SANTA FE COUNTY

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

REGULAR MEETING

August 12, 2014

Danny Mayfield, Chair - District 1 Robert Anaya, Vice Chair - District 3 Miguel Chavez - District 2 Kathy Holian - District 4 Liz Stefanics - District 5



COUNTY OF SANTA FE STATE OF NEW MEXICO BCC MINUTES PAGES: 778

I Hereby Certify That This Instrument Was Filed for Record On The 10TH Day Of September, 2014 at 02:47:10 PM And Was Duly Recorded as Instrument # **1745681** Of The Records Of Santa Fe County

) ss

these My Hand And Seal Of Office Geraldine Salazar Clerk, Santa Fe, NM Depu ŧν

<u>SANTA FE COUNTY</u>

REGULAR MEETING

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

August 12, 2014

This regular meeting of the Santa Fe Board of County Commissioners was called to order at approximately 10:10 am. by Chair Danny Mayfield, in the Santa Fe County Commission Chambers, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

I. B. Roll Call

Members Present:

Commissioner Danny Mayfield, Chair Commissioner Robert Anaya, Vice Chair Commissioner, Kathy Holian Commissioner Miguel Chavez Commissioner Liz Stefanics

Members Excused:

[None]

- I. C. Pledge of Allegiance
 - D. State Pledge
 - E. Moment of Reflection

The Pledge of Allegiance, State Pledge and Moment of Reflection were led by Teresa Martinez of the Finance Department.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Mr. Patty wanted to make a quick announcement to the public, so, Chief, please.

BUSTER PATTY (Fire Marshal): Mr. Chair, Commissioners, I would like to announce to the public that for the load occupancy of the amount of people that we have right now, inside the chambers here everybody must be seated. We can exceed the load occupancy as long as everyone is in their chair, in the seat. They can crunch up and go a little bit over. Once we start now the back doors will be closed because of the feedback on the monitors outside; we can't hear them. Out in the hallways being as we're open for public business outside we can't stack up in the hallways like we have in the past. There are seats out in the hall. If they can be seated we will let them extend to about ten to fifteen people in front of each monitor that's in the hall, in front of the Manager's office or the one downstairs. And we also some expansion room in the Legal Conference room that we can get about 26 people inside there in front of a monitor. There's also a monitor on the outside, the rear door to the

left, there's a monitor by the window out there and there's some seating out there that people can expand out there.

And we do have the Sheriff's Department and the Fire Department here to monitor all that. If they need any assistance they can call on any of us. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Chief. Also, just for our listening public, if anybody – and Ms. Miller, if you can help us out, if anybody – we will be affording some public comment today so if there's anybody in the hallway downstairs, they need a couple minutes to get upstairs or make their way to provide comment when we come to that point on our agenda. Just let us know and we'll accommodate that also, please. If they're outside of these chamber doors. Thank you. Commissioner Anaya.

I. F. Approval of Agenda

1. Amendments

2. Tabled or Withdrawn Items

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, under the Agenda, I request, under Public Hearings, item VIII. B. 4 be moved to number 1. There's going to be some people here and there's several students and they're back in school so I just want to see if we can get them in and out. So I'd move for that and then, Ms. Miller.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: And also – thank you for that, Commissioner, and I think public hearings won't start any earlier than 5:00 pm today still. Correct? Ms. Miller

KATHERINE MILLER (County Manager): Mr. Chair, yes. We have first on the agenda, the Buena Vista Estates Rockology case. Then we'll go, after you finish with that we'll go into our regular agenda and we have one amendment to the agenda under action items. Item IV. B. 1 has been added.

And then at 5:00, as you stated, we will not start public hearings for the evening cases until 5:00 or later and we have Case #VIII. B. 1 has been tabled. As Commissioner Anaya stated, Case VIII. B. 4 would be moved up to be VIII. B. 1. Case #VIII. B. 5 has also been tabled until September 9th. And then also we received notice this morning that Case VIII. B. 8 has been requested to be tabled by the applicant and County staff. Those are all the changes to the agenda.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Anaya, please. COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Move for approval as amended. COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Second. CHAIR MAYFIELD: We have a motion and a second.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

I. G. Approval of Minutes (Action Item)

1. Approval of June 25, 2014 Special BCC Meeting Minutes

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioners, we have in front of us approval of minutes and they were approval of our June 25, 2014 Special BCC meeting minutes.



> COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Move for approval, Mr. Chair. CHAIR MAYFIELD: We have a motion. Do we have a second? COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Second. CHAIR MAYFIELD: We have a motion and multiple seconds.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

I. G. 2. Approval of July 8, 2014 BCC Meeting Minutes

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Move for approval, Mr. Chair. COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Second. CHAIR MAYFIELD: We have a motion and a second.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

II. PUBLIC HEARINGS

- A. Growth Management
 - 1. <u>CDRC Case # ZMR. CHAIRT 13-5360 Buena Vista Estates, Inc.</u> <u>& Rockology, LLC</u>. Buena Vista Estates, Inc., Applicant, Jim Siebert, Agent, Request Zoning Approval to Create a Mining Zone on a ±50-Acre Site to Allow the Extraction of Aggregate for Use as Construction Material. The Site Will Take Access off Waldo Canyon Road (County Road 57) and the Property is Located on the East Side of I-25, within Section 21, Township 15 North, Range 7 East (Commission District 3) [Exhibit 1: GIS memo of 8/12/14; Exhibit 2: Siebert Letter of 8/8/14;Exhibit 3: Letters from the public; Exhibit 4: Siebert Letter of 8/11/14;Exhibit 5: Domenici Memo; Exhibit 6: Sign-in sheets]

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Just for our listening audience, we are televised today. We are also on the radio today I believe, a little different than the public hearing we had a couple months ago at the City of Santa Fe. I don't know how many folks we have outside the chamber doors. It doesn't look too many. The door just opened.

So the Commission did at that meeting – and anybody, please Commissioners, feel free to add in – we allowed the case to come forward to us, we heard the case. The applicant stated positions, we afforded public comment. The Commission then asked to postpone this while we are awaiting some additional answers on some specific questions that we had. So we have afforded public comment on this. On any of the new questions that have come up, if there's any public members who would care to ask any questions or state any opinions we will do that. We will just ask, again, to be respectful of everybody's own time. We will have a short clock of three minutes up. If you don't feel that you were sufficient enough to have your question presented to the Commission we will just ask that you kind of go back to the end of the line and let everybody else here make their comments. Also we will ask that if

you're going to be allocating any of your time to any speaker than they can just show of hands who's giving what time to who, and that you try not to please readdress anything that was brought up at the meeting of I guess a couple months ago as we're just asking some specific questions and then hopefully we'll be taking some action on this case today. With that, Mr. Larrañaga, please.

JOSE E. LARRAÑAGA (Case Manager): Thank you, Mr. Chair. On June 11, 2014, the Board of County Commissioners held a special meeting on the above-referenced case. Staff presented a staff report with exhibits, to the BCC, the agents for the applicant presented material and testified in support of the application, and the BCC heard testimony from the public. The BCC continued the public hearing until July 8, 2014, at 10:00 a.m. On June 17, 2014, the agent representing the applicant submitted a request to postpone the continued public hearing until August 12, 2014, due to neither the attorney nor agent for the applicant being available on July 8th.

The BCC identified specific issues to be addressed at the continued public hearing and by the Santa Fe County Attorney, directing staff to obtain additional information on those issues. Those issues are identified below along with the response by staff:

1. Provide a review of the maps provided by the public relative to proximity of the mine to homes and roads.

Mr. Chair, there has been an amendment to this review by our GIS Department that's being passed out to you and I'll read it out loud. Santa Fe County Geographic Information Systems Division has reviewed the maps provided by the public at the June 11th hearing. GIS has determined that these maps show a viewshed from both Camino Real and I-25 and that no determination can be made on the accuracy of these maps due to the following reasons: unknown source of data and unknown GIS system used. In addition to this lack of data, there was no information provided on the process of analysis.

2. Provide the maps showing the proximity of the proposed mine to homes, businesses within a 15-mile radius, communities, including but not limited to La Cienega, La Cieneguilla, Cerillos, La Bajada and Madrid. Attain additional information associated with the viewshed beyond the scope of the immediate area of the proposed mine site:

GIS has created maps which illustrate the view shed within a 1, 5, 10 and 15-mile radius of the proposed 50-acre mine site along with homes, businesses, and communities within these radii.

On July 24, 2014, GIS staff conducted a site visit to the proposed mine site. The applicant placed a 20' story pole at the northern corner of the 50-acre site. GIS took photographs from various points within the viewshed to demonstrate the actual view of the subject area from those points.

3. Request formal feedback from the Pueblo of Cochiti and the Pueblo of Santo Domingo:

On June 16, 2014, a set of plans, the applicants' report, and a cover letter requesting a formal review and/or comments was hand-delivered to the Honorable Oscar K. Lovato, Governor of the Pueblo of Santo Domingo, and the Honorable Joseph H. Suina, Governor of the Pueblo of Cochiti. There has not been a response to those requests to date.

The Pueblo of Tesuque, however, contacted staff to discuss the proposed mine and mailed staff a letter, dated June 20, 2014, in regards to the application. It states that "strip mining in this area would have a detrimental impact to historical and archeological resources



and Pueblo cultural sites that since time immemorial, have traditional, religious, and cultural significance to the Pueblo."

4. Are improvements of the road, County Road 57, part of the process?

Santa Fe County Public Works Department has recommended as a condition of approval that County Road 57 be improved by applying a two-inch overlay of hot mix asphalt starting from the East Interstate 25 Frontage to the most southern boundary of the access road to the site as per code requirements.

5. An evaluation of gross receipts that can clearly and simply be transparently delineated with this particular project.

A review of the projected gross receipts was done by the County Finance Department. The Finance Department's conclusion was that, "Buena Vista Estates, Inc. and Rockology, LLC estimates of the taxable gross receipts and corresponding tax revenue from the proposed mining operation involve a number of assumptions with which the Finance Division has no basis to agree or disagree. Without knowing who will purchase the materials a solid estimate cannot be provided. In the best case scenario, if 100 percent of the Rockology mining operation's transactions are gross receipts taxable, then the directly attributable revenue would be \$240,625 of which \$179,375 would be revenue to the State of New Mexico and \$61,250 would be revenue to Santa Fe County. However, in the worst case scenario, if 100 percent of the transactions are non-taxable, then there would be no directly attributable revenue derived from the Rockology mining operation.

6. Provide information on the material being mined at the Santo Domingo Mine and the size of the site.

Staff was in contact with Kenneth Pin, Planning Director for the Santo Domingo Pueblo, and he stated that the site is leased to and operated by Lafarge.

The applicant provided some information concerning this mine site, as follows: The material extracted from this site is sand and gravel and the site is estimated to be between 200 and 300 acres. This site accesses off of NM Highway 22.

7. How the property is being marketed:

Staff has researched the advertisement for the sale of this property and found a website listing the property. The property is advertised as a $5,200 \pm$ acre site with tremendous development potential, both in terms of a residential master plan and as an aggregate resource.

8. County policies associated with the sale of potable water, for either residential or commercial use, from the bulk water services from the Santa Fe County Utilities dispensing facility located at 13B Camino Justicia:

The process for becoming a bulk water customer is to fill out an application, providing proof of address and indicating type of customer, either residential or commercial. The new customer is given an account number and must pre-pay. At the bulk water dispensing station, the customer enters the customer number into the machine and buys water on a debit basis, drawing against the pre-payment. Customers then periodically recharge their account. This is the same process that other area bulk water suppliers use.

Current rates are \$0.01/gallon residential, \$0.02/gallon commercial. The bulk water station makes water available for potable domestic use and commercial uses, and has several purposes: Make access to water more convenient for those lacking waterlines for regular



water service and having poor groundwater resources; prevent or minimize water theft from hydrants; minimize predatory water sales practices.

For these reasons, the County has not promulgated any policies that would limit potential customers from signing up. Market forces are also involved. The commercial rate is such that it makes sense for a temporary use like a construction project or occasional dust control, but is generally prohibitively expensive for a sustained use.

The County does monitor usage to make sure we stay within the total water allocation. The County allocated 30 acre-feet of water of total County capacity to bulk water sales in 2004 or earlier. In 2013, total bulk water sales amounted to 13.9 acre-feet, or less than half of the total allocation. Using a 2 percent growth in bulk water sales per year, which is slightly higher than the projected population growth rate, the total allocation will last 32 years. The Rockology water budget is 2.19 acre-feet per year. As indicated above, there is adequate room in the bulk sales water allocation to accommodate this use.

9. The use of City effluent water: how a business or an individual attains the use of the effluent water; can City effluent water be used for the proposed use? Does dispensing of reclaimed water for application to any area on an ongoing basis require a ground water discharge permit?

The City of Santa Fe issued a letter to Mr. Steven A. Hooper, owner of Rockology Limited, LLC, in regards to the reclaimed water use agreement and permit that the City issued to Rockology. The letter states: "By code, the term of the effluent use must be less than 12 months. Rockology received the Permit in May 2, 2014. Therefore Rockology's permit to receive treated effluent will expire prior to May 2, 2015. The City further notes that it has no release of liability for the City of Santa Fe on file. In addition, the Reclaimed Water Use Requirements form provided by the City also states that uses are limited to temporary or intermittent uses, and, dispensing of reclaimed water for application to any Area on an ongoing basis rather than temporary or intermitted, shall require a ground water discharge permit. Any long-term use of treated effluent requires a contract authorized by the governing body under Santa Fe City Code section 22-13.9. At present, Rockology has no long-term effluent agreement with the City."

The New Mexico Environmental Department Ground Water Quality Bureau reviewed this application and stated: "In order for NMED to make an official determination whether a Discharge Permit might be required, the applicant is advised to file a Notice of Intent with the Ground Water Quality Bureau, which would include the submittal of information relevant to the potential impacts to ground water quality."

10. What are the regulatory responsibilities and requirements associated with mining and the connection with the Land Development Code and the regulatory framework of the State of New Mexico? An analysis of the potential litigation of this case; the cost of land and the increase of the cost of land associated with what occurred on the La Bajada Mesa and the relevance to this case; ownership of mineral rights on this property.

These questions were directed to the Santa Fe County Attorney for discussion during the closed deliberations of the BCC with regard to this administrative adjudicatory proceeding.

During the June 11th hearing, there was discussion regarding the water budget submitted by the applicant for dust control and reclamation of the mine site. As a result, a

review of the proposed water budget was done by the County Hydrologist. The conclusions were that:

- a. Without more specific information on the proposed mining operation, namely, duration of crushing operation and area involved in dust control, it is not possible to determine if the proposed water budget for dust control is reasonable; and
- b. It appears amount of water proposed for re-vegetation purposes may not be sufficient to meet the water demand of grasses in this area.

In addition to the information gathered by staff, the following information was submitted to staff and is attached as exhibits to this memorandum: Exhibit 12: July 23, 2014 letter from Santa Fe Solid Waste Management Agency; Exhibit 15: Rural Conservation Alliance Documentation Regarding Commissioner Questions; Exhibit 16: Letters from Citizens Received after June 11, 2014.

Recommendation: CDRC Recommendation: The County Development Review Committee recommended denial of the Applicants request for zoning approval to create a mining zone by a 5-2 voice vote. Staff recommendation: Conditional approval for the creation of a mining zone, on a 50-acre site, to allow the extraction of aggregate for the use as construction material subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Master Plan for all three Phases with appropriate signatures shall be recorded with the County Clerk, as per Article V, Section 5.2.5 of the Land Development Code.
- 2. Staff recommends that the Board of County Commissioners require the applicant to submit a financial security for completion of the reclamation in accordance with Article XI, Section 1.5.1.d of the Land Development Code.

Mr. Chair, I stand for any questions. One of the handouts that you just got was a response by the applicant on the review of the water budget and one of the – the stack of letters that you just got were emails that I received in the last three days.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. One of the questions I had asked was for Land Use to talk about the bonding for this and other projects. Could you go over that, please? Not only bonding, but my other questions had to do with the plans for stormwater surge, and then the economic question was answered.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, on the bonding, this one would be a little different than our regular normal bonding because it would be long term. It's pretty well described in Article XI on the mining section. I'd have to look at that and try to explain that part of it.

