goodwin Road who'd been trapped for five days. She says thank you and everyone else that has been working hard to make the roads passable. You are heroes. So that kind of ends my presentation of what we've been dealing with out on the road maintenance side, and I'd be happy to answer any more questions that you guys have.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you very much. This was a very sobering presentation, and I just also want to thank you and all of our roads department crew for, as you said, a Herculean efforts and I know that you have done everything that you can to make those roads passable as soon as was physically possible to be able to do that. So I just want to thank you so much, and also our road crews. You guys are terrific. Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you, Diego, for the presentation. In between some of the storms when you all were working, and I'm not talking about this last week, but in between, I had the opportunity to receive some emails from constituents out in the Eldorado area who sent compliments to you and your staff, and I think it's rather important that if we get those comments from constituents that we do pass it on to HR, because it is something that becomes part of their record. And I think that people when there's a real problem and there's panic and there's stress, people forget to say thank you. But I know that we couldn't do without all the people who are coming out.

And it was from one of my neighbors that I heard about the hole underneath County Road 42. And if you drive County Road 42 you think, oh, it's perfectly safe. We have pavement and everything is great and all the private roads are struggling with thousands of dollars of work, but then I heard about this hole and when I checked on it and I was told it was immediately being taken care of there was a sense of relief throughout the entire neighborhood. So they were very grateful for that. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, I ditto and echo Commissioner Stefanics, Mr. Gomez, Mr. Martinez, Mr. Leigland and all of the staff in the County maintenance section that's dealing with this issue as well as emergency personnel – the Sheriff's Department, the Fire Department. There's been a lot of people that have been engaged in the process of trying to mitigate people's frustrations and concerns. I had a lot of people that are very frustrated, when you can't get out of your house and when you're trapped in an area as people were on General Goodwin and many other parts of District 3 it's frustrating, it's scary and so I don't fault people for providing their frustrations or raising concerns, but we are looking at a water event and rain that we've never seen in New Mexico in recorded weather history relative to September and the amount of rainfall that we received.

And to put it into context, many other parts of New Mexico in particular that our neighbors to the north in Colorado that are in a far more dire strait than we have been associated with the rains and so we have to be patient and cognizant that there are always other people that are in a tougher situation. That said, I appreciate the feedback I received and would tell the public that are waiting that we're working. And that I know all of you are working and your staff, and it's not just County staff. I want to acknowledge the New Mexico National Guard, the work that you've done and the Manager and other entities like the Department of

Transportation, and other entities that have stepped up to try to help us facilitate getting through this process.

So I'm very appreciative to those residents that are listening in or watching. We understand you're frustrated. We had calls this morning and we'll listen to those concerns and we'll work on a schedule. I guess the silver lining for us all is that we have been in such a drought situation in the state of New Mexico and the United States as a whole that the benefit of that water and the reduction of fuel for fire and the other good things that are going to come from the rain are I think the positive aspects that we all as citizens need to keep in mind. So once again, thank you to all of you and to the public, some of which is still struggling. So once again, thank you to all of you and to the public, some of which are still struggling. Please be patient with us and Mr. Gomez and his team and staff to get to those areas to get to those areas and do what we can to mitigate the situation.

So I don't know, Madam Chair, I think I would like to hear a few comments from Ms. Miller as well, because I know there's other people that I may have not thanked or Mr. Gomez hasn't thanked, but I know that we've still been dealing with daily calls and emails. So, Ms. Miller, did you want to expand or elaborate on anything?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, yes, thank you. I want you to know that we also received some assistance from the City of Santa Fe. They were really good when they saw that their roads were in pretty good shape compared to ours. They did offer some assistance and helped us out on General Goodwin Road hauling rocks so we could fill in those holes. So we really appreciate the City's assistance on that. Also, Martin Vigil couldn't be here today but we've been working with the state and Homeland Security and the state agencies on whether or not there'd be any possibility of reimbursement with the state or reimbursements through FEMA. We probably will not hit the threshold for any kind of state reimbursement on the emergencies. They actually work out a per capita figure, about half a million dollars, before we can even get any reimbursement or be eligible. They also look at your individual county resources.

So I don't – even though there was a disaster declaration I don't believe that we'll be able to get reimbursement from the state for any of the work that the Public Works Department or road maintenance crews have been doing, or any contractors that we may have hired. However, we are working – any time we get individuals who need assistance – we've had individuals in Madrid, with their businesses call, and we've been working with them. Martin works with them to make sure they we do any of the proper paperwork and to see if we can help them get any kind of FEMA reimbursement if we were to become eligible for it.

We also had one individual who reported damages along that line that could potentially qualify and Martin's been providing that information to the state to help the state reach its threshold for federal disaster funding. But he's going to come at the next BCC meeting and talk a little bit more about that. I also wanted Adam, if you could have a chance to maybe talk about what we plan to do in the future. One of the things on all of these roads and all of these issues, any funding that we could potentially get is only based on disaster money, to put it back to the state that it was at before the storm. It wouldn't be to improve it for potential future storms, to improve it beyond the conditions that we had prior to the storm.

So we'll constantly be looking for grants that would allow for those type of improvements through the state and through any federal agencies, but none of the emergency

grants would do that for us. But I wanted Adam to address how we do plan to go forward on fixing – getting these back to better condition than just to passable.

MR. GOMEZ: And before I turn it over to Adam I want to echo what Katherine said. The City of Santa Fe did provide us with support and they helped us out immensely, so I'd like to them as well as our equipment operators out there. Those guys were answering their phones at 12:00 at night, at 3:00 in the morning, and they're the ones who deserve all the credit.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Gomez. Adam.

ADAM LEIGLAND (Public Works Director): Good afternoon, Commissioners. Before I address our plan forward I'd just like to remind the constituents that the website does have an online portal for submitting. So for instance, if we find another culvert that's been undermined, the cardigraph, you can go in and actually pinpoint it exactly on a map and create a work order for that, or you can also call our number, which is 992-3010, and that will go straight to the person who creates work orders, and that might be a faster way to get our work annotated in our system.

So as Diego mentioned, we estimate that we have about four to five weeks worth of work just to do cleanup. That's just general, in addition to the major events that you saw on the presentation, that's just pothole repair, small asphalt repairs, regarding, countywide. So we think that will be about a four to five-week effort. There might be some concern about the money. You heard that we estimate that might be about $300,000. This Commission has prioritized road maintenance and so we actually have a large road maintenance budget that can absorb it, but what it does mean is that we may have to do less vegetation management, crack-sealing, pot hole repair, over the course of the year because we'll have diverted those funds to the repairs that you saw that we have to do here.

And as Diego mentioned any kind of preventative maintenance activities that we were doing, we'll have to curtail those until – as it happens, we're getting into the cold weather anyway, but we'll have to curtail those both for temperature reasons and also for work load reasons. We will have to request a grant extension from the DOT but I would say given the conditions that the entire state faced I don't think we'll have a problem with that. And our large federal grant is good for three years, so we're still good on doing that. But some of the roads we anticipated having been done by now or very shortly will have to wait until next year. So I'll stand for questions on that, or I'll also remind you that there are capital requirements, for instance, County Road 85 and 101B we'll probably have to install a retaining wall at that junction now that the Pojoaque River has decided that it enjoys traveling our roads as well. That will have to be a capital request so that will be something on our ICIP and our CIP and something maybe this Commission will choose to fund.

CHAIR HOLIAN: thank you, Adam. Any further questions? Commissioner Mayfield, and then Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair and gentlemen, I just want to thank you also. I know staff has been out there working all hours of the night and I've been told they've been working a lot more than 12 hours, so you want to look into that, for 14, 16, 18 hours. But with that, I definitely want to thank Bernie Archuleta, Lloyd Martinez, Gene Salazar, Sammy Abeyta, Gilbert DeAguero, Diego Gomez. Also, RECC because that's another number that individuals can call into when there's inclement road conditions. Our first responders, they're the ones also out there responding and Commissioner Anaya, yes,

thank God there hasn't been loss of life. I think a lot of other states have experienced loss of life and casualties. I know there was some property damage out there but that's something that can hopefully be overcome.

But with that said, and I'm just going to go through the presentation, gentlemen, and I appreciate all the work you're doing. And again, I'm just going to bring this up. And Katherine, thanks for putting this on the agenda. I think it's important that our public hear this because I get a lot of calls and typically, Adam, I'll call you and I won't go into staff and call staff, but I'll call you and thank you, Adam, for being very responsive to my calls and my emails when I do forward them to you.

But going through the presentation I was presented, it was a great presentation, Diego. Thank you for putting together such a great presentation. But a lot of that presentation was throughout the county and one of the Commissioners experienced some pretty horrific events down there with all the mud. But with the retention ponds that we spoke about, the five retention ponds, and I hope that we work out a big plan knowing that hopefully the water stops right now, and I know we need the water, but we need it to stop too, we don't need it in buckets. But on these retention ponds, and even Arroyo Alamo West, Diego, you brought that up, Arroyo Alamo East. And this Commission does provide some funding for the soil & water conservation and I appreciate the Commission's funding to this. But I think there's other local governments and even some municipal governments and maybe even some state and federal governments that should provide some other funding, because that retention pond that you're talking about, Mr. Gomez, it's probably 90 percent to capacity full. And it's running for three days. It doesn't have to run one day if we had it dredged.

And I think the amount of money that we provide to it is very inadequate, because it has to get spread out to multiple diversions. That's my opinion and I do appreciate the funding that this Commission provides to it. I think that's where we hopefully – the RPO, the MPO, every other organization that we all sit on jointly, those are conversations we need to have with folks, because they're there to serve a purpose and those retention ponds in the northern parts were built for a purpose and this was the purpose I think, because of the downstream communities that were built in arroyos. And everybody has used those retention ponds when the weather's dry you can see them. They're inadequate or they're in disrepair and they need attention. And not that you all aren't doing it and not that this County's trying to fund them, but I just think that's some communication effort we have to have with other entities. And however I can do my part of any of us can do our part on that I'd appreciate that we do that.

Mr. Gomez, and I don't have the slides up, but you also – let me say, and I'm just kind of going back and I didn't write the note but I think you said there were five retention ponds. I know there's a lot more that are in and out of Santa Fe County, but the five retention ponds that I think that I'm looking at – I know there's one on San Ildefonso Pueblo.

MR. GOMEZ: There's one on San Ildefonso – there's actually – there's one that we're responsible for maintaining on San Ildefonso Pueblo, two on Pojoaque Pueblo, one that's right next to County Road 89.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Let's slow down a little bit. So the one on – and also not to take up too much time. So the one on San Ildefonso Pueblo – I don't know that we're responsible for maintaining it because I know I had to appear in front of I think the

council or the governor, just asking for permission to get on their property, their land, in order to ask for permission. I know we were doing on off of Juan Medina Road that I think is strictly there on BLM land or County land that should be our responsibility to maintain.

MR. GOMEZ: I was mistaken. I think you're correct. The only one – there's only two that I think they're actually the responsibility of the County to maintain – one on County Road 89 that's right adjacent to the County road, and one on County Road 94 that's right adjacent to the County road. The other ones have been constructed and I don't know the history, but we have been maintaining them in the past. The big one on BLM, we've never maintained that. That's miles and miles away from our County road. And it's a massive one. It's about the size of Santa Cruz Lake.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Yes, it's the size of a football stadium. And that's the one that I'm telling you is at 80 or 90 percent capacity that would protect the whole – probably the Española Valley.

MR. GOMEZ: Now, three of those retention ponds affect County Road 89. We're in the process of getting an engineering firm to go out there and look at drainage, both for Camino Catalina and for Feather Catcher Road. So those retention ponds, it's possible they will not remain in the future if the engineers can come up with an adequate design on where to put that water.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Again, if it's protecting public property and life, pueblo or not, I'll support these retention ponds. I'm going to say that right now.

MR. GOMEZ: Retention ponds, what we need to be careful of if when they fail, they fail catastrophically and that's what makes me nervous. It might be a little bit of water flowing, let's say 20 cubic feet per second of water, which is a small amount of water that can fit through a two to three-foot pipe. No big deal; it doesn't cause a lot of erosion. But when you've still got the water up for three days instead of now talking about ten or fifteen gallons a minute, you're talking about 50,000 gallons when that retention pond breaks. That's when you're going to have the problem.

And so that's what happened on County Road 89, that flow was really small, but when you store it up for three or four days it becomes massive amounts of water that can cause some damage.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Fair enough. I guess on a prior or I guess on a secondary slide you showed maybe blockage of arroyos causes damage on private properties, messing up County roads. Let me ask one of my questions for a later day and it doesn't have to be today, to see why those retention ponds were even constructed. Was it because of a blockage of arroyos and they wanted to retain water? So that's something I'd ask our County Attorney and some other people to look into, as why those retention ponds are even constructed them. That will be for a different date.

So let me go to roads that are not low-water crossings.

MR. GOMEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: So roads that are not low-water crossings throughout the county. I'm not going to be specific to District 1, but I do know a lot of them, and I wish – Mr. Martinez, I don't know if you could bring up that one slide, but if you could I'd appreciate it. It was early on in the presentation.

MR. GOMEZ: Yes, it was probably about the sixth or the seventh slide. And those roads – when I say they're not low-water crossings, it just means that nobody designed a structure there out of concrete and pipes and things like that. But they're paved.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: That Goodwin Road that kind of went through an arroyo that has no concrete or anything in it.

MR. GOMEZ: Exactly. That's the situation in the majority of those roads, the roads that I listed run parallel. They run parallel to the water flow. The low-water crossings usually run perpendicular at a 90-degree angle so the water rushes over the road for about 20 feet and then it's gone. These other roads, the water washed down the road, so the whole road is the low-water crossing and so it's not a designed low-water crossing, it's the path the water flows.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: So are we, and I think it's coming a little later to us today, are we going to be addressing these in our ICIP and our CIP plan? I know I definitely want that for District 1. I would hope that this Commission would entertain all low-water crossings for a master plan for the greater good of this county in our ICIP plan. But I'll just leave it at this.

This kind of goes two-fold into this question. And I know that gentlemen were dealing with it in the north. And I've asked again – and this again is for everybody to hear that that's why I asked for this presentation. And I mean everybody, our listening audience and our television audience. We're designing roads. And we're hearing the aftermath of our design of roads of private property owners saying you guys have done something and now it's affecting my private property. And we're not seeing that we have bar ditches. We're not seeing that we have drain management. I've talked to Public Works Director Leigland; he's a professional engineer. So are you, Mr. Gomez, and I appreciate that.

When we design a road we're not – again, I'm not a professional engineer, but I'm seeing no storm management on any of the roads that we're designing. We're even doing an asphalt road and we're cutting it at the end. And I don't think it's any fault of yours or maybe this Commission is not properly funding these roads.

MR. GOMEZ: That's not entirely true. A lot of the roads in your district are – we see that because your district is kind of the oldest in Santa Fe County. It's been there for hundreds and hundreds of years. And so people, the roads are old. There were old cattle paths, there were old horse paths, they were old carriage paths and so they're only ten or 12 feet and then the people just built all the way around them. So we don't have adequate space to have a bar ditch. In comparison to Commissioner Anaya's district, where we have massive right-of-ways. We can have bar ditches and stuff. So if we have the room, and we are designing and building roads with bar ditches. Every road that we are constructing or maintaining or even improving, I'm doing drainage design to make sure that water has somewhere to go.

Over the last 20, 30, 40 years, however long it's been, some of our roads are not designed adequately as far as drainage because people say we live in the desert; we don't get a lot of water. Well, we get water one month and this is what happens. So we are working toward the better good and we're not going to be able to solve all the problems at once but we're definitely trying.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Now, and Katherine – well, she's out. And Commissioner Stefanics sits on a board, a representative for the Association of Counties, and I respect the Anti-donation Clause, and Commissioner Holian who said this goes back to railroad days. But there has to be some transition between a private road and the adjacent driveway. Your graders are great. Your operators are great. But there has to be some allowance to feather into that transition line, or else we have to cut that road back. And as you just indicated, some County roads throughout the county might be – I'll take you down some County roads, Diego, and I probably don't need to, Mr. Gomez. I probably don't need to, that are 14 feet wide in the northern part of Santa Fe County. And I'm assuming every Commissioner has that type of road in their district somewhere.

MR. GOMEZ: Not really. The ones down south don't. It's just the ones up north. You have the most narrow roads.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: So I'm getting on that, so when you cut a road, I don't care what you do unless you're going to come back with some fill after, you're cutting that road down when you're blading it.

MR. GOMEZ: And on average, when you grade a dirt road and in some of those pictures in Commissioner Anaya's because he has a lot of dirt roads, you notice the roads were three, two, three feet lower. On average you lose about an inch of material grading the dirt road a year due to wind and rain and erosion and just tire traffic kicking up that material. And so we do have to go routinely, go back and maintain those roads and put more material on them. Because if you just continue to grade them and continue to grade them, they're eventually going to be a lot lower.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And this so much isn't for you, or maybe just a policy, and I wish Katherine were hearing this but our Attorney's hearing this, I would like us to propose something to our local legislative body to say, look, allow us at least – I'm not talking about going fifty feet, I'm talking about allowing you all the ability to the transition into somebody's driveway. Where does it stop? I guess that's the magic question for our legislators to look at. But we have to be able to feather into that driveway for that transition or that hump. And you and Mr. Leigland have looked at this. If it means putting that culvert further in – I know we're working on a road project right now in one of our areas and I think you guys are configuring that into a new design, but there has to be that for the existing infrastructure right now. Otherwise – I think you showed a picture – I know you showed a picture, Mr. Gomez, and I'm sorry, Madam Chair, I'm not going through the chair. I apologize. That we have folks that are getting secondary damage after the flooding that's coming down these roads because a lot of these are built on – it's not flat land up north. It's not. And water usually runs how water wants to run, downhill, typically. And everything is downhill up north.

And so I don't know what the answer is, gentlemen but I think it's something that we have to broach with our local delegation and our statewide delegation. And I don't know, and Commissioner, I hate to ask you this, but has anybody broached looking at the Anti-donation Clause at all?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair and Commissioner Mayfield, we have an executive committee meeting of the Association of Counties board this week and our county of course has some damage but nothing like some of the others where there have

been major sinkholes and people have been stranded. So there has been some discussion. We would need to find some legislators who really sympathize, and we do have some legislators that have been county commissioner who might be willing to do that. But even if we were to go into the Anti-donation Clause as you know, it requires a 2/3 vote of the legislature and then it goes to the voters, because it's changing our state constitution. And amendments to the Anti-donation Clause have been very few. We've done economic development and we've done affordable housing. So we could try something like this. But along with your question, I do think we as a County need a long-term plan for some of the capital expenditures that we're talking about here and maybe when it comes time to prioritize maybe we have to put aside other community projects to do this.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Right. But at any rate – and Madam Chair, I just want to ask this question of our County Attorney, because I saw a few vehicles that were I'm assuming on County roads that might be – so if a grader – and this is just a question, I'm not going to regret asking it but I think know I know the answer so I don't know why I'm asking it. So if a grader decides to pull out a car that's stuck, the fact if water is rushing I would hope we would help anybody in a public emergency, if a grader's there and we're going to pull somebody out of harm's way, but if we have a car that's stuck right there and the grader needs to grade the road, I mean, granted, they might come back and file a tort notice against us for damaging their car or something, but if we need to pull somebody obstructing a mud pit mess down there on one of our County roads or that's just two feet up to their doors, can one of our graders pull somebody out? Or is that Anti-Donation?

MR. ROSS: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, it's not Anti-Donation.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: So that's okay if we do that?

MR. ROSS: Yes. It's an emergency.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: [inaudible] like these pictures we just saw. If Mr. Gomez needed to pull somebody out today, or Mr. Martinez needed to pull somebody out today, they can go ahead and pull them out. They're in one of our County roads.

MR. ROSS: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, emergencies permit a lot of activities that wouldn't otherwise be permitted under the constitution.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Just so your guys don't get into any hot water. I just want to make sure. Okay. So we're good on that. I asked bar ditches. And then, Commissioner Stefanics, I appreciate you guys did that when you saw – I don't know if it was a sinkhole or what, but on County Road 442 – I don't know if I got it right – and you guys pumped cement. I think that's great, and I'm sure you did look at it, but are you sure that's not a sinkhole and that cement still going to give way under and it's going to keep going deeper and deeper?

MR. GOMEZ: We'll continue to monitor it. What happens is that culvert probably got overwhelmed. My hunch is that when the people that put in that pipe, they didn't compact the bedding of the pipe properly and so that material is a little bit loose and it washed away. It's hard to believe it didn't wash over the pipe. I've seen a lot of pipe get washed over. I've never seen one get washed under. So that was a first for me.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Well, Madam Chair and Mr. Gomez, I'm thinking the same thing. I'm thinking, well, how do you guys know it's still not going to go

deeper and deeper, with all that cement now? I'm not worried, Madam Chair. I just think that thing's going to keep compressing.

MR. GOMEZ: We'll monitor and we'll do whatever corrective action is necessary.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: I'm not an engineer but I would have pulled it out and just compacted the heck out of it.

MR. GOMEZ: Well, the problem is the downstream side of that culvert is eight foot deep, and OSHA regulations, you can only dig a trench eight foot deep, so we would have to cut a hole probably about 30 to 40 feet wide across that road and shut down the road. So in my professional opinion this is the right thing to do. It was quicker and much less invasive.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: I don't know, but again, Madam Chair, dig once and then we don't have to do it 16 times, but that's again. Madam Chair, Commissioners, Katherine, just again so I understand, for FEMA funding. So there might be a potential for FEMA going out to Santa Fe County individuals who have suffered major flooding issues with mud issues?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, what Martin told me is he has not gotten any major reports of personal property damage. He is working with individuals in Madrid, some of the business, because there might be some small business loans and grants. He hasn't had any that rise to the level of a FEMA issue at this point. He gets those calls turned to him when we have an individual who says he has something very serious that constitutes an emergency. He works with them to determine whether it's something that we qualify for any kind of other funding, gives them the proper forms and all that. If it is, he walks through it with them. If it isn't, then it isn't. He said he's only had one or two calls where there have been items that might be eligible for some kind of reimbursement. I don't know if it's FEMA or there's specific funding sources. But those have been – the ones that he talked about were predominantly in Madrid.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay. And I'll just bring up two other points and I'll stop, Madam Chair. Again, our road crews have been doing a phenomenal job, and I spoke to Mr. Leigland about this. As a matter of fact I had a conversation with Commissioner Anaya and he brought up a suggestion. But one thing, I've had individuals that have called me about adjacent arroyos next to their property or a couple downstream of their properties, and I think it's all interrelated. So these arroyos over the years, and even, you just talked about it. We have a river that has now changed course. It's changed course of low-water crossings that we've built. Every time we touch an arroyo, Diego, Madam Chair, Mr. Gomez, you know that it has an impact on a river's course and the configuration is changed.

But respectfully, our Corps, maybe they don't have the resources, the federal government doesn't have the resources. There has been no mitigation of any of these riverways or waterways or I guess whatever they are. And they're building up and building up and building up. And I've had individuals ask me, look, they're going to overflood the banks. They're going to flood us. Nobody can work on them. Well, where's the property line? Does the property line go through them? Does an individual own them? Is it Anti-Donation? Can we touch them? Can we not?

So I've even had conversations with the Corps on these. They said, look, an individual's property line goes here, here. We own them. We don't have the resources to touch them. Write us a letter. You guys clean them out. You guys use aggregate. I talked to Mr. Leigland about this. So Mr. Leigland was working on County Road 84. You guys were working on County Road 84. I guess Los Alamos was a good neighbor and gave you all kinds of material the other day from construction projects and took it free of charge to our County yard in Arroyo Seco and thank them for doing that. And I appreciate that you guys were hauling stuff back and forth, the aggregate that just recently got washed out. But if we had those standing permits from the Corps and we have all of these arroyos and maybe total sand is not the best aggregate but if we mixed it with some adjacent dirt, instead of 40-mile round trips back and forth, we could just be dredging these arroyos right next to us. And basically, let me finish please, basically, with thinking like this we could be serving dual purposes.

MR. GOMEZ: I agree with 100 percent.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you for agreeing with me.

MR. GOMEZ: In fact, you do not need a permit to dredge an arroyo through the Army Corps of Engineers. You only need a permit to place material in the arroyo. So as long as our upper management in the County Attorney's would agree, my County maintenance forces would be more than willing to dredge out arroyos that affect our County roads. Because they do affect it if the arroyo is two feet higher upstream than our road, guess what? Every time it floods we have two feet of dirt on our road. And so it does affect us.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Have we not thought about that or we're not doing that? What's maybe the thought process of why we're not going that route? Do we not have the resources? Do I – maybe my colleagues don't think like this, but I'll advocate in our budget if you all need that. If you need more trucks to do that, more resources to do that. To me it makes total sense.

MR. GOMEZ: I think it's just the fact of being on private property and some of the situations. But most of the arroyos, even though they're under the jurisdictional oversight of the Army Corps of Engineers they're still owned by private property owners. And so the private property owners pay taxes on it. So if the private property owner is willing to let us go in there and lower the arroyo for the benefit of the County and everybody else that is involved in the County upper management would agree, it's really not an issue. The Army Corps of Engineers would just – they would let us. They've already told me. I speak with Bill Overly all the time about getting permits. He says you don't need a permit, a 401 permit or a 404 permit to go and dredge an arroyo. You only need it to put material back into the arroyo. Like let's say you want to build a concrete retaining structure to raise the elevation of the arroyo, to put something in the arroyo you need a permit. If you're just routinely maintaining it, you don't need a permit.

There's also, because there was a state of emergency declared by the Governor, the Army Corps of Engineers sent out a statewide 404 permit which you can apply for which is a simple email. So there are ways around it, so I do agree with you; there's no reason we should be paying for hauling of material when people are requesting and offering to give us that material for free.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you. Well, I'll just do this request, Katherine and if we can work on a resolution that keeps us out any legal ramifications and if

this Commission supports it or not, if it needs to be a Commission action, I'd like somebody from Legal to help me work on something like that. That's just a request I have.

And then I guess my last question, Diego – and I appreciate again all the work that you all have been doing and road crews have been doing, and I know you all have been doing a lot of work. Do all of our road crews though, I guess from you to everybody else, do we have logos on our trucks and lights on our trucks so that the public does see us out there? If it's all hours of the night or if it's – just so that they see that, that we are out there on the road with our County logos on our vehicles and with some sort of light bars on our vehicles, that they know that we're out they're working?

MR. GOMEZ: Yes. All our equipment has logos on both the doors and the ones that have tailgates have it on the tailgates. Our big dump trucks don't have them on the tailgates because they lift, but they're usually on both the passenger doors, both the side doors of the vehicles and we have flashing lights on all of our road equipment, including the graders, the tandem dump trucks to the standard light-duty trucks that the foremen drive.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you and your staff for all the job you're doing. You guys are doing a great job. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Diego. Wait. Before you go, I just want to add that driving in this morning I saw our trucks on Camino La Joya – or I guess it's La Jolla Lane; I'm not sure exactly, over in the Glorieta area, working on the ditch on the side of the road. So I was impressed at how many people were out there and what you guys are getting done. So thank you very much. Commissioner Chavez, you had something?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes, thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Gomez, I want to just close by thanking all the staff that's out there working on these low-water crossings, roads you're dealing with. I think that based on the conversation that we've had it seems to me that we need a really comprehensive countywide stormwater management policy that you can get behind. I think you're doing most of it already but I think we could develop some policy around that that would help you. I don't mind getting into design 101, but again, we have experts that we've hired to do that and I don't want second guess all the time what you're doing. I liked your presentation. I think that the more information that we have that we can get out to the public about what we're doing and how we're being pro-active, I think that's good. So for me, I'd like to borrow your presentation and share it with at least – or use it in my newsletter to get that information out and to get it out on a regular basis. I'd like to use it as an update for the Pinon Hills area.

When we talk about funding I think that we have limited funding. We have other sources that we can depend on from time to time, but we've also discussed the possibility of establishing improvement districts, and I think for these kinds of improvements, I think the improvement district might do well, for these road improvements. I don't know if impact fees will apply or not but that's another source of funding that we might be able to use for new roads and new structures that we need. So anyway, I just want to say thanks to the experts that are out there doing their jobs, to the operators, the road crews. It's a team that has to work together. So just thank you for all you're doing. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you. Thank you, Diego and thank you, Robert and thank you to all the crew. I just want to end on a positive note. I live near County Road 63 and we got quite a bit of heavy rain out in the Glorieta area, but County Road 63 fared very

well, and a long-time resident made the comment to me that whoever's grading that road now is a genius.

MR. GOMEZ: Well, we do our best and I'll pass that on to that equipment operator.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you. Well, with all this talk of water I think that I need a break and so I am going to call a ten-minute recess and we will reconvene at 2:50.

[The Commission recessed from 2:45 to 3:00.]

CHAIR HOLIAN: I would like to call this regular meeting of the Board of County Commissioners back to order. It is 3:00 pm, and if it's okay with the Commissioners, I would like to move Matters from the Commission to after the Consent Calendar since there are several people here who are here to comment on resolutions that have been pulled for the Consent Calendar. Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Madam Chair, I could agree with 90 percent of that except for the resolution to benefit Human Resources and veterans in our community. Could we do that one? And then move all the other items?

CHAIR HOLIAN: You're saying to go and hear the XI. A. 1, the resolution first?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay.

X. MATTERS FROM ELECTED OFFICIALS

None were presented.

XI. MATTERS FROM THE COMMISSION

A. Resolutions

1. Resolution No. 2013-97, a Resolution Establishing the Veterans Hiring Initiative for the Purpose of Increasing Opportunities for Veterans to Obtain Employment with Santa Fe County

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. I've asked Bernadette Salazar from Human Resources to come up and share the background and summary with us and then Commissioner Anaya and I will be reading the resolution into the minutes.

BERNADETTE SALAZAR (HR Director): Madam Chair, members of the Commission, in an effort to support our veterans, Santa Fe County would like to begin a veterans hiring initiative. This hiring initiative will recognize veterans who have served and sacrificed for our country in honor, courage, and dignity. It will also assist in recognizing the economic loss suffered by citizens who have served our country in uniform. The initiative could add great value to the County because veterans are highly trained individuals that possess a multitude of skills and experiences and the motivation to serve the public.

With this program, veterans satisfying the application process and any testing who

meet the minimum qualifications for an open job and are on a list of qualified applicants form will be contacted and provided an interview. The invitation to an interview will be automatically extended once a veteran is on the list of qualified applicants form.

For veterans to qualify for the veterans hiring initiative they must have received an honorable discharge from the military. In the case of National Guard members they must have received an honorable discharge or have completed their military service obligation or provide documentation of having successfully completed basic training and being currently assigned to an active National Guard unit.

That's the background and summary of the veterans hiring initiative. This is the second meeting that we've had. We had a good discussion at the last administrative meeting and I believe that we had good support for this initiative.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Madam Chair, Bernadette, if you could, there was also a request made at the last County Commission to provide a list of veterans protection and preference laws and if you could just touch on that request a little bit and then we'll read the resolution into the minutes.

MS. SALAZAR: Yes, Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, that request was made at the last administrative meeting. the list of veterans protection and preference laws are included in your packet. To summarize, this is not an inclusive list of all veteran protection and preference laws but a summary of some really important ones that are out there for veterans protection. The first one is a Uniform Services Employment and Re-Employment Rights Act, or USERRA. The second one is our state statute which guarantees rights to veterans when hiring for state jobs. The third one listed is the Veterans Employment Opportunities Act. The fourth one is the federal mandate that requires preference for federal jobs. And then of course last but not least our Family Medical Leave Act as extended for military reasons.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Bernadette. Madam Chair, if I could then read the resolution into the minutes. The title of the resolution reads, A resolution establishing the veterans hiring initiative for the purpose of increasing opportunities for veterans to obtain employment with Santa Fe County.

Whereas, the Board of County Commissioners of Santa Fe County recognizes veterans who have served and sacrificed for our country with honor, courage and dignity. Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Whereas, the County recognizes the economic loss suffered by those who have served our country in uniform.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Whereas, veterans could add great value to the County because they are highly trained individuals that possess a multitude of skills and experiences and the motivation to serve the public.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Whereas, the County supports providing veterans with opportunities to obtain County employment by enhancing the recruitment of veterans and promoting employment opportunities for veterans with the County that are consistent with veterans' preferences prescribed by law.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And, whereas, military veterans must have received an honorable discharge from the armed forces of the United States and for National Guard members, they must have received an honorable discharge if they have completed the

military service obligation or provide documentation of having successfully completed basic training and being currently assigned to an active National Guard unit.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: And, whereas, the Board of County Commissioners desires to honor our veterans by establishing and implementing the veterans hiring initiative.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Now, therefore, be it resolved by the Board of County Commissioners of Santa Fe County, that the Human Resources Division shall implement a self-identification process for veterans applying for County jobs to be considered under the County's veterans hiring initiative.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: The application process would require the veteran applicant to produce certain documentation before confirming their military service or current status.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Upon review of employment applications, if a veteran satisfying the application and any testing process meets the minimum qualifications for the job, the veteran shall be contacted and invited to participate in the interview process. So this would be approved, adopted and passed this day, September 24, 2013 and approved by the Board of County Commissioners. So, Madam Chair, I'll open it for discussion.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Commissioner Chavez. Do you want to start by making a motion?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Sure. I'll move for approval.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Second, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay. I have a motion and a second and we will now have discussion, but first, this is a resolution, so I would like to ask, are there any members of the public here who would like to address the Board about this resolution? Any discussion? Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, thank you for letting me sign on to the resolution and letting me read it into the record. As I said with you, as I said at the previous meeting it's very important to honor our active duty members and even as important or more important to acknowledge them after their term of active duty service has finished. So I think this preference will help those individuals get an opportunity for employment at Santa Fe County. Thank you, Commissioner Chavez.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair, I just want to indicate I'm in full support.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, I think this is a phenomenal resolution and I fully support it. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you. Well, I also think that it's important to recognize that our veterans pay a price for the service that they give to our country and the people live in our country, not only by being away from their families for a long time but they also often pay a financial price for having been in the service. Reservists are often away from their jobs for long periods of time and people who serve the country in the regular military services often get a late start on their careers. So I think I am very pleased to see this veterans hiring initiative go forward and for us to do our part in giving them a little extra helping

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

hand.

So we have a motion and a second.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

XII. APPOINTMENT/REAPPOINTMENTS

B. Appointment of Water Policy Advisory Committee Members

KAREN TORRES (County Hydrologist): Good afternoon, Madam Chair, Commission. I wanted to present staff recommendations for the Water Policy Advisory Committee. As you recall, it was a 12-member committee comprised of several different walks from the water community and specifically, I wanted to just read the committee member, their position and where their background is, if that would work.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, please.

