


Henry P. Roybal
Commissioner, District 1



Kathleen Holian
Commissioner, District 4

Miguel M. Chavez
Commissioner, District 2

Liz Stefanics
Commissioner, District 5

Robert A. Anaya
Commissioner, District 3

Katherine Miller
County Manager

January 8, 2016

SANTA FE COUNTY
IFB#2016-0169-PW/MM
La Cienega Waterline Improvements

ADDENDUM #2

Dear Proponents,

This addendum is issued to reflect the following immediately. It shall be the responsibility of interested bidders to adhere to any changes or revisions to the IFB as identified in this Addendum No. 2. This documentation shall become permanent and made part of the departmental files.

Listed below are clarifications of the IFB and questions received via email concerning the above referenced IFB.

THE FOLLOWING CLARIFICATIONS WILL NOT AFFECT PRICE. THE REVISED PLANS REFLECTING THESE CLARIFICATIONS WILL BE AVAILABLE TO THE AWARDED CONTRACTOR.

Clarification #1- The sealed bids should be based on the plans, specifications and issued addenda provided.

Clarification #2- Sheet No. C2.1 – The profile view shows the ARV at Sta. 13+10; however, it is not at the correct location in the plan view and the correct location will be provided to the awarded contractor. This does not affect price.

Clarification #3- Sheet No. C2.2 – The plan view indicates that the water valve is located at Sta. 31+20 and 22.5° bend is located at Sta. 31+20.23; however the bend is shown before the water valve.

Clarification #4- Sheet No. C3.1 – The profile view shows the gate valve at Sta. 53+17 at the end of the East side of the arroyo crossing and before the 3 gate valves at the 8" tee at Sta. 53+70.54. The gate valve is to be installed at Sta. 53+17.

Clarification #5- Sheet No. C3.1 and C3.2 – The arroyo crossing on Sheet No. C3.1 shows cast iron pipe. The jack and bore process requires PVC inside a cast iron pipe.

Clarification #6- Sheet No. C3.2 – The gate valves at Sta. 58+34 and Sta. 59+43 are shown in the profile view but not in the plan view.

Clarification #7- Sheet No. C3.2 –The water meter locations on this sheet should be referred to on Sheet No. C1.2.

Clarification #8- Sheet No. C4.1 – The plan view indicates that an 8” tee with 3 gate valves are to be installed at Sta. 89+44 but is not shown on the profile view.

Question# 1- Will the engineer provide A CAD drawing for horizontal location of the proposed waterline?

Answer# 1- *No.*

Question# 2- Will the drawing include survey control points?

Answer# 2- *No.*

Question# 3- Is there any required As-Built information?

Answer# 3- Refer to Sheet C5.1 of the plans.

Question# 4- Sheet C1.2 shows 2” PVC waterline to Water Meters at Tract 3A-13A Camino Loma and 3B -13B Camino Loma. Can you clarify where the plans show this information?

Answer# 4- Yes, the 2” extension to Tract 3A and 3B is part of the project.

Question# 5- Please clarify the connection to the new 8” Distribution line call out. Will the 2” line and these services be part of this contract?

Answer# 5- Yes, the 2” line extensions and services shown on the plans will be part of the project.

Question# 6- Will Flush Hydrants be required at the end of all the 2” waterlines? Does this include Raven’s ravine run as well as the Tract 3A-13A and 3B-13B Camino Loma run?

Answer# 6- Yes, flush hydrants are required at the end of all 2” extensions.

Question# 7- The Flush Hydrant Details call for 2” Brass nipples of varying length. Can you clarify the sizes since at the end of 2” PVC lines will the lines require 2” nipples?

Answer# 7- No, 2” brass nipples are called for and PVC nipples may not be substituted.

Question# 8- Will the Fire Hydrants require Santa Fe threads?

Answer# 8- No, both the fire hydrants and flush hydrants should use National Standard Thread.

Question# 9- Can you clarify do the plans call for an auger bore or a directional bore?

Answer# 9- The design specifications identify a “jack and bore process”, this item is non-negotiable.

Question# 10- Can you explain what the plans are for the bores and the private bridge?

Answer# 10- The private bridge is not to be used by Contractors for any reason. The bridge is located on private property and anyone crossing the bridge will be guilty of trespassing on private property.

THIS ADDENDUM CONCLUDES THE PERIOD FOR QUESTIONS, NO ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS WILL BE ANSWERED PER IFB SECTION 8 INTERPRETATIONS/ADDENDA.

Please add this Addendum #2 to the original bid documents and refer to bid documents, hereto as such. This and all subsequent addenda will become part of any resulting contract documents and have effects as if original issued. All other unaffected sections will have their original interpretation and remain in full force and effect. Responders are reminded that any questions or need for clarification must be addressed to Maricela Martinez, Senior Procurement Specialist at mcmartinez@santafecountnm.gov.