Mr. Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, on your prior packet material there was the section on the mining. So on the letter of credit and surety bond they would have to come in with a cost estimate of the total reclamation for each phase and bond for that. This bond can last – the first phase, I believe it was like eight years on this particular application for development, and so we would hold that bond until they would reclaim and we would inspect to make sure that the first phase is reclaimed. The second phase would come in, or the second cell. They would then finish that up then they would still hold – come up with another bond for that, for the reclamation of the reseeding and the plantings and actually the landscape.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: So Mr. Chair, could you repeat how long after we could hold the bond?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, on this particular site, because it is three different cells or three different phase, on the first phase they would have to come in with an engineer cost estimate or a cost estimate on the reclamation of the site, on the first phase. And we would hold that bond – of course it would be renewed – the bond would have to be renewed yearly on that. We'd have to keep up with that. Because the first phase isn't going to be finished until possibly eight years.

And in the mining section, Article XI, on this part, the Board has the authority to ask for that surety bond. That's one of the conditions of approval that we have for this project.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay. And then my second question had to deal, Mr. Chair, with the plans for the stormwater surge.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: That was reviewed in the original submittal in your original packet. We did have a review from our terrain management individual in our department and the ponding and the drainage that they had was sufficient for this project.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr.

Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Taking a little different angle on the budget and water in general, we have information from our County Hydrologist at Exhibit 14, and on the water budget, I think, Jose, you touched on it a minute ago. There's two components to that. There's the dust control during the operation where I guess the crushing will be done and maybe some of the blasting, and then later on, during the reclamation, there's a separate water budget for that work. It seems that there's a discrepancy in the numbers that are being projected for both the water budget for the dust control and for the reclamation. So I still have a question about the water budget on both sides. We touched on it a little bit. The idea of the City providing effluent is still not completely resolved. If that's not resolved then the project would be on County water. It would be hauled. So that's where the question comes in, because of the length of time, the 25-year lease period, that presents some challenges, so I think that the budget on both sides to me seems a little low, and I'm not sure how that would be adjusted or if that could even be really compensated for. But I just wanted to touch on the water budget and try to highlight the two different aspects or components of the water budget. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible]

[Duly sworn, Jim Siebert testified as follows:]

JIM SIEBERT: My name is Jim Siebert. My address is 915 Mercer, Santa Fe. I'm going to hand out a letter to the Commissioners and to the recorder here. [*Exhibit 2*]

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Mr. Dalton, I think it would be easier if we just have a staff member hand out everything to the recorder and the Commissioners and anybody else who has material. Thank you.

MR. SIEBERT: Mr. Chair and Commissioners, let me just touch on a couple items. The two that Commissioner Chavez just discussed with water; we think those are important issues. In terms of the estimates from the County Hydrologist, the dust control

would be part of the operation itself, is just something that's just industry standards that Steve Hooper is familiar with and he can address those in more detail if you think that's needed.

In regard to the revegetation, water needed for the reseeding process, the County Hydrologist was under the assumption that we'd be using nothing but buffalo grass and in the report we don't state that. We're using drought-tolerant grasses. She's also stating that summer rains can't be used as the basis for allowing for or adjusting for additional water that may be needed for revegetation. It's a standard we've been using for the last 20 years, a standard the County uses for the last 20 years on the basis for their letter of credit, so we're a little confused by that.

With regard to the City Utilities Director comments, I don't think he's taken a position one way or another that effluent could not be used for this project. It's our belief that we would meet the intermittent requirement. We have submitted an NOI, a notice of intent to NMED to see if a groundwater discharge permit would be required. If it was we'd certainly secure that from NMED. So the whole issue of the use of City effluent is still up in the air.

In fact, in your packet the majority of the comments that the Commission asked in terms of questions really the answers are coming from the Rural Conservation Alliance. I thought it was interesting. I've only picked three items. We feel that there's some discrepancies in their comments, in accuracies. The one issue that was really emphasized and Steve Hooper as the president of Rockology will speak to this more, is the issue of the Caja del Rio pit. The implication there is from Mr. Kippenbrock and Laird Graeser, Kippenbrock being the manager of the Caja del Rio pit, Laird Graeser being a consultant for the Rural Conservation Alliance is that there's plenty of material already. There's really no need to have additional competition within that market for basaltic material.

Steve Hooper is going to address that particular issue in terms of what the material, to what degree it actually is marketable. But I think a bigger concern to me is the fact that this Commission has never taken a position regarding who should be winners and who should be losers in the competitive market place. We don't think that the Commission is really going to be taking – it's something the Commission really needs to take into account. And once again, I've just picked three items. I don't want to spend a lot of time discussing every single element of the Rural Conservation Alliance paper.

The other one that I found interesting is they're still allegating – there's an allegation that basalt material really should be hard rock mining. We've been over this in other hearings. The County Attorney has made a very specific position that this particular material that's being extracted is not hard rock mining.

The third one that I've selected is the comments on the traffic report that was prepared for the application and the fact that they cite various pamphlets, one of which is in Florida and the other is kind of a national pamphlet. The only regulations that apply to this particular application are the NMDOT state access manual regulations and we have complied with every aspect of that. They can claim that it should be something that's regulatory in Florida but Florida regulations really have no authority here in New Mexico.

And then I think the only – the final statement I'd like to make is that staff has responded to all your questions and has still maintained that this project should be approved, that we have satisfied all the requirements of the County Development Code regulations.



With that I'll have Pete Domenici, Jr. discuss a few items and then Steve Hooper is going to discuss a few items. Thank you.

PETE DOMENICI, JR.: Good morning Mr. Chair and Commissioners. I'm going to try and be very brief. I have a handout also I'd like to pass out. The point of this handout, and I don't really want to overemphasize this issue but it did come up, both by the letter from the Solid Waste Authority, that's Exhibit 12, and then also in the comments from the Rural Conservation Alliance. And the question that really wasn't addressed in Exhibit 12 is – and I know, Mr. Chair, you brought this up last time – is how does Caja del Rio get into the aggregate business? And from a County zoning standpoint and from a state permitting standpoint. So the Solid Waste Authority took the opportunity to actually submit a letter that was beyond the scope of your questions, that's Exhibit 12, and they don't touch on that at all. They don't – all they do is argue their quality and market competition. They still have never told this Board or the public why they are – to comply with County zoning to have the aggregate operations they have.

And so they didn't say that, and then also they submittals from the Rural Conservation Alliance, they don't say that. What the Rural Conservation comments say – I'll just go through these quickly is they create a category of aggregate called adjunct – they call it adjunct permitted. There is no such category. Adjunct permitted would mean, as an example, someone is putting in a subdivision and they need or they want to mine aggregate under their subdivision approval so they say as long as I'm building out my subdivision I'm going to put an aggregate pile here. I'm going to stockpile aggregate. I'm going to crush aggregate. I'm going to transport it and sell it, and then I'm going to come to the Board or the public and say that's permitted under my subdivision approval. That's what Caja del Rio is telling you. They're apparently telling the County Attorney that. So then the Rural Conservation Alliance is creating this category. Adjunct permitted, in my view, means illegal or unpermitted.

And so then they try to take the next step and say it's adjunct permitted because it's permitted under the solid waste permit for Caja del Rio. So I looked at the solid waste permit. I'm very familiar with solid waste permitting. They have no regulations, no standards for aggregate mining, stockpiling, crushing, transportation. They don't provide public notice, public comment. There's nowhere in their application or their permit that this aggregate operation is actually set forth for public comment or regulated by the New Mexico Solid Waste Bureau. So that term adjunct permitted, I would ask you to seriously question whether they are in fact permitted whatsoever to do this operation.

Of course that creates a competitive advantage to have an unpermitted aggregate facility. They don't have a bond for the aggregate. They may leave it stockpiled if they can't sell it. It is extremely hard to reclaim that aggregate. So if they can't get rid of it – and Commissioner Stefanics, you asked about bonding, so this project will be fully bonded, but the Caja del Rio project, which has its enormous stockpile that will be discussed, and they're slowly chipping away at it, it's not bonded whatsoever, and it would be extremely hard to ever reclaim that. And it's not permitted. And the public never had any notice about that. No transportation issues were ever addressed, no blasting issues were ever brought to the public.

So I just want to request again that perhaps very little weight be given to the Caja del Rio operations. There have been no showings that it's legal. There will be I think a good



showing that it's not truly competitive in terms of the quality of the material, and it frankly doesn't meet your zoning ordinance. Now what they told – in the permit what they told the state, because the state says how are you zoned? You have to prove you're properly zoned. So they indicated there's historic landfill uses on this property and we expect the County code – and they reference discussions with the prior County Attorney. I'm sure it's not the current one, saying we expect the code, when it goes into effect will note this zone as landfill. No mention of aggregate production, mining, nothing of that effect was ever presented in their permit application.

So I don't want to beat into that too much but I just wanted to point that out, that issue, and I would respectfully request that not much weight be given to Caja del Rio as has been suggested by the opponents and actually by the letter, Exhibit 12, themselves.

And with that, I would just sort of concur with Mr. – frankly with the staff and with the applicant's position. The staff has looked at this. Actually, the questions I think make a much more robust application. They do a great job with the maps showing the setbacks and the viewshed issues which I think go to show and confirm the compatibility, which was an issue last time. So I appreciate what staff has done and I can stand for questions but that's all I have.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes, I'm going to respond to the best I can regarding SWMA and the situation or the dilemma that they're in, and then I'm going to ask for staff to expand on that a little bit because there's some history with SWMA, with the regional landfill, Caja del Rio. And it's unfortunate that we're throwing that agency and that operation under the bus regarding, as far as I'm concerned, regarding this application. Because SWMA has provided a very, very worthwhile service to this community and they've faced huge challenged doing that. The biggest challenge was the basalt that was under the site that was selected for that regional landfill.

And I think the powers that be then probably could have picked a better site and moved away from that basalt. So the basalt happens to be a byproduct of that operation. It's in the way, if you will. And so we're questioning the quality of those rocks compared to the rocks on the La Bajada Mesa. And we're saying – we're asked to give that project and those rocks little weight. I can't do that. Not with what I know about SWMA and the challenges that they faced. And providing a facility that can process our solid waste and be efficient at recycling. And so to do that we have to deal with the basalt. And yes, we have to blast it and yes, we have to store it. And we have to deal with it so that we can continue to provide that service. So I cannot give that operation or those rocks that are in the way less weight than the rocks that you want to go after. That's my opinion, my observation, and I think that staff probably could do a better analysis of that and come up – could come up with some history about Caja del Rio.

Now about the public process and the blasting and the permits, I think we've tried to deal with that. I think we've notified the residents in the area when we are going to do blasting. And so maybe there was a day early in the days of SWMA where, because of the basalt and not knowing how much was there or exactly what the organization was going to have to do to deal with that, maybe we took a few wrong steps. But again, I think it's

unfortunate that we're throwing that agency and that operation and that facility under the bus regarding this application. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner, you wanted staff to address – COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, if staff was willing to, yes, I think it may take a little bit more time. I'm speaking out of history as a citizen and as a member of SWMA and as an elected official on both the City Council and now the County Commission. So I do know a little bit about SWMA and I do know a little bit about basalt and about blasting. But I don't know everything there is to know, so yes, if staff can chime in it would help. I would appreciate that. If now isn't the right time I'm sure that information can be provided later. Thank you.

GREG SHAFFER (County Attorney): Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, personally, I wouldn't be in a position today to speak to the permitting of the SWMA and whether it complies with any applicable regulations or what process they went through. I don't know if other members of staff here might be prepared to do so but I am not.

MR. DOMENICI: If I could respond briefly, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: One second, please. Mr. Shaffer, do we have Ms. Ellis-Green here today by chance? I know that I brought these questions up in the past continually. There was an explanation given to me by our former attorney. I believe Penny Ellis-Green was here when that explanation was given on the permitting of Caja del Rio.

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, Penny Ellis-Green isn't here today. She was scheduled to fly back yesterday and her flight was canceled so she won't be in till later today.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Fine. Just for listening audience and for Mr. Domenici, a lot of these questions have been asked and they are in past records. I don't have any of the old records in front of me but I think every one of these Commissioners has sat or are currently sitting on the SWMA board. So – and there is a lot of notification given to the public of what does happen with the site at Caja del Rio when there is blasting as Commissioner Chavez stated. When they were going to do a new cell that went out for public review and there was public participation. There were public meetings. As a matter of fact I even think we had a public meeting at the City of Santa Fe also on that. And there are past records that will reflect all of that and a lot of the questions that were just asked have been asked in the past and staff provided the answers back then for those. So thank you for that, Mr. Domenici.

MR. DOMENICI: I appreciate that and I wasn't trying to throw them under the bus but what I noticed in the comments is there's a statement that Caja del Rio has more rigid permitting requirements than this application and this permit if it were to be issued. And that's the point I take issue with. In particular, with only the aggregate production side of that. Obviously, the Solid Waste Bureau does a great job on permitting landfills and every aspect of landfill operation. But the statement that's in your materials, in response to the question is what are the regulatory requirements for the aggregate operations indicates that the solid waste permit is more robust than what you were being asked to provide to this project.

That's what I take issue with and that may be in your history that I can't find. There was an application in 2013 and that's what I looked at. That's very recent in the solid waste permitting world and I don't see that in that application and so I wanted to make sure the record reflects that the applicant does take issue with that statement, that the solid waste



permit for that facility is more robust than what is being proposed by staff with respect to aggregate on this facility. So that's my only point. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Domenici. Is the applicant – anybody else from the applicants wishing to provide comment? Yes, sir. If you could just be sworn in if you're not an attorney please and state your name and address.

STEVE HOOPER: Steve Hooper, 3601 Pan-American Freeway, Albuquerque. [Duly sworn, Steve Hooper testified as follows:]

MR. HOOPER: Mr. Chair and Commissioners, I apologize for not making the last meeting, and so if there's any questions that you need to ask of me I'd be willing to answer those. I'd like to - I'm going to keep this brief. I know that the group behind me has heard a lot of what we've spoken and I know the County staff has spent a lot of time and so I'm not going to go over all the issues. I'm going to highlight some of the ones that I think are particularly being highlighted today.

Commissioner Chavez, on the first one with respect to the water, I think Mr. Siebert addressed with respect to the revegetation. With respect to the water requirements for operations, I've been in the aggregate business my entire career. I have done the budget on it. We are comfortable that the allocated or budgeted water is adequate to meet the NMED air quality requirements. The plant will have to operate and meet all the opacity and various air quality requirements.

I think the assumption that the County Hydrologist stated in the – was that this thing is going to be a full-time operation. We really look at this thing coming in with portable equipment and operating on an intermittent basis. And so the usage of the water in nonproduction times is just for dust control. We will also be treating the haul road with dust palliatives and so I am confident that the numbers that I presented are adequate to ensure that we have an appropriately safe operation.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: If I could, for the record, since we're talking about a budget, I wonder if we could be specific in the numbers, the volume you're asking for compared to what the County Hydrologist is suggesting be budgeted for the project, for myself and for the public here.

MR. HOOPER: I believe in response to this question that Mr. Siebert has supplied that to you. Jim, do you –

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No, but I want it read into the minutes. I'll do that for you. The applicant is proposing to use 2.19 acre-feet per year for dust control associated with a mining operation to crush basalt into aggregate for construction purposes. And then you can get into the debate about whether it's effluent or potable. I'll leave that for later. Either way it's going to be hauled to the site. Industry standards based on information that's in our packet for a small rock crushing operation, not knowing what the hours are going to be will generally use between ten to 50 gallons per minute.

So there's a different water budget that's being suggested of 3.5 acre-feet to 18 acrefeet per year. Those are the parameters that I see before us. You can argue why those numbers came up or the rationale behind them but that's the information that I have. That's on dust control.



On reclamation, Mr. Siebert touched on that a minute ago, except that in our packet material we have information from the Office of the State Engineer. Why that's in here I don't know. But there's a discussion about blue grass versus something else that might use less water. And so the water budget that's being proposed is 7.48 gallons per square foot, which that would estimate out to – let me see. Okay, the applicant's water budget would be 14.78 and the Office of the State Engineer would almost double that to 14.91 gallons per square foot. Granted, it's buffalo grass, but I don't know that one – I don't know myself which grass is better than the other and which uses less water. All I know is the information provided to me suggests that there's a range and what's being proposed to me seems to be on the low end of that range.