MS. TORRES: Absolutely. For the Acequia Association position we have recommended Martha Trujillo, who has extensive experience in acequia management and particularly the Acequia El Rincon. For the Soil & Water Conservation District, staff has recommended Bill King who also has extensive agricultural experience and also different soil and water conservation and ranching agricultural activities. For the Central Water Planning Area, we have recommended Sig Silber. His background is in mining economics. He is retired and he has been very active in the Jemez y Sangre Water Planning Group.

For the Northern Planning Area, we recommended Shelly Winship, who was – actually we're going to kill two birds with one stone there. She is in the Pojoaque Soil & Water Conservation District and also a board member of the Greater Chimayo Mutual Domestic Water Consumers Association. So she has experience in two areas. For the public member of the Buckman Direct Diversion Board, that's already selected and that is Consuelo Bokum. For the Mutual Domestic Water Consumers Association we recommend Gil Tercero who has extensive experience in the management of mutual domestics and currently serve the Agua Fria Water Board.

To represent the Estancia Basin Water Planning Committee, Bud Hagerman was recommended by that planning committee. He is currently on the board. He also previously served on the Metropolitan Water Board that existed prior to the County utility, and he has been very active in farming and agricultural activities in Santa Fe County. For the District 1 representative we have Mukhtiar Khalsa, who is the president of the Cuatro Villas Mutual Domestic Water Consumers Association. For District 2, we have Charles Nylander who is a consultant and has environmental experience from Los Alamos.

For District 3 we have Rita Loy Simmons, who is the Mayor Pro Tem of Edgewood and also is one of the forming members of the Entranosa Water System and also on the Estancia Basin Water Planning Committee. For District 4 there is Neal Schaeffer who currently works with the NMED Surface Water Quality Bureau and has a lot of biological experience and environmental experience. And for District 5 we have Steve Rudnick who was formerly the director of environmental studies from the University of Massachusetts.

So we have a very diverse and very well qualified group before you, and just to mention, we had over 30 people apply. We had very, very excellent candidates and we

worked their hardest to try to distribute them as best as possible and get the best fit for these groups. With that I will stand for questions.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you very much, Karen, and I just want to say thank you to all the people who applied for this committee and I was truly impressed with the caliber of the people who will hopefully be on the committee very soon. Are there any questions? Yes, Commissioner Anaya and then Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, I'm glad we're finally to the point of some action. There's a lot of work to be done and so I just look forward to the work and the assistance that we can get from the group on various issues, some of which we even started discussing at the last meeting. So I would move for approval of the recommendations. I know there might be more discussion. But I'd move approval.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Second, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay. I have a motion and two seconds for approval of the proposed Water Policy Advisory Committee. Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I guess you could count me as a third second, but I'll just go on to other things. But I want to expand on your comments a little bit because I was going through the names and I'm looking at the résumés. I think that it's very diverse, their disciplines, their background, the range in age is pretty incredible. I was looking at one résumé, Bud Hagerman, I mean that's an institution right there, just that one individual. And just – it's very encouraging to me to know that he's still interested in participating because he's participated I think in his community – I mean he references an experience at age 5 when he moved with his family during the Great Depression.

So here we have again that institutional knowledge about the area that we live in and how we're affected by lack of water, or too much water, depending on what Mother Nature decides. So anyway, I just wanted to expand on that a little bit and I think we're fortunate to have these kinds of individuals that will help us do the work that we need to do to serve the public. So thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you. Any other questions, comments? Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, I just want to thank staff and I'm glad that we are finally getting this committee moving. It's been some time coming and I know we had a resolution we were trying to work on a couple weeks ago so I think this will be a good start for this committee to look at, and I'm just very impressed with all the applicants, knowing that we had a few other applicants that were very well qualified also. So it's tough choices but you made a great selection, you and your staff, Karen, so thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, just one last comment. I think that the fact that we provided the opportunity for the various groups to provide recommendations is a step in the right direction. It helps to give some of the responsibility for destiny in the collective hands of many, rather than us pretending like we have all the knowledge. So the knowledge base is just extensive and the soil and water representation, the acequias, central water planning, mutual domestics – I mean all of them I think are going to help us as we develop plans moving forward. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay, we have a motion and a second, but before we go to

a vote, I think that there are a couple of people here who might want to make some comments about the Water Advisory Group. If you would like to, please come forward and please identify yourself for the record.

STANLEY ROSEN: Hi. My name is Stanley Rosen, known as Stanley Rosebud Rosen. Everybody's got to have a handle and that's mine. And I have become very interested in – I'm a retired professor from the University of Illinois in labor and industrial relations, but I am interested in many issues and this one got me going. I want to start by saying that I met Karen Torres on the telephone the other day and I was amazingly impressed with her. Her style, her professionalism and her receptivity to ideas and approaches, and I think you're off to a good start with her as the head of the committee. I have a brief statement. I'd like to read it because like a lot of people I could be long-winded and I try not to be.

As a citizen I am encouraged by the leadership of the County Board in setting up a Water Policy Committee. This complex , pressing, and I want to emphasize this word – crisis problem demands considered and continuous consideration relating to governmental action. And here are my suggestions, and they're based upon extensive recent reading as well as comments that I have heard from people who have addressed – citizen people, particularly, who've addressed the problems of dealing with the water issue.

The committee should do an inventory of all the organizations and citizen resources and expertise on the topic in the county. This is should include a listing and description of all County departments that have a primary and related interests in the issue, and this should be made a available to the public. And I just want to say that everybody has a concern for water, but I think some organizations or divisions of County have a primary interest and others relate to it because water impacts on their policies.

Second of all, given the large number of governmental agencies, conflicting community and economic interests, the committee should address the issues and propose methods and proposals to seek to achieve conflict resolution. In every book I've read on the subject, and I don't purport to be an expert, they talk about conflict. Conflict, and I hope that some of the people on your committee understand conflict resolution and mediation and other forms of conflict resolution, but I hope that the committee will call upon expertise that addresses that question. Because I read the paper – that's my bible on water – and every day I read about a conflict. Some of them seem insurmountable. So that would be my second point.

The third point is the solution of water issues can never be totally solved by actions of the County. There are number of state, federal, intergovernmental agencies, and legislative and political committees, even international agencies that influence directly or indirectly on Santa Fe. I came across a document with the help of the library, which was published in 1998.and it's a large document, partly under the leadership of the Law School at the University of New Mexico, and there was a list of approximately 20 federal agencies that deal with water. In addition to that there is a bibliography in the back of about 40 books; most of them are dated. They were dated 1998. I checked on the web and most of the websites for water, including the one on water in Illinois, had 100, 800, thousands of citations covering the gamut of all kinds of problems. So we have a large problem here and it's a serious problem and it has to be addressed within the context of the larger situation.

The challenges are complex and numerous. The committee working with educational

institutions should work to educate the public, and encourage the press to educate the public. Some times these committees are set up and they become bastions of expertise and they're somewhat isolated from the world of the average citizen. And I hope that they will be thinking about how to do that, how to tap into resources and I'm not speaking for them, but I'm a member of the League of Women Voters and people like that who do a wonderful job on educating, collecting information and policy development. The committee should be transparent, and welcoming to public participation and input at all stages as they carry out their mission. I have been told by people, friends of mine who have been involved in the water issue, that they haven't been welcomed at groups that deal with water, that they've been not given information, that they've not been told about meetings. Well, this committee should be transparent and welcoming.

And then, another point is, with all deference to expertise that was mentioned – it sounds like a very impressive group, water is not only a technical issue. It is a topic addressed by poets, writers, photographers, artists, philosophers, musicians, religious leaders and students of the humanities. If this is to speak to the character and talents of the residents of Santa Fe the committee should seek to involve and relate to these community resources in their operation. This is the humanistic side of water. This is how a lot of people approach water. They like to sit next to a river and listen to the sound of the river. And that's hopefully the kind of thinking that this committee is going to consider.

Sixth, I think that the problem, going back to the point that I made before, is not a Santa Fe problem. I think it should begin to be remedied by a regional water authority, and I hope the committee will consider that. But the state itself has to address this question from the point of view of the state of New Mexico. Because of all these conflicts, all these political subdivisions, all these interests that compete with each other, there has to be a plan, a program, educational materials, resources, information on the players if one is going to move forward. And it's not there now. The Utton Group – it's a school of law at the University of New Mexico, they're on the website. They're sending me a book that they published – they are doing this, but not completely. And I would hope that this committee would stimulate the kind of information and education similar to the almanac that was put out by the University – by the California Water District, the water department. Twenty years ago I was told by the writer for the Albuquerque *Journal* which has all this information handy.

And the last point I would ask the committee to look at is we sat here and saw a community disaster beautifully described, technically correct and authoritatively presented by Mr. Gomez. But what is the plan for a worst-case scenario? Does it have to happen before we have a discussion? What is the County going to do? How are they going to plan if we have a drought for four or five years? If the headline from the Albuquerque *Journal* says the drought has not ended. But we wouldn't know it from the last three weeks of four weeks in Santa Fe. But it may not have ended and I think the government should be prepared – the City, the County, the State – should be prepared with a plan for the worst-case scenario, and I haven't seen it and I hope it will happen.

So I just want to end with one remark. When they started looking at this issue and problem, I got very depressed, because it's been talked about, as someone mentioned here, it's been talked about for years and there really has never been the attention to it, the policy development that would solve it. And I think I was very depressed to the extent that I said,

well, wait a minute. Maybe this is a problem that can't be solved. We can't control nature. That's one thing. We can't control people buying up large amounts of water for profit as is happening in California, because that's business. There are many things that we can't control. But I was uplifted by the fact that the County has taken on this issue. As always, I appreciate the opportunity to present. I don't come here that often, to present my point of view. As I said to one of the Commissioners, you are all long-distance runners, because you sit here, you listen, you're receptive to the public and you're dealing with difficult issues. So I really appreciate being here. I thank you very much and if anybody has a question, I'd be happy to answer it.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Stanley Rosebud Rosen. I think we have someone else who would like to speak too.

ROGER TAYLOR: Roger Taylor, President, Santa Fe Basin Water Association. I just wanted to support this group. I know most of the people on this list. They're leaders in their community. They're leaders in some of the areas that they're in. I think it's a pretty impressive group. I think there's some very strong people on here and I think we can expect some really great things from this group. It's certain a great step forward for the area. It can certainly act as a model to other areas of the state that may have decided to start looking at this. And I think that I also know about six or seven of the people that did not get on this list. I know there are quite a few that self-nominated or were nominated by their communities who are also very good. So perhaps as they get underway with their work you might consider some of those people for potential subcommittees on some of this work. Some of these potential projects are huge and you're probably going to need to bring other people into it. So, anyway, our organization strongly supports this moving forward. We commend staff for the work that they've done in trying to vet this initial group and whatever our organization can do to support this moving forward, please call on us. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. We have a motion and two seconds for appointment of the recommended Water Policy Advisory Committee members. Is there any further discussion?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: One comment for staff, Ms. Torres. Based on many of the comments, I think Stanley brings up good points, as does Mr. Taylor, but we should invite the State Engineer, the Environment Department, Groundwater Bureau and other key staff from other jurisdictions to be present at the meetings. I think they could provide a wealth of information and knowledge. And I think we're in a constant pattern of growing prospective stakeholders and having an understanding of what's occurring with water. But one thing I also would pass on to the appointees is you can't have an appointee from each and every soil and water conservation district but those members that are representing mutual domestics, acequia associations, Edgewood Soil and Water Conservation District, it's also in conjunction with our work as staff. Their charter, if you will, to work and communicate with those other mutual domestics, other soil and water conservation board members, and so that they're carrying truly the representative perspective of each of those groups. So it's not just that they sit on them as a mutual domestic, but that they go back and

work with staff and actually solicit feedback from their entity organizations. I think that's a very key and important point that needs to be passed on to those members. And that absolutely there'll be a lot of work to be done and that wherever we can solicit additional help in subcommittees and other areas is duly noted and we should engage those volunteers and people that want to help. Thank you.

MS. TORRES: Thank you, Commissioner and mentioning the work, just putting together the committee, I'd like to thank the Manager's Office and the HR Department for navigating me through this, because it is a lot of work just even setting it up.

CHAIR HOLIAN: There's a motion and two seconds.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

XII. C. Request Appointment of Five Members to the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee (CIAC)

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioners, I'm here to ask your approval to appoint five members to yet another advisory committee. This Commission created the committee about a month ago. This committee is required by state statute any time you have impact fees. The County currently has fire impact fees and in order to keep those current we need to have this advisory committee do several actions and so we have five members, five good members, another impressive committee in my opinion and with that, I'll stand for questions.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Adam, is it anticipated that this committee will stay in place to review impact fees that we're considering in the new land use code?

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, that is correct.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Adam.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Are there any further questions? Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Do we have five résumés? I don't see five. I see Mr. Boniface.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Adam, did everybody submit a résumé? Or did some people just submit a letter?

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, I believe everyone submitted a résumé and actually I have the material here and I believe we have – I have all five.

CHAIR HOLIAN: We don't in our packets.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: I have one I think.

CHAIR HOLIAN: I have two.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair and Mr. Leigland, I know it's your all recommendation but we didn't get a chance to look at these. Is this pressing? Could

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

we pass on this until next week when we have had an opportunity to look at the résumés?

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, two comments on that. One is that we only received five applications and two, it is somewhat pressing because we are running up against the clock on the Fire Department impact fees. So I guess the answer is yes.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Have all the conflict of interest forms been filled out also?

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, yes. We went through the entire standard HR process background checks and what not.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair,

CHAIR HOLIAN: First Commissioner Chavez and then Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, I was going to move for approval and hope for second and then continue discussion.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay. I'll second that for purposes of discussion. Commissioner Stefanics. Or you wanted to –

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No, I don't have any points right now. I just wanted to have a discussion under a second, under a motion.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair, I happen to know Kathleen Magee. She worked at the General Services Administration for the State of New Mexico. She has a background in real estate and I don't believe we have her résumé. So I just wanted to throw in that I know her. I think she's from Commissioner Mayfield's district.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: I just haven't seen any résumés – my two guys are in here. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Are there any further comments of questions?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Leigland, How did we solicit for feedback? How did these names come to us? Who – did we engage them in a discussion or did they voluntarily come forward? How did it come?

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, it was actually a fairly aggressive efforts. We did a number of solicitations. We also – the County had had this committee convened several years ago and so we actually reached out to those first members initially. So we went back to the first five.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Which are they?

MR. LEIGLAND: Paul, do you remember? And then, some of the members we called individually and said, hey, we think you'd be a candidate for this, since Mr. Ryen. I'm told none of the original five wanted to participate. So what you see here is a combination of people who were approached and people who volunteered and their response to our solicitations.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Leigland, could you give me some more background on Thomas Slade, other than he's in architecture, historic preservation and real estate?

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, so I have the résumé

here and I apologize that it didn't make it into the packet. He is a licensed real estate broker. He used to be the director of historical services for historical landmarks in Indiana. He used to be the director of Wisconsin Heritage, the assistant director of historic properties for the National Trust for Historic Preservation, and he taught at the University of Notre Dame in the Department of Architecture early in his career. He has a PhD in architectural history. So he has a strong background in community development.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Madam Chair, Mr. Leigland.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair and Mr. Leigland, and thank you again. Help – provide for me again the CIAC responsibilities for the Commission.

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee is required by state statute any time a local government imposed impact fees and they have two primary roles with regard to that. The first is a review and make recommendations on the land use assumptions, and that has to do with demographic trends and the associated infrastructure necessary to support any sort of demographic change. And then they also review the County or the local government's capital improvement plan in response to those land use assumptions, because it's those two things together that would produce the impact fees. And so they review those two inputs and then they have to monitor the County's following of its capital improvement plan and they have to make an annual report to the elected officials on how the County is executing its capital improvement plan. So that is required by state statute and we've had in the past for our fire impact fee and they've reported that, yes, we're buying the necessary equipment.

I think that this committee could also have an expanded role with regard to everything else the County is doing to improve its capital improving planning process. So there is a state required role which is what the timeline is, but then there's a larger role I think we could bring this committee into.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: So, Madam Chair, Mr. Leigland, as far as that expansion role, would you look at them maybe going out into our local communities and vetting projects or hearing input from community members of what projects are before it's presented to the Commission?

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, I don't think we would have them looking at individual projects. I think that would be straining a volunteer's time. I think really they would be better served maybe reviewing general CIP policies, maybe reviewing the ICIP during that time of year, maybe reviewing the CIP that's presented to this body for approval beyond just impact fees. But I think vetting individual projects would be asking too much for this committee.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay, and then – well, I'm just going to ask the question. So looking at the District 1 applicants, Mr. Graeser's résumé, I think Mr. Graeser appears in front of this Commission on various land uses cases. I could be mistaken on that. If I am please let me know. If I'm going somewhere I shouldn't, please let me know.

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, he does, but we verified with Legal that that would not be a conflict of interest to serve on the committee, a County committee, because this is the CIP review committee; they don't have to do with land use cases.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay. And looking at his – and I think he has a phenomenal background; I want that said, but even looking at his background and his résumé, this is his expertise in law. That could be a huge benefit for us, but could that – there's no issue with that whatsoever?

MR. ROSS: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, it isn't a problem as long as he discloses it. I guess a land use case relates in some sense to the CIP under the new code. However, a conflict of interest, as you recall, is obviated by disclosure and so we will talk to him, make sure he puts it on his disclosure statements.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Well, I guess, and if I'm going to make a recommendation I don't want to have an appointee that might be recusing themselves from a vote all the time.

MR. ROSS: Well, Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, he won't have to recuse himself as long as he discloses his representation of land use clients.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Anything further, Commissioner Mayfield?

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: No. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. Adam, state statute also lays out some guidelines that we are required to follow when we're appointing a committee like this. Can you touch on those guidelines because it tells us the number of people and what their qualifications should be.

MR. LEIGLAND: Yes, Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, that's correct. State statute says it has to be five people and at least two of those have to have some background in building services, construction, real estate, development. And I think we've more than met that requirement.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So we have those disciplines. So we've met those guidelines, we've met those requirements. But then in addition to just the list of capital projects – or not the list of capital projects, but the areas where we would be able to impose impact fees, in addition to fire, those are also allowed by state statute along with the fees that we would impose for those different areas. So would the committee also look at the fee schedule for those impact fees to see if they are at current levels or if they're comparable with other cities or other counties in the area?

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, the state statute did not require that. We could ask them to do that, but if you follow the procedure outlined in the Development Fees Act of how to arrive at the figure for impact fees it sort of falls out, because you first identify you total need, the total capital need over a prescribed timeline, and then you deduct from that expected County revenues through GOB, GRT and what not, expected grant funding, all the other funding sources and whatever is left over is your – is that funding need that hasn't been met in another way is what you address with impact fees, and then you allocate those impact fees on a per-unit basis, which the development fee describes. So it is somewhat, the number you arrive at through some sort of a technical process if you will. Of course the Commission could choose to make it less than that that. I don't think they're going to make it higher than that. But the committee could compare that to, say, for instance the City, who has an existing impact fee or another jurisdiction. They

could compare that for equivalency or similar.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. So you see that being a natural course, a natural process that the committee would go through, by nature of the –

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, yes. I think the intent is to make it as technocratic and transparent a process to make it proof against –

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, yes, and I think it has to be fair to the County and also fair to the developer, to the taxpayer, so that it can be reasonable but still allow us to capture revenue that we need to provide those services. So that's good. The other thing I wanted to ask is I know the impact fees, like taxes, are not always popular so it's not always an easy sell. But it's part of doing business. There's no way around it that I can see. And so when was the last time that we updated just the fire impact fee?

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, it was five years ago, as required by state statute, and so now we're approaching the end of that five year term which means we need to start again.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So that's kind of what I wanted to touch on because if we're following those cycles and we're pro-active and we're trying to get ahead of that curve, it might be an easier sell. So that's all I had, Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, are we going to get copies of the rest of the résumés?

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, yes. *[Exhibit 1]*

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: I'd really like to see the rest of the résumés. I'd just ask that we could pass on this until a little later on on the agenda if that would be okay.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Steve, let me ask you. Do we need a motion to postpone the vote on this?

MR. ROSS: Madam Chair, not if you're going to take it up within this agenda. A motion to postpone would be to –

CHAIR HOLIAN: To go on to the next meeting. But we can just sort of –

MR. ROSS: Pass over it.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Just pass over it right now and then come back to it.

MR. ROSS: Yes.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Is that – are the rest of the Commissioners in agreement with that. There is a motion and a second on the floor, so that will stay on the floor. Correct?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. I just wanted to clarify.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Just so we don't hold up business.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair, I would prefer we go on. I have some people in the audience who are waiting for the next item.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay.

[For continuation of this item see page 53]

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

XIII. MATTERS OF PUBLIC CONCERN – Non-Action Items

CHAIR HOLIAN: Is there anyone here that would like to address the Board? Please come forward and state your name for the record.

ARTHUR DUCHARME: My name is Arthur Ducharme. I'm a Torrance County resident and rancher. Commissioners, we are in the District 50 area, part of our county, Torrance County is in that district and later on there will be discussion about the nomination for the replacement of Stephen Easely. It affects thousands of our citizens in Torrance County, so it's quite important to us. Dr. Easely was a wonderful representative for all the people in our county regardless of political affiliation and he was very good at having public meetings and entertaining public comment and responding to people even when he differed with their opinions.

I hope – the reason I wanted to speak was to recommend to you – I understand from your very able County Manager that the decision will be made at the next meeting. But I urge you to make an open evaluation of the candidates for this replacement, that they have a chance to, or obligated to present their qualifications publicly, and that you, as well as members of the public have a chance to ask them questions. We've had some difficulty in our county promoting honest and open government and I'm very impressed with the way that you treat public comment at this Commission meeting. I just would urge you to try to have a public vetting of these candidates because this is very important. Citizens in four counties are involved in the selection and it may come down to a political decision but hopefully our Governor will choose the next candidate. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Ducharme.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, Mr. Ducharme, I guess we'll hear a little bit later about how our Board is going to move forward. But I did receive an email from Mr. Richard Ellenberg with the Santa Fe County Democratic Party. It's a public record. And he is going to be having an open forum, out, I believe, in the Eldorado area. If you go to their website. And he has invited all individuals who are interested in that seat. So you might want to go to his website. I know he's having an open forum, so everybody who is listening on this radio and TV can hear this.

MR. DUCHARME: Thank you for that advice. It's the Santa Fe County Democratic Party?

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And as far as I understand from that email, that is open to non-partisan for any interested individual applying for that seat.

MR. DUCHARME: Thank you very much. I think that might serve the purpose here. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Ducharme. Is there anyone else?

XIV. CONSENT CALENDAR (Public Comment for Resolutions)

A. Resignations

1. **Acceptance of Resignation of William Hutchinson From County Open Lands, Trails and Parks Advisory Committee (COLTPAC) (Growth Management)**

B. **Final Orders**

1. **CDRC CASE # V 13-5170 Roddy & Sherry Leeder Variance. Roddy & Sherry Leeder, Applicants, Ralph Jaramillo Agent, Request Variances of Article III, Section 2.4.1a.2.b (Access) of the Land Development Code and a Variance of Article IV, Section 4.2 of Ordinance No. 2008-10 (Flood Damage and Stormwater Management) to Allow a 7.68-Acre Tract to be Divided into Four Lots. The Property is Located at 25 Bar D Four Road, in the Vicinity of Arroyo Seco, within Section 18, Township 20 North, Range 9 East (Commission District 1) John Lovato, Case Manager (Approved 5-0)**

C. **Miscellaneous**

1. **Request Approval of Amendment No. 1 to Agreement No. 2013-0197-CORR/MS Upgrades to Youth Development Program Plumbing Fixtures in the Amount of $34,220.00, Exclusive of GRT (Purchasing/Bill Taylor)**
2. **Request Approval of an Easement Agreement Between Santa Fe County and 200 West De Vargas Street Association to Accommodate a Portion of Sidewalk on the Association's Property (Public Works/Adam Leigland)**
3. Approval of an Agreement Between Santa Fe County and the Eldorado Community Improvement Association Regarding Construction and Maintenance of Multi-Use Trails within the Eldorado Community **ISOLATED FOR DISCUSSION**
4. Request Authorization of the Use of District 5 Capital Funds, Per Capital Outlay Policy, Allocating $90,000 for Trails and Trail Improvements in the Eldorado Subdivision in Santa Fe County (Finance/Teresa Martinez) **ISOLATED FOR DISCUSSION**
5. Request Authorization of the Use of District 5 Capital Funds, Per Capital Outlay Policy, Allocating $10,000 as Cash Match to Legislative Grant 13-1769 for Road Improvements in the Eldorado Subdivision in Santa Fe County. (Finance/Teresa Martinez) **ISOLATED FOR DISCUSSION**

D. **Resolutions**

1. Resolution No. 2013-___, a Resolution Supporting Property Tax Equity. NMAC Priority (Commissioner Stefanics) **ISOLATED FOR DISCUSSION**
2. Resolution No. 2013-___, a Resolution Supporting Non-residential Real Property Sales Disclosure. NMAC Priority (Commissioner Stefanics) **ISOLATED FOR DISCUSSION**

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

3. **Resolution No. 2013-87, a Resolution Supporting Notice of Liens. NMAC Priority (Commissioner Stefanics)**
4. **Resolution No. 2013-88, a Resolution Supporting Suspension of Medicaid Benefits in Lieu of Termination Upon Incarceration in County Detention Centers for Youth and Adults. NMAC Priority (Commissioner Stefanics)**
5. Resolution No. 2013-___, a Resolution Supporting County Correctional Facility Gross Receipts Tax. NMAC Priority (Commissioner Stefanics) **ISOLATED FOR DISCUSSION**
6. **Resolution No. 2013-89, a Resolution Supporting Delinquent Property Tax Payments. NMAC Priority (Commissioner Stefanics**
7. **Resolution No. 2013-90, a Resolution Supporting Delinquent Property Tax List. NMAC Priority (Commissioner Stefanics)**
8. **Resolution No. 2013-91, a Resolution Supporting the 2014 Legislative Priorities of the New Mexico Association of Counties. Summary of NMAC Priorities (Commissioner Stefanics)**
9. **Resolution No. 2013-92, a Resolution Requesting a Budget Increase to Carry Over FY-2013 Available Cash Balance for Various Fire Districts Revenue Fund and to Budget New Revenue in the Amount of $129,158. (David Sperling/Public Safety/Fire)**
10. **Resolution No. 2013-93, a Resolution Requesting a Budget Increase to the Fire Districts EMS Fund (206) to Adjust the Budget for the Current Year Allocation to the Actual Distribution Amount and to Carry Forward the FY-2013 Available Cash Balances for Expenditure in FY-2014 for a Total Amount of $31,526. (David Sperling/Public Safety/Fire)**
11. **Resolution No. 2013-94, a Resolution Requesting a Budget Increase to the Equitable Sharing Federal Forfeitures Fund (225) to Budget Cash Carryover and Current Year Forfeitures for Region III / $67,881.51 (CMO/Finance/Teresa Martinez)**
12. **Resolution No. 2013-95, a Resolution Requesting a Budget Decrease to the Law Enforcement Operations Fund (246) to Adjust the Jag Grant Budget to Reflect the Correct Grant Award Amount / ($44,477) (CMO/Finance/Teresa Martinez)**
13. **Resolution No. 2013-96, a Resolution of Sponsorship for the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) and Maintenance Commitment Declaring the Eligibility and Intent of Santa Fe County to Submit a Grant Application to the New Mexico Department of Transportation for $250,000 in Federal Fiscal Year 2014/2015 for Pedestrian Facilities on CR 89D (Growth Management/Erick Aune)**

ITEMS ISOLATED FOR DISCUSSION

XIV. C. 3. Approval of an Agreement Between Santa Fe County and the Eldorado Community Improvement Association Regarding Construction and Maintenance of Multi-Use Trails within the Eldorado Community

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioners, Eldorado community has a series of multi-use trails within the subdivision that over the years have been built through various funding sources and maintained by various entities. In 2003 the County and the Eldorado Community Improvement Association entered into two small maintenance agreements whereby the trails would be built to County standards and then the ECIA agreed to maintain them to County standards.

In the intervening ten years the agreement has had some weaknesses and so the community was interested in making the agreement more robust, more expansive, and also making it a little easier to interpret. So we started negotiating with them earlier this year. And the agreement subsumes the two older agreements. It says that the County – one of the things, for instance, the ECIA struggled with was managing the construction. They weren't really resourced to manage construction so they asked that whatever the funding source, be it a state grant or their own funds or County funds, that the County manage the design and construction of the trails.

And then the second part of the agreement is that once that's done the ECIA agrees to at their own expense maintain the trails to an agreed upon standard. And we actually incorporated the PASR standard, which, if you recall, is what we use for our road standards. So we agreed that this is a third party neutral standard was clear to everyone. So we think this is a good agreement. I think it meets everyone's needs, and I think it paves the way for a good, multi-use trail network in that community. So with that I'll stand for questions. I know Mr. Ryen is here. He's acting president of ECIA. He was a partner on the other side of the negotiating table.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Ryen, would you like to add a few word first before I open it up to questions?

DAG RYEN: Madam Chair and Commissioners, thank you very much for the opportunity to speak to you. I'd like to just speak briefly on behalf of this agreement. This is an opportunity for a real, lasting, mutually beneficial partnership between the Eldorado Community Improvement Association and the County. We have 12.3 miles of multi-use trails in Eldorado. They're very popular. They're used consistently by lots of different folks out there. They're really a cherished part of our community. They're on County byway. They're County property, but the ECIA has historically spent between $50,000 and $70,000 annually in maintaining these hike-bike trails. We are willing to continue to do that. We want these paths in our community. We just want a good partnership with the County on how to build new ones when new ones are needed and to have the County as our partners in the design and construction of new trails. Thank you for you attention.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Ryen. Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes, Adam, could you explain or define multi-use?

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, multi-use – non-

motorized transportation, so walking biking, equestrian is allowed.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I guess pedestrians usually includes dogs, canines.

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner, yes.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Does the Eldorado Community Association have leash laws for their association?

CHAIR HOLIAN: Mr. Ryen?

MR. RYEN: Madam Chair and Commissioner Chavez, we do. We have a leash law in all of our common areas except for our natural preserve. We have a 4,000-acre natural preserve where dogs are allowed to go loose. But there is a leash requirement on the multi-use trails.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So the areas where the dogs can be off-leash, is that fenced?

MR. RYEN: The area where the dogs can be off-leash is fenced off as a nature sanctuary that is owned exclusively by the Eldorado Community Improvement Association.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So if one of your homeowners chooses to ignore the leash law, how do you enforce that?

MR. RYEN: We have a very systematic covenants compliance system. We have a covenants compliance officer. We will send a warning letter and we will ask for a meeting with the offender and we will proceed in that way. As a homeowners association of course we have very limited enforcement mechanisms at our disposal, so we can't fine, currently. We don't have fines for covenant violations as part of our activities. It's been something we've been thinking about. But we do have letters of non-compliance that go out to these people and ultimately we will sometimes file suit when that's needed.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, I thank you for that, because one thing that was said earlier is that these trails will still be on County land so I think the liability at the end of the day will probably fall on the County. And I think that it's wiser to have a leash law than not because of the multi-use component. And I think when you have somebody riding a horse and you have a loose dog I think that's a liability waiting to happen. And I think we want to encourage that multi-use but then we're going to have to have some rules. Unfortunately I think people are going to have to follow those rules.

The reason I'm asking this question about the leash law is because I'm finding, as I'm talking to associations in the county, they do have a leash law, which makes sense, but then there's a lot of resistance from county residents regarding a leash law that would apply countywide. So I can see where you would be in a quandary about enforcement because it really shouldn't be on you and your homeowners association; I think it should be on the County. If we're going to accept that we not have a leash law countywide I think at minimum we should have a leash law on our parks, trails and open space for liability reasons and maybe we're going to have to help with the enforcement. But I just wanted to ask these questions, mostly for my information so that I know how you're operating, because I'm hearing this from other neighborhood associations in the county close to the urban center and I think that we're going to have to continue to deal with these issues. And so I just pose those questions for us to think about. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Madam Chair. These are part of my community funds and if anything, the people in Eldorado claim there are too many rules. So I move for approval.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Second, Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: I'm actually the Commissioner that pulled it so I've got a couple questions, some of which were answered in the previous questions. Commissioner Chavez and I were present with Commissioner Stefanics in some monies that were just approved in the Metropolitan Planning Organization that Commissioner Stefanics is adding to with her community funds, which I think is a good thing. My simple question is this. The Eldorado community has a community library that is available for use by the surrounding area. It's been always publicized for use by Glorieta and Galisteo residents. We also have the senior center that serves that same purpose. I just want to say on the record and ask the question, is there any problem whatsoever with a county resident parking at the senior center, using the services at the senior center, library, utilizing these trails?

MR. RYEN: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, there's no problem whatsoever with that. This is County property. It's a public property and we welcome visitors to our community. We think we have a lovely community and we'd love for you to come out and have a stroll.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, and I appreciate it. I knew that would be the response. But I think there are times when public perceptions of what is allowed or not allowed are different than what reality is. So that's good to know and to say that the library and the senior center and even the trails are to be utilized and accessible for all residents in that region. Because they're beautiful trails and provide an opportunity for people in the entire surrounding community and the county for that matter to have an opportunity to get off the main throughways and to be able to access trails and open space. So I appreciate that response and appreciate the resources that Commissioner Stefanics is investing in that community. So thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Seeing no further questions, there is a motion and a second for approval of an agreement between Santa Fe County and the ECIA regarding the construction and maintenance of multi-use trails.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, I just want to compliment Commissioner Stefanics for giving community needs back to the community. Any community service you can provide your community when you're out there communicating with your community is a great and a good thing. So Commissioner Stefanics, congratulations.

XIV. C. 4. Request Authorization of the Use of District 5 Capital Funds, Per Capital Outlay Policy, Allocating $90,000 for Trails and Trail Improvements in the Eldorado Subdivision in Santa Fe County

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya, I'm sorry I passed over you last time.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: That's fine, Madam Chair. I'm ready for votes. I'll defer to Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I'll move for approval.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Second, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay. There's a motion and a second. Is there any further discussion?