MR. HOOPER: With respect to the dust control for the operations, the assumption by the County Hydrologist was that was a full-time operation.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Mr. Chair, it was two things based on the information I have in the packet. It was based on hours of operation and the type of equipment that's being used – the sprayers, the nozzles, the psi and all that stuff. It's pretty complicated.

MR. HOOPER: It is and it assumes that it runs all year, eight hours a day. We won't be running that long, because as I indicated earlier, the market for Santa Fe County, and I'm going to get it into this, or Santa Fe itself will not support a full-time operation. It won't run 52 weeks, eight hours a day. So our budget is based on periodically bringing it in, based on demand and our anticipation is to be operating two to three months out of the year.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner? Mr. Hooper, please.

MR. HOOPER: I'm going to make just a few comments and keep this as short as possible, but I'd like to respond to some of the documents that were part of the packet that was given to us, particularly the articles or documents written by Mr. Randall Kippenbrock, the executive director for the Santa Fe Solid Waste Management Agency, and Laird Graeser, who is a retired chief economist, both who have also stated their opposition to the creation of a new mining zone.

I don't want to reiterate all the information you've already been provided or counter the inaccuracies or assumptions in either of these documents. I really want to cover two pertinent points. One, it has been our position that the market is not being satisfied by existing sources in Santa Fe County. And the proposed Buena Vista source can help satisfy the aggregate demand shortfall in a responsible, compliant and economic way.

First, let me state what Mr. Kippenbrock's document says. In the previous meeting with the County he stated that Mr. Domenici's comments do not give an accurate portrayal of the quality of rock at the Caja del Rio landfill. Mr. Graeser also stated to that effect that there is no difference in quality of the landfill source versus the proposed site that we're trying to permit.

First, we have never said that the physical properties of the Caja basalt doesn't meet applicable industry standards. What we have said is that the Buena Vista source has better physical properties which will enable Rockology to meet market demands that are not being met now. How do I know that the market's not being met? There's been statements by both these gentlemen that there's huge tonnage and it's available. The aggregates are still coming out of the county. Based on the information through the RFP that the Caja del Rio landfill

just recently provided, in the last four years there's been an average of 50,000 tons taken out of the Caja del Rio pit or quarry – an average 50,000 annually. There's roughly 70,000 people that live in just Santa Fe City based on 2012 population estimates. And based on average aggregate consumption data of ten tons per capita, that means there's on the order of magnitude 700,000 tons a year being utilized and consumed in Santa Fe. Caja del Rio has only provided – over the last four years and these are the County's records, 50,000 tons. They have 1.6 million tons stockpiled that are being created through the development of the cells for the landfill. If it was a high quality material I can assure you people would be using it. There are large producers that have operations in Santa Fe that are not using these aggregates for the purposes, and so to be saying there's not a need for another aggregate source, we have done our testing. I'm an engineer that have done our own evaluation. I am confident that the physical properties are better than what's out of there and we feel like that will be a costeffective material for Santa Fe County and Santa Fe in general as we go forward.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Holian. COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Mr. Hooper, I have a question.

MR. HOOPER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: If you say that Caja del Rio produces 50,000 tons annually but around 700,000 tons is needed in the county, how come you're only proposing to be operating for two or three months?

MR. HOOPER: Well, let me correct one thing is that I didn't say that they're only producing 50,000 tons a year. They have averaged 50,000 tons a year from 2009 to 2013 in sales. And that has ratcheted up. That's their average over that four-year period. They have obviously overproduced because they've generated 1.6 million tons in roughly an eight-year period. So their sales have been 50,000 tons, average. The market is a combination of not only – is a combination of multiple aggregate uses – concrete and asphalt are your bigger market areas. But you also have road base, you have fill materials, you have landscape materials and so the market we're going after cannot take care of all of those. We don't make Santa Fe brown landscape aggregate and that's a big portion of it right there.

So we're proposing and feel like we can sell on the order of 250,000 tons a year, but there still are other aggregate producers that will still fulfill the other things that we're not able to do. Does that answer your question?

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: I have another question as well. How much of the material that you produce will be marketed outside of Santa Fe County?

MR. HOOPER: I – you know, that's obviously going to be a \$64,000 question. I don't see a huge amount. Aggregates are freight intensive, and so to be going past operations, driving past operations that are already fulfilling – say going back to Albuquerque, it's not really in the cards. There's some potential, if there's a specialty aggregate that we're capable of making that nobody else is, but in general, it's going to be within the zone that where transportation makes us competitive.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you.

MR. HOOPER: Let me, just to kind of go back to my comment that there are really no Santa Fe sources, Santa Fe County sources. In one of the documents that I think Mr. Graeser provided contended that there was a significant amount of overproduction of



aggregates based on his analysis from the ENM – the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department. In 2013 there were six registered aggregate operations. In 2014, July, there are four. And it's interesting to note, and this is not a slam on the Caja del Rio but it's a fact, is that Del Hur now is shown as an active mine, whereas prior to 2013 or 14 has never shown up, so really we've dropped – there's really only, other than the Caja del Rio, there are only three permitted operations in Santa Fe County, or registered with the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources.

One is Santa Fe River Pit, which is a landscape pit. It's a marginal aggregate. It's very soft, cannot be really used construction aggregates. It has been mined for many, many years. The other is San Lazarus Gulch, which is near Golden. That operates primarily for landscape aggregates. It is a durable aggregate but not a lot of that material comes into Santa Fe for construction purposes. And the third is the Waldo Quarry. Those were the only three that were remaining other than the Del Hur operation. Waldo Quarry is the one that's within two miles of the proposed Rockology site.

With respect to the Caja del Rio source, like I said, the physical properties we have discussed has precluded the aggregates from being used as a significant source for primarily concrete and aggregates, or concrete and asphalt. I have met with the large producers, talked to a lot of people. I understand that there may be some relationship going on with Associated Asphalt that they might be selling some materials for hot mix aggregates, but in general you don't see that material being used for concrete or hot mix asphalt because it has a higher absorption in the material than we're looking at, a lower specific gravity and various other physical properties that based on my analysis and understanding I feel that we've got a higher grade material.

The basis of our interest in pursuing this aggregate operation has remained the same. We still contend that the shortage of permitted quality aggregate sources in the area necessitates materials to be hauled in from long distances. The quality of this material, proximity to the market, and compatibility with adjacent land uses make it an appropriate location. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Hooper. Commissioners, any questions? Applicant, at this time do you all rest? Thank you. Mr. Siebert.

MR. SIEBERT: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, that completes our presentation. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Commissioners, we're going to move to the public. By a show of hands, based on the new questions that were asked and just what was presented, who would like to provide comment to this Commission please? Okay, that's not too many. So if you all just want to stand up at once and be sworn in please. If there's anybody out there in the hallway or downstairs just please make your way forward to the Commission chambers.

[Those in the audience wishing to speak were administered the oath.]

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Will any of you be allocating time to any speaker else? So I'm just going to move forward. Mr. McQueen's here. Mr. McQueen, I think you have three minutes.



MATTHEW MCQUEEN: Before I start, Mr. Chair, members of the Commission, my understanding is that we have governors from three pueblos present today and I wondered if perhaps you wanted to hear from them first.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Sure, if they want to come on up. Governors. I don't know if they care to provide comment, if so, they need to be sworn in. Governor, one second. please, Commissioner Anaya, and then we also have to have you sworn in please.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, Mr. Larrañaga, at the beginning you provided some feedback relative to questions. Were you going to present some of the maps that we – that I in particular asked for in relation to the region and mining activities in the region? Were you guys going to provide some maps or are they in our packet or how were you going to handle that? Because one of the specific questions I asked was not just hard rock mining. I asked for feedback on mining in the region in Santa Fe County and even out of Santa Fe County, in our neighboring county to the south in particular in relation to this case. Can you give me some feedback as to how we're going to deal with that? Because I'm going to raise some questions specifically connected to other mining in the region, specifically connected to even some of our pueblos that are going to get up and speak now. So I just wanted to give you a chance to give me some feedback before we hear from the governors.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Anaya, we took the list directly off the minutes and addressed those comments as well as we could. On the maps, employees of the GIS Department that created those maps and will be able to explain them a lot better than I could.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Do we actually have the big maps where we could put them up later on if need be? Can we get them, I guess? Can we get the maps so that we can show them to the public and as I'm raising questions or asking for locations on other mining activities I can point to them and the public can see where those are?

> MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Anaya, yes, we can get that. COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Excellent. Thank you very much. My apologies,

Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: No, it's good to have those maps up. Please, Governor,

please.

[Previously sworn, Joseph Suina testified as follows:]

GOVERNOR JOSEPH SUINA: Good morning, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. I am Joseph H. Suina, Governor of Cochiti Pueblo.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Governor, though if you will be providing testimony we will have to have you sworn in. Were you – did you stand up and be sworn in with the general audience? Okay. Thank you.

GOVERNOR SUINA: I have a letter here that is – in behalf of Cochiti Pueblo and is to go on record that we oppose the mining at the top of La Bajada Hill. A concern we have of course is the amount of noise and dust and the pollution that will go into the soils and the water that's in the surrounding area. Just as importantly I think is the concern that we have for the water table there and in recent years Cochiti has been experiencing a drop in the water coming in by way of the Santa Fe River. It used to be that it flowed all the time, not too long ago. Now it's down to a trickle and sometimes it just disappears completely. And so any

further, I think, use of water in that area is going to cause further depletion downstream for us.

And this is not just Cochiti but the Village of La Bajada. And as Native people we still have essential religious sites in that area. Our basic belief is, and as you have this mural behind you there, protection of religion. A basic belief in our religion is that our ancestral sites, our ancestors' spirits still reside in those sites that we consider sacred and any disruption, noise, pollution, dust and all of those things are going to be disrespectful to say the least from our perspective.

So our ancestral homelands still continue to be places of worship for us and every season there's religious leaders who take prayer feathers into the area and replenish the sites. And our belief of course in this particular case is to benefit so few at the expense of scarring Mother Earth forever and taking so much of the precious commodity of water is just not justifiable by any culture anywhere and in behalf of my people of Cochiti I urge you not to allow this mining to go forth. So thank you for this opportunity to share this with you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Governor, if I could get a copy of that letter for us I'd appreciate that. If we need to make copies for you we will, if we can hand it to Mr. Larrañaga. Also, just ladies and gentlemen, I would just respectfully ask – I know everybody's saying very heartfelt statements, very important statements to this Commission, but if you just kind of save any applause to the very end I would really, sincerely appreciate that. We have many speakers who would like to speak.

GERALDINE SALAZAR (County Clerk): Mr. Chair, point of clarification. It's important that each person that will testify in front of you state their name, their address, and also state that they are under oath -I am under oath, and they recognize that. If they did not stand up and take the oath then they need to take an oath. So point of clarification. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, I just want to ask the Governor of Cochiti a question, if I could.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Governor, I appreciate your comments and your feedback into the process. Does Cochiti Pueblo do any mining anywhere on the pueblo?

GOVERNOR SUINA: We had an operation there, a Montano mining operation near Pena Blanca, no longer going at this point.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: And what did you mine, when you mined? GOVERNOR SUINA: Gravel, primarily.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Okay. Thank you, Governor. Appreciate it. CHAIR MAYFIELD: Governor, please.

[Previously sworn, Everett Chavez testified as follows:]

EVERETT CHAVEZ: My name is Everett Chavez. Former three-time Governor for Santa Domingo Pueblo, currently councilman. I want to not repeat what the Governor said.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Governor Chavez, we'll have to have you – Clerk's request that you state your address please. Say your address.

GOVERNOR CHAVEZ: PO Box 339, Santo Domingo Pueblo, New Mexico.

AND CLERK RECORDED BY 18/2814

Santa Fe County Board of County Commissioners Regular Meeting of August 12, 2014 Page 19

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you.

GOVERNOR CHAVEZ: Two things. One, Santo Domingo has a mining gravel operation that has been basically sitting there because the market has not picked up. So there's an important economic piece to this, because I'm not sure where the numbers are gotten for Santa Fe County because we're basically just down the hill, just a hair on the other side of Santa Fe County, so we're just down the road. We have sat idle because the market has really gotten bad since 08 and so we had an operation, we're partnering with LaFarge and so in terms of what's there already I don't think we need one on top of La Bajada Mesa, because we've made millions of dollars in investments and time to create that for the pueblo.

And I also think about how many people does this mine benefit? For us it's an entire community of 5,000-plus that we undertake any economic development initiatives to benefit not only them but also the surrounding community that crosses our reservation. We've been able to pay for a health facility that also has the ability to respond to the general public in the event of some emergency need, there's facilities there. So I was thinking about the general public, not just ourselves.

So in terms of this mine it's going to seriously impact those important corridors that allow us to practice our religion that as was mentioned has been going on since time immemorial. So Santa Domingo stands firm again in opposition of this because primarily the cultural aspects of it but also in terms does this small mine that's going to be operating as was questioned for a very brief time during the period, is it warranted for the disturbance to important lands that mean a lot to us but also an eyesore and does it really bring that kind of benefit that may bring benefit to just a few, so I ask that the Commission vote against this. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Governor, just a few questions about your mine. How large is the mine that you have on the pueblo? How many acres? What are you extracting? And did you say the mine is suspended right now?

GOVERNOR CHAVEZ: It's right now sitting because we're constantly trying to get a pulse reading on the market. Because the reason why it's located there is because the intent from the beginning has been to serve the Santa Fe market as well as the Albuquerque market. But all the pro formas that were developed over time has basically gone away because the market has not picked back up. So I'm not sure what kind of feasibility pro forma studies that were done by this outfit but right now it's idle. But it is – we have operated, we've removed aggregate for road base as well as other needs that the market requires. But right now it's sitting idle because of the market not being what it should be. But we're always ready to restart that process. We've made huge investments in that. We have actually right now we have half a section that has been dedicated but we've probably only mined, I'd say about 200 acres of that so far.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So 320 acres is the mining site and 200 has been already mined?

GOVERNOR CHAVEZ: Of the 320, about 200 acres have begun to be mined but it's not – it hasn't been a full-scale operation because once the market went down dramatically we suspended. And we're still kind of in the holding stage right now until the

market requires, and we can then justify resuming operations. Our partnership is with LaFarge.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So, Mr. Chair, Governor, LaFarge also operates a mine south of you. Is that on San Felipe Pueblo?

GOVERNOR CHAVEZ: Santa Ana Pueblo. I think that is probably coming to a close. I think they've essentially quit mining and they're just finishing up on whatever product that they have right there.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: And Mr. Chair, Governor, do you have similar material that's being proposed in this application on the Santo Domingo Pueblo and any intent to mine that? Or do you guys mine basalt in Santo Domingo Pueblo or do you have a desire to?

GOVERNOR CHAVEZ: I'm not certain. I can't really speak accurately at that, but I'm going to take a gamble at this. We probably don't have at least the kind of basalt veins in that area. But I couldn't accurately speak to that, but most of it is the river gravel and that kind of stuff, the rock that we've mined.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: And Mr. Chair, Governor and Councilor, what's the classification type of mining that goes on on the pueblo? Is it – what's it called? Is it – what's the – what type of mining is it?

GOVERNOR CHAVEZ: Well, again, it's a sand and gravel operation that I guess depending on the material could feed both the basecourse needs for roads construction as well as they've actually set up a batch plant too so we've actually crushed rock to provide the asphalt for another contractor that has worked on the Interstate-25. So I think there's a whole gamut of material that provides those various needs. I'm not so sure. I don't think we've provided for the landscape market or anything like that that I'm aware of but I'm not as intimately involved in that process as some others are.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Governor. I appreciate it.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Governor, if I can just ask one question myself. So respecting sovereign lands and specifically your pueblo, what's the zoning or permitting process, say for your sand and gravel operation? If you can even disclose that?

GOVERNOR CHAVEZ: Well, there is a process. Not all of our reservation land has been zoned but that's in the works now. The problem that I also was noting in terms of the water needs, because when we first entered into this you may all may be familiar with Vulcan Materials. They were also an operator in the state, severely underestimated the water use needs and so they on a regular basis exceeded the needs. So my concern here is that there's no water there. Why import water as well?

And the other issue that I have is because I was involved in a situation in 1994 when we had a situation that arose that was going to impact us and the City of Santa Fe, not willing to comply with the new NPDES permit requirement. Because at that point in time about 8 million gallons of treated wastewater was being released into the Santa Fe River, which then, absent the perennial flows that used to happen naturally now was provided by the effluent flow. Now, if we start taking some of that you're changing the designated uses of the river system and it's a habitat and all of that in this confluence with the river. I would have preferred not to have effluent flowing but I trust that the permitting and requirements of EPA



are being met so that the water as it makes its way down provides for other living things and habitat that if we divert and begin to sell the treated effluent, what's going to happen to that? We're impacting other lifeforms aside from ourselves. So, thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Governor. The Governor from Tesuque,

please.

[Previously sworn, Robert A. Mora testified as follows:]

ROBERT A. MORA: Good morning, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. Nice to see some friendly faces up there. You know, we've always had a problem with these types of – CHAIR MAYFIELD: Would you just state your name and your address? GOVERNOR MORA: I'm sorry, Mr. Chair. Governor Robert A. Mora,

Pueblo of Tesuque. Business address, Route 42, Box 360-T, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

So we've always had a problem with these types of mining issues because we've seen the effects of those issues also. If you notice where the flea market is at that used to be a prior aggregate mining operation from way back. Then we used to have a sand and gravel operation down in the river area, which after so many years it was discontinued because we basically terminated all the contracts we had with those contractors.

So we've seen the environmental effects of those operations and the erosion that went with it that really tore up the river bank and tore up the riparian areas to an extent. And also the other reasons why we discontinued was because when the flea market operation closed down the contractors did not come back and do and do any restoration efforts or any of those issues to correct those effects that took place.

So with that we completely left or moved away from those types of operations even though we've had several companies approach us. So we don't see any benefits from those operations, not even in generating revenues. When the applicants here, they refer to their operation as being an operation for only two or three months. I don't see any benefits coming to the County in that manner. What is the cost effectiveness of revenue for such a small operation.

The other thing that I feel that is grossly exaggerated is the comparison of the need for those aggregates with the population of Santa Fe. It seems that they're using this as a means of justifying their operation. It's like saying you know, my kids need ten cubic feet of aggregate because used all the stuff up last year. It's preposterous. It doesn't hold any water in that manner and it just, you know, it throws their idea basically out the window. It's not justified.

The other thing is that we are practicing stewardship. It's very important to us because the state is completely covered with artifacts and significant areas, and this is one of the more significant areas for our people up here towards the north. So when you look at the big picture you're not going to go anywhere without finding some kind of artifact laying on the ground. And it's evident.

So we as ancestors, we take it upon ourselves to make sure that all of those sites are protected and to what extent that we exercise those practices is our business. But if they notify you or if some other tribes let you know what goes on then yes, that's their business to do that, to give you the perspective of what's going on. And please take that into consideration. Please that that – religion is strong with our people and we do extend our prayers and blessings to everybody. So it's very important. We're talking about Mother Earth

when you look at from our perspective. Mining is mining no matter what kind of mining it is, whether there's a hole in the ground of if you're taking it off the top of the surface. Mining is mining. And I don't know to what extent the applicant have addressed their restoration efforts when their operations have closed down.

In the manner of La Bajada, once that top soil is stripped there's nothing but rock underneath and it's not excavating or extraction, it's basically strip mining is what it's doing. It's scarring the surface and that will be hard to reclaim after they reach the rock underneath. How is vegetation going to take hold on bare rock? It takes a long time for things like that to happen. The natural succession is another thing that takes forever to happen.

So I hope you consider the environmental issues and not just the economic issues when you address this issue.

And the other thing, the last thing that I would like to say is that the people said no. And that's what you should take into consideration. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Governor. Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Governor, thank you for your feedback. If you could, if you could speak to some of the ancestral land aspects that you brought up. We've had yourself and others that have come before the Commission when we were considering land use cases, in particular the Santa Fe Canyon Ranch, La Bajada Ranch as we're calling it now. Can you just briefly talk about lands within the pueblo and outside of the pueblo lands and what you try and do as a Governor to provide that awareness, and then some understanding of process. Are there – is there a process by which pueblos utilize to designate lands outside of pueblo areas in any way? Ancestral land or does the federal government have a process that you could utilize to do that?

GOVERNOR MORA: Within traditional grounds. Basically there's a travel corridor that's basically evident because most of those travel corridors happened along the watercourses and within those areas at certain distances pueblos were established. And usually they happened within a day's walk. That would be the approximation of a distance of a day's travel where travelers go from pueblo to pueblo in their journeys and that's how it goes basically. Those pueblos are established.

There's a marking system that's been used and it's still continued to be used where basically they are rock markers, some kind of waypoint marker or basically it is some form of a rock cairn or something like this that denotes a pueblo's area of responsibility. It's not a claim to those lands; it's an area of responsibility. It's just that we are responsible for these lands up to this point. Then the next pueblo is responsible for areas after that. This happens throughout the Galisteo Basin coming in through the big wash coming through toward Santo Domingo.

So it's pretty common. That was a pretty common method of denoting areas of traditional use or traditional responsibility.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Governor. GOVERNOR MORA: You're welcome. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Governor. Are there any other elected officials in the audience who'd wish to provide comment? Governor Dorame, please.

CHARLIE DORAME: Good morning. I have not been sworn in.

[Duly sworn, Charlie Dorame testified as follows:]

GOVERNOR DORAME: My name's Charlie Dorame. I'm from the Pueblo of Tesuque. My address is Route 42, Box 38-TP, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87506. Do you want my home phone?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I don't think our Clerk needs that.

GOVERNOR DORAME: I don't answer it. Thank you, Chairman, and members of the committee. I'd like to address Commissioner Anaya's question but before I do that I always think it's proper that we welcome the applicants to the homelands of the Pueblo of Tesuque people. I don't think they're aware of the impact that these kinds of endeavors have on Indian people in this whole area. We live eight miles north of here. That's where our village is now. We used to live here in Santa Fe and the surrounding areas. When you asked about a map from the gentleman from the County I think he needs to provide a map that also shows cultural sites that the State of New Mexico Cultural Resources Department has gathered. And I'm sure they're experts in what they do but there's a lot of sites that they don't know about and we're not about to say anything about it.

Our homelands are actually the Southwest. We weren't involved in the way the State of New Mexico boundaries were drawn. Or Colorado. Or Nevada. Or Arizona. We weren't involved in the way Santa Fe County boundaries were drawn. We've never viewed them in that way. We practice our religion in different areas. We have pilgrimages. We take care of these sites. We take care of the mountain that's close to us. And whenever somebody comes in with a proposal we are hoping that we become one of the people on lists that you would consult, so that we're there at the beginning.

There needs to be a lot of education; it's not happening. Governor Mora just mentioned a couple of sites where are lands are desecrated, not necessarily cultural sites but the land itself is sacred to us. So if you can grasp that.

The other thing I wanted to mention is our people are buried all over. We never had the concept of cemeteries so you were buried wherever your people, your families wanted you to be buried. I haven't picked my site yet. It might be in here. With that, I just wanted to add those comments to Governor Mora's comments. We do have some councilmen that are waiting outside. I wish they would have been allowed to come in but you do have some guards outside so they only allowed the important people in and myself. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Governor Dorame, thank you, and just again, anybody's welcome to come into these chambers. Our Fire Marshal for his reasons stated, there's plenty of room in here, so anybody outside of those doors, there's plenty of room to come and sit down in here and if there are any tribal council members or anybody else from the public wishing to come in it is an open meeting and they're welcome to come in, Governor.

GOVERNOR DORAME: Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Governor Dorame.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Mr. McQueen. And then if folks just want to, after the statements are made just want to start coming up. Again, as the Clerk stated, if you have not been sworn in please be sworn in and reaffirm your name and address too.

MR. MCQUEEN: Mr. Chair.

> CHAIR MAYFIELD: One second, Mr. McQueen. COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. McQueen, if I could, is Mr. Graeser here? MR. MCQUEEN: No, he is not.

Graeser.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Graeser's dad is here. Good to see you, Mr.

MR. MCQUEEN: My law partner, Chris Graeser, is not here. COMMISSIONER ANAYA: I'm looking for Chris Graeser. MR. MCQUEEN: No, he's not.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Just a clarifying question. On page 42 of the minutes, and this is going to go to Jose or Legal, but on page 42 of the minutes, Mr. Chris Graeser, the law partner of Mr. McQueen, made a comment that similar application was submitted and recommended denial by staff in 2004 and 2008. And I think we had a brief discussion. I haven't found it in the minutes further on, but did staff recommend denial? I think there was some clarification provided. Could you, Mr. Larrañaga – I recall that the application never went through, but did staff recommend denial in 2004 and 2008?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Anaya, in 2008 there was a recommendation by the County Hydrologist for denial because of the water availability. And again, both those applications never made it to the Board for staff recommendation as far as denial or approval.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So there was no recommendation to either the CDRC or the Commission because the application didn't –

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Anaya, I believe there wasn't a staff report indicating whether we were going to support it, staff was going to support it or not.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Who pulled the application?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: The last application was pulled by Rockology?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Who pulled the 2004 application?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, I'd have to -

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: If you could find that out.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. McQueen. I'm sorry, Mr. Chair. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Just on page 89 of our minutes also, Mr. Larrañaga, you stated in the minutes that the 05 application was submitted to the County. It was withdrawn by the applicant and in 2008 it was also withdrawn by the applicant so that's in our minutes.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MR. MCQUEEN: Mr. Chair and Commissioner Anaya, if I could briefly address that before I begin my presentation. We have copies of staff memos, one -I don't know who authored it, recommending denial. I think that was the 2008 application. We have a copy of a draft memo from 2004 that indicated it was going to recommend denial of the application, which we believe is why the applicant pulled it, if that sheds any light on that issue.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, Mr. McQueen, I'll look at it. If it was never filed with the CDRC or came to the Commission, I don't think it's a recommendation, but I'd be happy to look at it if you have a copy of the draft memo.

MR. MCQUEEN: I did not bring all my files with me.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: That'd be fine. Thanks. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MR. MCQUEEN: Mr. Chair, as you know, I'm an attorney here representing a coalition of individuals and community groups who are opposed to this. My presentation I think will take about five to seven minutes, if I may be allowed that amount of time. Thank you very much.

My name is Matthew McQueen. I'm not sworn because I'm an attorney here. I'm with the law firm of Graeser and McQueen. As I said we represent a coalition of community organizations and individuals. Our focus here today is fairly narrow. This is a continuation of the public hearing and there were some specific questions raised by the Commissioners which are being addressed today. That being said, I urge you to remember that your decision, if you render it today, is based on the totality of the evidence. So please don't forget the seven hours of testimony that took place on June 11^{th} –

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I assure you that none of us will forget.

MR. MCQUEEN: Okay. Thank you very much. I'd also like to remind you that this is a discretionary approval. It specifically says that you may create a zoning area; you don't have to. And in fact the code does have what's called a performance standard which says that no mining use will be permitted if it is determined it will have a significant adverse effect on the health, safety, morals or general welfare of the county or its residents. So creating a mining zone is phrased in the permissive – may – and denying it, in the face of that kind of evidence is mandatory.

I believe there is substantial evidence in the record on specific points of law and fact that strip mining in the La Bajada Mesa would be contrary to the health, safety and general welfare of the county.

Two specific topics I want to talk to you about today are mining and how the mining is treated in the code, and water. The code requires mining identification in the mineral rights owners of the land that's going to be subject to mining; this has not been done. In the record there is an affidavit. The record is supposed to contain a record stating ownership of the mineral rights. There is in fact an affidavit that says they don't own the mineral rights or own just a portion of them. That's not what's required by the code. There is – in the affidavit there's even an offer to indemnify the County should they go ahead and mine and there would be a lawsuit brought against the County but it's not the County that would be on the line. I mean it's not the County that would be impaired. It would be the other mineral rights owners.

What's clear from the code is the purpose of this provision is to identify all the property owners and give them a chance to voice their concerns or to voice their interest, protect their interest, and this has simply not been done. Mr. Domenici did spend some time discussing the permitting of Caja del Rio. That's not before this Commission at this time. We're dealing with permitting of this mine at La Bajada.

The second issue of great importance is water. Section 1.7 of the code states the applicant shall submit evidence that the applicant has obtained adequate water supply as evidenced by appropriate permits issued by the State Engineers Office/Interstate Stream

Commission of the State of New Mexico. This has simply not been done. The applicant instead offers two other sources of water supply. The first is the treated effluent from the City. I think it's clear from the letter from the City that the City is not going to provide its treated effluent for the 25-year life of this project. Mr. Siebert suggested that use of the effluent was "still up in the air" and I would say that if that's their water supply, and it's up in the air, then this application has to be rejected because it's not an adequate water supply.

Previously, before they identified the possible effluent use the proposal was to use potable water provided by Santa Fe County based on a willing and able letter from the County, from the Public Works Department. That letter, which I do have a copy of is one paragraph long. It's really only one sentence. It's from an accountant, not a planner or a hydrologist. There is no limitation in time, amount. There are no drought contingencies. It's for a currently unpermitted use in an area outside of the County service area. I mean this is no way to do major land use planning, getting a letter from an accountant in the Public Works Department.

At the CDRC hearing where this came up, committee member Katz asked of Mr. Adam Leigland, so if I wanted to buy a million gallons a day from you and would have trucks lined up all day long, you would just automatically approve that? And Mr. Leigland said, yes, under the current policy.

I think there's two points to be made about that. The first is I don't believe you actually have a current policy. There is -Mr. Larrañaga indicates there's an application to fill out and there's a price structure. I think it's a penny a gallon for residential use and two pennies a gallon for commercial use. In my opinion that does not make a policy. A policy is a statement of principles, and there is currently no policy on the use of County water, potable water supply.

Second, even under Mr. Leigland's very sort of expansive interpretation of that water source he did say the current policy. And as we know, if there is a current policy or if there should be a policy, it can be changed or adopted. So again, this is not a 25-year water supply. Further, even if the effluent plan worked, or the County potable plan worked, and in my opinion neither does, they still don't meet the requirements of the code, that they provide an adequate water supply as evidenced by appropriate permits issued by the Office of the State Engineer.

Finally, there was the issue of the water budget. It seems to me that there are still unresolved questions about the water budget and at the very least those problems should be resolved between the applicant and the County Hydrologist before the application moves forward in the perhaps unlikely event that it's not rejected outright. Thank you very much.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Mr. McQueen. Commissioners, any questions? So whoever cares to come up please. And then also, just so you know, would you just stay at the podium for a couple seconds in case any Commissioners have any questions of your presentation to us. You're all welcome. Whoever wants to start coming to sit up front in this front pew.

[Previously sworn, Helen Hooper McCloskey testified as follows:]

HELEN HOOPER MCCLOSKEY: Good morning. I'm under oath. My name is Helen Hooper McCloskey. My address is PO Box 158 in Cerrillos, 87010. Thank you for your public service. My husband served in the military and in the US Congress for many

years and I was a planning commissioner so we appreciate how very tough your job is. I've been a landowner in Santa Fe County for over 30 years. 125 acres of my land currently overlooks La Bajada, is on the Galisteo and overlooks Waldo Mesa Road. I am very concerned not only with land values but also on the impact on my well of this operation.

The main point I wanted to suggest to you is that the owners bought their holdings on a speculatory basis, knowing that the land was not zoned for mining; it's zoned for ranching and residential. Capitalism is based on the idea that you're free to fail and free to succeed and you're under no obligation whatsoever to fulfill this zoning request change. The practice of spot zoning is widely considered questionable ethically and is actually disallowed in many jurisdictions. I urge you not to engage in this practice. There are actually few regulations for many particulates, both at the federal, state and even local levels. One that I want to bring to your attention is valley fever. It's a fungus that is native to New Mexico, Arizona, and the central valley of California. You're looking at somebody who has it. It's not pleasant. It's incredibly underfunded even in California where it's endemic. It's caused by disturbance to the soil. The fungus becomes airborne. Dropping water on it periodically does absolutely nothing. It's a health concern that's very much underreported and at the beginning of its being studied.