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

XIV. C. 5. Request Authorization of the Use of District 5 Capital Funds, Per Capital Outlay Policy, Allocating $10,000 as Cash Match to Legislative Grant 13-1769 for Road Improvements in the Eldorado Subdivision in Santa Fe County

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, I defer to Commissioner Stefanics.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I'll move for approval.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Second, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: There's a motion and a second.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

XIV. D. 1. Resolution No. 2013-98, a Resolution Supporting Property Tax Equity. NMAC Priority

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Madam Chair. This is part of the Association of Counties resolutions that we did present and discuss at the last meeting. I understand there might not be total agreement, but I would entertain comments or a vote.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya, you pulled it.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, I just wanted to raise and restate on the record that the Assessors Affiliate statewide did work with the Apartment Association and many others to try and create some tax equity and generate a more fair way of assessing tax associated with some of the concerns associated with tax lightning and I wanted to on this item commend them for their work. They provided some

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

accommodations for longevity and living in your home, as well as for elderly individuals, and so I wanted to just say that on the record before we vote. I'd move for approval.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay. There's a motion and a second. Further discussion? Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, thank you. Commissioner Stefanics, thank you. Assessor Martinez, thank you. A question, and I don't know if Assessor Martinez or Commissioner Stefanics could answer this. Thank you, Assessor Martinez. Assessor, thank you for being with us today. So Assessor Martinez, I think it is great a lot of changes have been made to this, but the way I'm reading it, Assessor Martinez, they've kind of left out the percentage of the three to five so there can be some deliberation on that. Am I reading this right? If it is three percent or five percent that will be what the will is down the street.

DOMINGO MARTINEZ (County Assessor): Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, as you may recall, there is a Supreme Court decision that we're waiting for and no one has addressed the issue. The legislature has tried to address it. I just think the time is now, while this thing is going through the court and they have never come to consensus within themselves and there's quite a few members of the legislature. Last year, the Assessors and the realtors were asked by the chair of the House Taxation and Revenue Committee to get together and come up with a solution to the problem.

The problem is that every solution that we came up with there were going to be losers and winners in this scenario and we could never address where everybody would be a winner. And I think that was the thing that held the legislature back in going ahead and adopting what we had proposed in the last session. So the Assessors, knowing that there's an equity problem out there and the Supreme Court hasn't addressed it we want to continue to do something with it so that at least it puts hopefully some pressure on the Supreme Court to come in with a decision at some point.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Echoing Commissioner Anaya and all the great work you've done, Assessor Martinez, so thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Any further discussion? This is a resolution. Is there anyone here from the public who would like to comment on this resolution? Seeing none, we have a motion and second.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

XIV. D. 2. Resolution No. 2013-99, a Resolution Supporting Non-residential Real Property Sales Disclosure. NMAC Priority

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Madam Chair, and this again comes from the Association of Counties and this actually came from the Assessors Affiliate. It was introduced last year by Senator George Muñoz and it died on adjournment in the

Senate committee and the Association of Counties and the Assessors feel this would be very important to continue to work on.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya, you pulled it.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Commissioners, I appreciate your indulgence. I, on the contrary to the previous item, cannot support this aspect of the resolution. I think county assessors statewide are given the charter to assess value associated with property using generally and nationally accepted assessment methodology. I don't feel that full disclosure on properties is the route to go. I think our Assessors individually have that responsibility and role through the work that they do day in and day out. I have shared on this bench some of the frustrations that I've seen where sales in a given community, a handful of sales in a given block dictates the perceived total value of the community that I don't think is appropriate. So I just appreciate that I was allowed to pull it. That's all the comments I have, Madam Chair. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I'd like to move for approval, Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I'll second.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay. There's a motion and a second for approval of Resolution No. 2013-99. Is there any further discussion or questions?

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, Assessor Martinez, thank you for being with us. Madam Chair, Assessor Martinez, as far as the fourth whereas, Whereas the best approach in determining the market value of a property is utilizing the sales comparison approach which requires the use of sales data, so just going – this is for residential property, so to help me understand this – you and I have had these discussions many times, Assessor Martinez. But understanding again the prior resolution we just passed. But on a home that has been in a neighborhood for a well established time, 60, 70, 80 years, and if a home next to it sells because of maybe a tear-down or maybe a reconstruction or remodel, once that home sells, and if say your staff is not assessing the property, is that going to determine now the market value of the home in that neighborhood, knowing that maybe – well, let me ask that question. I'm going to follow up with a couple other questions, please.

MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, as a profession we adhere to the International Association of Assessing Officers in that process of mass appraising properties. One of the methods is to use comparable sales. What we have tried to do is to try to get every sale that we can garner and we have a lot in the residential part of the appraisal that's there that any property that sells that's residential has to be reported to the County Assessor so that we have all the sales, so that we can take all the sales into consideration, understanding that some sales are going to be outliers. In other words, you're going to find some sales that aren't really comparable because they're at the high end, or some to watch at the low end, but we are able to get all the sales together and we make an analysis of what the market is saying that those homes in that vicinity are worth. We do not take the sale of that property and put the sales price as the value of that property. We only use

it as a form of getting together all of the sales to make an adjustment or a series of adjustments to come up with what we believe is the true market value of comparable homes.

Now what we're asking for in this one is to have the same issue with commercial properties. In no way can we [inaudible] to use that sales to appraise that property. We've got to use all the sales to do some analysis and come up with some statistics and some information so then we can honestly assess those properties correctly.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And Assessor Martinez, Thank you, but just on that point, this says – okay. It does. This is for non-residential. So this is for commercial properties.

MR. MARTINEZ: Commercial and non-residential, which would be vacant land.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay. So that's good. And again, if somebody wanted to come in because they thought they were being overappraised based on that approach they could come in and protest with you and show this might be a wrong assessment as long as you can prove that data to you, all right?

MR. MARTINEZ: Absolutely, and that is one of the biggest problems that we have. Since we don't have the information on all the commercial and non-residential properties is that sometimes we can't appraise it correctly because we don't have all those sales. A lot of times we make the mistake and we appraise it too high, and that's when you get the protest. With the protest, during the hearing comes in with even more sales and sales that we never even knew existed and they're able to tell us we appraised it too high. So once we see those sales that we weren't privy to we would have – had we had those sales we would have probably adjusted the value to the correct value and they wouldn't have had to protest it. The other extreme is also prevalent in this is if we value it too low, then they don't protest and they stay low. And you know what happens if we lose too much value that means the tax rate goes up. So if we don't do our job at the Assessor's Office, we don't have the tools to do it, that's what we run into. We run into low values, which means the tax rate comes up and that's an increase of undue taxes for people that are rated at where they should be.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And Assessor Martinez, on the follow-up to that, if an individual sold some vacant land, and if a next-door neighbor said, look, I'm still using this for agricultural land, you wouldn't automatically say, he, somebody's going to develop this land for houses, for commercial real estate development. You still would not then just assess that other property as commercial or putting it out for residential development. Would you still leave that other adjacent property on the books as agricultural land or would that home now – would that vacant property now be assessed as developable land?

MR. MARTINEZ: It would stay the same. Remember what the statutes basically say, that we are assessing property based on what we know, the Assessor's Office knows. And it is at the present time, let's say, [inaudible] value on which means it's a lot, lot lower value. And they haven't changed the purpose of that land. Then yes, it would stay the same. But let's say that piece of land is agricultural and take a whole acre out, and they build

a store, let's say a general store or whatever, well that has to change. By law we have to change it and by law the onus is on the property owner to notify us that that has happened.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: But I'm talking about the adjacent property to it. Are they now going to be assessed a higher because –

MR. MARTINEZ: They stay the same. The uses are pretty stays the same.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay. Then two other questions. So if this is successful, and knowing that adjacent non-residential property values could potentially go up based on the market value rate, will you guys be extending or will you be asking for a longer protest period, because you may get more protests coming in the door? Because there's a window just for protest, isn't there?

MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, there is. We've got to handle all protests within certain days because we need to know what the values are so that we can set the tax rates. But I would venture to say that if we have the sales information – if we have the sales information I will be able to value the property correctly in the first place so that there will probably be less protests.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay. And then if this is successful is there any chances of Santa Fe County specifically, but local governments, employees losing their jobs because we don't really need them out there assessing properties anymore?

MR. MARTINEZ: Well, we still have to assess the properties, because you remember what I said, just because we know the sale of that subject property doesn't mean that we put that value on that sale. We've got to put all the values, all the sales that have happened during the calendar year, we have to look at them. We use our techniques, our appraisal techniques to come up with what we feel an acre of commercial property or whatever, we are the ones that come up with the square footage value on that based on all the sales, not just one.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you for your time, Assessor.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Any further discussion? There's a motion and a second for approval of Resolution No. 2013-99.

The motion passed by majority [4-1] voice vote with Commissioner Anaya voting nay.

XIV. D. 5. Resolution No. 2013-100, a Resolution Supporting County Correctional Facility Gross Receipts Tax. NMAC Priority

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you very much, Madam Chair. This again comes from the Association of Counties. It comes from the detention administrators and the Commissioners Affiliates and it's enabling legislation. It would not require us to increase taxes.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, I thought I understood this issue when I heard it in Angel Fire, but a comment that was made at

the last meeting made me a little confused, so I have a question. Currently, as I understood it, people that are incarcerated that were on Medicaid, let's say for example, that have their meds would lose benefits because of that incarceration and as a result this will create a mechanism for payment. Or – refresh my memory.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay, so, Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, that would be the resolution before this. The resolution before this is suspending Medicaid – asking the State Human Services Department to suspend Medicaid benefits in lieu of termination when someone's incarcerated. That would handle the Medicaid. This would just offer another source of income to cover medical expenses, physicians, hospitals and pharmacy when somebody is in our jail, our detention center, or when they leave.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So, Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, this is enabling legislation that would require – if it would pass – would require a local option?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I don't know that it would require a vote from the public. It would require us to pass something. Katherine, do you know?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioners, I believe it would be a Board action, not be referendum.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Right.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So, Madam Chair, on that, would we be amenable as a Board to requesting the local option piece, because that's consistent with what I've been saying as a Commissioner since I've been on the Commission?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Well, as a Board, we might, because of the hold-harmless repeal from the last session, that offered us the ability to make up that money. And if we find – some counties have found that their jail budget is exceeding the amount of money they have coming in. We have a source of income; it's not quite flat yet, but we do have some sources of income now coming into our detention center. But other counties don't have that and they might jump at this before we would.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So I guess what I'm saying is that if we could have language in the resolution that supports this but a local option I can vote for it today, but if it merely provides latitude for Commissioners to, if passed, pass the tax onto the tax payer without a local option then I couldn't support it.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Katherine.

MS. MILLER: Commissioner Anaya, what it is is actually to provide counties – the resolution would be supporting legislation that would provide counties an additional one-eighth for the Correctional GRT. So we currently have an eighth in place and this would give another eight. It would give the ability for counties to put that in place, so it would be a local option. They would not put it in place. They're saying they're giving an increment, that option to the local government to have that increment if you choose to put it in place.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Ms. Miller, what I'm specifically getting at is would it be a local option for referendum? Or a local option for this governing body to say go ahead and add the one-eighth? What I'm advocating from my perspective, based on what I've done on this bench is that any increments of tax that we would go to the voters for for their vote, not implement it on our own without their feedback as the electorate.

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioners, you could have that option yourselves. They tend not to put the legislation that way anymore. It used to be most of the

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

local option GRTs required referendum. I've noticed that over the years they have taken that component out and left it up to the local governing bodies as to whether they want to do a referendum or not.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, I guess Commissioner Stefanics and colleagues, would you accept the resolution but amending that if it would pass that we would go to the electorate, go to the citizens for a vote prior to enacting it?

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya, Commissioner Chavez had the floor, so I want to allow him to ask his question.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No, all wait. Go ahead. I had nothing right now, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Yes, I was answering Commissioner Anaya's question. I don't think, Commissioner Anaya, this resolution is specific enough to answer your question whether it was asking that it go to the voters. If you're asking us as a body, I can tell you that I think we've had trouble in the past passing any extra taxes and that people tend to slough off the detention population. So we might have trouble, even if we decided that we wanted to take it to the voters, we might have trouble getting something passed, just like we tried with the rural fire tax and that had to come back twice. But I'm not opposed to taking a tax to the voters, and I don't think the resolution was specific to that. Because I think I am presenting to you everything I had in writing from the Association of Counties and I know they didn't come today because they came last time. So, sorry. I don't know.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, I would move for approval with an amendment which I would call friendly that calls for a referendum is the statute passes.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, could you make that, instead of an amendment, could you make that a recommendation? Because then it wouldn't be changing their resolution; it would be sending back a recommendation to the Association?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: A recommendation from the Commission?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Yes, I'm fine with that.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay, I could second that.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay, there's a motion and a second for approval of Resolution No. 2013-100, with a recommendation to send back to the New Mexico Association of Counties that Santa Fe County would ask for a referendum in the case of this tax.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, could I ask a question of

Commissioner Stefanics on item 4 that we passed?

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, on the Medicaid, the resolution supporting the reinstatement, I guess would be the best way to say it, of Medicaid benefits. Are we currently mandated by law to pay for those meds? So we're mandated to pay for the meds, and it's a highly excessive cost? Is that the bottom line?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair and Commissioner Anaya, we have the responsibility for those incarcerated for their medical status while they are in our jurisdiction and for five days afterwards if they require medications. And what's happening is in the state Medicaid program and the federal Medicaid program has not worked with NACo, the National Association of Counties on this issue, even though we've asked them through our US Senate offices to change the regulations. They've indicated that they don't believe they can without changing the law.

When we have talked they said your state could go ahead and change the population that's covered. Whether our state would agree with that I don't know, but right now we could be covering individuals who are incarcerated until they are adjudicated by Medicaid, if they would change the rules. Once they are found guilty and sentenced then they're in an institution and the federal rules say they cannot be under Medicaid. Even the new Affordable Care Act does not allow the institutionalized to be covered by Medicaid. So we are losing money.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Commissioner Stefanics.

[The Commission recessed from 4:30 to 4:45.]

XII. C. Request Appointment of Five Members to the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee (CIAC) [Continued from page 39.]

CHAIR HOLIAN: I would like to call the regular meeting of the Board of County Commissioners back to order. It is 4:45. We will now go back to the item that we had put off voting on, XII. C. Are there any further questions for Adam or comments or discussion? I believe we have a motion and a second for approval of the five members that are listed in our packet to the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee. Yes, Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: There were résumés and letters of intent that were distributed earlier that were not in our packet. *[Exhibit 1]*

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes. Any further discussion or comment? Seeing none, we have a motion and a second.

The motion passed by majority [4-1] voice vote with Commissioner Mayfield voting no.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: We didn't have our résumés timely for the

packet. That's why I'm voting no.

XI. B. Commissioner Issues and Comments

CHAIR HOLIAN: These are non-action items by Commission district such as constituent concerns, Commissioner recognitions, requests for updates or future presentations. Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Madam Chair. First of all, I think I would like to thank – notice I say I think I would like to – thank Commissioner Mayfield for putting together a team, a chefs team, a cooking team, for St. Elizabeth's Shelter. Four restaurants donated their time as chef-coaches and food, and Commissioner Mayfield had hooked up a team with Chef Roland Ricter of Joe's Dining, and Commissioner Mayfield, if somebody hadn't shown up you wouldn't have asked me and I had a great time, and we ended up with a blue ribbon and I'll leave that to you to tell everybody about. But thank you for organizing this; it went to a great cause. And that's all I have, Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thanks, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Well, just to follow up on that. Madam Chair, it was Senator Phil Griego who organized all the team. So I just want to say Senator Phil Griego and Commissioner Liz Stefanics worked hand in hand preparing a lot of food, so it was a great endeavor, it really was. There were many teams that participated for a very worthy event. On this note, it was St. Elizabeth's Shelter. It was a Hungry Mouth Festival. It was the first annual Hungry Mouth Festival. It was done there at the railyards. It was phenomenal and I just want to also thank our chef Roland Ricter and also his wife Sheila that own Joe's Dining. It's a phenomenal diner.

We competed against some other great chefs and I just want to give them all recognition also is I can, and let me just go through this book really quick. There was Ahmed Obo from Jambo Café who led a great team, and I think his team was a group of non-profits throughout the community. There was a Chef Martin Rios and I believe Martin Rios led a team of public safety officials and representatives throughout our community, the City and I believe first responder providers throughout the community, and also Chef Michelle Roetzer, and she is the SFCC culinary arts program, Santa Fe School of Cooking chef, and also Marsha Walsh, with the Zia Diner, I think, the culinary expert of all the great beverages that were provided, and there were some pretty phenomenal beverages from what I heard.

So with that, St. Elizabeth's Shelter, I'll just also give a plug to the organizing party, Rosario Gonzales did a phenomenal job. I think they're out there providing phenomenal services for the community, for families in need, also for individuals that might be a little down on their luck and they're really providing a great service to our community and they were just needing to do a little bit of fundraising. And it was a great event. Roland and Sheila had the luck of the draw with individuals who didn't have too much culinary experience except for Commissioner Stefanics and Patrick, our State Treasurer, he did a really good job and he had come great culinary experience. Excuse me, I said our State Treasurer – our County Treasurer. And we also had, with our team we had our State Auditor Hector Balderas was on our team. We had Linda Siegle, one of our elected from the Santa Fe Community

College Board, my wife, Lynette Mayfield helped us and also Senator Griego's wife helped us. Who else was with us, Commissioner Stefanics? We had a chef also from the culinary school, William, who was a participant who needed the help of St. Elizabeth's, so he did a really good job too. And there were a lot of other individuals that provided a lot.

So we did pretty good, and ironically, we won the vegetable entrée, so that was pretty good.

CHAIR HOLIAN: And what was the vegetable entrée?

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Our vegetable entrée was an eggplant. As a matter of fact, I'll tell you right here. Here was our menu. I've got to read it because it was pretty complicated. So our entrée was an eggplant roulade and it was eggplant slices filled with baby greens of bok choy, mizuna, Russian kale smothered in a red chile hollandaise. And it was an eggplant from Matt Romero farms and the greens were from Camino de Paz. So Chef Roland, he uses all locally grown produce and meats from the region or from Colorado and he just has a really good restaurant, really good food. I'd recommend the restaurant to anybody. But thanks. It was a very rewarding experience. I recommend participating with our local non-profits to anybody.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Anything further?

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: No, no. It was great. And I just want to thank our public roads crews again. They're out there really working hard and they're doing a lot of work and they're working around the clock for everybody out there in the district and they have done a lot of work and they've just been getting hit with storm after storm over the last few weeks and I just hope that we don't get hit with another storm tonight. It doesn't look like it. But they're doing a good job. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield, you'll be interested to learn that the winter is supposed to be warm and dry.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Well, I don't know about that one but okay. We need the snowpack though.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I have nothing, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, I personally want to thank Commissioner Stefanics for helping me out on that cooking endeavor. She's probably a far better cook than I'll ever be. But I'm very supportive of the shelter and was glad to do that. It was successful.

I had an opportunity to meet with David Griscom. I don't know if he's here still, but I did want to make a few comments. I know that they're working through the draft on the economic development plan for the County. And I know Commissioner Mayfield had brought up some resources that about a million dollars of resources, Commissioner, that were tied to initially I guess the REDI-Net and internet access that we need to discuss about expenditure and I just wanted to state that in the conversation that I had with Mr. Griscom that it's my perspective that we should look at filling gaps of high-speed internet service throughout the county wherever those might be. Mr. Griscom is working to figure out where those areas might be. He noted there's some in the north and some throughout the county, including the central and southern part of the county as well.

I also noted to him that there's a lot of discussion in the county and specifically southern Santa Fe County associated with regional water and regional water coordination in the area and that as we work through our land use plan that we're going to be – there he is. Dave, why don't you come on up. That we're going to be dealing with mixed-use options for development and want to discuss economic development options as well in that segment of the county. I noted and Mr. Griscom has experienced in wind energy and also working with industrial needs in the southern sector. Another thing I asked him to insert in the plan for planning for the County is studies and some background on a Community College expansion to cover that East Mountain area and working with stakeholders in the region.

So, Mr. Griscom, is there anything you might add? The one thing I was probably not as prepared to speak to was the resources for possible expansion for internet service. Could you elaborate on that, and could you just give the Commission and the public listening and watching a snapshot of kind of what we're doing and what short-term plans are for our economic development plan? I also talked to Mr. Griscom about going to various regional entities to solicit feedback. He's already met with the Town of Edgewood and he's going to meet with some of the other entities in the region. But why don't you go ahead and just give us a snapshot, Mr. Griscom?

DAVID GRISCOM (Economic Development): Madam Chair, Commissioners, Commissioner Anaya, I appreciated your feedback and your comments for the plan, all of which have been incorporated now into the ongoing writing that we're doing as we speak. Really briefly, the timeframe for the plan is to hopefully put it out for public comment beginning October 9th for a two-week period, and we'll post it on the Santa Fe County website, and once those comments have been received and incorporated, present the plan to the BCC on October 29th – is the current timeframe. And then in December begin the process of either a resolution or ordinance amendment or ordinance.

I have spoken with Mr. John Abrams who is in the Edgewood Town Council about doing a Community College in that part of the county and gotten some feedback from him and gotten some information from him. It does seem like the next step to do a Community College, whether it's a branch college of Santa Fe Community College or CNM or UNM, that we need to do some kind of needs assessment, some kind of feasibility study to determine just exactly what the need is, what the population base is, what the age is, and so on and so forth. So we left it at that, that that would be the next step and I did put some language in the plan to that effect. I've also put some language that speaks to the need for industrial park and commercial areas for economic development on a section of land west of Highway 41, and also put in some language around wind energy development and working with transmission developers to expand the capacity of existing transmission lines.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So I appreciate that, Mr. Griscom, and just for the public's edification, it's a plan that we're implementing that we haven't done and that we're going to use as a springboard that talks to more specific economic development initiatives in and around the city and then also talks about options throughout the county, is my understanding.

The only other comment I'd make is, is Ms. Ellis-Green here? Penny, if you could come forward. One of the things relative to commercial and our land use plan was not strictly having just a commercial zone per se but having areas throughout the county but in particular

we've had discussions in southern Santa Fe County about mixed-use corridors, not just on one side of say, 41, but on both sides of 41 and Route 66 and 344 – kind of some of those hub areas in the region. Are we still accommodating that same thought process that we wouldn't have outright commercial per se but have mixed-use zoning where there's a range of things that could be considered without the complete, arduous zoning process that goes on right now?

MS. ELLIS-GREEN: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, there's several ways in the new code to be able to do commercial, rather than just commercial districts. One of them would be mixed-use districts that would have a mixture of residential and non-residential. Also, for the first time we're going to have a use table, and in that use table, even for the rural or the residential districts there will be some non-residential uses allowed within the residential district. And also, we've spoken before, for the south, or actually it's countywide, but specifically you brought it up for the southern part of the county, we have the rural commercial overlay districts, which can allow commercial uses within a rural area. So there will be multiple ways, rather than right now, doing a master plan, a development plan, coming to three public hearings to do that in order to change your zoning. The zoning that we're looking at would allow commercial uses.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Ellis-Green, and Mr. Griscom, I appreciate very much your work and your efforts and your desire to seek input and feedback from all of us, and I know you've spoken with my fellow Commissioners, but I think one thing that we kept talking about was that there are different segments of the county that have different desires and needs and we respect those individual communities that have done community plans, that have their own identified interest, but in those areas and the southern part of Santa Fe County is one of those areas, there is a desire for an expansion of development and specifically not just residential but commercial options. So we have varying degrees of needs but I appreciate and commend you that you're respecting those needs throughout the county and we're not developing a strategy that's a one-size fits all. So do you have any closing thoughts?

MR. GRISCOM: Yes. I would say that we try to look at the four growth management areas in the county and we actually isolated each area and did a SWOT analysis of each area to determine what the specific needs were in each area. So this is by no means a plan that's generic across the county. We are reflecting the local elements within that insomuch as we can.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Mr. Griscom.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Stefanics, you had a comment?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Yes, and it's related to this. I think if we're working on an economic development plan, after our earlier conversations I think you have to identify water needs. We know broadband of course or any kind of connection, but I think water needs. Because we have certain areas of the county that do not have systematic water. We have some areas that are dry and in order for there to be any kind of development assisting ability, that's one of the issues. Thanks.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. So, David, I did also have the opportunity to meet with you to talk about options for economic development and if you could touch on, just briefly, some of the things that we talked about relative to economic development and how that might benefit our cottage industry, our light manufacturing, handmade products that are produced locally. It's sort of maybe not the higher level of economic development but I think it's a big base. It's a big part of our economic base, so if you could touch on that just a minutes and give us some thoughts on what you're thinking in that area.

MR. GRISCOM: Sure. And to that effect I've actually drafted a new resolution per your request, Commissioner. We're calling it the Arts, Culture and Cultural Tourism –ACCT Resolution, rather than the ACE, which is the old resolution. And the intent of this resolution is to pick up where the ACE resolution left off back in 2010 and to address specific arts, culture and cultural tourism projects, programs, funding means to provide a marketplace for cottage industries, a marketplace for artists that aren't typically in the marketing plan of the city and/or the county. We did a focus group – we did an arts and culture focus group and some of the very specific feedback we got was that there are artists living in the county that are left out of the fold. They're not part of the Canyon Road process and discussion and marketplace.

And so we want to make sure that those artists have the means to access the market, and that would be one of the conversations within this new ACCT Resolution.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Good, good. Well, I appreciate that and I want to thank Commissioner Anaya for broaching this question and delving into this area because it's something that's going to need a lot of attention. We talked earlier, we recognized earlier the City of Santa Fe business incubator and we talked, a brief discussion about looking at ways to expand that effort again to support light manufacturing and small business. So I think the support is there, the interest is there. Certainly we have a wealth of talent in our community, small businesses, the entrepreneurs, and so I guess those are the areas that I'm going to be interested in, along with the other areas that you'll be working on. So thank you for your work and for working that angle as well.

MR. GRISCOM: And one comment on the Santa Fe business incubator, one of the reasons why they were chosen nationwide as one of the top incubators in the country is that 84 to 86 percent of the companies that they incubate stay in the county and 80 to 85 percent of those companies are still in business within five years of them leaving the business incubator, which is a pretty remarkable statistic. So we really do have a valuable asset on our hands.

And one other comment to Commissioners, Commissioner Anaya's broadband, I am planning on resurrecting the Santa Fe Regional Telecommunications Coalition. We have an existing MOA with the Community College, the City, IAIA, and I think it's the Indian School to explore how we can do regional broadband planning. That was the original intent of this MOA, and to explore how we can fill those gaps.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield, and then Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, thank you, Mr. Griscom, thank you. On your comments you just stated – the 16 percent that are leaving our county from the incubator, have you all looked at why they're leaving? Is it because of maybe tax incentives? For infrastructure? Are we trying to figure out why they're leaving this county?

MR. GRISCOM: They may not necessarily be leaving this county. They may be going out of business. Some could be leaving the county but some are just out of business after five years. But 85 percent are still in business in the county after five years. One of the things that I've discussed with Marie Longserre at the business incubator was this notion of doing an outreach program to the existing businesses to determine what their needs are, to make them aware of the County as an available asset to them. At the moment it's really a one-way communication and I want to make it a two-way communication. So maybe do a yearly survey and in that survey we determine where their needs are.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And Madam Chair, Mr. Griscom, are we marketing our – and I know we spoke about this or Mr. Erik – and I'm sorry. I forget you gentlemen's last names all the time, so I apologize. So take shots at my last name any time you want. Are we like marketing our business park to them? I know we've talked about our business park out there. These new startup companies that we know are saying, hey, look. We've got great facilities down the street from you?

MR. GRISCOM: Madam Chair, Commissioner, we are not marketing our business park to them but that is certainly well within our means to do and we will do it.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Again, maybe this Commission, maybe through LEDA, entice them to stay here and maybe some other opportunities, however we can do that. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Commissioner. I'm sure we'll have much more detailed discussions on this in the early October meeting. Is that correct? Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, I appreciate you indulging me on this. I know we've taken a little time, but it's October 9th that we're working through a draft, so I think I just wanted to let the public know again that we're on this process and we're on a forward track, and that it's a work in process and even after we adopt it we're going to always have room for expansion and improvement. And so that's why I brought it up. So I appreciate the work and the effort.

Ms. Miller, I think it would be helpful – we've got to the point where we have brief snapshots and reports from different departments and I think having a land use synopsis with economic development, maybe the two or one right after the other is going to be helpful, because I can envision us having more and more need for where are we at? Quick updates on progress. So I'm going to request that we go and have that as an inclusive part of the reporting that we're getting in our packets, just summarized updates from land use as well as economic development. So thank you, Madam Chair and thank you, Mr. Griscom. Thank you, Penny and thank you, Katherine.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay, I guess it's my turn. At our last meeting I just want to note that we voted on an amendment to the contract for our County Manager, Katherine Miller. She wasn't there at the time so I want to take this opportunity to really thank you, Katherine, for your stellar performance. Sometimes I think that you have the hardest job in the entire state, and there are some days that you feel like you have the hardest job in the entire state as well. But you have really handled this difficult job with grace and hard work and professionalism, and above all, the highest ethical standards. And one thing that I absolutely know for sure and that I can say unequivocally, is that you put the interests of the

people of Santa Fe County above all else in your priorities. And so I'm thanking you not only on my behalf but I'm also thanking you on behalf of all the people of Santa Fe County. They are very, very lucky that you are our County Manager. Thank you.

The other thing that I wanted to bring up is that this September 28th is the twentieth anniversary of National Public Lands Day, and for those of you who want to give back to your community, in honor of this day there is going to be an improvement project going on at the Big Tesuque Trail. It's going to be from 9:00 am to 2:00 pm and if anybody's interested in going they can RSVP with Michael Bain and I have the email address on that and I am going to contact Kristine to hopefully put out a press release so that people out in our community know about this event. I think that a lot of people enjoy doing trail work so I just want to encourage anybody who wants to give back to the community that this is a good way of doing so.

The other thing is that I want to recognize that Mariel Nanasi of New Energy Economy is in our audience and I want to recognize that New Energy Economy got a Pinon Award from the Santa Fe Community Foundation for being visionary, for being the most visionary organization in our community. So congratulations to New Energy Economy and to Mariel. And that's all that I have. Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, how could Ms. Miller have a hard time dealing with us? We're like the easiest group of Commissioners to get along with in the entire state?

CHAIR HOLIAN: You always had a good sense of humor, didn't you, Commissioner Anaya?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Just two brief things I forgot. David Griscom and I attended the North Central Economic Development District this last week and one of the things I wanted to let you know – I'm not sure if everybody here knew this but I'm sure the other Commissioners who sat on this knew it. They develop their own ICIP list. So one of the things that the Mayor of Las Vegas has started talking about is a waterline between communities for times that communities are desperate for water. So I was able to reinforce that with some of the waterlines we might have wanted to take down into southern Santa Fe County so that they did put it on the list.

The other issue is I forgot to tell everybody that back in July the new president of NACo did appoint me to the national board for one year. So I'll have the opportunity to not be here some times, and at those board meetings.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Congratulations.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, congratulations. Or sympathy.

XV. STAFF ITEMS

A. Growth Management

1. Review and Discussion of the Galisteo Property Redevelopment RFP

STEVEN BRUGGER (Affordable Housing): Thank you, Madam Chair, Commissioners. I'll explain the staff report that you have in front of you by reviewing six basic points, just a history of how we got here, briefly. Second, who can respond to this developer request for proposals. Three, what we're asking for in this RFP. Four, how we evaluate proposals. Five, what gets presented to you for approval, and then six, a timeline. I will try to move this along. And I would stand, on behalf of all of us who participated on this for questions, feedback, comments that you have.

Last month, on the history, a joint session of the BCC and Housing Authority which authorized creation of a request for proposals for mixed-income or all affordable residential housing development on the County-owned Galisteo Road site. It's in the city limits, in between Zia and Rodeo. Right now, Public Works facilities are there but they would soon be off of the site. We were asked to bring back the main elements of the request for proposals back to you for review before it goes out so that you would have a chance to review what is being asked for and how things would be prioritized.

Since that time staff from the Growth Management Planning Division, Public Works, Legal and Procurement reviewed the summary of major points, which is in your packet. All of this would be incorporated into the standard boilerplate request for proposals that the Procurement Division has worked up, but we thought it was most relevant to bring the major points to you in a memo form. This request for proposal review here, being brought to the BCC, it was not brought to the Housing Authority Board. That was intentional. The thought from staff was that it's quite possible that developers who may respond to this may contact the Housing Authority in order to participate financially otherwise in the project and it was important, we thought, if the Housing Authority does participate in a response in some fashion to separate the request for proposal process, the creation process from the response and the evaluation of response.

Point number two: Who can respond to this RFP? It could be a for-profit company or partnership, a non-profit partnership or company, they could include, the way that we're thinking, the Housing Authority. When this was first discussed in a feasibility study about five years ago it was mentioned in that report that funds that the Housing Authority had could be used for this project and that the potential still exists. And we may see a developer possibly approach the Housing Authority on this response to the proposal.

Point number three: What we're asking for. We're asking for residential development, left it open-ended. It could be home ownership. It could be rental. It could be a combination of both. We're asking for affordable housing in excess of the City's inclusionary zoning ordinance which are 15 percent for rental, 20 percent for ownership. We're asking for senior housing and an intentional design that addresses the need for senior and households with disabilities, design in the units, design in the development. We're asking for a green development that shows sustainable development practices. We're asking for not just a program with affordable units and senior units but a design which fits into the neighborhood context. It is – you may know that on this site the current zoning is R-1. What is around the site is five units per acre, R-5, seven units per acre, R-7, 21 units per acre. So how this is designed in a way which addresses neighborhood concerns and fits into the neighborhood would be important to us and what we're asking for.

How we evaluate proposals, point number four, it's proposed that an internal team, five staff people, from Planning, Public Works and Legal under the leadership of the Procurement Division Director, Bill Taylor, would be recommending or making a recommendation to the BCC on the selected development team. What we would be looking for as far as evaluation criteria: Number one, experience and qualifications of the proposer and the development team, having done a mixed-income project with an affordable component. Have they done it on an infill site surrounded by residential development? Has the team worked together before? Pulling off a project as you know requires coordination of a lot of different disciplines and it's important that they've worked together before.