Disturbance to the desert is notoriously hard to remediate. Clearly no disturbance is the best remediation. Once scarred, this land will be scarred forever, and I would really urge you to remember that for those of us who love the desert, who realize how incredibly rich in life it is, we only have one Bajada Mesa. We only have one Otero Mesa. We only have one Valle Verde, one Bandolier, one Chaco. To do the damage that this purports to do for the purpose of an intermittent industry, operating a few months a year for seven intermittent jobs is just almost ludicrous, and I urge you for all the reasons above and for the reasons everybody set forth at the prior hearings that you vote no on this application, and I would simply add the fact that the pueblos have come out in opposition to this should alone on its face be enough to say no. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Again, if I can ask please – and I'm just going to make a general statement. I appreciate all of you being here today and providing testimony or a presentation in front of us, but if we just limit it, like it was stated a little earlier by Mr. McQueen. We had a seven-hour hearing, CDRC hearings, we've looked at minutes. We have stacks of letters that we've received electronically and that we submitted to our County Attorney. If your comments could be limited today to the questions that the Commission posed, that the applicants answered for us and also that staff presented. Thank you. Sir.

[Previously sworn, Steven Clark testified as follows:]

STEVEN CLARK: My name is Steven Clark, resident of Santa Fe. I've been sworn in. My address is 74 Johnson Mesa, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87508. Thank you to everyone for your excellent comments. I admit I don't have the inherent credibility of the pueblo governors who have just spoken so eloquently but I can say that my personal religion is the land. My language is the land. I won't take time to explain that but as a taxpaying citizen I also have some kind of a claim to the property and as I've been listening to the statements being made I see the protection of property, religion and language, the motto of the mural and the city.

La Bajada Mesa is an important element of the spiritual architecture of the land. If the land is the language, to run a strip mine on the top of La Bajada Mesa would be equivalent to removing a consonant or a vowel from the language that is spoken if you're in relationship to the land. I think the mesa is just fine the way it is. It provides a very wonderful function just the way it is. Please deny the application. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Governor, welcome.

MARK MITCHELL: Good afternoon. I haven't been sworn in.

[Duly sworn, Mark Mitchell testified as follows:]

GOVERNOR MITCHELL: my name is Mark Mitchell, former Governor of the Pueblo of Tesuque. My address is Route 42, Box 68, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Santa Fe County. Again, I just wanted to reiterate, not in detail but more so on reality.

First of all, in 2011, somebody asked – I think Commissioner Anaya asked a question regarding boundaries, etc. In our case and in indigenous cases here in this land, on this continent, the City of Santa Fe, the State of New Mexico in 2011 has celebrated their 400 years of existence on this continent. Whereas, myself and my ancestors – time immemorial.

So the question was how do we determine certain sites? That's the answer: time immemorial. We didn't put these sites out there. Those that came before us did. All we know is what we were educated on these sites.

Number two, I think the other thing that needs to happen is consultation, which I don't think that happened with the company. There is a state law, the Collaboration Law, which states that you need to consult with indigenous folks, the pueblo people. There's also a federal law, NAGPRA law, Native American Graves and Repatriation Act of 1990. And also there's another law called the ARPA law, the Archaeological Protection Act. So if those are met then we will move forward. However, in our case, the Pueblo of Tesuque, I was Governor last year and I never saw anything come in from my office.

So with those, those things that have not been met, I also urge all of you to not go forward with this. One last thing, and the only way I can explain this to everybody, the general public, is certain sites are like part of a church. You go there to I guess ask for forgiveness. So would you tear down the church just because somebody wants to build something? Think about that. Those are my comments. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioners? Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Governor.

[Previously sworn, Diane Senior testified as follows:]

DIANE SENIOR: Good morning. My name is Diane Senior. I live at 317-B Camino Cerro Chato in Madrid and I am sworn. Commissioner Mayfield, Commissioners, thank you for all of the time and effort that you have put in to hearing this case. I'm here to talk about one of the questions that came out of the previous hearing where you asked whether or not the lawsuit in 1975 over land prices was irrelevant today. The case in 1975 is still relevant. The County code requires you to protect the health, safety and general welfare of the county and its residents. The untrustworthiness of the applicants bears directly on that obligation.

In 1975 two of the current owners of Buena Vista Estates, through a series of sham transactions quadrupled the price of the land per acre in a single day. They then used that

today.

inflated price to lure investors into their scheme. In a subsequent law suit by the State Attorney General's office required restitution of almost half a million dollars.

Today, that pattern of deception is continuing with this application. You've heard from your own County Hydrologist that the information provided about water budgets and reclamation either was inaccurate or there was insufficient evidence provided for her to make a basis to determine whether or not it was adequate. There have been overblown estimates of the economic benefits. The application that was submitted promised seven full-time jobs as part of the economic benefit to the County, and yet today Mr. Hooper was here before you said that the operation would only be working for two to three months a year. How does that correlate?

There are a number of places where we hear that – we've had the dispute over the suitability of the materials from Caja del Rio. What you've seen is testimony that tries to shunt off where the responsibility is. The question is not whether or not Caja del Rio is permitted; the question is whether or not they are providing basalt to the county and they are, and that basalt meets requirements from the New Mexico Department of Transportation and FAA, among others.

Please keep in mind that early proposals envision two miles of La Bajada Mesa being mined. Subsequent applications have reduced the proposed site to the current 50-acre parcel, but this is only a foot in the door. Expanding the existing mine site is much easier than establishing a new one. Vast acreage, including this proposed mine site and surround La Bajada land is already being advertised internationally as 5,200 acres of rich aggregate deposits for possible mining. An earlier application also admitted that this was an initial site.

As our Commissioners you have the discretion and the obligation to deny this application. A section of Article XI of the code governing the extraction of materials says no mining use activity will be permitted if it is determined that the use will have a significant adverse effect on the health, safety, morals, or general welfare of the county and its residents. This application fails on every single criterion. I urge you to deny the application. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Next. All right.

[Previously sworn, Timothy McConechy Kaye testified as follows:]

TIMOTHY MCCONECHY KAYE: I've been sworn in.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So your name and your address.

MR. MCCONNECHY KAYE: I'm Timothy McConnechy Kaye from Oxford, 60 Movercourt Road, Oxford, England, but I live in Santa Fe part time at 1021 Stagecoach Road. I think – he said earlier that we have about 1.6 million tons of rocks at a different mine and then he proposes building a new mine. And they've sold 50,000 and they still haven't sold that 1.6 million. So it's completely pointless. This is just a scheme to make them get rich quick and it will ruin cultures along the way. It will destroy wildlife around there. Because using effluents for water to drill with? No, it's just a bad idea. It will spread disease. You could have a cholera epidemic or something. So thank you very much for listening.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you very much for presenting to the Commission

[Previously sworn, Laird Graeser testified as follows:]

LAIRD GRAESER: My name is Laird Graeser. I have been sworn. My address is115 Rancho Alegre Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87508. And I apologize to

Commissioner Anaya. Chris Graeser, in disclosure is my son and I respect his efforts a lot. I apologize.

I have spent a great deal of time trying to understand the demand for coarse aggregates in Santa Fe. At this point I have a number of between 174,000 tons a year and 254,000 tons a year. That does not include the aggregates, the coarse aggregates purchased by LaFarge for ready mix concrete. But the total as best I can figure, because that 174,000 to 254,000 includes possible consumption in the Espanola area or the Edgewood area of the county. In central county my best number for total demand for coarse aggregate, such as would be produced at the La Bajada mine is no more than 300,000 tons a year.

The applicants propose to produce 250,000 tons a year, and so they are planning to completely take over the market for coarse aggregates in Santa Fe. That would have the unfortunate consequence of putting a lot of people out of work and putting a lot of businesses out of business.

The other important point is that the Caja del Rio production generates \$1.50 per ton royalty payment from Del Hur to the Santa Fe Solid Waste Management Agency. This \$1.50 a ton defrays a portion of the cost incurred by the agency to blast and excavate the pits to be used for solid waste storage. My first point is that the proposed mine would essentially close down the production from the Caja del Rio mine. The Santa Fe Solid Waste Management Agency would no longer have that revenue stream as part of their revenues and unfortunately, I think tipping fees would have to go up at the agency.

So the only other thing that I can tell you is that in the study of international economics there is a type of commerce called colonialism where the colonial power comes in and buys raw materials from the country of interest and exports them. What's left behind is a mess, and that's pretty much what the applicant proposes here. Thank you very much.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Graeser. Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, can I ask a quick question of staff. I don't know if it's Jose, yourself, or Mr. Shaffer. It may entail a little bit of research. Under this code that this application is being proposed, the current code that was signed and adopted the 10th of September, 96, how many mining districts have been approved? Mining zones? Off of this code? I mean, I wouldn't think there would be a bunch but I would assume there's several, so do you know, Jose? Is that something you can have staff look into?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Anaya, I don't know off hand, but yes, we could look into it.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Yes. Specifically this code, how many mining zones were created by a Board of County Commissioners. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Mr. Shaffer, I also have a question, and if it needs to go into executive that's fine. But I know I asked a question at the last hearing that pertained and it was just brought up again of how this is being marketed. We have an application that has a specific acreage amount, but yet there's been indications that there's been some national, international advertising campaign and I asked that to be addressed. When was that going to be addressed?

> COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Mr. Chair. CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: On this point, while staff is looking for the information, there's two numbers that appear in my packet of material where you talked about the promotion of this land for sale. There's one number that's 5,000 something and then there's another number of 1,300 I think. So there's a range in numbers there, and so I'm wondering why there's that difference and how does that play into the marketing scheme for this particular parcel. Because I think it's being advertised as a large parcel.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, yes. The advertisement is for approximately 5,200 acres, plus or minus, as aggregate or being able to be master planned for large-scale residential. The actual – the application shows 1,000 – whatever the number is, over 1,000 acres, and 50 acres within that 1,000 acre parcel. I think that's where the discrepancy is. But the – so it is advertised in that fashion and we tried to address it as best we could and put the exhibits in the packet to show the advertisement as on that website.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, I did look through part of it because some of it is in newspaper clippings and things like that and I didn't see – I couldn't find any explanation in the difference in the acres that were being advertised. That's what I was struggling with. And maybe it's a two-prong approach. Maybe in one advertisement it's the 5,200 acres and in another advertisement it's the smaller number. So I don't know how – maybe it's just how they're advertising the parcel and how it's listed.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, on Exhibit 9, page 188, this is the advertisement we took off of the website and actually the website that was brought up at the last public hearing. The paper clippings and so on I believe you're looking at is the submittal that Diane Senior submitted as part of the packet as an additional exhibit, but staff, we looked at this website and this is what was advertised. It was 5,200, plus or minus acres and has different maps. As you can see on the maps, on like on 190, it is a large parcel with the railroad going through it.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And I guess in both cases it's advertised -- the advertisements are focusing on mineral extraction.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Aggregate and yes, the potential for master planning for a residential, large-scale residential.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Jose. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. So again, Jose, going back I guess to my question, and maybe how I stated it at the meeting a few months ago. This applicant is requesting a specific acreage amount to be mined, yet the advertisement as I read it or understand it is saying 5,200, which is a lot more than what they're asking us to approve for mining today. So that's just what I'm having some hard time comprehending. How can they advertise something that they don't have any intention of or they're not indicating they're mining it? Unless they have that intention. Then why isn't that applicant requesting to mine 5,200 acres or that application is stating that?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, the application that we reviewed is 50 acres within that site.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: But yet they're advertising, they're internationally advertising or nationally advertising 5,200 acres.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Yes, on the advertisement.

> CHAIR MAYFIELD: That's what I see on the exhibit. MR. LARRAÑAGA: Yes.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay. So I may go back to the applicant in a while for that. But thank you. So we'll go back to public comment right now.

[Previously sworn, Nicole Conn testified as follows:]

NICOLE CONN: I am Nicole Conn. I am under oath and I am a resident of Santa Fe. My address is 2635 Via Caballero del Norte. Mr. Commissioner, Commissioners, I thank you for your service to our county, to our community. So many people have already spoken so eloquently about the alarm bells that are ringing on this application – water issues, ecology, cultural and religious aspects. I am concerned about those and I also wonder, as you've just raised this question concerning the potential sale of this property. And I wonder what will happen if a bond is placed that's meant to protect this area. If this is a 25-year lease, how does a potential sale impact any of the protections that may be put into place? And obviously, yes, that would be your concern, that the protections would extend to any future owners. But this is a very serious question. Will the bond be enough? Will the bond transfer? How will this all be covered for the future? And those are the questions I ask.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioners? Whoever would like to come up,

please.

[Previously sworn, Katherine Kaye testified as follows:]

KATHERINE KAYE: Hello. I'm under oath. My name is Katherine Kaye and I'm really here to represent the views of my mother, Dr. Janet Kaye, and my father, Lt. Col. E. Donald Kaye, who are residents of this wonderful city. They are 86 and 85 respectively. And they are extremely intellectually lively, but they are physically unable to attend this meeting or any other meeting. They first became acquainted with this area when each of them, independently, were nine years old and they came to Santa Fe, my mother from Gallup and my father from Oklahoma, when Santa Fe was really not very paved, shall we say.

My father is a former board member of the New Mexico State Historical Society. He's a published author on the subject of New Mexico history. He's a book reviewer. He was a museum docent and a guide, and my mother likewise is very well informed.

They asked me to say that topographically, La Bajada Mesa is of incalculable importance in understanding the overall history of this region, and indeed of our neighboring country, Mexico, and of the contested border areas. And if you ruin the topography then not only are you destroying religious sites and cultural sites and you are affecting the environment and the water budget, but you are changing the nature of how we are able to understand our own history, and by our, I mean of course the original residents and population of this area, but all of the other people and La Bajada Mesa doesn't just belong to Santa Fe County.

But my father being a graduate of the Harvard Business School and my mother having her head screwed on straight, she also asked me to request that you consider the question of this bond and this lease. Now, given their perspectives of 70 years, they're quite aware and lively to the question of the costs of remediation over time, and they have asked me specifically to direct your attention to how much will it cost to remediate a strip mine in 25 years time when we are already experiencing a reduction of our water resources. We are experiencing a reduction of our habitat quality, and my father has not done as many studies as

this gentleman has, but there is no great demand for more aggregate, whereas there is always going to be a demand for this habitat and for the water that this project might consume in the future. So they've asked me specifically to refer that future question to you and to take a long view, such as the one that they have already. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you.

CYNTHIA ROWE: I need to be sworn in.

[Duly sworn, Cynthia Rowe testified as follows:]

MS. ROWE: Cynthia Rowe, like the mesa. And I live at or I have Post Office Box 592, Cerrillos, New Mexico. I live in the Cerrillos Valley and I've very concerned about what happens at La Bajada because we live there. When the wind comes through it is unbelievable, the sand and stuff that we get off of everything else. It comes right down the valley. And it's very difficult to breathe sometimes. But more importantly, when I come up La Bajada from Albuquerque, and I hit the top of there my heart sings, because that to me is the entrance into Santa Fe. And I look over that beautiful land and I do not want to see a strip mine over to the side. And it is close enough to I-25 to be able to be seen. That is not what we want in our county. The people I think have kind of spoken. We don't want it. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Just by a show of hands, how many folks still would like to comment? Okay, that's not too many, so thank you. Otherwise I was going to ask you to really limit it to what we asked, but I think there's only five more right now, so please come on up.

[Previously sworn, Don Van Doren testified as follows:]

DON VAN DOREN: I'm Don Van Doren and I have been sworn. I live at 317-B Camino Cerro Chato. Chairman Mayfield, Commissioners, first of all, thank you again for your help and work in all this. This has been a long and arduous process we know and I'd also like to thank the staff for their work and their scrambling to get all this information gathered together. Commissioner Anaya asked about the GIS analysis and some of the information about that. It is included in the packets. It's at the beginning of Part 2 and the beginning of Part 3, the two different sections that they did.

I suspect that the analysis that was done was really focused on the areas to the south of the mine. The reason for that is because the analysis shows, as it's portrayed, it shows that there's no visibility of the mine from I-25. That of course is not correct. The applicants themselves have stated that it's possible to see it from various places. They showed pictures with the banners that were taken in some of the earlier testimony and at the CDRC meetings. They showed that this can be seen from I-25. Of course I'll point out that their methodology using 20-foot high banners that are two or three feet wide doesn't of course have any kind of the same visual impact as piles of gravel or equipment which can be up to 36 feet tall, according to the code.

But the point is that it can be seen from I-25 and also along Waldo Canyon Road and yet that's not evident from the GIS. So I suspect that that analysis was focused more to the south. Of course the south is important because the mine – and the GIS shows this – the mine is completely visible from areas to the south, and I'll point out because of the slope of the mesa, the gentle slope toward the south, the drawing submitted by the applicant show that there are no walls on the south-facing part of the mine operation. So it's not a pit that's hiding the excavation or the equipment or the piles of material from viewpoints to the south,

along the Turquoise Trail, Goldmine Road, the Ortiz Mountains – this was one of the questions that was asked, the trails and communities surrounding Madrid and Cerrillos.

So it's fully visible and will continue to be fully visible throughout the duration of this project. Some of the assertions that were made that the equipment eventually would be down in the pit has no bearing from the views from the south and you can look at the applicant's drawings that they submitted which shows this.

As far as the question was raised – Mr. Larrañaga took a look at and read into the record comments about what the source of some of the public testimony was in this. I did one of those. I did the views from five points along I-25. The details of that were in the exhibit. They weren't in the two overall diagrams that were included in the papers I guess that you received. What the detail shows is that those are views from the five-foot high level, and what one would see if you were looking toward the mine site. In some cases you'd see nothing but the dust plumes which of course would go potentially hundreds of feet into the air. In other cases you'd see – as you're cresting La Bajada for example and going slightly beyond it to the point #2 that I had shown you would see structures or piles that are greater than 20 feet high. And then as you get further along toward the Waldo Canyon overpass section there that would be – you'd start seeing the entire operation.

The source of that material by the way is as it was stated in the details, is from Google Earth. It's their profiles, the only information we had access to to take a look at this. The other information was provided by Rick Wessel, who's a New Mexico Department of Transportation certified archaeologist. This was the USDA, USGS, AEX GOI and other GIS services that are provided here. So that was the source of that. That was in very small print down at the bottom of the exhibit.

Anyway, I just wanted to comment to answer some of the questions that were raised about those particular issues. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Whoever else would like to comment would you please come and sit up here? Thanks.

LOUISE BAUM: Hi. My name is Louise Baum and I live at 54 San Marcos Road West, and I was not sworn in.

[Duly sworn, Louise Baum testified as follows:]

MS. BAUM: I guess I just want to put in a little feeling, and I don't think I'm the only person feeling this. I'm feeling almost in tears. I'm feeling outraged that this whole thing was started on a scam beginning with the incredible inflation of prices that was started by the original sellers of this land that had been spoken of. This was addressed. I have learned a lot in these three testimonies I've come to. One of the things I've learned is that people from the pueblos wanted to buy that land at one point but because the price was so inflated they were not able to. I am very struck by the fact that has been mentioned a couple of times now that the current owners of the land are advertising it as 5,200 acres even though the permit is just for 50 acres. I found this an outrage because it seems really clear to me that their intention is to start with the 50 acres if they can get a permit for that and then say, well, there's already a mine in there. How can you stop us from having more? Which will really be incredibly destructive.

The third thing is I think that at this point in time on our planet, in our state, in our county, we need to protect our open spaces. If we don't really make an effort to do it they will go and we will be an ugly place instead of a beautiful place. Thank you.

PATRICIA OLIVER WRIGHT: I have not been sworn in.

[Duly sworn, Patricia Oliver Wright testified as follows:]

MS. WRIGHT: My name is Patricia Oliver Wright. I live at 37 Caminito de Pinon in Santa Fe, in the county. First I wanted to just come and voice my concern and put my voice in with everyone else's. But I was trying to think – Mr. Chair, you had asked people to address the ten items that the staff was putting together and I was taking some notes and looking at the gross receipts tax that was projected to come in, and the one factor in my past experience – I was in transportation for many years and I noticed that Mr. Siebert talked about the traffic regulations being met were the access code, which is very commendable, but the question that I had in relation to that gross receipts tax and traffic, is has the maintenance of these roads that are going to be used by very heavy water trucks coming in, very heavy aggregate trucks going out. I would just ask you to please, if you do approve this, which I really hope you do not, but take that into consideration as you look at the gross receipts tax that may be coming in and try to subtract out what you'd have to pay in maintenance for roads when you factor in all that. So I would just hope you would take that into consideration and I do ask you to please vote against this proposal.

MARIANNA HATTEN: I've not been sworn.

[Duly sworn, Marianna Hatten testified as follows:]

MS. HATTEN: Good afternoon, Commissioners and chairman. My name is Marianne Hatten, 29 High Feather Ranch, Cerrillos, New Mexico. I've been before you before, but two new points that I would like to emphasize today, based on today's discussion, and one is this hiding in plain sight real estate promotion. I googled – I used Bing and I can give you the website if you like, but it's the first thing that came up on Bing when I said La Bajada mining site New Mexico. And there it was. So again, it's not like this isn't out there and that just the synopsis that appears on Bing starts out 5,200+ acres of aggregate. Then it goes on. But there it is.

The other thing is roads, which just were mentioned again. I worked with the County Roads Department folks a couple years back to find out what the process was for upgrading some of the private/public roads. I happen to live on a private/public road that has about 100 homes on it, so it gets a lot of traffic. And I worked with the Roads Department. They told me the process, and our road qualified based on the number of car trips and the amount of traffic. It qualified for County paving. Now, money to do the paving was another issue, but they said, first, you have to bring this road up to County standards which meant a certain width. It meant ditches. It meant six inches of basecourse. It meant turnarounds for fire trucks. And I challenge to go out and look at this County Road 57. There's not an inch of basecourse on that road.

And so if they County's entertaining this application on the basis of improving that stretch of the road from the Waldo Canyon exit out to the southern boundary of the mine site, if you follow your own procedures, that's going to be a very, very, very expensive proposition. I urge you for all of the other reasons stated in the past testimony and again

today, deny this. It does not fit here. There's no reason to make an exception, to make this fir where it does not fit. Thank you.

MARVIN MOORE: I have not been sworn.

[Duly sworn, Mavin Moore testified as follows:]

MR. MOORE: My name is Marvin Moore. I live at 245 Clausen Street, 87501 in the Guadalupe Historic District and formerly from El Paso, which is a little bit left of Texas. I have been a practicing architect for over 50 years. One of my main charges as an architect in any state is to protect the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of that state and of this country, or of that community.

We have been coming with our kids, and now our granddaughter to El Paso for 45 years to Santa Fe. Our approach to Santa Fe has always been La Bajada and that was our introduction to Santa Fe. It was always our welcome back to Santa Fe. We've had our home here for 16 years and we have made this our permanent residence based on our understanding of the culture and history and image of Santa Fe. The welfare of this community depends on its image, its self-image and its image throughout the world as a highly cultured, historic location with a tradition of protection of its land and its traditions and in doing so I want to thank the governors for their hospitality in having us here today.

This culture, this image, goes back to pre-history. It is something that we prize as Santa Feans and we do not wish to see this diminished by a small application for a business that will benefit very few people.

I've recently been to our national forest and heard from our National Forest Service that our prized pine forest in this region in our national parks have about another ten-year life as far as the ponderosa pine, which is a major tree in many of our national forests. This is due to the reduction of water production, water – rainfall. Our climate zone is being moved one zone north because of that change in temperature and water from rainfall. And I think the image of Santa Fe, if we are cavalier with our protection of water resources and allocation of water resources to do a project like this it will be damaged beyond measure.

As far as the other image of transportation of water and product from this mine, if anybody has driven south through Carlsbad, Roswell, Artesia, and seen what has been done to the highways and the result to in safety on the highway due to water transportation to the fracking industry in that area they would not want that image to be projected on Santa Fe. I ask you to consider the welfare of our city in your deliberation of this matter. Thank you.

[Previously sworn, Eric Johnson testified as follows:]

ERIC JOHNSON: My name is Eric Johnson and I'm under oath. I'm here representing the Johnsons of Madrid, which is the oldest and largest gallery on the Turquoise Trail. And I have a confession. I think I'm one of the folks here in Santa Fe who hasn't managed to consume my share of 10 tons of aggregate on an annual basis. The idea of blowing up a natural and historic landmark to make a gravel pit is so preposterous that most people I talk to can't take it seriously. But last month, 600, 800, 1200 people did take it serious and when you asked us to stand if we oppose the mine, every one of us did. I think that's case closed.

[Previously sworn, Walter Wait testified as follows:]

WALTER WAIT: My name is Walter Wait, 48 Bonanza Creek Road. I have been sworn. I am representing the San Marcos Association.

Mr. Chair, members of the Commission, when you take – it is our position, when you take overburden off and blast rock, that's strip mining. The result here may not be gold or silver. The resulting product in this case is basalt gravel. A mine is a mine. We have provided detailed arguments which are in your packet that the application for rezoning in this application should have been handled as an application for hard rock mining, and as such, should have been administered under Article III, Section 5, Hard Rock Mining.

If our interpretation is correct then the rock removal could be subject to claims by mineral rights owners and staff has not responded, or it's our belief that staff has not responded to the Commission's request to clarify this issue as to who in fact owns such rights, or in fact the legal interpretation of the current code as we have defined it.

We once again then urge the Commission to reject this rezoning proposal and ensure that the definition of hard rock mining for gravel is carefully considered in the DCI section of the pending new County code. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible] Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, that you asked on the 5,200-acre, on the purchasing or the marketing. What page is that again?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: That's Exhibit 9. Maybe 188 or something. Let's see if I can find it.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So I'm going to go back to the applicant really quick. Is there anything you all want to rebut public comments or maybe some restated public comments you wish to address?

MR. SIEBERT: Mr. Chair, Commissioners we have no further comments.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you. Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Holian.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Are there any further questions from the

Commission?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I'm seeing right now if there's questions from the Commission. I have a few. I don't know. Commissioner Anaya? So let me – I'll start then. Really quick, I did ask a question – it was asked again, and I'm going to go to staff on this, as far as the offering and the advertisement. We have an application in front of us so I need this question answered for me. So if they're out there advertising – it's going to be a two-part question. And it's pretty much been stated. It's right here on page 188, front and back. Has this property even been master planned?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, no.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So they're coming in for an application for a specific piece of a parcel of 5,200 acres, 50 acres they're asking to do the aggregate production on this. Why wouldn't we need a master plan on something that's being advertised out there for sale, 5,200 acres?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, the advertisement – the submittal is to create a mining zone on 50 acres. Now, any property can be – I don't even know how to answer that, but any property can be advertised; we have no control on how it's being advertised. We



just have control on what we would look at. Right now it's agricultural/residential and they're coming in to create a mining zone on 50 acres. As far as the advertisement of the entire 5,200 acres we have no control over it.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I guess my question, Mr. Shaffer, in dealing with some of the other land use cases to come in front of us, typically, when there's a development or proposed development there's a general master plan so they can solicit that development out there. And here I'm seeing that they're right now asking for approval for 50 acres to produce the aggregate. They're also in here talking about the offering. I'm just going to read it. It's been read a few times. CBRE Land Services Group has been engaged as the exclusive listing representative of the sale of La Bajada. The property consists of approximately 5,421 plus or minus acres of vacant land, which includes 5,200 plus or minus acreage of rich aggregate deposits for possible mining. And then it talks about the investment summary on the bottom. And then I guess on the back of this, page 2 from Buildology, it just talks about the Waldo aggregate.

But if there's going to be a future request for development on this land, housing sites, whatever type of commercial sites in the future and they're out there marketing right now, why wouldn't we need a master plan on this? If you can't answer that, Mr. Larrañaga, I understand. I don't know, Mr. Shaffer, do you care to take a crack at that?

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, I believe the advertisement is just that, an advertisement to the public as to what possibly could be done with the land. I think the questions about what the intent of the current owners are better directed to the applicants rather than to staff but I don't believe that it works to indicate a definitive course of action that a prospective owner may take. I think rather it's just advertising literature.

In respect to the requirement of master plan approval I believe staff can address that but as staff interprets the code that this is an application pursuant to Article XI, I believe their position is that a master plan is not required.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you for that answer. So then – and maybe it's been stated but just to have an answer for me once again. So then who owns the mineral rights for the 5,200 acres?

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, I believe that the applicant has submitted a legal opinion to the effect that Buena Vista Estates, Inc. owns a 12.5 percent undivided interest in the mineral rights as well as to oil and gas on the property.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Mr. Shaffer, can you direct me to that exhibit please? And that's fine if you have to look for it. Commissioner Anaya, that's all I have.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, staff, did you guys get a number on the – for the number of mining sites that have been approved under this code?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Anaya, no we haven't gotten that. We're working on the mine sites in proximity to that and also the maps for the viewsheds and so on are up so we could see them on the screen and we have Amanda Hargis here from our GIS Department.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Yes, I think I would like that. We have the maps in our packet but I think I would like Amanda if she could show the maps to the public, those that don't have packets in front of them and show the work that you've done which I'm appreciative of that shows what's existing in the area.



MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, in the meantime, the legal opinion that I was referencing earlier was part of the original submittals that were provided to the Board in advance of the June 11th meeting. It's numbered in that packet beginning with pages – or excuse me. Page NBB-55.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you.

MR. SHAFFER: And it runs through NBB-57 and all mineral rights owners are identified in the first paragraph of that opinion letter.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Amanda, you can go ahead and come forward if you like and just do a brief synopsis on the maps we're going to have up on the screen, giving proximity of different things to the site.

AMANDA HARGIS (GIS Coordinator): Mr. Chair and Commissioners, we were asked to produce maps that show what's called a viewshed analysis from ground level to ground level, which appears to be slightly different than what was included in the packet from one of the members of the public. The map that's in front of you which says Rockology proposed mine viewshed – it's up on the screen – shows the proposed mine site as a small black kind of not quite square square. Or it is square but it's a little off-centered square at the proposed mine site. And what we did was a typical viewshed analysis which means if my eyeballs are at ground level, what can I see from here at ground level?

We did do other analyses where the eyeballs were at five feet and we were looking to ground level or the eyeballs were at five feet and we were looking at a 20-foot pole or a 30- or a 40-foot pole. We do have those analyses if you'd like. The ones we were asked to include in the packet are the ones that you see here.

So the blue shading on this map shows the eyeball to eyeball viewshed. We did use the entire area of what we had elevation data for, which goes down into Torrance County and up into Rio Arriba, over into San Miguel and over into Sandoval and Bernalillo counties. The only area at all that was visible around the mine was what you see here in blue. There was nothing to the north that was visible because from ground level to ground level because the terrain slopes so dramatically right there from where the mine site is.

The next map shows where Commissioner Anaya, you had asked how many residences were in the area, so we took all of our 911 mapping data for houses and we looked all at the houses basically in the whole county and what was in the area and what landed in the viewshed. So of the ones that were in this particular viewshed that we calculated there were 179 homes. The two closest homes are the caretaker house at the rest area. The Waldo rest area is 1.78 miles away, and the ranch house at La Bajada Ranch is 3.1 miles away, and the rest are slightly farther away in La Cienega. And then the ones farther than that are the ones down in the Cerrillos-Madrid areas.

The next map that you asked for, Commissioner Anaya, was the businesses within 15 miles. So we looked at the business license data that we have in our database and we found that within 15 miles there were 1,109 businesses. We realized when we did this analysis that 15 miles takes you right out to Camino Carlos Rey in Santa Fe. And then so it takes in quite a lot of businesses at the southwest edge of Santa Fe as well as businesses in Eldorado. A lot of those are home occupation businesses or landscaping where they go somewhere else to do

their work. The closest business again was the Waldo Quarry, I think a little over a mile away.

Again, this is that viewshed that was ground level to ground level.