The second criterion, we have the ability of the developer to secure construction and permanent financing. If it's for the rental component both would be required – construction and permanent – and if it's a home ownership component, the emphasis is on the construction component, but we're asking proponents to identify the sources of financing, when they would be requesting that to pull off an affordable housing project. There's a lot of different layers of subsidy which it takes to make the project financially feasible. We'd want to know what is being proposed. We'd like to know how much developer equity, a general idea, is going to be contributed to the project, that the proponent has some skin in the game, if there is a subsidy being requested, be it land donation, partial land donation, sale, lease, not to mention possible – if they're asking for any kind of a contribution from the Housing Authority. We want to know what that is. What is being received in return for that, not just as s good business practice but to make sure that anything that we do in the disposition of this land is in compliance with the Affordable Housing Act, which is quite specific about what you're supposed to get in return in exchange for a subsidy that's granted.

We're asking for, as another evaluation criterion, evidence that the project would have compatibility of design and the program would have some compatibility with the neighborhood. We'd like some general idea of proposed development program density, site plan, elevations, building heights, how that would fit. We'd like to know what kind of a rezoning request that we would need to make. And again, it may be an open legal question as far as whether or not the County has to go through the City development review process. The assumption at this point is that that's an open question. We would follow the process and toward that end we'd want to know what zoning request and general plan amendment request we'd need to make in order to implement the proposed project.

We'd want to know, again as an evaluation criterion, what is the provision of affordable housing in excess of the City inclusionary zoning requirements, in the provision of senior housing, and the incorporation of universal design features, which may include seniors and households with disabilities. We're going to want to know how they will deal with the City Green Building Code and the Mortgage Finance Authority about sustainable development practices.

Point number five: What gets presented to this Commission for approval, it's our expectation that we would recommend a selection of a development team that scores the highest in the criteria and that we bring forward an option to purchase for a long-term lease even after such an agreement was presented it would take the developer quite a bit of time to secure financing and secure development approvals and they wouldn't want to commit to closing on the purchase of a property until they received those approvals and that financing.

Point number six: Timeline, the timeline is rather ambitious we have it come back to this body two months from now. We are trying to get this done quick enough so that if folks wanted to apply for low-income housing tax credits proposals of which would due by the end of January they'd have a decent shot to do that.

With that, I would stand for any questions and comments that you may have.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you very much, Steve. I think personally that the RFP is very well written. I read it over pretty thoroughly. I think it's specific and yet it gives enough flexibility for creativity so I thank you for all your hard work in putting that together. And, before we get started with the questions, I just want to ask Steve, this item does not seem to specifically be noticed for action, but can we vote tonight to authorize the release of the RFP?

MR. ROSS: Madam Chair, it says review and discussion. So I don't think –

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay, then we will leave it to discussion. Thank you, Steve. Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Brugger and staff I think there's a lot of the key essential components that's necessary in an RFP. One concept that I think warrants maybe a little more thought in addition to the RFP is that we know and you and I and others that have done affordable housing projects that having the additional resources, the land is one option, and then having additional subsidy that may go into the project is going to be huge factor that is going to weigh into the success of this potential project.

What I would put to the staff and the Commission for consideration is that the RFP doesn't necessarily have to be something that we would issue to an entity by themselves to deliver the project but rather a combined "collaborative effort" where there are key components that are carried by that development team that might be selected from the outside and that our internal team might carry a part of that project delivery. From experience dealing with the Tax Credit program in particular, Home Program and other funding sources, the more collaborative a project is between governmental entities and the private sector the more favorably it's usually looked upon for grant awards and like.

So I don't see it necessarily as us handing over, if you will, the project to a company or a team. I actually see us hiring team members or a development structure that will complement our work as a public/private partnership through project completion.

Similar to what you did, Mr. Brugger at Tierra Contenta. You had property. You had project specific needs but you never detached yourself from the proposal of an apartment project or a tax credit or single-family or otherwise. So could you provide your thoughts and let me know what your thoughts are on that. Because I think we'd probably be more successful in that type of collaborative application for funding than we would turning loose of the projects of any particular team of people to turnkey as backup project.

MR. BRUGGER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, then with that then what in the next iteration we would probably lay out kind of what we would intent our role to be, the resources that we would bring, the collaboration/the partnership that we would expect to see and then in turn ask for – be specific in terms of what kind of role we would want our partners to play. Is that essential what –

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Yeah, Madam Chair, Mr. Brugger, I think that when you're thinking about competing for dollars those entities that are able to show that collaborative effort and that collaborative resources to the table are typically those that get funded. I don't think that we necessarily have to put a specific dollar amount. What I think we could convey, and I think we should anyway regardless of what the direction is of the Commission, we should convey that we do have resources that we're willing to commit when we talk about requirements beyond the inclusionary zoning requirements. I think we do need to articulate someway – what does that mean because if you're doing financial analysis and a per forma on an apartment or a single-family project you're going to need to know what might I have to make this more a property that serves more constituents or a lower-income stream in fairness to anybody that might be doing a proposal.

So those are my thoughts, Madam Chair, Mr. Brugger.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. Steve, in the submittal requireme3nts that will go out to interested parties whether it's one developer or a development team you have requirements that you've laid out and many of them make sense. Many of them I think are requirements that I think will help sell the project and put it in a positive light. In section F, the submittal requirements talk about complying with some of the City's in the case green building code. And I'm wondering if it would advantageous for us to encourage them to also comply with the City's early neighborhood notification because that's at the front end and I think if we, the County, or the developer are going to be proposing a development on that site even though we own the site a lot of the requirements are suggesting that we be sensitive to the existing neighborhood, that we be compatible with the existing neighborhood and I think the early notification will help us do that. Do you think it will be a stretch to be specific and add that in the language or do we want that developer and that team to figure it out for themselves?

MR. BRUGGER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, so would you like to add that explicitly in here? What we – even early in the process of creating this we touched base with City staff including the land use director over at the City who encouraged us to not just follow the ENN requirements which we have a copy of but to do a pre-ENN meeting that once this is about to go out that we meet with the neighborhood. And we're prepared to that, just to let them know that this is what we're asking for and we'll see what we get back. So even before entering into the formal ENN process we would do a pre-ENN meeting.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay, I think that would go a long way with the existing neighborhood and so if you're willing to do that and add that to the submittal requirement, I think that would work well. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield and then Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair and Mr. Brugger. As far as the evaluation criteria I thought we in our RFP process we had some points given for local preference.

MR. BRUGGER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, they're not listed here but in the boilerplate template a draft of which we have we would keep those

preferences, local preference, veterans preference, local business preference they would be part of this. So it would be here.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: So it would be a higher total points afforded or explain that to me because this is what's going to be let out; right? I mean we're going to be asked to potentially vote on this tonight; right?

MR. BRUGGER: It is and if I say something in correct, Bill –

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: I know we're not voting but I mean this says 100 points and then are you going to add more points later or what?

MR. BRUGGER: The way that we usually do it, if I understand correctly, is that in addition to these points there's 5 percent in addition.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Bill, would you like to add?

BILL TAYLOR (Purchasing Director): Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, that's correct. The 100 points is the standard points they'll get. If there's any preference, local preference, in the RFP they'll get an additional 5 points added the 100 points.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And then we just passed the veterans' preference. Would that – is just for employment on that resolution, Commissioner, so say if it was a veterans contracting group that wasn't part of that resolution?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I don't think we captured that in this resolution. It's really just for HR and their hiring practices. I think if you wanted to expand the language I think that would be a different discussion.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay, and this as far as – Mr. Taylor, maybe you want to stay up here I don't know if you can answer this or somebody else – but on the procurement division, I want to go back one on the RFP, if I'm looking at the components for the Request for Proposal, RFP, purpose of the RFP and I'm on the very last paragraph, last sentence, the development must be done with a site plan and architectural design that is reasonably compatible with the adjacent land use and then on the scope of work, on complying with the City, you know, pretty much what the City entity and their land use requirements and everything else and then I'm looking at the procurement division and respecting everybody who is going to be evaluating this process. We have an in-house architect. Why, and I'm going to ask the question, why isn't our in-house architect looking at this or on this – as far as being on the management team to overlook this procurement process? Wouldn't that be a benefit?

MR. TAYLOR: Madam Chair and Commissioner Mayfield, the project team has not been established by procurement. Our involvement would be to put together a committee that would review the RFP and not development project development teams.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Well, if you're looking at an RFP and we're asking that the contractors hire an architect and kind of work in conjunction with this RFP and if we're going to do the evaluation or the project team is going to do the evaluation of the RFP and Santa Fe County has an in-house architect, wouldn't that be a benefit for us to have our in-house architect as part of the review team?

MR. BRUGGER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, I agree there would be a benefit. We have planners in here, public works, affordable housing we can have the in-house architect. That's an excellent idea.

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay, that's pretty much all I have right now, Madam Chair. Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Madam Chair. This project is not a new concept for the neighborhood, in fact, when Commissioner Holian and I were elected this was a hot topic on the table and we individually had meetings with the neighborhood so they are not going to be surprised. They might be more organized in discussing it but they're not going to be surprised. And they had some very particular desires that they wanted to see in the project as well so I think they'll come forward with some of their own ideas.

Thank you, that's all.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thanks for coming back to me. And, again, on the RFP it will also be inclusive of the resolutions that we've passed on this bench, correct? So, I mean we have a resolution requiring you know, our water catchment, our solar – our renewable policies so any development would be inclusive of all of our requirement; correct?

MR. BRUGGER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, yes, it would be inclusive.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you. That's all I have, Madam Chair. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Brugger and everybody.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Steve. Will this be coming back to our next meeting then?

MR. BRUGGER: Madam Chair, yes it will.

CHAIR HOLIAN: For final action.

MR. BRUGGER: For final action.

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, we don't typically bring our RFPs for approval. If there's no objection they can just move forward with the RFP or we can bring it back again.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Under staff recommendation it says authorize the release of a request for proposal so I wondered if we were supposed to be voting on this.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: On this point. I think it's our role to give feedback and for the Manager to manager it. Though it's my position that we should be identifying any changes that we want today and then pass it back to her.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Are there any objections to that course of action? [None were raised] Okay. I think we don't have to bring it back to the next meeting. Thank you, Steve, and thank you to all of staff – Dave and Ron and all of the people who worked on this.

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/25/2013

XV. B. Public Works Department

1. Introduction and Possible Action on Resolution No. 2013-101, a Resolution Authorizing and Supporting an Infrastructure Capital Improvement Plan for Santa Fe County, to Be Submitted to the New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration and Associated Waiver of Requirements of Resolution 2013-26

CHAIR HOLIAN: So if we want to vote on this this evening we need to waive the requirement of Resolution 2013-26. Do I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So moved.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I understand that we are required to submit this to DFA prior to September 30th; is that correct?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioners, yes, it is.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Then I would move to waive the requirement.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.

CHAIR HOLIAN: We have a motion and second. All those in favor say, "aye."

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Aren't we having a discussion?

CHAIR HOLIAN: Oh, yes, discussion. Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, I did speak with Mr. Gutierrez and I appreciate everything that was done in the ICIP but there was some roll-up of some items and I did ask as I conveyed with my district needs that some stuff be broken out individually. I appreciate and I know we have great County from Mr. Garcia and others that do a phenomenal job down the street lobbying but I have no problem with saying that I would go over there and make my own efforts And, I ask that my community centers and my fire stations be broken out individually for solarization and I don't know how this Commission is going to vote – I guess they just did vote but I still would like to see individual projects listed and I know there's time still for some modification for individual projects to be broken out individually.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield, we haven't voted on the resolution. We voted to waive the requirements of 2013-26. Did you want to vote on that?

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay, thank you. I tend to forget about that resolution that we're supposed to change. Thank you. I'll vote yes on that.

The motion to waive the requirements of 2013-26 passed by unanimous 4-0- voice vote.
[Commissioner Anaya was not present]

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay, that means we can vote on this resolution this evening. Rudy?

RUDY GARCIA (Public Works): Madam Chair, Rudy Garcia with the Public Works Department. The handout [*Exhibit* 2] that Joseph Gutierrez is handing out is actually the most current updated CIP plan that the County has right now. As Commissioner Mayfield mentioned earlier, Commissioner Mayfield, if you look at the last page we actually did place several of your fire stations in your district and all of your community centers in your district as a separate category for community centers. Some of the ones that we did not actually place in there as a separate item for solar – El Rancho Community Center upgrades, we actually did include the word solar for those facilities so it has been included in there.

Once again, Commissioners, this is the latest CIP plan that the Public Works Department has. We are actually going to submit this and this will actually mirror what the State's ICIP plan is. Throughout the last month, month and a half the County I believe we roughly had about eight meetings throughout Santa Fe County. So this differs a little bit from what is in your packet due to some constituent requests and Commissioner requests. So the latest one if the one Joseph just handed out.

We will be submitting this by – we're going to try and get this out by Thursday or Friday by this week. It is due to the Department of Finance and Administration on September 30th at 3 p.m. If you have any corrections or deletions to this you can also give me or email whatever deletion or additions you have to this and we'll definitely add it on prior to Friday.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Rudy. First, Commissioner Stefanics then Commissioner Chavez.

Commissioner Stefanics: Okay, so Madam Chair, so I did request that the solar for the Eldorado Library and Senior/Community Center be put on because it's on one meter so it would be combined item and that also might pertain to the Aging GO Bond. So it might be on the ICIP but it might also affect that so that's why we wanted to get that on there. And even though the Aging projects had to go in already they sometimes sweep other aging projects into there.

So now on these fire stations, I noticed it looks like – well, you have some broken out and some together? I see a 1.3 million for fire station solar and then I see some individual ones. So are we going to standardize this? How are we going to do this?

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, at the last County Commission meeting there was a young lady sitting back there in the back, came and asked the County Commission to put some money, matching money aside for up to $500,000 to solarize all the County's fire stations. And speaking with Craig O'Hare who works in the Public Works in the energy area we came up with roughly a figure of $1.3 million to do all of the fire stations. Commissioner Mayfield, actually calls us yesterday or the day before and he would like to see the stations in his district separate from that category that would cover all of these – out of the 1.3 million that would cover all of those fire stations.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Well, on this point, it would be helpful – the reason I'm asking this is that it would be very helpful for us to understand what each fire station in our area would require because since we have some community funds, if we wanted to identify a project that we would float and not necessarily ask the legislature for it would be

helpful. The other point I've heard and I'm a little confused about this and I'd like to get some – I don't see Craig here but I know Erik is here.

MR. GARCIA: Craig is at home watching – he just texted me but he's not here.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay, but every now and then when I ask Craig, Okay, how much would it cost to do this fire station? And he looks at the energy bill and then he comes back and says it would cost this much but you don't have to do the entire project. You know you don't have to do the entire wattage and so that's another thing to consider as we move forward here is that we might – and I don't know, I need some advice here from somebody technical – is it bad to only do a partial solar project versus the entire one. And, Erik, is kind of coming up here. Erik?

ERIK AABOE (Manager's Office): Thanks, Madam Chair, Commissioners, no it's actually to your advantage to have a system that is slightly less or about equal to the amount of production at a given facility. So you do not want to build a system bigger than your need unless you are anticipating growing your need because of your electric car in your future but having a system that generates 20 percent of the energy that you use or 40 percent has about the same economics in terms of the benefit.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: So, Erik, since you're standing here, Madam Chair, what would be the least amount – is it kilowatts or wattage that we looking at?

MR. AABOE: Kilowatts.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay, what's the least percentage of kilowatts that we would want to take care of? Fifty percent, 40 percent –

MR. AABOE: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, at the Herrera Courthouse the entire roof is full – this is a system that you approved and we acquired – the entire roof of that building is full of photovoltaics and that is about 20 percent of that building, 15 to 20 percent of that building's energy consumption. It's a multi-story building so it's a little bit different. In general it's relatively – it's common for residential customers who invest in solar to be in the 50 to 80 percent range. And one of the issues that we need to be concerned about is some of our fire stations are volunteer stations that are not occupied a lot and so have a $30 a month electric bill. So you really do want to size the system. You just cannot cookie-cutter these and sprinkle them on various roofs. But you want to size it so it's a reasonable system so that there will be some bills that you're offsetting to make the economics work.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay, so, Madam Chair, Ms. Miller, it sounds like we need to do a little planning some kind of coordinated approach to fire stations. I mean based upon this volunteer concept, based upon the percentage that we want to get covered, based upon if we see some going out of service. I mean I had, Commissioner Anaya and I had a fire station that now is just storage for vehicles and if we had put solar on that it would be a major waste at this point. So I think we need some kind of coordinated approach and I'm not saying that we change the list or take anything off the list but if we're going to go forward with this we should probably come up with some guidelines.

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, I think we should look at the load on all of our buildings and I know that the fire station issue came up because

that was just the first one that was proposed. But we have buildings that we occupy and use a lot more electricity that probably be where we would be getting the most return rather than just saying, Oh, any fire station or community center that doesn't have much use. I think we'd want to look at all of our facilities and say which ones would be the most return on our investment.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Well, Madam Chair, Ms. Miller, I do understand though from Mariel's presentation at the last meeting is that the fire station might strike a chord with legislature legislative members as they're being asked to support something in the community. And I understand that but I'm just saying that I think we, Santa Fe County, should have an approach to this. That if we're not going to do 80 percent across the board that we come up with some formula so that if any of us start working on this either with the ICIP or with our community funds, that we have some guidelines. I've already talked to Craig about putting something in at Turquoise Trail Volunteer Fire Department and the amount of money might be significantly less than I was told if we're only going to do 40 or 50 percent and that might be really manageable for me to do. So this is why I'm trying to have this discussion. I'm not saying that we take it off the list but I'm saying that we probably need to get more organized because if it's a popular topic and more people start asking us we have the ICIP meeting at the Eldorado Senior Center and somebody said, You're doing a new addition to the library. You're doing a new addition, community room to the senior center, are you putting solar on it? And Craig said, no it wasn't planned. Well, we can plan it. We can put it on but let's also think about what process we want to do that with here at the County. That's all, thank you very much.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. I also had questions about fire stations. There was one in particular Rudy, the fire station in Agua Fria, we had talked to New Energy Economy about doing a solar project on there so I guess we might as well add that to the project list. We also talked about the Nancy Rodriguez Center as another possible facility for solar panels and if they're on the list then we have more options for funding because I know that we have New Energy that's willing to assist in those projects. If I could ask a question of New Energy Economy; what are your proposals to help supplement the County's budget for these solar projects? I was thinking that it was a 50/50 match; am I wrong?

CHAIR HOLIAN: Mariel, would you like to comment?

MARIEL NANASI: Thank you, Commissioner Holian and Commissioner Chavez, I cannot match $1.3 million half/half. New Energy Economy I need – I've had specific conversations with you as well as Commissioner Stefanics and to the extent that I am able to I want to continue the spread of solar in the County on all County buildings. This specific request that we came to the County board with was specifically for solar on fire stations but that doesn't exclude the possibility of doing other buildings in conjunction with New Energy Economy or in a different manner. This specific one was to hopefully have the County put in half and the State matched the other half starting with the fire station.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I apologize but I want to back up a minute. I want to be sure in that I'm clear in what New Energy Economy is providing as far as funding. So if you could just touch on that?

MS. NANASI: So for the Tesuque Fire Station I just paid the County, today, half of the cost of the full amount.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: That was a 50/50 shared in that case.

MS. NANASI: That was a 50/50 share.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So on smaller projects like that will that be your standard or is that just the exception?

MS. NANASI: I don't know if I can afford that, is all I'm saying. With your community liaison and I have talked about the Nancy Rodriguez Community Center and the fire station and agreed on the specific amount and it was not 50/50. But that's just because I couldn't afford more.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay, and that's what – now we're getting somewhere because that's what I was led to believe if that's not the case then I can adjust. But I think that as we look at these projects case by case I think we're going to have to determine if it's worth spending taxpayer dollars o those projects or not. And I have to take that into consideration as well.

MS. NANASI: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So I just wanted to raise that question because I wanted to be sure myself and I wanted to ask that question with everyone in the room at the same time. So that helps.

So now I want to ask a question of Rudy. There's one other project that I don't see on the list and it's probably my fault. We have a section of road, Calle Nopal that turns into Paseo Nopal. The City has done a portion of it but then there's a portion that's left undone and I think it's the Paseo Nopal portion that goes from the top of Calle Nopal to 599, that's the piece that is left out. Is that on the list?

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioners I talked with you liaison this week, I talked to Rita on it this morning and I talked to her yesterday about it and she said she was going to speak to you to see if you wanted it on the list on not – and I didn't get a message from her on that.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: We probably did not communicate about that. We didn't have time this morning to discuss that and I think I would like to have it on the list so that we have as many options as possible. And I know that we do have discretionary funds for the districts and I think we want to hold onto that possibility but not exclude the option of having it on this list as well. I think we need to – I mean, you could disagree with me. Is it better to have it on the list so we can have more options?

MR. GARCIA: Commissioner, yes, it is better to have it on the list.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So if you could add it to the list.

MR. GARCIA: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you.

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

CHAIR HOLIAN: Are there any further questions or discussion? Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair, gentlemen, thank you. I am going through the list, and I thank you for all your work, and maybe I've over looked them, Madam Chair, Mr. Garcia, but where are the low-water crossing throughout the district. Mr. Gomez made a phenomenal presentation to us and he identified many low water crossing in District 1 and I just wanted to make sure that we had them on the list knowing that it would need a lot of money to redo bridges on all of these low water crossings but in case we needed some improvements and I was able to talk with the local delegations from that area and secure some funding for various projects.

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, those projects are on the list. I cannot identify exactly where they area, but I can guarantee you that they are on the list. I did take that spreadsheet that Diego sent to myself in regards to those and had about seven or eight on there and notified the County that he had about three estimates for that and Joseph also made sure that those are on the list so I will double verify that those low water crossing in District 1 will be on the list and also County Road 55A, General Goodwin in on that list as well.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay, and we also now have County Road 84 on the list; especially, these few roads that just were recently washed out and I even – well, I can't speak for Commissioner Stefanics but even on that culvert that kind of just sank where they did that fill with the concrete on.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: He's worried I'm going to fall in.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: -- will speak with the engineer on that and there could be some potential long-term after effects so I just want to make sure we have it covered.

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, I'll check with Diego and make sure that they are on the list and I'll take down those same exact roads that we're talking about and make sure that they're on the list.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And, just again, all throughout the County if we could just have them identified in case we could meet with our local delegation members for short term fixes or just improvements, thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Garcia, could you guys sort through the list and give us just the list of the district projects for future reference. There are some projects that you have listed in here that I think we might be able to take off. A community room and space in Edgewood that is still needed is one that is on here and we're going to vacate a fire station that I want to utilize for youth programs and potentially we could use it for a community room space. So there's items like that. And then you have deleted Cerrillos Community Center and community space; help me understand why that says delete on it.

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, this plan has been in conception for the last three or five years and the idea for the Village of Cerrillos was to have

a community center there. Meanwhile, in the last year and a half as you're aware the County partnered up with the New Mexico State Parks Department and they have erected a community room/community building. And that is the room in the community building that the community utilizes now. So that's why it was deleted from the original ICIP plan.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So, Madam Chair, Mr. Garcia, because I know there's always other desires that the community has. Did the community convey that to you because that's not what they conveyed to me that they wouldn't want any more alternatives. Is that what the staff received information from the community on?

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner, no, the community did not convey that to me. I took it upon myself to actually delete from the list.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Okay, well, let's include it because – and it may be just reaffirming the interest of the Cerrillos and the partnership we have with the State – you're talking about the State Parks building; is that what you're talking about?

MR. GARCIA: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: And, so, are they actively, they being the Village of Cerrillos using the State Parks building for any community functions for uses they might have similar to a community center we have anywhere else in the County?

MR. GARCIA: Yes, I believe that State Parks does let the community utilize it for meetings and I think two weekends ago they had a little swap meet there so I believe they do let the community utilize the building.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: And, then, Mr. Garcia, relative to the prior comments, I guess I heard a couple of things, did you want and desire a specific listing on the fire stations for solar? Because I've been talking with New Energy Economies that I still owe some information to about Galisteo being one of the initial projects that I want to work on in using some of my own resources. I actually very much appreciate what Commissioner Stefanics said because I don't think necessarily it has to be 100 percent I think figuring out what percentage makes sense and reasonable is a good question we have as Commissioners to have our staff to figure out. It doesn't have to be 100 percent but I think that's a project I want to include on the list. But what – is that what you're looking for, Ms. Miller, as far as the specifics or help me understand some of the feedback that the Commission has had and then staff has had.

MS. MILLER: When you say, Madam Chair, Commissioners on this specific fire station?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: On fire stations and I understand and respect what Commissioner Stefanics has said about not wanting to put solar on fire station that maybe is not utilized or is simply housing vehicles but main stations that we know aren't going anywhere and are going to stay in the community, those are the ones that I would focus on in District 3, but are you – tell me what you're – there was a discussion going on between you and Commissioner Stefanics and I'm trying to read between the lines as to understanding what you're getting out because I really would like to figure out some solar uses, not 100 percent necessarily, but, some percentage of solar to use on as many facilities as we can.

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, what I was getting at – I think what Commissioner Stefanics, as I understood it, she was saying there ought to be some parameters if we're going to invest, that's the way I was taking it: parameters to give us some guidelines rather than just sticking solar panels on any building because we won't get a return on the investment of some of them. Some of them pay for themselves and save energy costs, like in the Tesuque station it's seven or eight years. But some of them have very little load and it would take us forever to get a return on that investment. So I think the way I think it is, the Commissioner was saying we should have some parameters rather than just one here and one there. That we should say, Okay, here's some standards of which we would consider funding one on these stations because they meet that criteria to have enough sun – some of them are not in a place to get very good sun; we don't need to do structural changes to the building to accommodate it. The total investment would pay itself off in say 10 years or less – something like that. That's what I think the Commissioner was saying for us to give some guidelines back to how we would approach solarizing fire stations. And I would say that we should do that on all of our facilities. Because I think that you'll find there are some that we get a return on that investment immediately. The thought of what it would be costing us on the new courthouse without the solar panels is a pretty sobering thought I think that we should take a look at any of the buildings that we are considering solar on to make sure we get the best return on our investment.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So, Madam Chair, Ms. Miller and I guess staff, this will go to staff, Erick just got up here and said if you do at least 20 percent or 30 percent you might have a benefit right? So I would like you guys to come up with some criteria but not make it something is going to take a long time I guess is what I'm after because the other thing that we're trying to do is we're trying to maximize benefit and savings but we're also trying to convey what Commissioner Mayfield put forward in his resolution that we've all been trying to work towards and that's the whole lead by example concept so it's cost savings and direct benefit to the County and it's lead by example setting the parameter and trying to encourage solar use to other individuals in the community.

So I guess, Mr. Garcia, I know we've got to get through this to deal with the requirements associated with the State but it's always a work in progress. Edgewood wastewater facility, a long-standing project that's still being worked on. Do you have any idea what the status of their project is and where they're at in the development of that project and how far along they are?

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner, no, I don't.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Okay, I guess maybe some of that and Mr. Barela in pulling – in us, you know, after the fact and in process moving forward, we need to pull these projects off, make sure that we're speaking with the communities of interest that have requested the projects and see whether or not we need to keep them on or remove them; does that sound reasonable, Mr. Garcia?

MR. GARCIA: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: That's all I have for right now, Madam Chair. I do – I would like to add to have – and I'm not going to just say Galisteo, I'm just going to say County buildings for the option of developing solar and we will wait for some guidance

and maybe a check list and parameters and recommendations from staff so that we have some kind of template. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes, thank you, Madam Chair. Rudy, I see some improvements or project titles that have to do with providing turning lanes, right and left turning lanes for Rufina and Lopez; has there been any discussion about the same treatment for Henry Lynch and Rufina?

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner, the thing that has been in the plan for Rufina – did you say Rufina and Agua Fria or Henry Lynch?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Henry Lynch and Rufina and then Henry Lynch and Agua Fria. Those two intersections because they bottle up a lot. Henry Lynch is that short street that goes from Agua Fria to Rufina.

MR. GARCIA: The only discussion about Henry Lynch Road is actually a roundabout at Agua Fria and Henry Lynch Road .

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: What about Rufina and Henry Lynch at the other intersection?

MR. GARCIA: There's been no discussion. The community didn't bring it up and I'm not too aware – I'm not aware of anything because those both are City roads –

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And they're both failing in a sense because you don't have the right turning lane at Henry Lynch and Rufina but maybe it's something that may not be on the list now but I think it's probably something that we will have to work on in the future. But we'll just leave it for later.

MR. GARCIA: Yes, sir. What other thing that I would like to bring to the attention of the Commission, once again tonight we're going to take some action on this resolution which is Resolution number 2013 – and I forget what the Chairwoman said, but keep in mind if you turn pages a little bit more the State DFA requires the County to have our top five priorities that we're going to take forward to the legislative session. So in Exhibit A there, those are the top five ICIP countywide projects. They are not in any particular order but the Santa Fe Fairgrounds, the public housing sites/upgrades of those facilities, the Quill Plant upgrades, the renovation of the old district courthouse, and the regional emergency communications facility expansion and equipment.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Rudy. Before we go any further with this discussion. This is a resolution so it is there anyone here from the public who would like to address the Board regarding this resolution? Okay, seeing none is there any further discussion? And do the Commissioners have any recommendations regarding the recommended top five County priorities? Commissioner Mayfield and then Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, just a little further discussion because I have gone through this spreadsheet, gentlemen, thank you, and I do not find the low water crossings on this spreadsheet so that's just one point and I want to make sure they're added in case we do go further. And, also, as far as the northern recreational field improvements and I know we've talked about it and it might be in there but I think I vetted

this pretty well and I just want to make sure that we have that still listed in here for further funding because I have been in contact with a member from our local delegation and he is still fully supportive of additional funding for that recreational facility and I just want to make sure that that is on our ICIP for that funding and I just don't see it in here. And not knowing where our County Manager is fully in negotiations but if we could please have that in there.

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner – because you have two requests up there: one if for the Pojoaque/Little League Field and there's also one for the rec center.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Well, the Pojoaque Little League – I think my understanding with Manager Miller those can be commingled, Manager Miller, am wrong on that?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, as far as the funds that the County has, it's the same funding source for the Pojoaque Field as well as the Northern Recreational fields. So, you, for doing the district projects, yeah, you could move the funds around. Now the funds that we go from the State are specifically for the 225 for the Pojoaque fields. But I did see the Northern Recreational Fields in here.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay, as long as you did but just so that affords the delegation the opportunity to fund additional monies for acquisition and/or improvements to the facilities for the Little League/recreational, soccer fields some site improvements to that property if we're fortunate enough to acquire it – I just want to make sure that it's ongoing and it's in here please.

Thank you, Madam Chair, that's all I have on the report.

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner, projects 185, 186 those are low-water crossings in the northern district – I believe all –

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Not all of them, Mr. Garcia.

MR. GARCIA: Well, we will make sure that all the low-water crossing are in there.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Just in case they can approve – because you know I've talked to, Madam Chair, Mr. Garcia, I've talked to and Mr. Leigland is back there and Mr. Martinez and we've talked about potential because now the way these low-water crossing and I'll just say it really quick and I'm not an engineer, but we have talked about how these low-water crossings once the water hits them they're doing an arguably a five foot drop off on the other side. So we've talked, Well, do we maybe potentially put some guardrails under the side, I don't know? Is that going to put further back up when debris is coming down. Well, I don't know. Is the County going to be in liability when somebody drives off the side of a five-foot drop when they can't see anything at night? Are we going to put some sort of improvements on that? Those are things that we need to consider and if we need additional funding and if it's on at least on our ICIP for those types of improvements do we need to put at least a concrete barrier around the downside of that? Maybe that's something at least we can go to our local delegation, ask for money, you know, our road crew is pretty strapped with what's going on . So I just want to make sure we have funding for those projects if we can't redesign that whole bridge – put an appropriate water crossing in

there. A high water – I won't say appropriate but what's the right term, Mr. Martinez? A high water crossing, a bridge? You could just shout it out for me? I would have been okay.

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, whenever we go to the legislative or delegation we actually bring up our requests and it seems our request – from my point – we actually leave it very general. So it does include the guardrail, it does include the reflectors, it does include the bridge, it does include the culvert, it does include – so from our part we leave it very vague and general.

ROBERT MARTINEZ (Public Works/Roads): Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, our direction from you was to proceed with trying to acquire funding for all weather crossing.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Right, and I just want to make sure that all weather –

MR. MARTINEZ: All weather.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: But if we can't and I don't know I think you guys said they could cost $2 million/$3 million –

MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, that may be the case but we will request, we will make the request generic enough so it will include right-of-way acquisition, design, construction, whatever. But I think what we heard from you is that you would like to move forward with eliminating low-water crossings and constructing all weather crossings even if it took time to get the funding. But that was the direction that we heard from you is that let's move forward with all weather structures instead of low water crossings.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Well, and I've also heard from Adam that that's the way that we need to do it, I mean, up north. But I still think that we have to at least have an interim fix, an appropriate interim fix because we're not going to secure that funding over the next 20 years, I don't think.

MR. MARTINEZ: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, that is correct. So, what we normally would do it proceed with the money that we have and start with engineering and that would determine how much right-of-way is needed and how to proceed but definitely minor modifications to low-water crossing could possibly be done just within our maintenance budget.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Again, possibly, so I'd like to at least have it in our ICIP requests. So if we have to get some hopefully some legislative funding we have that request in there. Thank you, that's all I have.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez and then Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: To both Robert and Rudy, I guess on these low weather – low-water crossing you did a presentation earlier did point out that Pinon Hills did have some areas that also needed work. So I think this has to be done countywide and not in a particular district. I know you guys are working countywide. I'm sensitive to Commissioner Mayfield and his needs and what's happening up north but that's not the only place that it's happening. So I just wanted to touch on that a little bit. Don't forget Pinon Hills.

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

And then let me see, I want to touch on the resolution just a little bit because the resolution, even though we spent a lot of time on the big list, the big ICIP list, the resolution is asking us to authorize and adopt Exhibit A. Exhibit A is a very short list off of the long list that we've spent most of the time discussion. So the short list is five projects: Santa Fe Fairgrounds, $1.5 million; Public Housing Site upgrade, $900,000; Quill Plants upgrades $500,000; Renovation of the Old District Courthouse, $5 million; RECC Facility expansion and equipment $750,000. So that's really what I think we're going to be voting on in addition to the long list. So it's kind of a two-prong question, right?

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, yes. What happens is whenever we submit a resolution to DFA it does have the top five and we actually have a printed out summary which they require for their web page –

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Just those five?

MR. GARCIA: No, for basically those five – those five will be added to our list and they'll be the top five and this entire list will get submitted.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay, so, would it be beneficial, Rudy, and I guess I'll pose this question to you and then to the rest of the Commission, would it beneficial now for you to go through the list of five and just a real brief summary of what we would be doing on each of those projects with the dollar amount that is attached to them.