I want to step back and say that this viewshed does not take into account any other obstructing feature such as vegetation or other buildings. We don't have that data yet but we are hoping to get that data very soon, if it gets funded.

So the next map, let's see, were the businesses in the viewshed. So the previous map was just all the businesses and then this map is the businesses in the viewshed, and there were 16 businesses in this particular viewshed. The closest one is 5.7 miles away, and again that's based on 2014 business license data.

And those are the maps that we produced for this packet and do you have any questions?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Any questions of staff? Thank you, Amanda. Appreciate it.

MS. HARGIS: You're welcome.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Anaya. Commissioners, if there's no more questions of staff, the applicant, no questions of the applicant. Commissioners, I am going to move that we go into executive session to deliberate on this administrative adjudicatory proceeding as allowed by Section 10-15-1(H) 3 of the Open Meetings Act.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Second.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: We have a motion and a second.

The motion to go into executive session pursuant to NMSA Section 10-15-1-H (3) to discuss the matters delineated above passed by unanimous roll call vote as follows:

Commissioner Mayfield	Aye
Commissioner Anaya	Aye
Commissioner Stefanics	Aye
Commissioner Holian	Aye
Commissioner Chavez	Aye

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. So just for our audience and everybody that's here today and listening and watching, I think we'll need a minimum of 45 minutes. The way that we run it's usually going to stretch into an hour and 15 minutes. So we have 1:00 straight up. That way you all can have the opportunity to have lunch also. We can look at coming back at about 2:15 in this chamber, that gives you the opportunity to go to lunch also. So we're recessed until 2:15. Thank you.

[The Commission met in closed session from 1:00 to 2:45.]

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Mr. Chair. CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Holian.



COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: I move that we come out of executive session where we have been discussing an administrative adjudicatory matter. Present were the five County Commissioners, the County Manager, and the County Attorney.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Second.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: We have a motion and a second.

The motion to table passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Now going back into our regular session. COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Holian.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: This application raises substantial and important questions upon which voluminous evidence has been offered. The public hearing and the record on this matter is now closed. The Board is taking this matter under advisement. Final action will be taken at a future public meeting via a final written order. I would really like to thank you all for your participation in this, the numerous meetings, and your very heartfelt comments.

> CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner, that's in the form of a motion? COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Yes.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Excuse me one second. The bench is deliberating. One second please. We have a motion. Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: We have a motion and a second.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Mr. Shaffer, do we have a date that we might be looking at having this come back after we confer with you?

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, I think that will depend upon the deliberations and the pace of the Board's deliberations, so I don't think a date specific could be predicted at this point in time.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. And ample notice though will be publicized and that notice will be given. Thank you.

III. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. <u>Resolutions</u>

- 1. Resolution No. 2014-65, a Resolution Requesting the Continuation of the Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) Program (Commissioner Chavez)
- 2. Resolution No. 2014-66, a Resolution Requesting the Continuation of the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) Program (Commissioner Chavez)

3. Resolution No. 2014-67, a Resolution Requesting the Continuation of the Secure Rural Schools (SRS) Program (Commissioner Chavez)

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioners, we will be moving on to our Consent Calendar. Discussion will be allowed for five minutes. We'll give a few minutes for the hearing room to clear. We're in session, folks. Just so everybody knows, this Commission is still in session please. We're still in session. We're just waiting for the audience to clear for a few minutes. You're welcome to stay for the remainder of meeting also. Ma'am, we're still in session please. So, Commissioners, we're moving on to our Consent Calendar. Everybody pretty much knows how we run our Consent Calendar. If there's any needed discussion longer than five minutes we'll ask that it be pulled, and Commissioners, is there any reason to have anything pulled off of our Consent Calendar?

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Mr. Chair, I just have a quick question on one of the items.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Holian.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Let's see. This would be on item III. A. 3. CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay. So let's go to item III. A. 3 for less than five minutes. Everybody please, we're still in Commission meeting, so please. III. A. 3.a resolution requesting the continuation of the Secure Rural Schools program. Commissioner Chavez. And I'm sorry, Commissioner Holian has a question on that.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I noticed on this there is a paragraph that says under the Firewise Community program that these funds could be used to provide homeowners in fire-sensitive ecosystems education and assistance with implementing techniques in home siting, home construction and home landscaping and so on. And I'm just wondering, my question is is could that SRS funding also be used for landscape restoration activities in private land to reduce fire danger? Could it be used for something like that?

TONY FLORES (Assistant County Manager): Mr. Chair, Commissioner Holian, I don't believe it can be used on private property specifically, but that was something I can check into and get you the answer on.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Because they do mention in here that it is actually assistance to homeowners with regard to home siting and so on. But is it really just for educational activities or could it actually be used for something more concrete?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Mr. Chair, I can speak to this.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Oh, okay. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you. Rancho Viejo is a Firewise Community and their activities included the cleanup of properties, including private properties and the removal of brush and the removal of trees and limbs that were a fire danger. It did not include remediation to the property. It was about keeping fires away from the properties.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you for that, Commissioner Stefanics, but I guess my question is was the removal of the trees and so on to lower the fire danger, was that actually paid for with these funds?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Yes. Now, there is a matching requirement from the community, and the community can either donate labor, use of vehicles, other materials to match the grants. And so Rancho Viejo had a variety and didn't really come up with a big pot of money and so what they did is they worked with school children and classes in local schools who happened to live in Rancho Viejo, and then the children got their parents involved, and then our volunteer firefighters and our career firefighters were also involved.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: That's very interesting. Thank you. And also, do you have any idea how much funding was contributed to the Rancho Viejo community?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: It's under \$25,000.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: It might be even under \$20,000 or \$15,000. COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Okay. I just wanted to know what was

available. Thank you very much.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So Commissioners, we're going to go back to approval of our Consent Calendar. I just will read in all the resolutions that we'll be consenting to. The one that we just stated, and then we also have a resolution requesting the continuation of the payments in lieu of taxes, and that's known as a PILT program, and that's by Commissioner Chavez. Also, we have a resolution requesting continuation of the Land and Water Conservation Fund, the LWCF program, and that also was Commissioner Chavez. Thank you for bringing those forward. Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes, just on that note. Thank you, Mr. Chair. But I want to defer to staff, because this was a request from our congressional delegation to keep these funding sources in place. So the resolutions are in response to that letter. And so my question then is the resolutions will be transmitted to our congressional delegation. But Mr. Flores, sometimes there's language in the resolution that articulates where the resolution will be transmitted to. Could we add that language so that each of the resolutions would go to their appropriate – to the appropriate member of Congress that's working on these funding areas on our behalf? Does that – would that connect things a little bit better?

MR. FLORES: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, it was our intent to get them to the entire federal delegation, not a particular individual.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I believe we could just do a cover letter. MR. FLORES: To the entire federal delegation.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. I guess that would be fine.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Chavez. Do we have a motion to approve our Consent Calendar?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So moved, Mr. Chair.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Second.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: We have a motion and multiple seconds.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

IV. ACTION ITEMS

A. Items from Consent Calendar Requiring Extensive Discussion/ Consideration

There were no items requiring discussion.

- IV. B. Miscellaneous
 - 1. Approval of Memorandum of Agreement by and between Ranch at Santa Fe Canyon, LLC, Formerly Known as Santa Fe Canyon Ranch, LLC, and Santa Fe County Concerning Water Rights Purchased as Part of 2009 Santa Fe Canyon Ranch Acquisition

MR. SHAFFER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. As the title indicates, this matter concerns the intent of the parties with respect to the 2009 transaction whereby Santa Fe County acquired a portion of the so-called Santa Fe Canyon Ranch. And what was discovered during due diligence associated with the potential sale of the portion of the ranch that was not purchased by the County is that there was an error in the transaction documents concerning the water rights that the County was acquiring, and in particular, as far as I've been able to discern, in looking through our own internal files as well as discussing the matter with the attorneys who worked on the matter for the County the intent of the parties was to acquire only the domestic well and associated water rights that are on the property purchased by the County, but the transaction documents reference a permit that authorized that hole to be used as a supplemental point of diversion for some other permitted water rights which the County had no intention of and did not in fact purchase.

So what you have in front of you is a memorandum of agreement that would reflect that intent. It would also memorialize it. It would also nullify and repudiate a corrective warranty deed that the seller filed in order to try and clarify the record on its own and then also set forth the form of a second corrective warranty deed which would accurately convey and capture what was intended to be conveyed to the County. There's no money exchanging hands here. Again, it's brought forward as a clarifying agreement between the parties as to what the intent was with respect to the 2009 purchase agreement. With that I would stand for any questions.

> CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Anaya. COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Move for approval, Mr. Chair. COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Second.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: We have a motion and a second. I have a few questions. So, Mr. Shaffer, do we have the minutes from when this was done or this was done as far as the acquisition? Behind closed doors? Do we have any minutes reflecting that intent?

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, we do have minutes associated with the purchase. So far as my staff's review it discloses there was not express discussion in the minutes that we could see with respect to water rights.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. And this is not just an errata correction, correct? This is a meaningful correction?

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, if you could repeat the question. I want to make sure I understand it.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Well, this is not just a grammatical correction. We don't have the minutes to support that was the intent at that time. Was it discussed publicly? I know I've had numerous questions about the acquisition of that property without the water rights. I just would like to see if that – or ask you if that was discussed publicly on the Board or if that was just done in the process of acquisition of that property prior and between the former County Manager and the seller.

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, again, I did not see reference specifically to the nature of the water interests that were being acquired in the minutes that I reviewed. In terms of doing due diligence with respect to this matter I did consult with the former County Attorney who was intimately involved in the negotiations and he represented to me that the permitted water rights were not part of the transaction and pursuit of them was specifically declined by the County at the time. That seemingly is also reflected in a contemporaneous news report that reported the deal as if it came with no permitted water rights and in addition I saw no evidence of those water rights having been separately appraised in the material that we have in our file. So that would be the basis for the substantiation of error and the clarification that's in front of you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So it was just missed by the parties at that time to have it included?

MR. SHAFFER: I believe, Mr. Chair, the reference to the hole in the ground had multiple State Engineering permit numbers associated with it and I believe that the parties referenced the wrong permit number. Instead of representing – they called it a domestic well but instead of referencing the State Engineer permit number for the domestic well they referenced a different permit that was associated with the water rights being permitted to that hole as a supplemental point of diversion.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Commissioners, we have a motion and a second.

The motion passed by majority [4-1] voice vote with Commissioner Mayfield casting the nay vote.

V. MATTERS OF PUBLIC CONCERN

CHAIR MAYFIELD: We are now on to Matters of Public Concern. So was there anybody here from the public who wishes to provide any public concern? Seeing none, we are moving on to item VI.

VI. DISCUSSION/INFORMATION ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS A. <u>Matters From the Commission</u>

1. Commissioner Issues and Comments

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have a handout that is hot off the press from the New Mexico Association of Counties. They considered close to 40 to 45 resolutions this past week. [*Exhibit 7*] There's extra copies there for the administration over there. And what I'm presenting to you today are the eight top ones, and you will see the number of votes that comprise these top resolutions. The first one was maintaining the Southwest Chief Amtrak service. That received the highest number of votes. The second resolution that received a high number of votes was the resolution on Senate Bill 268 which basically says counties would like to get out of the business of funding hospitals, and we want to work with the legislative and the executive branch to negotiate something.

The third one was from our Public Lands and Natural Resources Policy Committee, which is a new committee, and if you look at the last paragraph it basically says that the New Mexico Association of Counties supports legislation requesting the State of New Mexico to create a task force to study the legal, economic and practical framework surrounding the potential transfer of certain public lands, conduct an inventory of federal land ownership, and evaluate state and county dependence on federal revenue streams. It does not take any other action. That committee tried to make this conflict neutral.

The next one was a vote on a Treasurers Affiliate. It's agents of property and tax division for receiving payments on installment agreements. Oftentimes if Tax & Rev works something out with the individual property owner the people back at the counties know nothing about it. The next one was a Commissioners Affiliate and it was around supporting legislation to study possibilities for the establishment of housing and service delivery for inmates with mental health needs.

The next one was tax roll corrections authority and responsibility. This came from the Assessors. And, let's see. I think there's one or two more. Two more. The next one was the County IRB Act improvement. This came from the Executive Committee that also rolled in a Managers Affiliate one that said counties would like to be able to provide IRBs to help create jobs. And then the last one was for increased detention center funding.

So these eight received the top votes out of like 40, 45 resolutions and they will in fact become the legislative agenda for the New Mexico Association of Counties. Later on this year the County Commission will be asked to cast individual votes on these resolutions as they might become more refined. And I'd like to answer any questions.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioners? Vice Chairman Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, I think one that maybe we can have a broader discussion on has to do with the federal lands aspect on public lands and natural resources. Looks like they rolled in PILT into the resolution and some other things that are important aspects of counties but they also make statements relative to turning federal lands over to the localities. So I think we need to probably dig in deeper on this one and as I said at the last meeting, maybe take some votes as a Commission



as to whether or not we support it in full or in part and be clear about which ones we do and we do not support.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Commissioner Anaya. And just to let you know, just because it had 21 votes, that meant approximately 13 or 14 people did not vote for it out of the entire group. So none of these were unanimous because there would have been about 35 or so votes to be unanimous.

And the next item I sent you down. [*Exhibit 8*] This came from NACo and WIR discussed it on their phone call this morning. It's the August advocacy toolkit for counties, and I just thought you might like to glance through it. It's more relevant for our staff but just so you know, what NACo and WIR are doing. And I think that might be all I have right now. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Stefanics. Commissioner Chavez, please.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I have nothing. Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, just one thing. I would like to publicly thank the County Commission, the County Fair Board and most importantly all of the participants at the County Fair, the youth and the adults and everyone in between that helped make the County Fair a success. Last week the Fair Board was very appreciative of the Manager and staff and the Commission's efforts to help them and the County Extension Office with the fair. In the coming weeks I'm going to ask all of you to participate in providing some further acknowledgement of some of the competitors that were judged and scored highly at the fair or appropriately and did well, I guess is the best way to say it and publicly acknowledge those young and old individuals here at the Commission meeting. But it was successful. It went well and I just want to put that out there. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner, thank you for all your work on that. I know you're always very welcoming of the County Fair. So thank you. Commissioner Holian.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just have one thing. We have had a number of requests recently vis-à-vis land use cases in particular, requests for water from our water utility and I would like to ask staff if we could bring forward a discussion at some point about creating a policy for our bulk water sales, and also a policy and plan for the growth of our water utility. I think that we should put some thought into that and not just let it be on a first-come first-served basis. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I was going to bring up the same thing. Thank you, Commissioner Holian. Also I would ask that our Water Policy Advisory Board could have some input in that recommendation that would be brought forward to us. Does staff know, have they met at least once if not twice already?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Mr. Chair, I understand that we're receiving a report from them maybe late this month and they might have finished their work.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Finished their work? They're an indefinite committee, aren't they?

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, they had a particular assignment for this year, the work plan was adopted by the Commission for them and they have been



working on that and I think they're completed with this year's task one and when will they be presenting that?

ADAM LEIGLAND (Public Works Director): Mr. Chair, Commissioners, yes, their calendar year 2014 work plan had two tasks. The first was on regionalization and they're going to submit that next meeting, the August 26th meeting. And their second task for this year was to look at ASR, aquifer storage and recharge issue, some policy recommendations and you will see those in December. So then they'll come to you in January for the calendar year 2015 work plan and that would be a time for any other tasks that the Commission wants to give them.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Commissioners, again, Mr. Leigland, if you don't mind, just kind of hang out. I want to thank Mr. Leigland. I know I've been out of the state, out of the country for a while but there's been some significant flooding that's been happening around the county, specifically my district so I want to thank you and your staff for your continued work and attention to that. We do get numerous phone calls. I'm assuming all Commissioners do. I know I do. Adam, just since we have a listening audience, can you just tell folks what number they can call in an emergency situation? Of course 911, there's a general County number when roads need attention.