MR. GARCIA: Yes, Madam Chair, Commissioners, the Santa Fe Fairgrounds is $1.5 million. Right now the County actually has a set of construction drawings for the –

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: May I help out.

MR. GARCIA: Sure.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, and the entire Commission, actually I made a set of notes for this. There's a fair amount of money that we received from the legislature. Several years back we actually did the drawings for the facility that houses the extension services and the extension services is a partnership between Department of Agriculture for New Mexico and New Mexico State University and the counties. It's one of the few statutory partnerships that we have where it's a shared relationship that we work with NMSU. The have home economists, the 4H programs, the master gardeners, other agricultural support programs and they also have the support that they provide directly to us in helping to deliver the County Fair operations – and the next phrase on the master plan is to upgrade that facility that we have those construction drawings for. When we actually did the construction drawings I think the estimate was about $1.2 million, Rudy, Joseph, I don't remember the exact amount but I think it's important that we keep this priority on the list and we actually try and figure out how to finish it. We got legislative dollars and I think we need to figure out internally how do we maximize the project because it's used far more than just New Mexico State and their home economists and their ag people. It's used by our County staff and other community programs. So that's a summary of that particular funding stream.

MR. GARCIA: So, Madam Chair, just to add to Commissioner Anaya's comments on that. We did receive $450,500 last year from the legislative session. Public

housing sites upgrades that's actually with Ron Pacheco's division, that's public housing sites. We have public sites in Santa Cruz, we have then in Villa Vista, we also have them off of Camino Jacobo over there so those basically upgrades. Whether it's sewer. Whether it's asbestos, landscaping, whether it's utilities –

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Mr. Garcia, do you have a breakout on those three housing sites?

MR. GARCIA: Do we have a breakout? No, actually, what our request will be is to be able to plan, design, construct, equip, furnish those Santa Fe County public housing sites. Once again, it's very general so if we do receive any dollars we can use that, the funding, very loosely.

Number 3, the Quill Plant upgrades. Adam, I'll need you to help me with that if you guys have further questions. But that is the Quill Plant which is located on the State Penitentiary. That is the sewage plant that the County currently has taken over. We do operate in the past and currently I think we're doing some liners out there – pressure valves, items such as that.

The renovation of the Old District Courthouse, I think that will be coming to the Board of County Commissioners next month, late October, to determine what the consultant has determined on what upgrades – and this is the courthouse up the street here.

The RECC facility is extension and equipment – that's basically to expand the facility to allow more space for the individuals that take the 911 calls. Also, to buy some equipment, I believe and Ken is back there if you have any detailed questions but it's for additional radios, computers and possibly another server, those types of items that are needed for the RECC facility.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Rudy.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you Madam Chair. I am wondering how much this would screw up the staff if we approved the resolution with the amendment that Commissioners could add anything they see missing on this until Thursday. Not to take it up to the last minute, but maybe up to Thursday. That's not our five priorities, we still would have to vote on that but that we would have a chance to study it more, if we haven't, and add anything else we want to. The second thing is, I think that we – I'm in agreement with Commissioner Mayfield that almost everything we heard about specific road problems today that are County-owned need to be addressed. Now, we heard from Diego that roads are being brought up to conditions prior to the storm. But probably those conditions are not the ultimate conditions that we would like these roads to be.

So, I would agree that you have some on here but that maybe we need to go back and – every County road that was mentioned today, if it's not on here, just put it back on. That's all, thanks.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Any further discussion?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Yes, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, this isn't an attack on the five priority projects but I actually think we need to look at them all again and decide whether or just putting those dollar amounts in and then you know talking to legislators and hoping for the best is necessarily what we want to do. Of those five we know in hand that we have resources associated with the fairgrounds and I think we got a – did we get a small allocation for upgrades to the housing sites? We didn't get any. I'm not so sure that I just want to sit and say, Yeah, those are our top five and let's go forward. I would even say maybe even we need to look at three of those and figure out which they are and what do we have in hand that puts us in a position to move them forward.

I've always supported housing, housing programs and the Public Housing Authority but I believe we have Public Housing capital funds right now that we're still trying to expend that haven't been expended that we're working through. So I guess I'm not necessarily saying, Yeah, the five let's do them again. I'm maybe asking staff, you and Ms. Miller, on those five which are we closer to design ready on doing something? RECC comes up and Quill Plant comes up like immediate potential needs that we're actually in a place of need to move on or is that a false assumption or what are your thoughts?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner, we have a few others that we were going to put in front of you as well. We picked these for a few reasons. One, they are projects that we do have some funding for so typically that's something that legislators like to see, that we're not relying all on their funds and that if we get any funds for these projects they just help us. We can either reallocate if we get more than we need; we can reallocate some of the funds that we've already put towards it. There's one of the issues that has been a problem in the past is we get projects funded by the state legislature that we have no money towards and we can't do anything with it because it's not enough to do something. So part of the reason these were recommended to you is, one, we've got some funding already allocated to them of County funds so that we can actually put a piece toward it where we've already gotten some funding like with the Santa Fe Fairgrounds we've got some four hundred and some odd thousand dollars. I will tell you that the legislators actually pull together to pick one to fund last year and they would likely do that again. They were trying to make sure that they put enough to make an impact. And that was enough to actually get us to a phase one of that.

So that was part of why these five were recommended as ones that we could either do because we already have funding for and any additional funding would help us to finish the phase that we are working on or would help us to complete the project totally and allow us some flexibility with the funds that we have. They are also countywide projects as opposed to district-specific projects, something that we look at as a County facility that serves the greater part of the County – the County utility, dispatch, the fairgrounds, and then the courthouse because we already have funding allocated towards that but it's not going to be enough for renovations for staff.

So that was kind of the criteria that put those projects forward.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So Madam Chair, Ms. Miller and Mr. Garcia, how much total money have we received from the legislature – how much did we get last year? Total, total allocation of dollars that they gave us?

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, we roughly received for County alone projects about $950,000.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So we received in total less than $1 million but yet we're going to ask for five, six, seven, eight, nine, you know, $10 million. That's what I'm getting at. And then Representative Egolf and who is the other gentleman? Representative Wirth, we had a conversation relative to projects and program and they said exactly what you just said. They said, Well, we gave you funding and what are you going to do with? Are you going to augment it with a balance to get a project completed? So that's the frame of reference that I'm putting out there. I think that they're absolutely going to come back and say which are the ones that are closer and, you know, does it make a whole lot of sense to ask for $5 million from the legislature for renovation of the old courthouse? I don't know. I don't know. I think if we focus on projects that we can actually finish, you know, based on their partnership that we might be better off in the long run.

So those are my thoughts. The ones I'm looking at that that we have resources on: the fairgrounds, the Quill Plant and RECC, and, Ms. Miller, you brought up water. We keep talking about water line extensions in particular we have 14 we've talked about over and over again. But maybe it's a more open water line extension request as one as well.

Those are my thoughts, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, thank you. Madam Chair, Ms. Miller or Manager Miller, on Commissioner Anaya's point if the renovation of the Old District Courthouse, going back to our newly constructed courthouse. We used – what was it, an eighth or a quarter of our GRT to fund that? How was that funded?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, we used general obligations bonds as well as a revenue bond against one of our gross receipts tax increments.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: On that revenue bond, is that set up the same way that there will be a future payoff for that revenue bond? Will there be any left over money on that where we could proceeds from that for the build out I don't know – which is this – what's this one going to be called; the new new courthouse?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, of the bonds that we have actually issued we have used or will have used, we've used all of the sources for the courthouse, so it's the general obligation bond and revenue bond. And we will pay back the revenue bond out of that gross receipts tax over the next 20 or 30 years, somewhere between 20 and 30 years, I can't remember the length of time on that bond.

Now, we used the revenue – okay if you have $2 million coming in on a gross receipts tax, you don't make your bond payment $2 million exactly, you have what they call a "coverage ratio" you want to make sure that you have extra money in case the revenues dip. Now we hope to use the money left that doesn't cover that debt service toward this facility

along with the GRT that you've already allocated towards it. But, depending on what the consultants come back to you with and what the Commission decides to do it could be a fairly extensive renovation. So, we're looking at trying to find multiple sources to fund that project.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And on that note, Madam Chair, Manager Miller, the renovation or at least the deciding factor, the ballpark figure will be what we determine to do with that building; right? I mean if we decide to go and house all of our employees, if we're going to build it out for commercial lease, the scenario that needs to come back to this Commission of which route we're going with, I mean, I don't know if the proposal is going to come back to say, Sell it, or put housing in it. I mean, I know we've even talked about even senior housing and other options. I don't know what options are coming to come back in front of this Commission; I think, definitely, moving some of our County employees –

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, that's correct. The consultants have not finished their review on that. I think they'll be finished next month and be bringing that back to you relative to possible options to it. One of the things that has come up kind of consistently though has also been parking and parking particularly for staff does not generate a revenue for us and that's kind of central with having employees working downtown is to provide parking. So, part of the major cost of that will be if we add additional parking because the parking that's there isn't going to be adequate for the facility. It barely was for the court.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And, Madam Chair, and I guess for Manager Miller, as far as our top five ICIP request, my thoughts are if we know that we got $900,000 last year and in our efforts down street, if we have projects in front of the legislature – you know, if they're road projects, if it's the fairground projects, or RECC project, but if their constituency is wanting these projects I kind of think that that's going to carry a lot more weight. The solarization of our facilities where we're going to return monies back to the County – I don't know how you're going to say it, but, You guys are going to build a courthouse? Well, what constituency is going to want to hear that? Respecting that sure you guys have to house your employees but that's you guys got to fix that, not us. I was thinking that if they're getting a lot of phone calls from their constituency those might be the projects that they're going to fund.

So, I do think that maybe we need to re-evaluate these top five. And that's all I have, Madam Chair.

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, first of all, large dollar amounts is because within the actual ICIP itself we put the cost of the project. So when you pull off your five priorities you're pulling off what's in the database of the cost of your project or what's remaining that you have not got funded. So that's part of it. That's one piece of it.

The other side of it is that we don't have to fix the old district courthouse – if you want to switch that to more solarizing of County facilities we can make it that. We were just trying to make sure that we provided a recommendation of five of them. Those were five projects that we already have dedicated some funding for so they are projects that are going to

be moving forward, and that was why we recommended them because we didn't want to recommend something that we had nothing already for in funding for.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes. Are you finished, Commissioner Mayfield?

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair, I'm done.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Stefanics. Commissioner Chavez, were you next?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I would like to make a comment because on this exhibit I know that we are required to identify five priorities and I would propose that we keep this list, since we have everything else on this list. I think it makes sense to keep at least three of these projects on this list: the Santa Fe Fairgrounds, the Quill Plant upgrade, and the RECC facility expansion and equipment. Those are the projects that we have funding for already; is that correct?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, yes.

MR. GARCIA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So I would put those on the top of the list 1, 2, and 3 and then the other two in order, probably Public Housing first and then the courthouse after that, in that order. To keep these five on the list and to rank them in the priority that I suggested because of the funding that is already in place and then the other two I think are good, good projects. I mean public housing sites, I mean, that's needed. Renovation of the old district courthouse, well, it's not going to be the courthouse anymore because it will be County administration and I think we could argue to the public that for us to be efficient, it doesn't make a lot of sense to be paying high downtown rent to house our employees. So if we can save that money annually that's reoccurring we could use that money somewhere else in our organization.

I'll make that in the form of a motion and see if there's a second.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: I'll second it.

CHAIR HOLIAN: I have a motion and a second. Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I was going to propose something different.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I was going to propose taking off the fairground and the courthouse and adding – I would still keep five because everybody is going to be turning in at least five and I wouldn't want to say that we're so rich we don't need five. But in terms of thinking what would not be vetoed by the Governor, I think the courthouse will never get passed anything.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: It's not a courthouse.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: It doesn't matter. It's called a courthouse and it's an old courthouse and she has very specific feelings about certain things. So if we could identify, I think, the public housing, the Quill Plant, RECC are really tangible projects. I would go so far as to say throwing in some solar because that might – the whole energy thing might be necessary for the state to be meeting some energy requirements. But I don't

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

know I just was willing to change the list if – and I actually heard Commissioner Anaya say maybe we didn't need to keep the fairgrounds on, is that right?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: No, actually, I was advocating strongly to keep it and finish it. We're at $480,000 and the legislature already demonstrated a desire to help us maybe close the books on it. If we can get another allocation on that project and then offset it with some of our own capital dollars I think we can actually finish that project once and for all that's been in the pipeline for a long time. And keeping in mind that the building that's there was built in 1954. It has way outlasted its purpose and I think the use is just across a lot of different spectrums. So I'd actually like to keep that on as number one and finish it and help us get it done.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay, so I misheard. Those were just my comments but I'll wait to hear how it falls off.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Any further comments?

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Hearing Commissioner Stefanics and knowing that she said one in four, but the courthouse and the fairground and then Commissioner Anaya on the fairground, so, then I would say keep the fairgrounds, public housing site, Quill Plant, solarization and the RECC.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield, you are recommending the solarization project be one.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: I mean it's proven that we get a return for our money on it. I hear what the Commissioners have said, and I know that Craig is listening and Craig has been working. Look, I am all for let's see where our return is on a facility. I don't want to put anything where we will not see a return for our dollars and also there is still potential to take care of [[credits even now with some of our cooperatives there's potential to see some returns, I believe. And, you can nod on that one, you don't have to say anything, on rent credits. And that money then can be used or re-purposed with the local fire departments and/or different facilities. Again, I would like to hopefully solarize some other facilities and that's why I asked for things to be broken out separately even if that's with you know some of my own community dollars if I have those available to me still and that money can be re-purposed within our operations of our County. And that's all I have, Madam Chair, thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I'm going to amend my motion because there's a motion on the floor to accept these five in a ranked order. But I would like to amend my motion to exclude the old district courthouse and insert solar projects, but I need a dollar amount.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Rudy, do you have an estimate of the dollar amount? Is it $1.5 million that's in this list?

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, it's $1.3 million.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay, $1.3 million. Commissioner Chavez, does your amendment include changing the amount of $1.3 million?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: If we want to do it all, yes. And I would I want to ask the second to the motion if he's agreeable to that.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Anaya?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Yes, Madam Chair, I think it makes sense and maybe puts us in a better light to practically complete some projects.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: But, I will say at some point because the building that's been vacated by the court is now the County's building, I think we need to rename it because it's not going to function as a courthouse any longer and we are going to have deal with that building if we want to save money in rent that we can also reallocate to other purposes. So even though we're taking it off the list for right now, I don't think that building is going anywhere. It's vacate and we're spending about $400,000 a year to house County staff downtown. That doesn't make any business sense. So at some point that has to go back on the list and we're going to have to deal with that building. I'll just leave it at that, thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Rudy.

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, I just want to be clear: Santa Fe Fairgrounds is the number one priority, second would be the public housing sites, third would be the Quill Plant, fourth would be solar panels and the fifth would be RECC?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: No.

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, this is how I heard the motion: number one would be the Fairgrounds, number two would be the Quill Plant upgrades, number three would be the RECC, number four is public housing and number five would now be the solarizing.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez, is that consistent with your motion?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: And it's also for approval of the resolution.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, I just think because of emergency communications and the fact that it's across the entire County that that should be number two.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: RECC?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Yeah, are you okay with that?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Fine.

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, just food for thought. What's going to happen is they're going to call myself in there and they're going to call the City's lobbyists in there and we're going to sit with Santa Fe delegation and they're going to tell us, what is your

number one priority. Just as the City did last year and the year before, they have three or seven different priorities and their number one priority was their police station and that's why they got funding at $1.2 million last year for their police station because we were asked what is your priority? What is your number one priority? You have these three or five things so I'm going to give you guys $300,000 each or $200,000 each where do you want it spent. If they asked us that, I just want to make sure that it's going to be on the fairgrounds and then whatever is second, third, fourth and so on.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez, does your motion stand?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.

CHAIR HOLIAN: We have a motion and a second for approval of resolution 2013-101 and the order of the recommended top five priorities is first, Santa Fe County Fairgrounds, then RECC facility extension and equipment, then is it Quill Plant upgrades, and then public housing sites, and then County facility solarization; correct?

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Rudy.

MR. GARCIA: Thank you, Madam Chair.

MS. MILLER: And, Madam Chair, we will work with all of you to make sure we have everything that was supposed to be in the full list in your districts because we will make sure we get with each one of you to make sure that it's all on there and we'll have them give you a district sorted on so you can look at it and –

CHAIR HOLIAN: So, Katherine, we all have a second chance to add something if we need to.

MS. MILLER: Yes, by Thursday.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Right, thank you. Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Rudy or Katherine or somebody from utilities, under one of the priorities – I'm trying to find it now – it says $2 million for water line extension, I think it says, District 3 down 599; what's that? Cause I just want to be clear that water line extension that I've been talking about – I'm sorry, I'm trying to find it.

MR. GARCIA: I'm sorry, Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, what did it say?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Two million dollars for water line extension down 599 was what it was.

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioners that was something that Pego had worked on and Pego had submitted to me. That was for Caja del Rio going down 599 and that was something I was going to get with either Adam or what's the new guy's name, Rusty? Rusty Robert.

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So, Madam Chair, what I'm going to do is leave that as a placeholder because I don't know that that's necessarily District 3, but I want to add publicly $2 million on the ICIP for water line extension down Highway 14.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: We have that.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: We have that? It's in there.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Yes.

MR. GARCIA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Okay.

MR. GARCIA: Madam Chair, Commissioners, right now the water line stops at Turquoise Trail so the request is from Turquoise Trail to the Lone Butte area at that intersection.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: I would say all the way to 42 and let's get a number from the staff. The reason I say all the way to County Road 42 is because that's where we're targeting the proposed site for seniors, senior center.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay, let's move on.

XV. B. 2. Resolution No. 2013-102, a Resolution Adopting the County's Traffic Calming Policy Applicable to Certain County Maintained Roads

CHAIR HOLIAN: I will just note that we discussed this resolution last time so we can vote on it at this meeting, Johnny.

JOHNNY BACA (Public Works): Good evening. Madam Chair, Commissioners, Johnny Baca, Traffic Manager, Public Works. Again, as Madam Chair stated we present to you for a second time the Traffic Calming Policy. As requested numerous times by the Commission and constituents currently we do not have any kind of calming policy as the previous policy sunset in July 2010. The new policy will provide the framework for local residents to initiate traffic calming in their neighborhoods and allows the use of appropriate traffic calming tools to help alleviate negative impact caused by traffic and speeding vehicles.

The traffic calming policy is designed to coordinate the collaboration of staff and the citizens in an effort to produce effective traffic calming in and throughout the County's communities. As required for the adoption of this resolution, two public hearings are required, at this time the Board suggested comments on the proposed policy be solicited to the constituents via press releases, newsletters and other social media. As of today, this action has since been completed and only one comment was submitted to me for consideration. That particular comment had to do with the 600 vehicle per day threshold as one of the criteria for the eligibility of a road. We did reference the Institute of Traffic Engineers traffic calming stated practice informational report in the course of developing this policy. And, the particular threshold that we chose of 600 cars is 40 percent lower in the medium value that other agencies surveyed with this requirement. So we feel that we would like to stay with that 600 vehicle threshold based on this number and it does take into account

the specifics of some of our traditional communities, some of the different roads that we have throughout the County.

With that in mind, Public Works requests the adoption of this policy at this time.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Johnny. Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, we had a good discussion last time. This is an item that we've been waiting on and the Road Advisory Committee weighed in on it and so I would move for approval.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay, I have a motion and a second. First, this is a resolution, so I would like to ask if there is anyone here from the public who would like to comment on this resolution? Okay, seeing none. Further discussion, Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah, Mr. Baca, thank you for your work and I appreciate you meeting with me the other day on traffic calming there in the Agua Fria Village and along West Alameda. And I don't think that they are in no way isolated from the volume of traffic or the perceived speeding on virtually any street in the County or in the City, it's just human nature. We just resist doing the speed limit, for whatever reason. So I do appreciate your work in that endeavor.

I think that you really demonstrated to me that we can do as much as we can regarding traffic calming. We can try and slow people down. We can do the flashing lights and everything else but at the end of the day we're going to have to team up with law enforcement because we can't depend only traffic calming and unfortunately we can't expect only law enforcement to fix the problem either. So I think it's going to be a two-prong approach. I just wanted to share that with my colleagues.

But, I'm wondering, Mr. Baca, if it wouldn't be worthwhile to have a section in the resolution that would encourage a review and update on a regular basis of our traffic calming policies/programs so that when we put this in place, it's in place for a year or whatever, maybe we need to amend it or maybe people don't understand it so I'm just wondering if for those reasons it would be worthwhile to have a specific review and update maybe every year or every two years. I'll just propose that to you and see what your thoughts are.

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, I would agree with you on that. There may be things that we need to tweak just dependent on how we proceed with this particular resolution and how the public – how it serves the public. I feel that this resolution, this document itself, was very thorough, technical and should serve the constituents of our communities in a good way. That request could be considered.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So to the County Manager, staff, or legal staff, what would it take to add language to a resolution that would have a section that would be for review and update. I'm looking for language.

MR. ROSS: Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And I don't know if it would be a "Now therefore, be it resolved," or just one of the whereases.

MR. ROSS: No, Commissioner Chavez, it would be under the "Now, therefore be it resolved" and one thing you could do is have a third bullet that says the resolution must be renewed annually to be effective.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I think it would be the policy that would be reviewed, no, Mr. Baca?

MR. BACA: I would say, yes.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, the resolution and policy would be reviewed within a year or two years; what's your feeling on that?

MR. BACA: I would – I'm sorry, Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, I would say a two year basis if it is required.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Stefanics, did you have a comment?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: No, that's fine. One year is not acceptable. We have too many ordinances and resolutions that we pass to review every year so two years I could accept.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Steve, do you have proposed language?

MR. ROSS: Madam Chair, I think a third bullet under the provisions it says, "3. The resolution and policy shall be reviewed bi-annually."

CHAIR HOLIAN: Are the maker of the motion and the seconder of the motion agreeable to that?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Yes, Madam Chair, I am.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Let's see, I did have public comments; correct? Okay, then, we now have a motion and a second for approval of Resolution number 2013-102 with an extra clause under the "Now, therefore be it resolved" that says the resolution and policy shall be reviewed bi-annually. All those in favor signify by saying –

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Oh, Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Baca, thank you for your work and all the work on behalf of the committee. And, I apologize [[but Mr. Baca, just as far as on the policy and I appreciate that we're looking at it and I know you and I spoke on numerous times and you do a lot of work and, again, specific to the area that represent a lot of the roads aren't even in compliance with our County rules as far as being 20 feet wide. Some of the roads are overgrown with brush and as far as the volume of traffic and how – your comments say it's been reduced from say some of the national standards. But do you take in account from lower traffic volumes because some of the roads are 14 feet wide when we consider traffic calming policy?

MR. BACA: Typically, what we looked at was other regional and local entities with the same type of policy and we do know we have unique situations here in Santa Fe County. This particular policy indicates that a road that is 18 feet in width or wider would be eligible. There are other types of traffic calming measures we may want to consider,

obviously, the use of driver's feedback signs that we've done recently in your particular district maybe an alternative.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And, Madam Chair, Mr. Baca I know that we've spoken and I guess it's not a national standard, I know some homeowners like to do this on their own but they put like contoured mirrors, maybe coming out of a driveway just to see if, you know, there might be oncoming traffic around a pole or a curve or a dogleg turn, and is that something County just chooses maybe not to do for just because of liabilities that you can't trust or depend on that mirror.

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, those kind of convex mirrors aren't recognized as traffic calming devices. They are used simply for sight distance and are typically used by private residents for a place that the County is never engaged in placing those mirrors.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: But could the County just maybe put them on a blind, on a T-corner or maybe a blind corner? Not so much for traffic calming but just for just an awareness. I mean they put them in vehicles for rearview mirrors and side mirrors so you can see oncoming traffic.

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, the convex mirror is not recognized in NATCD which is the federal guideline as a device to be used for that. You see them on private property but not on our County roads.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay, again, just for my clarification. This policy did not take into consideration roads under 18 feet wide.

MR. BACA: No, sir. But, again, Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, we chose to use the alternative placement of traffic feedback signs and other measures if need be. It's not necessarily indicated for those types of roads in this particular policy.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay, and, again, I just want to be clear. So we can use some still alternative measures on roads that are smaller than 18 feet wide?

MR. BACA: Yes, Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, you would also look to see that these particular roads meet the other criteria as set forth in this document.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And, can you show me, direct me to that page?

MR. BACA: Okay, that's going to be on page 12 of the document.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay, thank you. And where would that be under –

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, under letter D. It gives you a brief description of the criteria along with showing you down on page 10.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Yeah, but let's look at speed, Madam Chair, Mr. Baca, and, again, Johnny, you did a great but on a smaller road you could have say 10 vehicles within an hourly period of going down a road 50 miles an hour, that's, you know, 14 feet wide and those folks still need traffic calming measures.

I mean, I can call the Sheriff's Department and ask can you please maybe have a police cruiser out there but you do have that in certain areas.

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, I don't disagree with your statement. However, many of these roads, the smaller road, 14 feet in width placing any type of traffic calming measures could potentially add liability because they are so narrow to begin with. So, again, we need to evaluate some of these roads on an individual basis and of course the biggest factor is collecting data to identify the true nature of what is happening on these particular roads and that would be an initial qualification to identification.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Okay, fair enough, Mr. Baca. But, again, I just want to thank you and let Mr. Leigland know that and I think you have a layer between Mr. Martinez, that you are always great and attentive whenever we have any road issues and you're always there addressing them. So thank you very much.

And, that's all I have and I guess I'm chair. Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chairman, just on this previous point. Do we have language in the policy that affords staff and the Commission to evaluate a road that is below the width criteria and if not we should probably just put language, a simple statement in the policy that says, roads that don't fit the width criteria or other criteria would be reviewed on a case by case basis. Can we say something like that?

MR. BACA: Commissioner Anaya, I have to agree that there could potentially be some of those that do come forward, however, I would be reluctant to place any type of language in there. It could open up a can of worms and then we'd start changing some of this criteria which is really based on that ITE stated practice. I'd like to stay as close to that as possible.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So we have many roads that are below 18 feet. Many people that have called relative to traffic calming across the board, what's my response to them? What's your response to them if they're on a road that is below 18 feet?

MR. BACA: Again, Commissioner Anaya, I need to look at that on an individual basis. We would initially place some traffic counters out in that particular area which would be one of the first steps and identify if there is a true issue with speeding. A lot of times perception by the local residents that they're driving 60 miles an hour on this road isn't always the case.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So, Mr. Chair, I'm prepared to move forward but I still think we need to figure language that doesn't segregate out constituencies because they live on a 14-foot road. And given that we've been discussing this for several years I think they deserve some consideration associated with what are their avenues for consideration.

So, let me say it a different way. In approving the resolution I don't want the message to the constituencies that don't fit the criteria, you don't get to be considered for any measures. You always have an alternative to come to the County and request review and consideration regardless of the size of road you live on. Do you agree with that?

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, I would have to agree with you on that particular case. There may be some instances where we have to look at them on an individual basis. Again, I'm a little reluctant to post that too much, but if you want to consider that, yes.

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/25/2013

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah, I just want to touch on one other component of this because it sounds like it's really easy to do for any particular area or neighborhood and it really is a long process. They have to get 50 percent – they have to reach a 50 percent threshold of those neighboring residential units so I think that a lot of this is going to be on those areas that want to consider traffic calming first and then they have to go through the process to get the 50 percent threshold before it happens. And I guess, Mr. Baca, that that would apply to those of the roads that fit the criteria and to those roads that don't fit the criteria. Just because it doesn't fit the criteria we're not going to impose traffic calming on that if 50 percent of the residential units do not sign on.

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, yes, the 50 percent of the adjacent property owners are in agreement that there is a need for some type of traffic calming device in their neighborhood.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: But, see if that doesn't happen we back out of that proposal until they reach that 50 percent threshold.

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, yes.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay, thank you.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And I think I asked this question before though, this is not applicable to projects that are already in the hopper; correct?

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, not at this time, however, we are taking consideration into new projects that may require that consideration. But this is does not apply to new projects at this time.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Not new. To discussions that we've already had on traffic calming projects on road that you and I have communicated on or you and – excuse me, me and Mr. Leigland that we're already engaging on traffic calming.

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, no, those have already been discussed and we are already moving to take care of them.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And I guess a question for legal, would this even apply to road under 18 feet?

MR. ROSS: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, I believe the reference Mr. Baca pointed out is the reference on page 13 whereby any traffic-calming project is evaluated against standards developed by ITE. That's where the standard is.

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Mr. Ross, I agree with you on that.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: That's all I have, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: So we have a motion and second on the floor.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Congratulations, Mr. Baca.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Baca. You did an excellent job in drafting the policy.

MR. BACA: Madam Chair, Commissioners, thank you.

XV. B. 3. Introduction and Possible Action on Resolution No. 2013-103, a Resolution Convening the County Improvement District Review Committee for the Purposes of Evaluating the Petition and Application for Creation of a County Improvement District From the Las Lagunitas Homeowners Association in Accordance with the County's County Improvement District Policy and Associated Waiver of Requirements of Resolution 2013-26

CHAIR HOLIAN: And if we are to vote on this this evening we need to waive the requirements of Resolution 2013-26.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I would like to make a motion to waive the requirements of Resolution 2013-26.

CHAIR HOLIAN: And I will second that. All those in favor signify by saying aye. The ayes have it --

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Well, Madam Chair, I have a question: why do we need to waive it on this one?

CHAIR HOLIAN: I believe because we had not discussed this particular resolution before, is that correct Adam?

ADAM LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, the reason we asked for the waiver is because the Las Lagunitas community through the County Improvement District that they're seeking us to solve a long ongoing sewer issue and so we felt it was important enough to not have to consider this twice and that's why we submitted for the waiver.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, Ms. Miller, what's the status of our waiving resolution policy that Mr. Erik Aaboe is working on?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Commissioner Mayfield.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Chavez, do you have something?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yeah, I've met with the attorney and we're working on consolidating a resolutions but for right now this is on the books and we have to waive it if we want to take action on it tonight.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Well, I know, Madam Chair and I'm just going to point out that I was the last to work on that resolution and which County attorney are we working with because I haven't had any contact in the County attorney's office.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, we're working on it.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Well it was a joint resolution.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Oh, I know.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Mayfield, let me ask you, did you vote on the last motion?

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: No, I didn't.
CHAIR HOLIAN: Do you want to?
COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: I'll vote on it.
CHAIR HOLIAN: Against it?
COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: No, take the vote again, please

The motion to waive 2013-26 passed by unanimous [4-0] voice vote. [Commissioner Stefanics was not present for this action.]

MR. LEIGLAND: Madam Chair, Commissioners, at the September 10th Board of County Commissioners, the Commission approved the County Improvement District Policy and that policy is intended to provide an orderly procedure for the Commission to evaluate County Improvement Districts. Even though they are perceived as a valuable tool, it should not be taken lightly. The County Improvement Policy outlaid a very detailed process that included numerous points at which the County Commissioners get to evaluate the petition and in that policy the very first step is when a petition is accepted the County convenes by resolution a review committee. The review committee membership is spelled out in the policy. It is the County Manager, the County Attorney, the Finance Director, and the Public Works Director and according to the policy they have a number of tasks to evaluate. They evaluate whether the proposed project is in compliance with the County's ordinances. Whether or not the community that is applying has actual standing to apply and other tasks that are listed in the memo and --

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Madam Chair.
CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.
COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, I very much appreciate the last vote including Commissioner Mayfield's vote and still a review needs to take place on that policy and I appreciate it very much because of the work and the time that has gone into Las Lagunitas trying to get to this point of being able to advance their project and get to the point of helping them to determine their own destiny. So on that, I would go ahead and move for approval.
COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.
CHAIR HOLIAN: Okay, there's a motion and a second. Is there any further discussion or questions? I would just say, thank you, Adam, for putting this together. And I don't know if the people from Las Lagunitas are watching but I would really like to thank them for their persistence and their patience in following through on this and I think this could be a real example for other areas in the County who might want to create County Improvement Districts for infrastructure improvements.

Motion and second for approval of resolution number 2013-103.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Call the public on it, Madam Chair.
CHAIR HOLIAN: Oh, is there any one here from the public who would like to comment on the resolution? Seeing none we have a motion and second on the floor.

The motion passed by unanimous [4-0] voice vote. [Commissioner Stefanics was not present for this action.]

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

XVI. MATTERS FROM THE COUNTY MANAGER

G. Miscellaneous Updates

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, one I wanted to note that I left early today from the Indigent Board meeting and I was not at the Housing meeting because I went to attend a meeting with the LFC, the Hospital Association and some hospital CEOs included St. Vincent's CEO. The HSD and the Association of Counties talked about the proposal that being put forward by the Human Services Department about redirecting the second 1/8 that we use for our Indigent Fund. They definitely – the State has an issue as do the hospitals relative to the changes made at the federal level on how the hospitals will receive funding and what funding they would be eligible to receive so. The State is in a position of having to change that formula and the way they are proposing to fund that is with all the counties second 1/8 of gross receipts tax. The LFC thinks this is an important issue. They made an actual special allotment of time to listen to the proposal and to what hospitals have to say and the counties have to say. And we put forward and HSD admitted that they don't really fully have any counties' support on this because even though there are some winners and some losers, no county is particularly fond of the idea of a local option, as it's called, not really being an option of the local government. And I think that that point was made loud and clear by the counties that were there. I was there, Lea County manager was there, and one other county and the Association of Counties Director Paul Gutierrez. So I just wanted to tell you that we've been trying to put together a group of health care providers and we made that point. We made the point that the core of many counties have programs. We made the point about the local economy and also County staff and other programs that really revolve around our indigent funding. Also all the other legal requirements that we have at the County for indigent claims.

So one of the county managers even mentioned, so what are you going to do if counties repeal it in January and they didn't really have an answer for that. So I think the discussion on that subject will continue to grow at the legislative level at this point. So I just wanted to give you that update.

Additionally, we have received – we have several monthly reports and we have a new one added that was requested by Commissioner Chavez on the NCRTD, so I'd like to go to the reports if you would like any of the staff, if you have any questions on the reports. We just did the reports last month for the month of July, so these are the reports for the month of August.

CHAIR HOLIAN: So let me ask the Commissioners. Do you have any questions on any of the reports? Commissioner Chavez.

XVI. A. NCRTD Update

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I don't have any questions but what I would like to do at this point and then others can bring their questions forward, I wanted Erik, if I could – Erick, could you come up for a minute? Erick is our staff liaison who attends the

North Central Regional Transit District meetings and I wanted him to touch a little bit on a request that came from Sipapu Ski Basin at our last meeting, and I want to relate that to a request that did not go directly to the NCRTD board, but has played out in a couple of articles in our local newspaper over the last week, I guess. There was one that came out on September 19th and one that came out on September 23rd.

And the articles allude to the fact that Councilor Bushee would like the NCRTD to provide transportation to the ski basin, and I was mentioned in one of the articles even though I was not called by Councilor Bushee or by the newspaper and so I feel a little disappointed in that because I do not want to disrespect the RTD and their process, and the request as it's been played out in the newspaper does a disservice to the other members and even to my colleague, Commissioner Anaya, because we know that there's a process that you have to go through to make this request. So, Erick, if you could give us an update and share feedback with us that the board had regarding the request from Sipapu Ski Basin and how that relates to the request for transportation to our ski basin here.

ERICK AUNE (Transportation Planner): Sure, Madam Chair, Commissioners. Number one, for any request for a modification or change of a particular route, a letter from the membership organization is procured to the RTD board. The board then considers that recognition with some staff input and then makes a choice to give back to staff some direction to do some analysis. And example, which is a recent one which I think is the impetus for what you're seeing today regarding the ski resorts in Santa Fe is the Sipapu request up in Taos. A letter was procured and delivered in August to the RTD board. Staff was asked to do an analysis and engage in discussion with the management of that organization, both on a technical and policy level.

A recommendation was then delivered for the board meeting September 6th based on that analysis. It was relatively quick. However, staff came up with several alternatives with specific costs associated with those alternatives. There was some critical discussion about the cost and benefit of providing a new route to Sipapu Lodge. Ultimately, the request was tabled because there was some further discussion and study as to what maybe the lodge could provide in terms of in-kind funding or direct funding to make that more palatable. End of the day, the additional cost was about $7,000 for routes for that ski route. However, that didn't happen in isolation of just adding a new route. That was in consideration of Taos giving up some loop routes and modifying what is already there. So there's some complex [inaudible] some background where this may have come from and what the process is for adding a route.

And I also need to add that the RTD is going through its five-year service plan. In that there were public comments as to possible analysis of ski routes in Santa Fe, and then secondly, on an annual basis my provide is to take your requests, like La Cienega, Golden, and then provide a resolution for an annual service plan update that is delivered to them every summer. Those are sort of higher level processes that end up modifying appropriately routes through the RTD process.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So, Erick, right now, I think it's safe to say that in either the one-year plan, which has already been adopted by both the County and the City, the proposed routes to the ski basin are not in that one-year plan.

MR. AUNE: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Are they in the five-year plan?

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

MR. AUNE: Not at this time.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. Could you touch on the modified 599 Madrid route, the August ridership, and then the Golden extension.

MR. AUNE: Certainly. The Madrid route modification started July 10, 2013. I was able to get ridership numbers for the six weeks to the end of August. The ridership for the July 599 route was 669 persons. Adding July 10th through August 30th, 79 riders were picked up at Madrid, 37 riders were picked up at Cerrillos, and 64 riders were picked up at the Santa Fe Place Mall going down to Madrid. So those numbers may seem relatively small at this time, but given the rural nature of this route, the modification, and then it will take some time for the word to get out. I believe RTD staff is a) somewhat excited about it, as I am, and b) will be able to monitor that over the next year and make some critical decisions as to potentially going down to Golden or maybe modifying that in a way that would maximize some of those numbers. But right now, even though those sound a little low, it's actually pretty darn good.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair, I think those are excellent numbers. The couple times I've been at the Cerrillos Post Office and the buses pulled around to pick up people it's been empty. So I am heartened to hear these numbers at all. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Commissioner Stefanics, because I was just asking Commissioner Anaya, because I didn't remember how long this route has been in place and I think it's only a month or so.

MR. AUNE: Six weeks.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Six weeks. So that's really good for just six weeks. So, Erik, could you touch on the overall ridership in the RTD service area?

MR. AUNE: Certainly. Two years ago, fiscal year 2010, the total ridership saw just under 100,000 riders. Two years later, the 2012 fiscal year results came in, it's just under 186,000 trips. That's about an 86 percent increase in ridership over two years. To break that down to a monthly number, July 1st through July 31, 2013 saw 48,000 riderships this year as opposed to last year which was about 41,000, another 15 percent increase on monthly ridership in the summer.

Daily average, just for your information is around 213 trips per day in fiscal year 2012 where the previous year was about 159 which is another 15 percent increase. Now keep in mind ridership on 20 different routes through RTD can be dynamic. What the trend is seeing is that the primary corridors, like down to Edgewood, Espanola to Santa Fe, are seeing higher rates of increase and the more rural routes – Chimayo, Nambe, Santa Cruz – are seeing somewhat variable, small increase in growth.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, thank you, Erick. I'll yield the floor to other questions. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Any questions? Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, first I want to thank staff and I want to thank Commissioner Chavez. This is a responsibility that he has assumed and taken wholeheartedly and I appreciate his work and efforts. I want to thank him for that. And I do want to comment. Commissioner Mayfield is actually the individual that first brought up the comments relative to Councilor Bushee and the ski basin and I want to comment on her

comments in the paper that essentially, paint me in the light of not being supportive of tourism activities in Santa Fe, essentially. But I would say this. The RTD was set up based on the work of Councilor Chavez at the City and the time and the Commission and I know Commissioner Stefanics and Commissioner Mayfield as well as Commissioner Holian, all of us have had an opportunity to participate in the discussions in some of those meetings.

But from the inception, the route of the route and the system was to bring commuters and commuter service into Santa Fe to work, and to bring residents of the county and the region throughout the NCRTD district in and out of areas and primarily as a commuter service to get them here. It's branched out and we do some other creative things in the city as well as in other entities throughout NCRTD that's been alluded to today. But I will still say, Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, that the primary focus should still and always be getting people to and from work and those people that have to travel further distances or even close distances, if they're coming to work and they can use this as an alternative means of public transportation, that is a priority.

But I think you're doing that. I think the Golden extension is still something that's very important to not just the Town of Golden but the whole San Pedro region and numerous people that could access that system. And then as I've said before, the loop that potentially serves National Guard, if it could loop around La Cienega and back into Santa Fe I think that's another potential expansion for commuter service. So I'm glad to hear that it's very much still being thought about and discussed and researched and based on data and need. So thank you, Commissioner Chavez and thanks to the board of the NCRTD and hopefully we'll still maintain the focus of getting commuters in and out of areas of need, not just Santa Fe but the entire NCRTD district. So thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thanks again, Erick.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Mayfield. That's all we have? I'm going to take the prerogative of the chair and move up the update on discussion of nomination of District 50 representative seat from Manager Miller.

XVI. F. Update and Discussion on Nomination for District 50 House Representative Seat

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, so we extended the District 50 seat timeframe based up the last BCC meeting. We extended the time until this Friday. I am aware of at least two more letters of interest that I believe we received. I just saw one come across my email so I haven't even got to open it. And what I wanted to get from you today was how you would like to proceed. We had some discussion the last time about providing some questions for the candidates but I did not receive any specific questions that the Commission wanted to ask as of yet, and in addition, there was some concern about a process for doing that. It might be better just to do it live.

As was mentioned earlier, I think, Commissioner Mayfield, you mentioned the forum at the Santa Fe County Democratic Party is having. The only issue with that is it's not one that is a County Commission or County sponsored event so that doesn't make it very easy for the five of you to attend that. And we let them know it was kind of not – it was an issue for a quorum and not being an official BCC meeting it wouldn't be real easy for us to make that

your avenue for vetting the applicants.

So with that I would just stand for suggestions on how you would like to proceed with it and we can either have a special meeting for it. We can do it at the beginning of the next BCC meeting. I think the gentleman earlier today provided some comments relative to some of the things I've heard. We would just like to have the Commission have an open process so we can hear about the candidates.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you, Manager Miller. Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chairman, I have one question I wrote earlier and I think we were going to try to come up with five. But I have one here: How will you include your communities of interest and your local governments in your decision making process? That's one question that I have suggested, as a suggested question.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Mr. Chair.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Manager Miller, do we know how many applicants have submitted letters of intent?

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, Commissioner, I have seven that I'm aware of right now. There may be some others that have come in in the mail that I haven't opened yet, but as of when I was coming into this meeting I knew of seven.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And I guess these seven individuals, in their letter of intent would have to include their résumé and background information?

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, we have requested a letter of interest plus a résumé in their submission. Everybody who has submitted that I've looked at they have a letter of interest along with a résumé.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Based on that letter of intent and their résumé, do we know or can we determine if they meet the minimum requirements to serve in that capacity? If they were selected?

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, we'll go through and review before the deadline. We'll provide all of the information to you based upon whether they meet the legal requirements. If somebody doesn't – like if they don't live within the district – that would be an obvious one. We would state they're not eligible because they don't live in the district.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So you're looking at that stuff as you get these letters of intent.

MS. MILLER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. Because it seems that that might maybe narrow down the eligible pool just a little bit and if you have seven and two, for whatever reason, don't meet the minimum legal requirements then they're kind of out. So I just wondered if you've seen that so far.

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Chavez, we haven't had anything like that. What we have had, we have some from other counties because they're within the district. So there's four different counties that are covered by this district. So we have seen that. We haven't seen anything where they're outside of – based upon the information that they've provided to us, their residence is within that –

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: That district.

MS. MILLER: - boundaries of the district but now within Santa Fe County. Another issue that I want to bring forward is to whether you would want us to do this. I think you might want to but I don't know. For all of our committees, when we do – have people apply for committees, we actually do a background check. We would have to ask these individuals, but we do that so you know whether there's anything in their background that would be a problem. We have not done that but we're just – so that's another one of the items I wanted to ask if you want us to do.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, I don't know if we would want to do it at this juncture or if that would be something that would be done if that individual was actually appointed by the Governor. I think at that time – it seems that that's where the background check would be more critical, but I don't know. I could go either way on that point. But I'll yield the floor, Mr. Chair.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner, Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I think number one, it would be very offensive to do a background check for a candidate for the legislature. They don't go through that for running for office. I think the requirements to be in office is that they're an eligible voter of the right age living in the district. Ms. Salazar, can you think of anything else?

MS. SALAZAR: I think those are the main issues.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Right. And so I think that if people are applying, Ms. Miller, and they live within the district, they're of age and they're a voter, I think they qualify. Secondly, or another point is that one of the candidates, I think or I know indicated that they were not available in our October meeting or in October – something like that, in the event that we were going to have any kind of community event/activity, etc., to interview them publicly. When we did consider a nominee for Representative Jeanette Wallace's seat we did not require all the candidates to be here. We also did not require them to respond to specific questions.

I have a little concern – I would like to hear from candidates, but I have a little concern about having to respond to items in writing because I believe it becomes part of our open record, since we are requesting something formal from them. So that – my position is that it stays informal. We have no idea who will ultimately hold this seat an I for one don't want to anger [inaudible] based upon what it is we're expecting them to do. So I'm just going to leave it like that.

There is a sense of decorum when somebody's elected and sometimes they don't forget things.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, if I could. Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Stefanics, I received feedback after the last time we went through this process and the feedback I received was that it would be nice to know more about what specifically the individual's going to do, or how they're going to govern. Maybe not necessarily every decision that they're going to make but what type of thought process are they going to go through in their decision-making process. So I guess I'm a little confused. We go to the electorate and we tell them this is who I am and what I'm going to try to do for your

community and in this scenario that we're going through we're being asked to recommend somebody that's going to represent a broad area of the community. So I guess I'm confused as to how that's any different. Is it because they're a legislator and we – I guess I'm trying to understand. We're being asked to put someone forward. We're being asked to put somebody forward that's going to represent an area. Are there any questions that might be plausible and reasonable? Because what I'm hearing is that you're suggesting that the question might cross a line into offending them in some way. I'm just trying, like in the question I wrote, I'm just trying to say, well, how are you going to include your community? So that's what I'm hearing. Well, what I'm hearing in District 3 is is this representative going to represent our interests too, or are they just going to represent an urban interest? Or vice versa?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Well, Commissioner Stefanics, I think that the question that you have proposed and the more general question of how would you represent a district is a totally appropriate question for somebody to share with us. I don't know that we want them to share it in writing, because it becomes then part of a public record, versus sharing it with us verbally. That's my point on that issue.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: And where would they do that? I guess I'm just trying to – because if they do it in a meeting, if we convene ourselves in any meeting, and they make any statements, that's part of the public record.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: It is, but they also have the opportunity to present what they want to or not. We didn't do this in a prior time, and I'm not saying that was right or wrong, but people just quickly moved to who they wanted last time. And we had very little dialogue about it. It just kind of happened. I also am being rather pragmatic in that there's going to be a wide-open election come this spring. I don't believe the nominee or the appointee will necessarily be the next legislator permanent. That's all I'm saying. So I'm interested in hearing what everybody has to say. I'm just kind of voicing some opinions here.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So, Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, if it wasn't a formal question, then I could understand I guess to a point. Would it be us inviting them to a meeting, and then we each could ask whatever questions we saw fit as Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Or we invite them – maybe – I know that we wanted to try to do this at the first meeting in October, but it might be about what is the availability of these individuals, like the last time one of the individuals was a school teacher and wasn't available to just appear to do this. So we might want to find out availability. We might want to spread it out over a couple of meetings, and we might also just want to pose some general questions and see how they respond. I do think that your question is, as I indicated, was a generic enough question so that we would start to hear a little bit about how a person thinks and what consideration they would give to their district.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So, Madam Chair, I would be amenable to a less formal written response but some opportunity to raise questions in a multiple meeting format so that we would have time to ask questions.

CHAIR HOLIAN: So, Katherine, is it clear how we are going to proceed.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, may I ask some questions please?

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you. Madam Chair, Katherine, I was just trying to look for an email. But as you did mention, there was going to be a meeting convened with potential interest – individuals interested in the position and I don't see why something can't be potentially noticed if all five of us wanted to show up, knowing that we're not going to take any action, we'd just show up and kind of sit in the seats and listen to what individuals have to say. I'm going to try to make my calendar to go out there and if individuals want to talk to me individually anybody is more than welcome to talk to me individually at any time. Some individuals have called me and spoken to me; some haven't. That's their prerogative. Just so everybody knows it.

I think we've done it in the past where we've noticed where we may be, may not be. I'm letting everybody know I'm going to try to make it. I don't know if I will make it. So if you all want to notice it, notice it. If you don't, don't. If there's a quorum there I'll probably leave. I won't probably leave, I'll be the one to leave because it's not my district but I do interact with our local delegation. So that's my two cents on that. As far as what the Commission is going to do – again, if individuals want to approach me I'll defer to my colleagues as what you all want to do as far as the role of what we're doing with our applicants. Thank you. That's all I had, Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, we talked about formal questions before but I think what I'm hearing is we're doing away with formal questions. So a couple meetings, inviting – checking their schedule and inviting them to come to some of our meetings in addition to whatever we might hear at other forums or discussions. But I'm hearing we want to hear from the members at the Commission level. Is that what you said, Commissioner Stefanics? At a meeting?

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioner Stefanics and Commissioner Mayfield, do you have any objection to the potential candidates coming to one of our meetings to address us?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Well, Madam Chair, I would be fine with that. What would we do if somebody has formally applied and could not make our day, either of our days? I don't know why. I'm just saying what if.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: We could send them a twit, or a tweet. What are they called?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioners, I found the email about the Democratic Party. So it is on Monday, September 30, for all, regardless of party affiliation. Those who apply for the appointment from Santa Fe County for the House District 50 seat, at the performance space, La Tienda at Eldorado, 7 Caliente Road. Now on Monday – do they put a time on that? Senator Peter Wirth will moderate, so I hope it will be informative, but I don't see a time. It's at 7:00, did someone say? It doesn't have a time in the email, but it is next Monday, if I could find out the time I could see if we could notice it for all of you to attend, if that's something you're interested in doing. So that would be one way to hear from them.

And then the second thing, we could put it on the agenda for the 8th and invite all of the applicants to come and speak to you and you could do some informal questions and

answers with them.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Well, Katherine, given the length of our meetings they would have a lot of different choices as far as times to come.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: What exactly are you implying?

MS. MILLER: So I guess my question would be would that be enough? And then would you like to have it on later in that meeting to have a selection? Or would you want to put it to the end of the month? I would be concerned because we're talking about another seven weeks or so to the end of the month.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Commissioners, do you have any feedback on that? Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, let's invite them. I would suggest let's invite them to that meeting. If we found a circumstance that there was one or multiple that couldn't do it then let's cross that bridge if we have to. But let's invite them and do what we can to attend the other forums. But I do think it would be good to have them in our chambers and ask them some general questions.

CHAIR HOLIAN: So, do we have a plan? We invite them to our next meeting, correct? But we have not decided whether we are going to notice it for making a decision. Perhaps we can be on the safe side and notice it at the meeting after the next one.

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, we can put it as possible action.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Possible. Yes, that's true. We don't have to.

MS. MILLER: If you have them all here and you know who you want to nominate you can make the action then. If you want more information or to provide more opportunity then we can cross that bridge when we get to it.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Sounds like a plan.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, Ms. Miller, you may have stated this, but one, you have not received a request, an official request, a formal request from the Governor's office asking that we get a name to the Governor?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, no, we have not been given a request from them or a timeline. Only just heard things along the line of when they receive nominations from all the counties.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And second, when you do receive that request, they do respect our timeline with our meeting process, right. So we would have ample, or at least two-week notice if we are past a meeting?

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, I would request that if they did put out a notice. I have called them and asked for them to let me know, so that we would have ample time, if they had a deadline that they wanted, but I have not heard from them.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Thank you, Katherine. All done with Matters from the Manager? Do the Commissioners have any questions on the monthly reports? Seeing none, maybe we will move on to Matters from the County Attorney.

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

XVI. B. Public Safety Monthly Report
C. Human Resources Monthly Report
D. Public Works Monthly Report
E. Financial Monthly Report Ending August 31, 2013

Information was provided in the packet for these reports.

XVII. MATTERS FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY

A. Executive Session

1. Discussion of Pending or Threatened Litigation

i. In the Matter of Jemez Mountains Electric Cooperative, Inc. Advice Notice No. 66, Third Revised Rate Rider No. 2 and Original Rate Rider No. 4 and Original Rate Rider No. 5 Case No. 13-00202-UT

iii. Possible Litigation Concerning the Low-Water Crossing on Camino Estrellas

2. Limited Personnel Matters

3. Discussion of the Purchase, Acquisition or Disposal of Real Property or Water Rights

i. Acquisition of Pojoaque Ball Fields

CHAIR HOLIAN: Steve, do we need an executive session?

MR. ROSS: Madam Chair, we need a closed executive session to discuss some of the items listed under pending or threatened litigation. The small ii under 1 is still not ready to be discussed. We have a limited personnel issue and we have the item listed under 3. Acquisition of the Pojoaque ball fields to discuss.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Yes, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: I would move we go into executive session to discuss the items listed on the agenda.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I will second.

CHAIR HOLIAN: Roll call please.

The motion to go into executive session pursuant to NMSA Section 10-15-1-H (2, 7 and 8) to discuss the matters delineated above passed upon unanimous roll call vote with Commissioners Anaya, Mayfield, Stefanics and Holian all voting in the affirmative. [Commissioner Chavez was not present for this action.]

[The Commission met in closed session from 7:55 to 8:30.

Upon motion by Commissioner Anaya and second by Commissioner Mayfield, the Commission returned to open session. It was announced that no action was taken in closed session and the only items discussed were those listed in the agenda.

XVIII. ADJOURNMENT

Having completed the agenda and with no further business to come before this body, Chair Holian declared this meeting adjourned at approximately 8:30 p.m.

Approved by:

Kathy Holian

Board of County Commissioners
Kathy Holian, Chair

ATTEST TO:

Geraldine Salazar

GERALDINE SALAZAR
SANTA FE COUNTY CLERK

Respectfully submitted:

Karen Farrell

Karen Farrell, Wordswork
453 Cerrillos Road
Santa Fe, NM 87501

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

EXHIBIT

/

Paul Olafson

From: Dag Ryen [dryen@heliconwest.com]
Sent: Friday, August 30, 2013 1:44 PM
To: Paul Olafson
Cc: Adam Leigland
Subject: Capital Investment Advisory Committee
Attachments: Curriculum Vitae.doc; ATT00002.htm

August 30, 2013

Paul M. Olafson
Deputy Director,
Projects, Facilities and Open Space Division
Public Works Department
Santa Fe County
PO Box 276
Santa Fe, NM 87504-0276

Dear Mr. Olafson:

I am writing to express my interest in serving on the newly created Capital Investment Advisory Committee for Santa Fe County. I have been a resident of Santa Fe County for eight years.

I am currently retired, but through a long career as a journalist and editor, I have understood and emphasized the importance of accountability and transparency in governmental affairs. Public advisory groups such as the Capital Investment Advisory Committee can play an important role in that regard. I would welcome the opportunity to help ensure that our county's investment plan is well thought-out and provides maximum benefit to the community.

Over my career, I have had considerable experience dealing with state and local government issues, including issues relating to capital investment and land use. As research director for the Kentucky League of Cities, my staff and I provided direct assistance and analysis on tax, impact fees, investment and land use issues to municipalities across the Commonwealth. Prior to that, as public relations director for the Council of State Governments, I led a team of researchers, analysts and editors who disseminated information on a broad range of public policy issues to elected state officials across the country. At an early stage in my career, I served as administrative assistant to the Mayor of Lexington, Kentucky. I currently serve on the Board of Directors of the Eldorado Community Improvement Association, the homeowners association for the largest unincorporated residential development in New Mexico.

A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Dag Ryen
6 Encantado Circle
Santa Fe, NM 87508

(505) 466-4063

Curriculum Vitae

DAG RYEN

...is a journalist, political scientist and teacher who has written and lectured extensively on international affairs, government accountability and government/media relations. He has professional experience at all levels of government: local, state, national and international, and 25 years of editorial experience. He has held senior management positions with responsibility for budgets of up to $2 million and a staff of up to 25. He began his career as foreign correspondent for the Norwegian News Service (NTB), and spent more than a decade as executive editor for the Council of State Governments, where he oversaw the monthly magazine, *State Government News*, and numerous other publications. Prior to his retirement in 2006, he was a senior director at the Kentucky League of Cities while also teaching international affairs at the University of Kentucky's Patterson School of Diplomacy and International Commerce.

Education: A.B., Politics, Princeton University, Princeton, N.J., 1967.
Graduate work in International Affairs, George Washington Univ., Washington, D.C., January-May, 1968.
M.A., History, (concentration on U.S. diplomatic history), University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY., 1992.

Professional Experience:

1996-2006 Adjunct faculty, Patterson School of Diplomacy and International Commerce, University of Kentucky. Taught graduate level courses on international affairs, government/media relations, non-traditional actors in international affairs, and the media's role in international affairs. Assisted students with internships and placement services. Also, adjunct faculty, Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond, Ky. Taught courses on international affairs and Kentucky politics.

1998-2006 Director of Research, Kentucky League of Cities, Lexington, Ky. Managed a team of individuals responsible for research into municipal and local issues for statewide organization, including reference library and quick response activities.

1987-1998 Senior staff of Council of State Governments (CSG), Lexington, Ky. From 1994-1998, Senior Fellow, CSG's Center for International Affairs. Coordinated global grant and contract activities and international awareness effort for state officials. Conducted intergovernmental affairs and media relations training for senior officials in Kazakhstan as part of a USIA-funded University of Kentucky/CSG partnership. Arranged study tours for senior state officials to Hong Kong, Taiwan and Belgium.

Organized peer-to-peer public administration seminars for visiting officials from Poland, Morocco, Ukraine, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Czech Republic, Slovak Republic, Hungary, Latvia and Croatia. Featured speaker on government-media relations at conferences in Austria, Germany, Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan.

From 1987-1995, executive editor and director of international and public affairs, CSG. Led editorial and production teams in the creation of national public policy publications, including *State Government News*, the *Journal of State Governments*, and the *Book of the States*. Developed and administered annual budgets of up to $2 million and managed large communications and publications staff. Served as national media spokesperson for CSG. Developed briefing papers and presentations for state officials on health care, international trade, transportation and other public policy issues. Published reports on policy developments in Japan, the Marianas and Kazakhstan. Wrote monthly editorials on state government issues and intergovernmental affairs.

1979-1987 Independent business owner, Lexington, Ky. Publishing and editorial services provided to public and private clients. Generated market analyses and land use management reports for developers and environmental public interest groups. Commissioned to write community history text for use in public schools. Served on local redistricting committee and environmental protection board.

1977-1979 Administrative assistant to mayor of Lexington, Ky. Researched and wrote position papers on local policy issues. Responded to and tracked public inquiries about government services. Provided liaison to merged city-county council members. Developed public commentary plan for evaluation of cable television services. Analyzed and developed alternative options for local juvenile justice system.

1975-1977 Assistant editor, *Noroil*, Stavanger, Norway. Assisted with creation, lay-out and publication of oil and gas industry trade monthly. Reported on North Sea exploration and production developments and technological innovations in the petrochemical industry.

1971-1975 Associate editor, foreign desk, Norwegian Wire Service (NTB), Oslo, Norway. As member of international news team, provided reporting, editing, commentary and translation services for 86 client newspapers and radio and television stations. Served as contributing correspondent for UPI, Reuter, Associated Press, Jewish Telegraph Agency and other international news services. Foreign assignments included reporting from Great Britain, Switzerland, France, Sweden and Denmark.

Languages: Fluent in English and Norwegian; basic French and Swedish.

SPC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

Personal: Married to Lajla Ryen; five children.
U.S. Citizen.
Permanent address: 6 Encantado Circle, Santa Fe, NM, 87508.
Freelance theater critic. From 1986-2006, reviewed more than 300 shows for the *Lexington Herald-Leader* and *Southern Theater*.

Selected Publications:

"American States Confront the World: New Options in International Affairs," Diplomatic Studies Programme, No. 45, Leicester University, Leicester, England, 1998.

"The ABCs of World Trade: A Handbook for State Officials," with Susan Zelle, The Council of State Governments, Lexington, Ky., 1997.

"Indiana to India," *Foreign Service Journal*, Vol. 37, April, 1997.

"State Action in a Global Framework," *The Book of the States*, Vol. 31, The Council of State Governments, Lexington, Ky., 1996.

"The American States in the Global Arena," Proceedings of the Second Transatlantic Conference, The Atlantic Academy, Kaiserslautern, Germany, 1996.

"Lights Out for the Territories?" *Utne Reader*, No. 59, September-October, 1993.

Traces: The Story of Lexington's Past, Lexington-Fayette County Historic Commission, Lexington, Ky., 1987.

This Trembling Land, The Helicon Company, Lexington, Ky., 1982 (Juvenile novel).

Hamre, Leif, *Operation Arctic*, Brockhampton Press, London, 1977.
(Translation of juvenile novel from Norwegian to English)

Public Presentations:

"American Involvement in Vietnam and the European Press," American History Association Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C., October 28. 1992.

"Prioritizing Public Policy Issues," CLEAR Annual Conference, Toronto, Canada, September 23, 1992.

"The Role of States and Regions at an International Level," Sitzung der Kommission III: Versammlung der Regionen Europas, Ried, Austria, May 17, 1996.

"Aspects of Regional Influence on International Issues," Transatlantic Conference of Rheinland-Pfalz Atlantische Akademie, Kusel, Germany, June 10, 1996.

"Mass Media and National Identity," USIA/ACTR/ACCELS Conference on Independent Media in Central Asia, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, November 23, 1996.

"Building Global Communities," Appalachian Regional Council Annual Meeting, Lexington Ky., October 25, 1997.

"Economic and Administrative Problems in Decentralized Political Systems," Department of State of Puerto Rico International Forum, San Juan, Puerto Rico, October 29, 1997.

"Local and Regional Authority in a Global Context," International Conference on the 350th Anniversary of the Peace of Westphalia, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands, July 17, 1998.

"Sovereignty Revisited: Who Does What to Whom?." Kentucky Political Science Association 37th Annual Meeting, University of Louisville, Louisville, Ky., Mar 6, 1998.

"The Role of Intelligence Agencies and Non-Government Actors in Preventing Human Rights Tragedies," with Robert Pringle, Kentucky Political Science Association 38th Annual Meeting, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Ky., March 5, 1999.

THOMAS M. SLADE

4236 Sundance St., Santa Fe, NM
505-471-4970
Sladetom1@gmail.com

SUMMARY

1985-present Retired due to advancing Multiple Sclerosis disability. Has a strong background in Community Development which includes extensive experience working with State and Local governments.

EXPERIENCE

1986-2006 Licensed Indiana Real Estate Broker

1980-1985 Dir. Of Professional Services, *Historical Landmarks of Indiana, Indianapolis, IN*

1979-1980 Executive Director, *Wisconsin Heritages, Milwaukee, WI*

Directed operations for the restoration of the Captain Frederick Pabst mansion which included obtaining a Community Development Block grant.

1972-1979 Assistant Dir., Dept. of Historic Properties, *National for Historic Preservation, Washington D.C.*

Instrumental in acquiring the Frank Lloyd Wright home and studio in Oak Park, Ill. Developed plans for the mid-Hudson River Valley Historic District. Developed plans for the restoration of Drayton Hall, Charleston, S.C.

1970-1972 Assistant Professor, *Notre Dame University, South Bend, IN*

· Taught in the Dept. of Architecture

EDUCATION

1966-1970 PhD (ABD) Architectural History, *University of Chicago*

1964-1966 MA Humanities, *University of Buffalo*

1960-1960 BA Art History, *Northwestern University*

SEC CLERK RECEIVED 11/15/2023

Thomas Slade

4236 Sundance St., Santa Fe, NM 87507 | 505-471-4970 | sladetom1@gmail.com

August 28, 2013

Paul Olafson
P.O. Box 276
Santa Fe, NM 87504-0276

Dear Mr. Olafson:

I am enclosing my resume in application to be a volunteer member of the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee. As a resident of Santa Fe County I am very interested in assisting the Board of County Commissioners with the capital improvement plan (CIP). I believe that my having been handicapped with a physical disability brings a special perspective and understanding to the issues facing this Committee.

Sincerely,

Thomas Slade

Paul M. Olafson
Deputy Director,
Projects, Facilities and Open Space Division
Public Works Department
Santa Fe County
P.O. Box 276
Santa Fe, NM 87504-0276

August 30, 2013

Mr. Olafson:

I would like to be considered for membership to the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee of Santa Fe County. I have been a resident of the county since 1999 and a homeowner in the county since 2004.

My resume shows I have a wide range of employment experiences including real estate, hospitality and government. I believe this variety of experience gives me a good background knowledge that would be helpful to carrying out the mission of the Committee.

I am concerned about impacts of growth in the county and support the establishment and use of impact fees in order to equitably distribute the cost of infrastructure and service needs and other growth-related costs.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Magee
3 Tesuque Meadow
P.O. Box 8821
Santa Fe, NM 87504

505 982-9638

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

KATHLEEN A. MAGEE
P.O. Box 8821
Santa Fe, NM 87504-8821
(505) 982-9639, cell 670-8093

SEC CLERK RECEIVED 11/25/2013

SUMMARY: My experience as an Executive Secretary and Personal Assistant to Cabinet Secretary Arturo Jaramillo first at the State of New Mexico Regulation and Licensing Department and then at the General Services Department, has given me a good working knowledge of state government and the particular challenges of managing state agencies. I add this knowledge to my previous experience working in the hospitality, banking, real estate and travel industries in Santa Fe. I have supervised employees, coordinated projects and reporting, set up meetings, produced employee events and trainings, scheduled executive calendars, taken minutes and tracked follow up assignments. I am a trained mediator and was responsible for timely and diplomatic responding to constituent and customer inquiries and complaints. I am a self-starter eager to take on new tasks and assignments.

EDUCATION:

Bachelor of Arts Degree, Psychology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington
Associate of Arts Degree, Cottey College, Nevada Missouri
High School Diploma, Mark Morris High, Longview, Washington

WORK EXPERIENCE:

1/2013-3/2013 **Bill Analyst, House Agriculture and Water Committee,** New Mexico State Legislature 60-day Session

1/2012-2/2012 **Bill Analyst, House Ag Water Committee and House Energy and Natural Resources Committee,** New Mexico State Legislature 30-day session.

1/2011-3/2011 **Legislative Aid** to Representatives James White and Representative Jeannette Wallace, New Mexico State Legislature 60-day session.

1/2006-1/2011 **Executive Secretary and Personal Assistant, Office of the Secretary, General Services Department (GSD) State of New Mexico.** My primary assignment was to assist the Cabinet Secretary, Arturo Jaramillo in any way possible to insure efficient and effective management of the department's seven divisions and 350 employees. My duties included: scheduling the Cabinet Secretary's calendar, primary contact and constituent services liaison with the Governor/Lt. Governor's offices to insure timely and thorough responding to constituent inquiries. I monitored and dispatched the Secretary's emails and phone calls; organized Director's Meetings and follow-up assignments. I organized special events such as building dedications, award ceremonies, management retreats and employee trainings. I assisted the Secretary with special assignments such as the Ethics Task Force, Vendor Insurance Feasibility Study as well as large projects within the agency such as the Health and Human Services Complex Development and the College of Santa Fe Land Purchase. I attended legislative committee hearings and committee meetings with the Cabinet Secretary to assist and facilitate my secretary's goals and agency agenda.

1/2003-1/2006 **Executive Secretary and Personal Assistant, Superintendent Office, New Mexico Regulation & Licensing Department (RLD).** As the assistant to the Superintendent of the largest regulatory agency in state government that licenses over 250,000 individuals in 35 diverse professions my duties included: Constituent Services Liaison; scheduling Directors and Administrators Meetings and tracking follow-up tasks; Administrator for the Governor's Council on Physical Fitness and Health which supported nutritional and physical fitness legislation; assisted with the Animal Sheltering Services legislation; staffed the Pay-Day Lending Taskforce which worked to create regulations for that industry; wrote articles for

"Round the Round House"; represented RLD on the Lt. Governor's Small Business tours of the state.

3/2001-1/2003 **Policy Analyst for Resource & Environment, Office of Lt. Governor Walter Bradley**. My focus was land use and natural resource issues. I often worked collaboratively with federal and state agencies, tribal representatives, private citizens and non-governmental organizations regarding land management issues of mutual interest and responsibility. I represented the Lt. Governor on various state and federal collaborative efforts serving as: Honorary Co-Chair for the BLM Resource Advisory Council; member of the Interim Steering Committee for the Rio Grande Silvery Minnow Collaborative Program, and the Elk/Livestock Task Force. I participated in drafting the Southwest Strategy sponsored Memorandum of Understanding for Coordinated Resource Management in New Mexico. I worked with the State Engineer and Interstate Stream Commission regarding various statewide water issues and with the Director of New Mexico Game and Fish regarding wildlife issues.

7/1995-2/2001 **Executive Secretary and Personal Assistant to the General Manager, La Posada de Santa Fe Resort and Spa**. Participated in hotel management and served as the corporate board secretary. I was the Resident Agent for the hotel on the New Mexico State Liquor License. While acting as the Human Resources Director, one of my greatest accomplishments was facilitating the structured equitable discharge of 150 workers without a single protest during the hotel's closing for renovations and then rehiring many of these same people when the hotel reopened.

3/1994-7/1995 **Realtor and Project Assistant for Development of *the Frijoles Venture/Aldea Village*.** As a licensed realtor and project assistant, I helped to develop the public and political support necessary to acquire the permits and approvals needed for this new concept of land development in Santa Fe, the *neo traditional village*. We conducted public meetings, did general mailings, met with county commissioners and city councilors, set up radio talk show interviews and newspaper articles.

12/1992-3/1994 **Quail Run Residential Resort**. Duties: Reception, telephones, mail, spa desk.

8/1991-12/1992 **Inn of the Anasazi**. Duties: Bartender, Reception Desk and Reservations.

10/1986-8/1991 **Travel Consultant, Travel Works & Blue Sky Travel**. Duties: Comprehensive travel related services, tour planning and ticket sales.

3/1995-10/1986 **First National Bank of Santa Fe,** Administrative Assistant to CEO.

5/1980-3/1985 **Burro Alley Properties,** Realtor and Office Manager.

ACTIVITIES:

PEO, Past President and Scholarship Committee Chairman
Museum of New Mexico Foundation Member
Georgia O'Keeffe Museum Member & Women of Distinction Supporter

STRENGTHS:

Communication: I communicate well when speaking and writing; I am comfortable and effective when communicating with management, staff and the general public. I am diplomatic and a good liaison between different personality types. My training in emotional intelligence and mediation has helped me to develop these skills.

Leadership: I have chaired meetings, committees, councils and served as president of a philanthropic organization that promotes education for women. I am accustomed to coordinating with others to develop timelines and strategies to deliver completed projects

on time. As an effective leader, I rely upon good planning, communication and follow-up skills to achieve my goals.

INTERESTS: Golf, tennis, skiing, gardening, music, art and travel.

REFERENCES: Arturo Jaramillo, former Cabinet Secretary, NM General Services Department. 988-4476
Bill Taylor, former Director Property Control Division, NM General Services Department currently SF County Procurement Officer. 986-6373

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

EXHIBIT 2

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
1	FY13-0045	Renovate RECC Facility Expansion & Equipment	5,000 sq. ft. expansion to RECC to house dispatch staff. Also to purchase communication radios, training room equipment, screens/monitors/computers), and a residential information system.	Facilities	$2,800,000	1	1	1	1	1	$2,800,000
2	FY13-0046	Purchase Fire Equipment County Wide	Purchase and upgrade self contained breathing apparatus, personal protection equipment and defibrillation equipment replacement.	Other	$1,000,000	1	1	1	1	1	$3,800,000
3	FY13-0047	Purchase Santa Fe County Public Works Equipment	purchase heavy equiptment, tractors, water trucks etc.	Other	$1,500,000	1	1	1	1	1	$5,300,000
4	FY13-0048	Upgrades to the SF County Public Housing Sites	This will allow for upgrades to the Section 8 housing sites in SFC	Facilities	$1,500,000	1	0	1	0	0	$6,800,000
5	FY13-0049	Upgrade of Utilities at Santa Fe County Fairgrounds	Necessary upgrades for the construction of the new Extension Agent facility. Includes water and wastewater as well as power uprades to the site	Facilities	$200,000	1	1	1	1	1	$7,000,000
6	FY13-0050	Upgrade Irrigation Works to the Acequia de Baranco Blanco	Design and upgrade of the acequia to allow for a smooth and efficient water flow	Water	$50,000	1	0	0	0	0	$7,050,000
7	FY13-0053	Perform Feasibility Study for Sewer System within the Airport Development District.	Feasibility Study for Sewer System to serve existing and future facilities off Caja del Rio Road	Utilities	$100,000	0	1	0	0	0	$7,150,000
8	FY13-0054	DELETE Build an Agricultural Revitalization Institute Community Farm	Involve the community and local farmers in an organization that provide organic fruits and vegetable	Water	$1,000,000	0	0	0	0	0	$8,150,000
9	FY13-0055	Construct Agua Fria Roundabout Prairie Dog Loop, entrance to park, La Famila Medical Center and CR62	Ths will allow for a roundabout for prarie dog loop, the entrance to the park, La Famila Medical Center.	Roads	$250,000	0	1	0	0	0	$8,400,000
10	FY13-0056	Perform Feasibility Study for Agua Fria Community Garden & Flood Control Project	Agricultural use in the form of a community garden as part of the discharge plan for storm water.	Water	$100,000	0	1	0	0	0	$8,500,000
11	FY13-0057	Design and Construct Sewer Extension within the Agua Fria Village	Extension of wastewater collection service to serve residential and commercial areas of the Agua Fria community	Utilities	$1,000,000	0	1	0	0	0	$9,500,000
12	FY13-0058	Construct Water line to serve the Agua Fria Community	Line extention from the BDD master meter	Utilities	$1,000,000	0	1	0	0	0	$10,500,000

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
13	FY13-0059	Agua Fria Drainage Plan	Develop a drainage plan to address stormwater to include catchment ponds versus storm drains.	Water	$25,000	0	1	0	0	0	$10,525,000
14	FY13-0060	Construct Agua Fria Pedistrian Access	Pedestrian access from the Community Center to the Romero Park. This will be by means of a speed hump crossing, under CR 62, or a pedesrian bridge.	Roads	$100,000	0	1	0	0	0	$10,625,000
15	FY13-0061	Construct Recycling Facility - Agua Fria Village	Plan, design, and construct, aquire property for a facility for residents to take their recycling	Facilities	$1,400,000	0	1	0	0	0	$12,025,000
16	FY13-0062	Improve river bank and protect sewer line for Agua Fria Community	Improvements to the Santa Fe River to include stream bank stabilization and sewer line protection.	Water	$250,000	0	1	0	0	0	$12,275,000
17	FY13-0064	Construct of Agua Fria Road Roundabout and Henry Lynch Road	Construction of a roundabout at Henery Lynch, and Agua Fria.	Roads	$200,000	0	1	0	0	0	$12,475,000
18	FY13-0065	Construct Bus Shelters - Agua Fria Road	Construction of five bus shelters along Agua Fria Road.	Facilities	$150,000	0	1	0	0	0	$12,625,000
19	FY13-0066	Purchase Agua Fria Road Solar Driver Feedback Signs	the Village of Agua Fria would like these types of sign throughou the Village limits.	Roads	$100,000	0	1	0	0	0	$12,725,000
20	FY13-0067	Construct a Senior Center for the Village of Agua Fria, and surrounding residents.	Construct a 3,500 sq. ft. senior center for the residents of Agua Fria and surrounding residents.	Facilities	$1,500,000	0	1	0	0	0	$14,225,000
21	FY13-0068	Perform Engineering Study for Agua Fria Utility Corridor Plan	Establish utility corridor or shared easements for water, sewer, wastewater/drainage, electric, gas, telephone and cable.	Other	$300,000	0	1	0	0	0	$14,525,000
22	FY13-0069	Upgrade Agua Fria Water System and Purchase Water Rights	Design of a community water system for the Agua Fria area and purchase of in basin water rights	Water	$425,000	0	1	0	0	0	$14,950,000
23	FY13-0070	Upgrade Arroyo Alamo West (CR 88D) Drainage Improvements	Uprades for CR 88D, for proper and safe drainage.	Roads	$1,000,000	1	0	0	0	0	$15,950,000
24	FY13-0071	Construct Arroyo Hondo Trail	5 mile trail construction connecting the Rail Trail to Avenida del Sur.	Parks	$5,600,000	0	0	0	0	1	$21,550,000
25	FY13-0072	Construct Arroyo Hondo Trail Bridge	Construction of a bridge spanning the Arroyo Hondo near Richards Avenue	Parks	$1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1	$22,550,000
26	FY13-0073	Construct Avenida Azul Muti-Use Trail	One mile asphalt multi-use trail along Avenida Azul for pedestrians and bicyclists.	Parks	$550,000	0	0	0	0	1	$23,100,000

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
27	FY13-0076	Avenida de Amistad Multi-Use Trail		Parks	$45,000	0	0	0	0	1	$23,145,000
28	FY13-0077	Construct Avenida Eldorado Multi-Use Trail Extension		Parks	$80,000	0	0	0	0	1	$23,225,000
29	FY13-0078	Upgrade Avendia Ponderosa Chip Seal	.59 miles of chip seal to be done by a contractor	Roads	$120,000	0	0	0	0	1	$23,345,000
30	FY13-0079	Upgrade Balsa Road Improvements and Trail	Consist of Chip seal of 1.2 miles on Balsa Road, done by a contractor.	Roads	$240,000	0	0	0	0	1	$23,585,000
31	FY13-0081	Upgrade Calle Victoriano	Base Course 4.35 miles to be done by a contractor	Roads	$566,000	0	1	0	0	0	$24,151,000
32	FY13-0082	Improve Camino Capilla Vieja- Clear and Stage Fencing	Improve roadway drainage along approximately 1 mile of La Capilla Vieja Road.	Roads	$225,000	0	0	1	0	0	$24,376,000
33	FY13-0083	Upgrade Camino Chupadero Stormwater Improvements	Adjust culvert depth for Acequia and widen County Road to standards, speed bumps, inlet pipe diversion structure.	Roads	$332,900	1	0	0	0	0	$24,708,900
34	FY13-0084	Improvements to Camino La Capilla Vieja Improvements	The residents of the La Cienega area are requesting funding to improve roadway drainage along approximately 1 mile of La Capilla Vieja Road	Roads	$250,000	0	0	1	0	0	$24,958,900
35	FY13-0086	Improve Camino La Tierra - Redesign Mailbox Turnout	The residents of La Tierra are requesting funding for the re-design of the mailbox turnout. The re-design is needed in order to ensure the safety of residents accessing their mailboxes	Roads	$200,000	0	1	0	0	0	$25,158,900
36	FY13-0087	Upgrade Camino La Tierra Road Improvements	2" Asphalt Over lay	Roads	$1,300,000	0	1	0	0	0	$26,458,900
37	FY13-0089	Improve Camino San Jose Road	The improvements will include the acquisition of easements, drainage and asphalt on approximately 1.3 miles of roadway	Roads	$178,000	0	0	1	0	0	$26,636,900
38	FY13-0090	Improve Camino Sudeste Road	Chip seal .64 miles	Roads	$128,000	0	0	0	1	0	$26,764,900
39	FY13-0091	Upgrade Camino Tetzcoco Road Improvements	Chip seal .63 miles.	Roads	$126,000	0	0	0	1	0	$26,890,900
40	FY13-0092	Construct Water line for Canoncito Water System Project		Water	$5,510,000	0	0	0	1	0	$32,400,900

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
41	FY13-0093	Design and Construct Wastewater Collection System for Carlson Subdivision	Provide wastewater collection and eliminaion of old individual septic tanks for aporoximatly 100 homes.	Utilities	$100,000	0	0	0	0	1	$32,500,900
42	FY13-0094	Design and Construct Water Distribution System for Carlson Subdivision	Install 12,000 feet of 8" distribution water lines, complete with fire hydrants and other pertiment fixtures.	Utilities	$526,000	0	0	0	0	1	$33,026,900
43	FY13-0095	Cedar, Willow, Oak, N. Pinon, Juniper Improvements	Improvements will include base course and culverts of the roadway. The existing surface has deteriorated and contains numerous potholes throughout its length	Roads	$500,000	0	0	0	0	0	$33,526,900
44	FY13-0097	DELETE Construct a Cerrillos Communtiy Center and Park		Parks	$1,500,000	0	0	1	0	0	$35,026,900
45	FY13-0098	Design and Construct water line to serve Churchill Road- CCD	Install 2,300 feet of 8 inch distribution water line complete with fire hydrants and other pertiment fixtures at the end of Churchill Road.	Utilities	$196,693	0	0	0	0	1	$35,223,593
46	FY13-0099	Chupadero/Tesuque Fire Department Hydrant		Utilities	$50,000	1	0	0	0	0	$35,273,593
47	FY13-0100	Upgrade Chupadero Water System		Water	$59,566	1	0	0	0	0	$35,333,159
48	FY13-0101	Improve Cochiti East and West Road Improvements	Improvements to Cedar, Willow, Oak, N. Pinon, and Juniper Roads off of South Fork. The improvements will include base course and culverts of the roadway	Roads	$125,000	0	0	0	0	1	$35,458,159
49	FY13-0102	County Road 101B Improvements	The road is severely eroded with numerous potholes causing an unsafe driving environment	Roads	$150,000	1	0	0	0	0	$35,608,159
50	FY13-0103	Construct County Road 115 Low Water Crossing	The crossing poses a safety hazard due to erosion after flooding or acequia overflow; at these times crossing the arroyo is virtually impossible, rendering the roadway impassable for many hours	Roads	$1,200,000	1	0	0	0	0	$36,808,159
51	FY13-0104	Improve County Road 12B Improvements	This will consist of placing chip seal on existing road for 2.5 miles	Roads	$500,000	0	0	1	0	0	$37,308,159
52	FY13-0106	Upgrades to County Road 42 Speed Reduction	This will consist of adding traffic reduction devices based on Public Woks recommendation.	Roads	$30,000	0	0	1	0	1	$37,338,159

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
53	FY13-0107	Upgrade County Road 45	Resurfacing of County Road 45, and speed reduction from State Road 14 to Highview Lane.	Roads	$1,300,000	0	0	1	0	0	$38,638,159
54	FY13-0111	County Road 50F		Roads	$127,137	0	0	0	0	0	$38,765,296
55	FY13-0112	Upgrade County Road 51	Project will consist of 3 miles of chip seal.	Roads	$600,000	0	0	1	0	0	$39,365,296
56	FY13-0115	Upgarade County Road 62, Agua Fria Rd.	The project will consist of 2.1 miles of chip seal.	Roads	$420,000	0	1	0	0	0	$39,785,296
57	FY13-0116	Improve County Road 63, La Joya Area	The improvements will include grading and base course of the roadway	Roads	$100,000	0	0	0	1	0	$39,885,296
58	FY13-0117	Upgrade County Road 78 Improvements	The improvements will include drainage, culverts and paving of approximately one mile of roadway.	Roads	$200,000	1	0	0	0	0	$40,085,296
59	FY13-0118	Upgrade County Road 98	This will be for phase II, which will be for guard rail, and walking shoulder on the East side of the road, Phase II.	Roads	$1,000,000	1	0	0	0	0	$41,085,296
60	FY13-0120	Upgrade County Road 84 Speed Reduction	This will require P/W Department to assess the area and determine what type of speed reduction devices are required.	Roads	$20,000	1	0	0	0	0	$41,105,296
61	FY13-0121	Improve County Road 104	Chip seal .57 miles of road	Roads	$115,000	1	0	0	0	0	$41,220,296
62	FY13-0126	Upgrade County Road 2B - HMA Paving & Drainage	Will consists of Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) for .35 miles.	Roads	$165,000	0	0	1	0	0	$41,385,296
63	FY13-0130	Upgrade County Road 88 Traffic Calming	This will require P/W Department to assess the area and determine what type of speed reduction devices are required.	Roads	$100,000	1	0	0	0	0	$41,485,296
64	FY13-0132	Upgrade County Road 89 B Improvements	The project will include paving and drainage upgrades for approximately .36 miles.	Roads	$400,000	1	0	0	0	0	$41,885,296
65	FY13-0133	Upgrade County Road 98 Guard Rail and Safety Fencing	This will be for phase II, which will be for guard rail, and walking shoulder on the East side of the road, Phase II.	Roads	$1,150,000	1	0	0	0	0	$43,035,296
66	FY13-0134	Upgrade County Road 89E	Santa Fe County Residents are requesting funding for the implementation of flood control and berming bridge to North County Road 89E.	Roads	$889,501	1	0	0	0	0	$43,924,797

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
67	FY13-0135	Cuatro Villas Transmission Line for Sombrillo Elem	Project complete. Funded though WTB grant to Cuatro Villas	Water	$500,000	1	0	0	0	0	$44,424,797
68	FY13-0136	Cuatro Villas/Greater Chimayo	Connect Cuatro Villas with Grater Chimayo	Water	$250,000	1	0	0	0	0	$44,674,797
69	FY13-0138	Create El Mirador Records Infrasturcture		Other	$400,000	0	0	0	0	1	$45,074,797
70	FY13-0139	Upgrade Eldorado Monument/Sign	This will require an individual to go and assess the project and determine what it will cost to replace the letters.	Roads	$20,000	0	0	0	0	1	$45,094,797
71	FY13-0141	Construct Wastewater Collection System in Edgewood	Assist in the development of a wastewater treatment system to serve the Town of Edgewood and surrounding areas.	Water	$100,000	0	0	1	0	0	$45,194,797
72	FY13-0142	Upgrade Water System at the Tank 4 Site - Eldorado Water and Sanitation District	Installation of: water pressure booster pump, appurtenances and transmission lines at the EAWSDTank Four	Water	$300,000	0	0	0	0	1	$45,494,797
73	FY13-0143	Improvements to the Eldorado Community Ball Park	Santa Fe County is requesting funding to improve the Eldorado Community Ball Park.	Parks	$500,000	0	0	0	0	1	$45,994,797
74	FY13-0144	Consruct Eldorado To Community College Trail	Eldorado to Community College Community Trail	Parks	$1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1	$46,994,797
75	FY13-0145	Delete Upgrade Water Storage Capacity for Eldorado Water & Sanitation District	Same as project 24629 - Delete	Water	$0	0	0	0	0	1	$46,994,797
76	FY13-0146	Upgrade Eldorado Vista Grande Library Parking Lot	Paving of the parking lot. Parking lot is currently base coursed.	Facilities	$50,000	0	0	0	0	1	$47,044,797
77	FY13-0147	Construct Eldorado Area Teen Center	The residents of Eldorado are requesting funding to plan, design, acquire land for and equip a teen center to serve the area.	Facilities	$1,500,000	0	0	0	0	1	$48,544,797
78	FY13-0148	Construct Well House and Maintanince Faciltiy Eldorado Water & Sanitation District	Construction of a maintenance shop and well house facility for EAWSD	Water	$1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1	$49,544,797
79	FY13-0149	Perform an Eldorado to US Highway 285 Park/Trails Plan	Study for a park/trails plan for the Eldorado, US Highway 285 area.	Parks	$50,000	0	0	0	0	1	$49,594,797
80	FY13-0150	Upgrade Encantado Road Improvements	The project will consist of 2.11 miles of chip seal.	Roads	$422,000	1	0	0	0	0	$50,016,797
81	FY13-0151	Upgrade Entrada La Cienega	This will require SFC to hire A/E Services to determine the scope and cost of the project.	Roads	$500,000	0	0	1	0	0	$50,516,797
82	FY13-0152	Upgrade Fonda Road Improvements	The Project will consists of .4 miles of chip seal	Roads	$80,000	0	0	0	0	1	$50,596,797

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
83	FY13-0153	ConstructFood Depot	The Food Depot is requesting funding for a Warehouse/Facility to distribute food to County nonprofit organizations.	Facilities	$1,500,000	0	1	0	0	0	$52,096,797
84	FY13-0154	Ugrade Frasco Road	This will consist of .43 miles of chip seal	Roads	$90,000	0	0	0	0	1	$52,186,797
85	FY13-0156	Construct Galisteo Regional Trail Network Development	Develop a regional trail system that would connect the community(s) and surrounding areas.	Parks	$2,000,000	0	0	0	0	1	$54,186,797
86	FY13-0157	Improvements to the Galisteo Watts Park Improvements	Galisteo Watts Park, for a drip system installed consisting of drip tubing around the perimeter on the park, and a water timing control unit, and an electrical outlet.	Facilities	$11,000	0	0	1	0	0	$54,197,797
87	FY13-0158	Construct Sewer Interconnection in Glorieta Area	Phase II of Project FY-2013-0163 "Perform Feasibility Study for Glorieta Area Waste Water System" Will provide sewer service to 100 households	Utilities	$255,700	0	0	0	1	1	$54,453,497
88	FY13-0159	Glorieta Area Regional Water System Planning	Funded by 2012 GOB	Water	$100,000	0	0	0	0	1	$54,553,497
89	FY13-0162	Glorieta Area Tank Upgrade	DELETE - Project completed) Project #97 in SLDC CIP	Water	$0	0	0	0	1	0	$54,553,497
90	FY13-0163	Perform Feasibility Study for Glorieta Area Waste Water System	(THIS PROJECT WAS COMPLETED BY MOLZEN CORBIN AND ASSOCIATES IN 2011 WITH WTB FUNDING. NEW 2012 GOB FUNDS WILL PAY FOR THE EXECUTION OF RECOMMENDED FACILITIES)	Water	$75,000	0	0	0	1	0	$54,628,497
91	FY13-0164	Glorieta East MDWCA Water System Improvements	Delete Funded by 2012 GOB - Project #98 and #362 in 2010 CIP	Water	$675,000	0	0	0	1	0	$55,303,497
92	FY13-0165	Greater Chimayo Water System Improvements	Funded per JPA dated April 29, 2008 Project # 361 SLDC 2010 CIP	Water	$250,000	1	0	0	0	0	$55,553,497
93	FY13-0167	Upgrade Hidalgo Court Improvements	This will require .12 miles of chip seal	Roads	$25,000	0	0	0	0	1	$55,578,497
94	FY13-0168	Design and Construct District Water and Wastewater System Improvements- South Saint Francis	Design and construct county waterlines and create a regional wastewater system. Includes 7,000 ft of 12 in. 12,000 ft of 8 in. water lines and 16,000 ft sewer lines connected to the City's WWTP	Utilities	$2,510,000	0	0	0	1	0	$58,088,497

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
95	FY13-0169	Delete - Perform Feasibility Study of I-25 and Rabbit Road Water and Wastewater	Duplicate Project	Utilities	$0	0	0	0	1	0	$58,088,497
96	FY13-0170	Construct Water System I-25 and Rabbit Road Area	Extend county water service to serve existing residences and commercial users the area.	Utilities	$325,000	0	0	0	1	0	$58,413,497
97	FY13-0172	Construct water storage tank and improve water supply for La Bajada MDWCA	Replacement of the storage tank, pump and new well .	Water	$250,000	0	0	1	0	0	$58,663,497
98	FY13-0174	Upgrade Irrigation Well - Acequia de La Cienega	Upgrade a well and pumping system that provides supplemental water to community acequia systems	Water	$100,000	0	0	1	0	0	$58,763,497
99	FY13-0175	Perform Wastewater Master Planning for La Cienega / Cienguilla	Planning document describing wastewater demand, scenarios for treatment, life span costs and alternative funding opportunities for wastewater management and reuse system that will be owned by SFCU	Utilities	$120,000	0	0	1	0	0	$58,883,497
100	FY13-0176	Perform La Cienega Park and Trail Master Planning	Develop a regional trail system that would connect the community(s) and surrounding areas.	Parks	$150,000	0	1	1	0	0	$59,033,497
101	FY13-0177	Delete Design Waste Water System - La Cienega	Same as project No. 25667- delete	Utilities	$0	0	0	0	0	0	$59,033,497
102	FY13-0178	Renovate La Cienega Existing Community Center Library	Upgrade a portion of existing facility to be remodeled to a library to serve the community.	Facilities	$530,000	0	0	1	0	0	$59,563,497
103	FY13-0180	Aquire Land for New Community Center - La Cienega	Acquire land for a new Community Center in the La Cienega Area.	Facilities	$1,500,000	0	0	1	0	0	$61,063,497
104	FY13-0181	Improve Drainage on Los Pinos Road	Drainage improvements to Los Pinos Road which has steadily degraded with pot holes causing water to accumilate during rainfall.	Roads	$250,000	0	0	1	0	0	$61,313,497
105	FY13-0182	Perform Design Services and Construct Waterline on Paseo C de Baca- La Cienega		Water	$400,000	0	0	1	0	0	$61,713,497
106	FY13-0183	Design Waterline on Los Pinos Road - La Cienega (TL7S)	Design an extension of county water lines covering an area west of I-25 and outside the service area boundaries of La Cienega MDWA.	Utilities	$385,000	0	0	1	0	0	$62,098,497
107	FY13-0184	Construct a Park, Community Center for the La Cieneguilla Community	Construction of a park, community building for the La Cieneguilla Community	Parks	$1,500,000	0	0	1	0	0	$63,598,497
108	FY13-0185	Uprade La Junta del Alamo Paving	This will require chip seal of approximately .17 of a mile.	Roads	$35,000	0	1	0	0	0	$63,633,497

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
109	FY13-0187	Delete- Las Campanas Area Water Line		Utilities	$0	0	1	0	0	0	$63,633,497
110	FY13-0188	Perform Feasiblity Study for Sanitary Sewer Service on Lopez Lane	Examine and explore the best options for construction of sewer lines along Lopez Lane to Rufina Road	Wastewater	$50,000	0	1	0	0	0	$63,683,497
111	FY13-0189	Purchase Lopez Lane/Rufina Right of Way	Purchase of R/W to allow for upgrades to that intersection.	Roads	$100,000	0	1	0	0	0	$63,783,497
112	FY13-0190	Replace Lift Station Facility for Vista Aurora Sewer System	Replace the existing undersized wastewater lift station facility at Aurora/Lopez Lane intersection with a propoerly sized unit.	Wastewater	$102,000	0	1	0	0	0	$63,885,497
113	FY13-0191	Perform Madrid Waste Water System Feasibilty Study	Examine the benefits of eliminating septic systems and determine what system or systems would best serve the waste water needs of the community	Wastewater	$100,000	0	0	1	0	0	$63,985,497
114	FY13-0192	Construct Bike Path - Monte Alto Road	A/E services will be hired and proposal will determine type of trail.	Roads	$100,000	0	0	0	0	1	$64,085,497
115	FY13-0196	Construct North County Community Wellness Center	Allow for healthcare needs in N. Santa Fe County, with the possibility of recreation activities.	Facilities	$1,500,000	1	0	0	0	0	$65,585,497
116	FY13-0198	Upgrade North Fork Road	Chip seal of existing road.	Roads	$152,000	0	0	0	0	1	$65,737,497
117	FY13-0199	Delete North La Cienega Water Improvements	Same as project 25665. Delete	Utilities	$0	0	0	0	0	0	$65,737,497
118	FY13-0200	Upgrade Old Santa Fe Trail Road	This project will initial A/E Services in order to determine what the scope of the project will be.	Roads	$350,000	0	0	0	1	0	$66,087,497
119	FY13-0201	Upgrade Paseo Del Pinon improvements	Chip seal of approximately 1.08 miles	Roads	$210,000	0	0	0	1	0	$66,297,497
120	FY13-0203	Construct Pojoaque Valley Regional Wastewater System	Interconnection to non tribal areas for Pojoaque Valley residents	Water	$1,500,000	1	0	0	0	0	$67,797,497
121	FY13-0206	Improve Richards Avenue Bike Lanes & Lighting Improvements	This will require A/E services in order to determine the scope of project	Roads	$500,000	0	0	0	0	1	$68,297,497
122	FY13-0208	Improve Rio en Medio/Chupadero SR. Comm Center Paving	Paving the entrance to the Rio en Medio Senior Community Center.	Facilities	$6,000	1	0	0	0	0	$68,303,497
123	FY13-0209	Acquire land and construct Rio en Medio / Chupadero Community Garden Project	Establish an area for a community garden, aquire level area, provide water, soil , signs and wildlife fencing.	Water	$50,000	1	0	0	0	0	$68,353,497

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
124	FY13-0210	Construct Rancho Viejo Solid Waste Transfer Station	Allow for area residents to have a centralized area for solid waste	Facilities	$200,000	0	0	0	0	1	$68,553,497
125	FY13-0211	Improve Richards Avenue Expansion to Four Lanes	This will require A/E services to determine scope of work.	Roads	$3,200,000	0	0	0	0	1	$71,753,497
126	FY13-0212	Improve Richards Road-Remove Signal & Install Roundabout	This will require A/E services to determine scope of work.	Roads	$500,000	0	0	0	0	1	$72,253,497
127	FY13-0213	Preliminary Engineering Study- San Marcos Road	Prepare an engineering study for circulation plan.	Roads	$200,000	0	0	0	0	1	$72,453,497
128	FY13-0214	Improve Sandia Road Easement	To be determined by Public Works	Roads	$50,000	0	0	0	0	1	$72,503,497
129	FY13-0215	Upgrade Santa Fe Countywide Facilities Improvements	Upgrades for all county wide facilities which may consist of remodel, addition, paint, boiler upgrade, parking upgrades etc..	Facilities	$6,000,000	1	1	1	1	1	$78,503,497
130	FY13-0218	Construct Santa Fe County-Fire-EOC	Allow for SFC to have a EOC in an event of tragedy	Facilities	$2,000,000	0	0	1	0	0	$80,503,497
131	FY13-0219	Santa Fe County-Fire Equipment		Facilities	$5,000,000	1	1	1	1	1	$85,503,497
132	FY13-0220	Construct an additional bay at Santa Fe County Fire Galisteo Station 1	Existing Station is in need of additional fire apparatus bay. They have run out of space for vehicles/storage/equipment	Facilities	$300,000	0	0	1	0	0	$85,803,497
133	FY13-0223	Santa Fe County Glorieta Fire Station 2		Facilities	$500,000	0	0	0	1	0	$86,303,497
134	FY13-0228	Construct La Cienega Fire Station 2, (West of PNM)	Remodel of the existing La Cienega Main Station into office space for fire Prevention and Wild land division staff.	Facilities	$700,000	0	0	1	0	0	$87,003,497
135	FY13-0229	Construct Eldorado Public Works Maintenance Yard	This would allow for a maintenance yard in the Eldorado area. There currently is no yard in the region	Facilities	$1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1	$88,003,497
136	FY13-0230	Purchase Public Works Yard Equipment Com. College District	Santa Fe County is requesting funding to acquire and construct a Public Works satellite office in the Community College area.	Facilities	$1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1	$89,003,497
137	FY13-0232	Santa Fe County Public Works Heavy Vehicles		Other	$1,500,000	1	1	1	1	1	$90,503,497
138	FY13-0235	Construct Office/Storage Space for Elections Bureau	Warehouse for elections bureau for staff and election machines. Currently all elections bureau staff and machines are housed at a temporally location.	Facilities	$1,200,000	1	1	1	1	1	$91,703,497

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
139	FY13-0236	Construct Water Transmission line for BDD Water	Construct a water transmission line to extend connections for the Buckman Direct Diversion water system	Utilities	$870,000	0	1	0	0	0	$92,573,497
140	FY13-0238	Construct Retaining Wall for Tesuque Fire Station 1		Facilities	$75,000	1	0	0	0	0	$92,648,497
141	FY13-0241	Santa Fe County Madrid Ballpark Grandstands		Parks	$200,000	0	0	1	0	0	$92,848,497
142	FY13-0242	Construct Santa Fe Rail Trail	Construction of Santa Fe Rail Trial	Parks	$1,700,000	0	0	0	1	1	$94,548,497
143	FY13-0244	Renovate Santa Fe County Fire Training Center	Upgrade the existing facility and center grounds as well as the addition of appropriate training props to conduct NMPA compliant firefighter training.	Facilities	$1,250,000	1	1	1	1	1	$95,798,497
144	FY13-0245	Construct Regional Broadband Infrastructure	The infrastructure will include the installation of broadband fiber optics to serve central Santa Fe County and the City of Santa Fe	Facilities	$8,795,000	1	1	1	1	1	$104,593,497
145	FY13-0246	Renovate Santa Fe County Turquoise Trail Station 3 Remodel	The current station is not up to safety code and needs remodeling to bring up to safety standards	Facilities	$85,000	0	0	1	0	0	$104,678,497
146	FY13-0247	Design Upgrades for Quill Wastewater Treatment Sludge Disposal Facilities	Upgrade the Quill wastewater treatment sludge disposal facilities to accomdate current and future wastewater treatment	Utilities	$100,000	0	0	0	0	1	$104,778,497
147	FY13-0249	Construct Santa Fe River 8 mile Trail	Construct Santa Fe River 8 mile Trail	Parks	$21,000,000	0	1	1	0	0	$125,778,497
148	FY13-0250	Santa Fe County Sheriffs Equipment		Other	$100,000	1	1	1	1	1	$125,878,497
149	FY13-0251	Construct Water Transmission line for CCD Area		Utilities	$400,000	0	0	0	0	1	$126,278,497
150	FY13-0252	Santa Fe County Utilities Aquifer Storage		Water	$4,000,000	0	1	1	0	1	$130,278,497
151	FY13-0253	Santa Fe County-Sheriff-New Vehicles		Other	$800,000	1	1	1	1	1	$131,078,497
152	FY13-0254	Improvements to the Santa Fe County-South Meadows Open Space		Parks	$72,000	0	0	1	0	0	$131,150,497
153	FY13-0255	Santa Fe County-Orthophotography Project		Other	$85,000	0	0	1	0	1	$131,235,497
154	FY13-0256	Construct Conjunctive Management Wells	Supplemental or back-up wells to provide water delivery through the county water system in times when other sources are not available.	Utilities	$4,500,000	0	1	1	0	1	$135,735,497

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
155	FY13-0257	Upgrade Waterlines in Valle Vista Area	Replacement of aged water infrastructure.	Utilities	$1,500,000	0	0	0	0	1	$137,235,497
156	FY13-0258	Construct Tres Arroyos Trails System ROW and Improvements	Construct Tres Arroyos Trails improvements and Consruct Round of Way for Traffic Calming.	Parks	$150,000	0	1	0	0	0	$137,385,497
157	FY13-0259	Traffic Calming Devices		Roads	$200,000	1	1	1	1	1	$137,585,497
158	FY13-0260	Construct Stanley Youth Agriculture and Wellness Center		Facilities	$1,200,000	0	0	1	0	0	$138,785,497
159	FY13-0262	Upgrade Utilities Control Instrumentation -Quill Plant	Upgrade control equipment for efffcient and automated operation of the watewater system at the plant.	Utilities	$100,000	0	0	0	0	1	$138,885,497
160	FY13-0263	Repair Utilities Quill Plant Aeration Basin Liner	Replace basin liner and update aeration equipment.	Utilities	$833,000	0	0	0	0	1	$139,718,497
161	FY13-0264	Design and Construct Reservoir (SR1SW)	800,000-gallon reservoir and the necessary line	Utilities	$2,200,000	0	0	0	1	0	$141,918,497
162	FY13-0265	Design and Construct Water line to serve La Tierra area	Provide enhanced service to the La Tierra and Las Campanas areas of the County	Utilities	$545,000	0	1	0	0	0	$142,463,497
163	FY13-0266	Design and Construct Waterline Old Agua Fria/Old Santa Fe Trail Water	Construct a water transmission line and pumping facilities to transport water from the County's storage tank to east	Utilities	$1,528,000	0	0	0	1	1	$143,991,497
164	FY13-0267	Replace Quill Plant Effluent Polishing Lagoon Liner	Liner replacement will allow for more efficient use of effluent.	Utilities	$400,000	0	0	0	0	1	$144,391,497
165	FY13-0268	Design and Construct Waterline along NM 599		Utilities	$2,000,000	0	0	1	0	0	$146,391,497
166	FY13-0269	Purchase Office Equipment and Storage-Quill Plant	Provide new office and operations facility for the Quill waterwater plant.	Utilities	$650,000	0	0	0	0	1	$147,041,497
167	FY13-0270	Utilities Quill Plant Improvements		Utilities	$1,400,000	0	0	1	0	0	$148,441,497
168	FY13-0271	Purchase State Pen Reservoir		Utilities	$1,500,000	0	1	0	0	0	$149,941,497
169	FY13-0273	Remodel Madrid Fire Station 1	The Santa Fe County Fire Department is requesting funding to add to the current training room for new cadets	Facilities	$150,000	0	0	1	0	0	$150,091,497
170	FY13-0274	Construct Wastewater Collection and Treatment System - Sombrillo/Arroyo Seco	Create a wastewater system to serve the Sombrillo /Arroyo Seco area.	Wastewater	$10,500,000	1	0	0	0	0	$160,591,497
171	FY13-0275	Improve County Water System La Vida/Sierra Azul		Utilities	$600,000	0	1	0	0	0	$161,191,497

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
172	FY13-0276	Construct South Meadows Road waterline improvements	Extension of water and sewer lines to County Road 62	Utilities	$625,000	0	1	0	0	0	$161,816,497
173	FY13-0277	Upgrade Automatic Controls System Water Supply	Water system improvements to provide automated service for efficient operation and maintainance.	Utilities	$1,606,000	0	1	1	0	1	$163,422,497
174	FY13-0278	Upgrade Valle Vista Water System		Utilities	$1,500,000	0	0	0	0	1	$164,922,497
175	FY13-0279	Upgrade Buckman By Pass Water		Utilities	$870,000	0	1	0	0	0	$165,792,497
176	FY13-0280	Southeast Connector Phase I	A connector road from East Chili line to Rabbit Road	Roads	$2,500,000	0	0	0	1	1	$168,292,497
177	FY13-0283	Purchase and Upgrade Fire Equipment Stanley Fire Station		Other	$250,000	0	0	1	0	0	$168,542,497
178	FY13-0285	Village of Glorieta Wastewater Collection	Funded by 2012 GOB as Greater Glorieta Wastewater Collection and Water Reclamation for $900,000	Utilities	$1,500,000	0	0	0	1	0	$170,042,497
179	FY13-0287	Construct Nambe Senior/Community Center Entrance/Park improvements Phase II	Redesign and construction of the entrance and park improvments to the Senior/Community Center and the Nambe head Start in Nambe.	Roads	$300,000	1	0	0	0	0	$170,342,497
180	FY13-0288	Upgrade Sunset Treail East and West Improvements	This will require P/W to do an assessment and see which intersections in this area will require road widening and allow for purchase of additional r/w for improvements.	Roads	$200,000	0	0	1	0	0	$170,542,497
181	FY13-0289	Upgrade Jornada Court,	This project will be chip seal for .23 miles	Roads	$5,000	0	0	0	0	1	$170,547,497
182	FY13-0290	Improve Tesuque MDWA		Water	$1,587,810	1	0	0	0	0	$172,135,307
183	FY13-0291	Morning Drive, Lane and Street	This will consist of P/W going out and analyzing the situation and determine the project scope.	Roads	$50,000	0	0	0	0	0	$172,185,307
184	FY13-0292	Upgrade Toltec Road improvements	Chip seal of .3 miles	Roads	$60,000	0	0	0	0	1	$172,245,307
185	FY13-0293	Construct County Road 106/117 All Weather Crossing	This will require A/E services for design and then go out for construction.	Roads	$1,200,000	1	0	0	0	0	$173,445,307
186	FY13-0295	Construct County Road 109 All Weather Crossing	This will require A/E Services, which will determine the scope of the project.	Roads	$1,200,000	1	0	0	0	0	$174,645,307
187	FY13-0296	Construct All Weather Crossing at County Road 119S and 84F	This will require A/E Services, which will determine the scope of the project.	Roads	$1,200,000	1	0	0	0	0	$175,845,307

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
188	FY13-0297	Construct Water Line Extension at I 25 and County Road 54	Extend the county water system to serve the area of La Cienega near the intersection of Interstate 25 and County Road 54	Utilities	$2,300,000	0	0	1	0	0	$178,145,307
189	FY13-0298	Construct Wastewater Collection System - Upper La Cienega	Extend the County wastewater system to serve the upper La Cienega area	Utilities	$1,500,000	0	0	1	0	0	$179,645,307
190	FY13-0299	Construct a Wastewater Force Main and Upgrade Pueblo Garcia sewer system - Valle Vista Lift Station	Installing an 6-inch diameter force main wastewater line between the existing wastewater lift station, plus approximately 600 feet of 8" gravity flow sewer, inside Pueblo Garcia	Utilities	$269,700	0	0	0	0	1	$179,915,007
191	FY13-0303	Delete - Water Line Improvements		Utilities	$0	0	0	0	0	0	$179,915,007
192	FY13-0304	Construct a Water line to serve the Nancy Rodriguez Community Center and Surrounding Area	New waterline to serve the Nancy Rodriguez Community Center, SFC Agua Fria Fire Station, La Famila Medical Center	Water	$339,600	0	0	0	0	0	$180,254,607
193	FY13-0305	Improvements to SF County Corrections Facilities	Installation of control panel doors & camera system, electronic monitoring, fencing, perimeter lighting, paving ,sliders, four boilers, carpeting, soundproofing, kitchen upgrades.	Facilities	$1,500,000	1	1	1	1	1	$181,754,607
194	FY13-0306	Design and Construct Stanley Water Supply and Wasterwater System	New well and 60,000 gallon water tank, one mile of 8 inch water disribution lines, property/easement acquistion, one mile of low pressure wastewater conveyance	Wastewater	$1,267,400	0	0	1	0	0	$183,022,007
195	FY13-0307	Construct Water Line along SR 14 to the Lone Butte Area	Extend SFC utility line south along SR 14 to the Lone Butte Area	Water	$400,000	0	0	0	0	1	$183,422,007
196	FY13-0308	Upgrade Community College Water Distribution System - Rancho Viejo-Hospital Tanks Connector (SR4NEL)		Water	$215,000	0	0	0	1	1	$183,637,007
197	FY13-0309	Refurbish Failing Mutual Domestic Water Systems		Water	$800,000	1	1	1	1	1	$184,437,007
198	FY13-0310	Purchase Penitentiary of New Mexico (PoNM) Water Storage Reservoir	Aquisition of the NM State Penitentary 150,000 gallon tower to serve as fire demand.	Utilities	$1,100,000	0	0	0	0	1	$185,537,007
199	FY13-0311	Purchase Fully Automated Meters (Meter GPRS)-Phase 1	Equipment to read customer meters by a remotely controlled automatic system.	Utilities	$440,000	0	1	0	1	1	$185,977,007
200	FY13-0312	Design and Construct Aldea to Agua Fria Master Plan Water Line (MPL57NW)		Utilities	$1,890,000	0	1	0	0	0	$187,867,007

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
201	FY13-0313	Design and Construct Storage Reservoir at Aldea (SR6NW)	The new 500k-gallon reservoir would be fed from either the Buckman Well	Utilities	$840,000	0	1	0	0	0	$188,707,007
202	FY13-0314	Construct Water and Sewer System for UVD Settlement	Design and construction of a new water and waste water system in the Arroyo Hondo area.	Water	$500,000	0	0	0	1	0	$189,207,007
203	FY13-0318	Improve of Leo Gurule Park	Upgrade the existing park which was built in the early 70's. The parks equipment is out dated and may not be safe.	Parks	$1,298,000	0	0	0	0	1	$190,505,007
204	FY13-0320	Construct Santa Fe Rail Trail Segments 4-6	Construction of approximately 5 miles of 8 foot wide crusher fines trail along the Santa Fe Southern Railway between Avenida Vista Grande at mile post 6.5 and New Moon Overlook	Parks	$1,298,000	0	0	0	1	1	$191,803,007
205	FY13-0321	Purchase Agricultural Conservation Easements		Parks	$1,000,000	1	0	1	1	0	$192,803,007
206	FY13-0322	Design NM Central Rail Trail	construction of the NM Rail Trail	Parks	$5,000,000	0	0	1	0	1	$197,803,007
207	FY13-0323	Construct Santa Fe Rail Trail Trailhead	Construction of the Avenida Eldorado and the US 285 corridor at Lamy trailheads.	Parks	$1,121,000	0	0	1	0	1	$198,924,007
208	FY13-0324	Construct Edgewood Open Space Phase I		Parks	$413,000	0	0	1	0	0	$199,337,007
209	FY13-0325	Construct Edgewood Open Space Phase II		Parks	$295,000	0	0	1	0	0	$199,632,007
210	FY13-0326	Construct Santa Fe River Greenway; Caja del Oro to Cottonwood Dr.		Parks	$17,904,000	0	1	0	0	0	$217,536,007
211	FY13-0327	Construct Santa Fe River Greenway: NM 599 to WWTP		Parks	$13,478,400	0	1	0	0	0	$231,014,407
212	FY13-0329	Construction of Madrid Open Space		Parks	$118,000	0	0	1	0	0	$231,132,407
213	FY13-0330	Construct Acequia Trail		Parks	$708,000	0	1	0	0	0	$231,840,407
214	FY13-0331	Design Acequia Trail		Parks	$70,800	0	1	0	0	0	$231,911,207
215	FY13-0332	Acquire Acequia Trail		Parks	$236,000	0	1	0	0	0	$232,147,207
216	FY13-0333	Design of Rio En Medio Park	Design a park for the Rio En Medio Community Center	Parks	$11,800	1	0	0	0	0	$232,159,007
217	FY13-0334	Renovate Rio En Medio Park	Renovation of the park at Rio en Medio	Parks	$118,000	1	0	0	0	0	$232,277,007

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
218	FY13-0335	Acquision Santa Fe River Greenway: Siler to San Isidro Crossing	Acquisition of approximately 30 acres along 1 mile of the Santa Fe River corridor between Siler Rd. and San Ysidro Crossing as part of the Santa Fe River Greenway Project.	Parks	$7,552,000	0	1	0	0	0	$239,829,007
219	FY13-0336	Construct Santa Fe River Greenway: San Isidro Park		Parks	$0	0	1	0	0	0	$239,829,007
220	FY13-0337	Construct Edgewood Park	Design and construct Edgewood Park	Parks	$700,000	0	0	1	0	0	$240,529,007
221	FY13-0339	Design Lamy Park	Desin the Lamy Park	Parks	$70,800	0	0	1	0	0	$240,599,807
222	FY13-0340	Construct San Pedro Open Space	Design and construct San Pedro Open Space	Parks	$389,400	0	0	1	0	0	$240,989,207
223	FY13-0343	Improve Edgewood Senior Center Garden	Build Fences and install water catchment for a garden and the Edgewood Senior Center	Facilities	$32,000	0	0	1	0	0	$241,021,207
224	FY13-0344	Alter/remove/install new power poles on W. Cochiti.	Removal of power poles and utiliies from center of existing Cochiti Road.	Roads	$180,000	0	0	0	0	1	$241,201,207
225	FY13-0347	Campo Santo Por Los Ninos	to allow for a site that can be suited for burying unclaimed remains of children in the community.	Facilities	$0	0	0	1	0	0	$241,201,207
226	FY13-0348	Renovate Health/DWI Building	Remodel the entrance to the Health Department for safty and client reasons.	Facilities	$50,000	1	1	1	1	1	$241,251,207
227	FY13-0349	Acquire, construct & upgrade Camino Los Gardunos	Up grades of Camino Los Gardunos in Northern SF County	Roads	$1,000,000	1	0	0	0	0	$242,251,207
228	FY13-0350	Perform Traffic Study(s) in N. Santa Fe County	Conduct Traffic Study at CR 84 & CR 84J and at CR 84 & CR 101E	Roads	$75,000	1	0	0	0	0	$242,326,207
229	FY13-0352	Plan, design, construct, up-grade the La Bajada Water System	Plan, design, construct, up-grade the La Bajada Water System in the Village of La Bajada, Santa Fe County	Water	$100,000	0	0	1	0	0	$242,426,207
230	FY13-0353	Up -grades to Vista Aurora Lift Station	Up-grade the Vista Aurora Lift Station to double the capacity by replacing two current grinder pumps by an addition pumps.	Wastewater	$100,000	0	1	0	0	0	$242,526,207
231	FY13-0355	Reconstruct Bridge on County Road 72A	Reconstruct Bridge on County Road 72A	Roads	$200,000	1	0	0	0	0	$242,726,207
232	FY13-0357	Replace Carpet and Ceiling Tiles at Human Resources Deparment	Replace Carpet and Ceiling Tiles at Human resources Deparment.	Facilities	$0	1	1	1	1	1	$242,726,207
233	FY14-0394	Replace Roof State Health Latrado Health Building	Health Center is in need of a re-roof.	Facilities	$19,000	1	1	1	1	1	$242,745,207

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
234	FY14-0402	Upgrade County Administration Building Fire Alarm System	Replace entire fire alarm system through building	Facilities	$30,000	1	1	1	1	1	$242,775,207
235	FY14-0403	Camera System(s) for County Administration Building	Installation of camera system on outside of building. This will allow for secure measures to take place when building is not in use, ie when personnel are not present.	Facilities	$25,000	1	1	1	1	1	$242,800,207
236	FY14-0404	Remodel Sherriff's Office Reception Area	Remodel administration reception area	Facilities	$17,000	1	1	1	1	1	$242,817,207
237	FY14-0405	Install Paint Booth Heater at Public Works	Install Heater in Paint Booth at Public Works Fleet Department	Facilities	$23,000	1	1	1	1	1	$242,840,207
238	FY14-0406	Upgrade Evidence Room/Garage HVAC @ Public Safety Complex	Provide HVAC in Vehicle Bay/Evidence Room. The vehicle bay in the evidence room does not have a HVAC unit in there.	Facilities	$36,600	1	1	1	1	1	$242,876,807
239	FY14-0409	Comanche Connection from SR 14 to I-25 Study	2.7 Miles/Cross Arroyo Hondo from La Cienega Interchange to a point .31 miles north of the Turquise Trail Elementary School Enterance.	Roads	$250,000	0	0	0	1	1	$243,126,807
240	FY14-0410	La Cienega/NM 14 Connection Study	Just north of the State Penitentiary: 1.91 mile road either connecting with or paralleling the .66 mile stretch of Comanche Drive. 2 lane CR connector with bike lanes.	Roads	$250,000	0	0	0	0	1	$243,376,807
241	FY14-0411	Avenida Vista Grande West Extension to NM 14 Study	5.5 cross multiple arroyos and tie in with Avenida Vista Grande at Eldorado	Roads	$250,000	0	0	0	0	1	$243,626,807
242	FY14-0412	Avenida Del Sur to Southeast Connector	1 mile to Southeast Connector	Roads	$3,000,000	0	0	0	0	1	$246,626,807
243	FY14-0413	Bishops Lodge Road Assessment and widening	Develop on-road bike lane from County Line to CR 72A. Assess condition of road to identify deficiencies and rehabilitation needs for road.	Roads	$687,500	1	0	0	0	0	$247,314,307
244	FY14-0414	Avenida Del Sur West Extension	1.6 miles from NM14/599 Intersection to Avenida Van Nu Po	Roads	$5,920,000	0	0	0	0	1	$253,234,307
245	FY14-0415	College Drive Extension	.62 mile extension of College Drive to future SE Connector	Roads	$2,294,000	0	0	0	0	1	$255,528,307
246	FY14-0416	Caja del Rio/Paseo Real Connection	1.92 mile connecting Paseo Real west of 599 Intersection northeast to Caja Del Rio Road	Roads	$7,000,000	0	1	0	0	0	$262,528,307
247	FY14-0417	Caja del Rio/Paseo Real Connection Study		Roads	$250,000	0	1	0	0	0	$262,778,307

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
248	FY14-0418	Los Suenos Trail Extension		Roads	$3,000,000	0	1	0	0	0	$265,778,307
249	FY14-0419	CR 62 Realignment/Improvement	2.11 miles of realigned new road	Roads	$6,500,000	0	1	0	0	0	$272,278,307
250	FY14-0420	Rancho Viejo Blvd. Bike Lanes	1.75 miles widen to accommodate bike lanes	Roads	$962,500	0	0	0	0	1	$273,240,807
251	FY14-0421	Old Santa Fe Trail Bike Lanes	1.75 miles widen to accommodate bike lanes	Roads	$962,500	0	0	0	1	0	$274,203,307
252	FY14-0422	Avenida Del Sur Bike Lanes	.74 miles widen from A Van Nu Po to Rancho Viejo Blvd	Roads	$407,000	0	0	0	0	1	$274,610,307
253	FY14-0423	San Ysidro Crossing (Agua Fria THC) Bike Lanes	.63 miles widen from W. Alameda to Agua Fria St.	Roads	$346,500	0	1	0	0	0	$274,956,807
254	FY14-0424	A Van Nu Po Bike Lanes	1.51 Miles widen from Avenida Del Sur to Richards	Roads	$830,500	0	0	0	0	1	$275,787,307
255	FY14-0425	Dinosaur Trail Bike Lanes	2.83 miles widen from NM14 to Richards Ave	Roads	$1,556,500	0	0	0	1	1	$277,343,807
256	FY14-0426	Old Santa Fe Trail Bike Lanes	2.16 miles widen from Two Trails to Canada de los Alamos	Roads	$1,188,000	0	0	0	1	0	$278,531,807
257	FY14-0427	Chili Line Soft-Surface Trail Easement	.80 trail connting SW corner of La T. Trails to Pipline Road Trail and Thistle Lane	Parks	$264,000	0	0	0	0	1	$278,795,807
258	FY14-0428	Arroyo De Las Gallinas Multi-Use Trail	.10 trail from NM 599 underpass near Aldea to frontage road	Parks	$66,000	0	1	1	0	0	$278,861,807
259	FY14-0429	NM Central/Kennedy Line Soft Surface Trail	10 mile trail from Eldorado to Galisteo	Parks	$495,000	0	0	1	0	1	$279,356,807
260	FY14-0430	County Rail Multi-Use Trail	7 mile trail improvements from New Moon Overlook to Lamy	Parks	$456,500	0	0	0	1	1	$279,813,307
261	FY14-0431	Sarah Williams Soft Surface Trail	.75 mile trail from 10,000 Waves to Nunn's Curve (SFNF Trails) along Hyde Park Road	Parks	$1,318,625	0	0	0	1	0	$281,131,932
262	FY14-0432	NM Central Kennedy Line Multi-Use Trail	.5 mile Rabbit Rd to Burnt Water Rd	Parks	$605,000	0	0	0	1	1	$281,736,932
263	FY14-0433	NM Central/Kennedy Line Multi-Use Trail	.1 mile E. of Richards Ave, Ave del Sur to trail head	Parks	$66,000	0	0	0	0	1	$281,802,932
264	FY14-0434	Richards Ave Side-path Multi-Use Trail	.75 mile sidepath through Petchesky Ranch (Old Dinosaur Trail to roundabout at Santo Nino Church	Parks	$495,000	0	0	0	0	1	$282,297,932
265	FY14-0435	Sarah Williams Soft-Surface Trail	.25 miles Dale Ball Trails to 10,000 Waves along Hyde Park Road	Parks	$12,375	0	0	0	1	0	$282,310,307

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
266	FY14-0436	Spur Trail	.4 mile connection from Spur trail to SFCC	Parks	$19,800	0	0	0	0	1	$282,330,107
267	FY14-0437	County Rail Multi-Use Trail	5 miles from spur trail to Ave Vista Grande	Parks	$1,100,000	0	0	0	1	1	$283,430,107
268	FY14-0438	Dale Ball Soft Surface Trail	.60 mile La Piedra Connection to Little Tesuque River SFNF Trails from Dale Ball	Parks	$29,700	0	0	0	1	0	$283,459,807
269	FY14-0439	NM Central/Kennedy Line	4 mile from Ranch Viejo "District Trail" to Eldorado	Parks	$198,000	0	0	0	1	1	$283,657,807
270	FY14-0440	Estancia basin -- trails feasibility study (trail network)		Parks	$40,000	0	0	1	0	0	$283,697,807
271	FY14-0441	San Pedro -- study to consolidate trail access to public/private lands		Parks	$30,000	0	0	1	0	0	$283,727,807
272	FY14-0442	San Marcos -- district master trail plan		Parks	$100,000	0	0	1	0	1	$283,827,807
273	FY14-0443	La Junta del Alamo -- trail (bicycle, equestrian, hiking)		Parks	$125,000	0	1	0	0	0	$283,952,807
274	FY14-0444	Madrid -- trail to Waldo (plan/design; acquire; construct)		Parks	$700,000	0	0	1	0	0	$284,652,807
275	FY14-0445	County - Nambe to Rio Grande -- trail system in northern county		Parks	$500,000	1	0	0	0	0	$285,152,807
276	FY14-0446	Santa Fe County - open space (miscellaneous acquisitions, improvements) (6,000 acres)		Parks	$3,000,000	0	0	0	0	0	$288,152,807
277	FY14-0447	SDA 1 Water Feasibility Study		Water	$250,000	0	1	0	0	1	$288,402,807
278	FY14-0448	Transmission Line Southeast Connector		Water	$500,000	0	0	0	1	1	$288,902,807
279	FY14-0449	Transmission Line Caja del Rio to Paseo Real		Water	$500,000	0	1	0	0	0	$289,402,807
280	FY14-0450	I-25 and Rabbit Road Water Service Extension		Water	$1,000,000	0	0	0	1	0	$290,402,807
281	FY14-0451	Avenida del Sur Waterline Extension		Water	$1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1	$291,402,807
282	FY14-0452	SDA 1 Wastewater Feasibility Study		Wastewater	$250,000	0	1	0	0	1	$291,652,807
283	FY14-0453	Perform a waste water feasibility study for the La Cienega area and Wastewater Conection.	This would be for an engineering study for a sewer system in the La Cienega Village. The study would show where and how the residents should hook up to a sewer system.	Wastewater	$500,000	0	0	1	0	0	$292,152,807

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
284	FY14-0454	Wastewater Collection System Caja del Rio to Paseo Real		Wastewater	$400,000	0	1	0	0	0	$292,552,807
285	FY14-0455	Madrid Wastewater system Study		Wastewater	$50,000	0	0	1	0	0	$292,602,807
286	FY14-0456	Tesuque Wastewater and Stormwater Feasibility Study		Wastewater	$50,000	1	0	0	0	0	$292,652,807
287	FY14-0457	Galisteo Wastewater Feasibility Study		Wastewater	$50,000	0	0	1	0	0	$292,702,807
288	FY14-0458	I-25 and Rabbit Road Wastewater Service Exension		Wastewater	$500,000	0	0	0	1	0	$293,202,807
289	FY14-0459	Upgrade the MRC Fields	to included, plan, design construct, soccer/softball/rugby	Parks	$200,000	0	1	0	0	0	$293,402,807
290	FY14-0460	Upgrade all Santa Fe County Fire Stations to equip for solar power		Facilities	$1,300,000	1	1	1	1	1	$294,702,807
291	FY14-0461	Construct a walking path/side walk along Henry Lynch	Construct Side Walk along Henery Lynch to Agua Fria	Roads	$250,000	0	1	0	0	0	$294,952,807
292	FY14-0462	Replace Phase II of the Agua Fria Water/Sewer and Drainage project	Re asphalt phase II of the Agua Fria Priject done years ago	Roads	$750,000	0	1	0	0	0	$295,702,807
293	FY14-0463	Construct Side Walk along Lopez Lane from Airport Road to Agua Fria		Roads	$500,000	0	1	1	0	0	$296,202,807
294	FY14-0464	Construct Sewer Line in Lopez Lane from Airport Road to Agua Fria/Rufina		Wastewater	$750,000	0	1	1	0	0	$296,952,807
295	FY14-0465	Upgrade Alamo Lane in the Agua Fria Village consisting of chip sealing	Upgrade the existing county Road to bring it up to standard, chip seal or asphalt	Roads	$30,000	0	1	1	0	0	$296,982,807
296	FY14-0466	Design a Sewer Plan for the Village of Agua Fria		Wastewater	$350,000	0	1	1	0	0	$297,332,807
297	FY14-0467	Purchase the Eldorado Water System	This would be o purchase a portion or entire water system that currently serves the Eldorado @ Santa Fe Area	Utilities	$10,000,000	0	0	0	0	1	$307,332,807
298	FY14-0468	Acquire Rufina R/W at Lopez Lane for construction of left and right turning lanes		Roads	$500,000	0	1	1	0	0	$307,832,807
299	FY14-0469	Design / perform an Engineering Study for reconstruction of the Calle Debra Bridge		Roads	$1,000,000	0	0	1	0	0	$308,832,807
300	FY14-0470	Upgrade Calle de Carlotta and Calle Montoya		Roads	$50,000	0	0	1	0	0	$308,882,807

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
301	FY14-0471	Design/perform engineering study for a water system/plan in the La Cieneguilla Area	This would allow for the area residents to have a study/plan to determine what type of system(s) would be required for the area.	Wastewater	$250,000	0	0	1	0	0	$309,132,807
302	FY14-0472	Construct a walking path from Agua Fria down San Ysidro Crossing	This is for a walking trail/path from Agua Fria down San Ysidro to SF River Trail. This would connect to the SF River Trail.	Parks	$200,000	0	1	0	0	0	$309,332,807
303	FY14-0473	Construct a sidewalk on W. Alameda	Construct a sidewalk/walking path along W. Alameda. This could include acquisition of R/W for this project	Roads	$1,000,000	0	1	0	0	0	$310,332,807
304	FY14-0474	Perform and construct a survey and parking area for the Windsor Trail Head	This would allow for the County Road to be surveyed then allow for construction of a parking area for the Windsor Trail Head.	Parks	$275,000	1	0	0	0	0	$310,607,807
305	FY14-0475	Construct addition to the Santa Cruz Community Center/Senior Center	This is for approximately 1400 square foot addition, which will include building compliance upgrades ehich will also incluce solar.	Facilities	$750,000	1	0	0	0	0	$311,357,807
306	FY14-0476	Renovate Existing Chimayo Head Start for Community use	Renovation of the existing building to be converted for community use, and solar panels.	Facilities	$750,000	1	0	0	0	0	$312,107,807
307	FY14-0477	Construction addition to the Benny J. Chavez Senior Center to include solar panels	This is to allow for an addition to the senior center. The addition would be for additional room to allow for crafts, billards as well as adding solar panels to building.	Facilities	$800,000	1	0	0	0	0	$312,907,807
308	FY14-0478	Upgrade the El Rancho Parking Area and HVAC upgrades/solar panels	The current parking lot is in need of a maintenance upgrade and well as the HVAC units for the entire facility and solar panels to the facility.	Facilities	$550,000	1	0	0	0	0	$313,457,807
309	FY14-0479	Upgrade the Rio en Medio Senior Center for building code upgrades/solar panels	This would allow for code upgrades of the facility and solar panels.	Facilities	$100,000	1	0	0	0	0	$313,557,807
310	FY14-0480	Construct addition to the Nambe Community building in rear and parking lot upgrades/solar panels.	This allows for an addition to the facility and parking upgrades and to uprade the existing building in the back for community kitchen use and solar panels to buildings.	Facilities	$750,000	1	0	0	0	0	$314,307,807
311	FY14-0481	Upgrade parking lot for the Eldorado Senior Center/Library for a joint use for additional parking	This would allow for additional parking for the senior center and the library. This would also allow for shared use of one centralized parkig area.	Facilities	$300,000	0	0	0	0	1	$314,607,807

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
312	FY14-0482	Upgrade Edgewood Sr. Center and parking lot	The improvements would allow for a parking lot paving, striping, improvements to the HVAC system, lighting, and remodel the kitchen area as well as stucco/insulation.	Facilities	$500,000	0	0	1	0	0	$315,107,807
313	FY14-0483	Construct a new community building in the Edgewood area	There currently is no meeting room(s) in the edgewood area. This would allow for plan and design and construction of a new building community building.	Facilities	$2,000,000	0	0	1	0	0	$317,107,807
314	FY14-0484	Construct a new library in the Cerrillos, Madrid area	This would allow for a new library in the State Road 14 area.	Facilities	$1,500,000	0	0	1	0	1	$318,607,807
315	FY14-0485	Design and construct engineering study and storm water improvements for Camino Chupadero		Other	$600,000	1	0	0	0	0	$319,207,807
316	FY14-0486	Construct a community garden for the Rio en Medio Senior Program	Establish an area for a community garden, level area; provide water, soil, signs and wildlife fencing. Establish a project for the seniors program and the community center board	Facilities	$100,000	1	0	0	0	0	$319,307,807
317	FY14-0487	Construction of a new Southside Boys and Girls Club	Construction of an new boys and girls club located in the southern end of the city limits. To provide to area's children on the southern side of town.	Facilities	$10,000,000	0	0	1	0	1	$329,307,807
318	FY14-0488	Upgrade Rio en Medio Community Center at El Alto and entrance to State Route 592	Up grade the entrance to the community center at the intersection of State Road 592 and El Alto. This would provide for a hard surface during inclement	Roads	$70,000	1	0	0	0	0	$329,377,807
319	FY14-0489	Construct Monument Signs throughout the Agua Fria Village		Facilities	$83,000	0	1	0	0	0	$329,460,807
320	FY14-0490	Construct an addition the El Rancho Community/Senior Center	The addition would include a community room to include a billiards table and game/workout room	Facilities	$800,000	1	0	0	0	0	$330,260,807
321	FY14-0491	Construct Water Crossing on County Road 84, east of the El Rancho Community	There is a low water crossing on CR 84 East of the El Rancho Community Center. Constituents would like to see a water crossing	Roads	$800,000	1	0	0	0	0	$331,060,807
322	FY14-0492	Construct Infrastructure to Implement the La Cienega Watershed Conditions		Utilities	$2,000,000	0	0	1	0	0	$333,060,807
323	FY14-0493	Reconstruct Drainage and Culverts at County Road 56 and Santa Fe River		Roads	$1,500,000	0	0	1	0	0	$334,560,807

SFC CLERK RECORDED 11/05/2013

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

	Req Nbr	Project Title	Project Summary	Project Type	Cost	Dist 1	Dist 2	Dist 3	Dist 4	Dist 5	Running Total
324	FY14-0494	Upgrade Water Crossing at Los Pinos and Arroyo Hondo		Roads	$800,000	0	0	1	0	0	$335,360,807
325	FY14-0495	Construct a sidewalk from the Edgewood Senior Center to Bee Hive Senior Residential Center	Residents from Bee Hive Residential Center would like a pedestrian access to the Senior Center	Facilities	$50,000	0	0	1	0	0	$335,410,807
326	FY14-0496	Improvements of trails for the Eldorado Community Improvement Association		Parks	$100,000	0	0	0	0	1	$335,510,807
327	FY14-0497	Upgrade roads in the Tierra do Oro Subdivision		Roads	$100,000	1	0	0	0	0	$335,610,807
328	FY14-0498	Construct new bike lanes and widen Ave del Sur between Rancho Viejo Blvd to Richards Ave	Widen Ave del Sur between Rancho Viejo and Richards Ave. .	Parks	$180,000	0	0	0	0	0	$335,790,807
329	FY14-0499	Construct shoulders to .46 miles of CR 89D(Sunlight View Road)		Parks	$287,500	1	0	0	0	0	$336,078,307
330	FY14-0500	Construct shoulders to 1.5 miles of Juan Medina Road.		Roads	$300,000	1	0	0	0	0	$336,378,307
331	FY14-0501	Construct lighting at the intersection of SR 502 and 101D	This would allow for lighting at this intersection. This intersection is very dark causing bad traffic situations.	Roads	$100,000	1	0	0	0	0	$336,478,307
332	FY14-0502	Construct Records Storage Facility for Santa Fe County	The County would benefit from having its own Records Storage Facility located in close proximity to the majority of its offices in Santa Fe	Facilities	$100,000	1	1	1	1	1	$336,578,307
333	FY14-0503	Install solar panels at the Cundiyo Community Center	Install solar panels at the Cundiyo Community Center for energy efficiency.	Facilities	$50,000	1	0	0	0	0	$336,628,307
334	FY14-0504	Install solar panels at the Pojoaque Fire Station	This would allow for all fire stations to become self efficient for utilities. This will also help in the reduction of long term financial costs	Facilities	$80,000	1	0	0	0	0	$336,708,307
335	FY14-0505	Install solar panels at the Arroyo Seco Fire Station	This would allow for all fire stations to become self efficient for utilities. This will also help in the reduction of long term financial costs.	Facilities	$20,000	1	0	0	0	0	$336,728,307
336	FY14-0506	Install solar panels at the La Puebla Fire Station	This would allow for all fire stations to become self efficient for utilities. This will also help in the reduction of long term financial costs.	Facilities	$80,000	1	0	0	0	0	$336,808,307
	Projects per District					**85**	**95**	**106**	**63**	**119**	

SANTA FE COUNTY

Public Works - Capital Improvement Program Summary Report (All Requests)

Report Description

Lists all Capital Request for the specified parameters.

Total Projects	**336**
Total Projects Cost	**$336,808,307**