MR. LEIGLAND: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, they can call 992-3010, and that's the Public Works Road number. That will get them to talk to a person at the Public Works Building, and what we'll do is likely route them and create a work order to track the work. Alternatively, people can go to the County website and link to report a road concern, and there's a number of places on the website under quick links, under the Public Works site, and then it will take you straight to our work order interface and you can create the work order yourself. It's a map-based interface. You click on it, you find your road, click right here in front of your house and say fix the pothole, and that will also create the work order and then that's how we manage work. So there's a log of it and then a number is created. You're given a number, and then if you want to track the status of it you have a number to track. So those are two ways to get road attention.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. And Mr. Leigland, I know I'm putting you on the spot but I appreciate you answering it. So to date, can you just give a quick summary, overview of like what's happening with our flooded road conditions and how you all are just addressing them, and you can give me a very quick summary brief please.

MR. LEIGLAND: Mr. Chair, well, first I'll say that we did see a lot of rain but I don't think we saw as much damage as we did in September last year if you recall. Also it seems that we responded really well. The crews were out there and I will say that not only did they respond to the rain damage but at the same time they were juggling all the chip seals that we need to do under our federal grant obligations so they've been really jobbing it.

The major areas that we saw, we saw General Goodwin Road again, not quite as bad as last year and we're well on to coming up with a solution to that, but that's some flooding. Up north the intersection of 84 and 101, which was damaged last year. We did do a temporary fix last year. That was damaged again and so the road at that intersection is now impassible. We're actually – well, it will be passable by the end of this week. We're fixing it. We got an emergency permit from the Corps to work and fix that. The long-term fix though

we're still on. Some of the – Cuyamungue, the roads up there, Arroyo Alamo West, some of our standard roads.

We saw a little bit more flowing on Shining Sun than in the past. We're working with the state on that because it seems that the limiting factors where the water flows under the state highway, that culvert there, so the state has stepped up to do a drainage analysis that will inform what we need to do above that. And we saw some damage up in the Chimayo area, some of the roads off of County Road 98.

So off the top of my head, those are some of the most – but I think everything – and in La Cienega, Los Pinos was also – there was an undersized culvert and the road is passable but there was some pavement damage. So those are the primary areas. Everything with the exception of 84/101 is passable. There might still be some sand on some of the places. We haven't swept everything yet.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you and your staff, Mr. Leigland, for addressing those roads timely. Ms. Miller, I know I asked, we had this discussion a couple days ago. But as far as the moratorium that we had on our burning ordinance, I think we're in moderate conditions right now and I don't know how long those are imposed, but the weeds are pretty much out of control I think throughout the county. Again in District 1, they're pretty wet to burn now, but I guess a two-fold question. One, is there another amnesty day for greenwaste at our transfer stations or Caja del Rio coming up, and/or when will that moratorium or will our Fire Marshal let that just kind of stay and folks will be afforded to burn their fields for weed maintenance control?

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, July 25th the no-burn ordinance expired, so people can get a burn permit now throughout the county that go in and apply. And then additionally, on the amnesty, we had a three-month amnesty with an arrangement with the landfill at all of our transfer – not all of our transfer stations but the ones that handle greenwaste. That ran through the end of June and I'll work with staff to see if we'll have the ability to have another one before the end of summer.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I think Mr. Leigland wants to provide comment. Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Included in that amnesty day we had allowed people to bring old tires and I think there was a limit on the number of tires that they could bring, but was that included in the amnesty day or not? I guess is my question.

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, the amnesty that we had was for greenwaste.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Only.

MS. MILLER: And it was for three months, and Adam just told me it will be for three months, those same three months, which is April, May, June, every year for three years. So we had it this year as our first year, April, May and June that it did not cost a punch on your County transfer station permit, and that will be the same next year and the following year. So we have that for three years and that was based on an arrangement with the landfill. As far as the other amnesty days, those are done separately. I don't know if we have another one scheduled. I don't believe we do.



COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: The reason I ask is because in discussions in the SWMA Committee there have been some requests to expand the amnesty day to include bulk car tires as much as possible. So I'll just note that for the record.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Mr. Chair, on that point.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Sure.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay, so we, the New Mexico Association of Counties heard from a private vendor who has been working for years with the state on setting up a tire burning plant for energy in Tijeras, and it has been finally approved. The already have enough tires to burn for five years without going to cities and counties but they will be accepting tires from local government. So stay tuned. I hope they will get the information out as soon as possible, but they've been working for close to three years on getting this permit and it finally came through. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: And on flooding, Commissioners, me and Mr. Leigland were talking about this a little earlier today off of 101D, a couple years back the County was able to obtain a grant to use tire bales for retaining purposes. There's a small eddy I think, that's created on the downside of that, but that seems like that's one of our better retaining walls that have been built on a berm where a lot of water flows, so for what that's worth. We might be able to use those tires for those projects.

Commissioners, I just respectfully will request – I recently received notice, a gentleman by the name of Richard Higgins passed away, and Richard was a former president of the Tano Road Association or the whole housing area up there. It's not necessarily an HOA but the Tano Road area and also a member of the board at the Santa Fe Opera. So I was very saddened to hear of his passing a couple weeks ago. So with that, Commissioners, I just respectfully ask that we take a moment of silence for Mr. Richard Higgins.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Mr. Chair, could we also add the family of Maxine Goad to that as well?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Yes, please. Thank you, Commissioners, and our deepest condolences go out to the families. Thank you. And that's all I have, Commissioners.

VI. B. <u>Matters from the County Manager</u> 1. Miscellaneous Updates

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, other than the burn bad expiration we had just talked about I had one other update which I didn't know that I would be able to give until today but it's good news. The International Mountain Biking Association has given Santa Fe, which is Santa Fe County and the City of Santa Fe through the efforts of the City and County, the Santa Fe Fat Tire Association and I want to say also BTI, the company that's out – Bicycle Technologies. They have given us a silver designation as a mountain bike designation. And that's the International Mountain Bike Association. It's a pretty big deal. I don't know if you recall, about two years ago they had their world summit here in Santa Fe and they really were impressed by what the City and County had done. We have over 200 miles of mountain bike trails and it's considered to be one of the top mountain bike destinations, outdoor recreation destinations by the International Mountain Bike Association and to receive the silver level designation falls into what the Commission had set out in its



growth management plan and economic development plan as one of the strategies that we would employ to try to make sure that Santa Fe is put on the map for outdoor recreation and ecotourism. So thanks to the efforts of David Griscom and the staff at the City, County, BTec and the Fat Tire Association I'm happy to say that we received that designation. And I believe we're only one out of somewhere between five and ten in the world that have that designation.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you very much, and I think the staff should be highly commended for this and I'm wondering, have we done any advertising of this designation yet?

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, actually I was told about it just a little while ago and told to keep it a secret, and last night I happened to go on the website to find out if they had a description of it, a little bit more detail about it and saw that they couldn't keep it a secret anymore and so the ones that they were going to be announcing at their summit next week, they actually said couldn't keep it in anymore so we went ahead and announced it. So I literally found out late last night and then David Griscom said – told me today. So we're working right now with Kristine to put a press release together and make sure we get the word out.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Great. And on this point of tourism or recognition here for our community, Bishop's Lodge, which is one of our County lodgers' tax properties, is hosting an international yoga conference over Labor Day Weekend, and Mr. Chair, I'm not sure if they've made any kind of request from our Lodgers' Tax Board but if they do, I hope our Lodgers' Tax Board will consider it because it's one of the few big properties in the county. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Stefanics. Ms. Miller, thanks for bringing that up, and just so I know and maybe the public knows, what are the rules to the trails? Yield to pedestrians? Then yield to equestrian users? Yield to duck walk? How does that pecking order work on a trail?

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, I have to look at the sign, whether I'm on my horse, my bike or I'm walking to make sure I have it right, but I believe – I should ask Adam. Adam's on the trails way more than I am, but I think that the mountain bikers have to yield to horses and pedestrians and horses have to yield to pedestrians.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Well, just so it's out there.

MS. MILLER: But if you look at the little sign it has little arrows.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Thanks for bringing that forward and great job to staff on that recognition also for open space and trails. Anything else, Ms. Miller?

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, only one other thing now that you mention it. We are going to have a booth at the – Santa Fe County will have a booth at the State Fair for County Day. I'm trying to remember the exact day. I want to say it's September 12th. But we will have a booth and we will have our Community Services and health initiative programs there. We'll have tourism. David Griscom with our economic development and tourism, and we will also have renewable energy information. So if there are other things that you would

like to see us have at the booth for County Day at the State Fair, please let me know and we'll make sure we have it available.

VII. MATTERS FROM COUNTY ATTORNEY A. <u>Executive Session</u>

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Mr. Shaffer, is there matters from yourself or a need to go back into executive session?

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, I have one update related to pending and threatened litigation. I don't think that it's urgent so I defer to the Board whether it wants to go into executive session for that matter or just adjourn until the 5:00 public hearings.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioners, do we have a need to go into executive session? Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: We could just get an individual update from the Attorney I think. If it's appropriate I would just move adjournment till 5:00. Or postponement. Is that what it would be?

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, Commissioner, you can probably use a lot of different words but recess maybe is the best.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Move to recess until 5:00, Mr. Chair.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thanks. And just for our listening audience, so our public hearings are stated to begin no earlier than 5:00 pm so that's why we will be in recess now until 5:00 pm, and at that I believe we have at least four or five land use cases tonight. So thank you, Commissioners. We're in recess until 5:00 pm, and staff.

[The Commission recessed from 3:25 to 5:05.]

VIII. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. Update and Second Public Hearing on the 2016-2020 Infrastructure Capital Improvement Plan (ICIP), Due to Department of Finance & Administration (DFA) By September 2, 2014

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you for being here for our land use cases. We were in recess for a while until 5:00 so we will break out of recess and I don't believe we need a roll call for that. We have five Commissioners present. So our public hearings – well, Commissioner Anaya, you requested that one be moved up so let's just still do item VIII. A. Mr. Flores.

MR. FLORES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll be brief. This is the second public hearing on the 2016-2020 infrastructure capital improvement plan that is due at the Department of Finance and Administration by the 1st of September. We have conducted all the original meetings that we had discussed and received approval from the Board and we've had some additional meetings with La Cienega and Agua Fria Village associations and we picked up some more meetings in addition to the ones that you approved.

The next steps in the process after tonight's hearing is to actually vet the existing plan, remove all the projects that either have been completed or have warranted removal from the list, finalize the new projects that we received during the public outreach process, compile that into the list again and go back and make sure that project scopings are correct, project budgets are correct, finalize that plan and then bring back the plan at the 26 meeting before the Board with the resolution authorizing and supporting the submission of that ICIP.

What I've completed or provided in the packet is last year's ICIP for reference. That was a discussion point from a couple weeks ago, and also the County's CIP, which remember, is a derivative of the much larger capital improvement plan. We have heard through the process that we have projects that may have inadvertently fallen off the list. There are some trails that we need to look at in the Eldorado area that have not made the list or been on the list and then are removed. So there are certain projects on there that we will take over the next two weeks in vetting, ensuring that the public has been heard and those projects are in there as well as the proper scoping and budgeting. And with that, Mr. Chair, I'll stand for any questions.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Vice Chairman Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Mr. Flores. I know we had a brief discussion about a possible sewer line extension in the ICIP, and it's my understanding that the Town of Edgewood did in fact take some action associated with that sewer line. Could you just provide a brief update?

MR. FLORES: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Anaya, yes. The Town of Edgewood took action on that to include the section that Mr. Bassett provided to us at the meeting of the previous BCC meeting. I don't remember the date; I apologize. And then they've also asked us to look at including in our infrastructure capital improvement plan the sewer line on the Section 16 property further north.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Which we also have our fire station located at.

MR. FLORES: That's correct, Mr. Chair, Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Okay. Thanks for providing that update. I don't have any other questions. I appreciate you meeting with them and we'll continue to work together. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Stefanics, and then Commissioner Chavez, please.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. When you present this again, I understand we prioritize based upon funding that might be available but I think we need a spreadsheet that identifies all the solar projects, identifies all the roads, identifies the trails, etc. Because we are familiar with what is needed in our districts and while the prioritization is very important we would like to be sure that nothing's missing.

MR. FLORES: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: So there might be countywide, there might be fire station solar, the senior center solar, etc. But just so that we could have some categorization, please. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Chavez.

CLERK RECORDER BY B/ B/ B/ B/

Santa Fe County Board of County Commissioners Regular Meeting of August 12, 2014 Page 54

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Flores, I'm going down the list and I just highlighted one area of interest as it relates to roads, all roads, not only roads in District 2, and the feature that I'm speaking to is all-weather crossings. In the ICIP list you have a description of these projects. In one case it does specify that it's an allweather crossing. Then you go down the list and it just says – let me see – weather crossing. It doesn't say all-weather crossing or low – what is it?

MR. FLORES: Low water.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And what is the other term?

MR. FLORES: There's all-weather and low water.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Right. And so going down the list then is a weather crossing a low water crossing or how does that description play out?

MR. FLORES: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, as I indicated, the list in the packet is last year's list that I haven't completed the analysis and vetting of, so we can clean up that language. I don't have an answer what the intent was when this was prepared last year.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So some of - yes, because it doesn't tell me if it's a low water crossing or an all-weather crossing, right? And I think there's a distinction in those two definitions in both the design and the cost of implementing those projects.

MR. FLORES: That's correct, Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez. I will get that cleaned up and we'll bring it back at the end of the month.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Commissioner Chavez, if I may also, on Commissioner Chavez' point. On page 1 of the current ICIP request, on the very bottom and it's the first one that stood out to me. It says County Road 115 low water crossing and we're looking for \$1,200,000. So on that, it's currently a low water crossing. I would assume it's a low water crossing and we're looking at improving that to an all-weather crossing by this funding?

MR. FLORES: Mr. Chair, I don't have the answer on what the intent was on last year's plan but I'll be getting that for you for the next meeting.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay. Thank you. And then, Mr. Flores, and thank you for providing our ongoing CIP request projects. So going to that spreadsheet, also when you provide us – if you have that data; I don't know if you have that data or not. Can we know – and I'm just going to pull the very first one of the next page so just give me a second please. We'll get there. So let's just look at renovate the RECC facilities and expansion and equipment. So that was requested in FY 13 or do we know if that goes back to FY 10? Do we know when this initially made the ICIP request? Or would that be too hard to –

MR. FLORES: Mr. Chair, we can go back to the previous two plans to find out when it was initially requested. And then I could tell you for some of these projects as I indicated, some of them have some movement on them. RECC is one of them. So that project, more than likely, based upon the construction estimate would come off of this list because those improvements would be underway when this would be in play in January.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Sure, and not to draw out this spreadsheet too much but I think that would be beneficial to me to at least see on that ICIP, the second sheet, the initial funding source because we say it was investment as far as our capital improvement plans, but I think there's been other funding sources, say, I don't think they're called discretionary funds, but Commissioners' funds, allocations for specific districts. I know I've seen some of them. I know a couple of them here are on this list. And then also, if they've been in design, if they are in design, what completion, if they have actual start of construction. I think that could be beneficial to us to know what phase or what dollar amounts and what funding sources have already been given, if we've received legislative funding, if we've received our own capital funding. Because when will we be looking at our new capital dollar allocation for 15?

MR. FLORES: Mr. Chair, there's still some discussion going on and we're still trying to attempt to meet with two of the Commissioners on the 15 next round of funding. We had anticipated at the end of August. I would assume that we're going to push that back, without discussing with Ms. Miller, probably till September. That's just my guess.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay. Thank you. Commissioners, any other – Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, just following up with my colleague's comment, Commissioner Chavez, on just budget and even Commissioner Mayfield. You're going to go back through some projects that are under-designed. Some projects will need to have modifications, so you're going to go back through all of them?

> MR. FLORES: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Anaya, that's correct. COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. And Tony, I'm just looking at something right here and I appreciate that we're doing it. But we have an upgrade to irrigation works on an acequia and I'm not going to name the acequia specifically, but how is that making it on our ICIP. I know at least from District 1 I could probably let you know about 15 or 16 acequias that would ask for some funding allocation. Or is this something that's being passed down to us through legislative appropriation?

MR. FLORES: Mr. Chair, the ICIP is the foundation for legislative requests,

so –

initial -

CHAIR MAYFIELD: It is now, it wasn't, say, when maybe this made the

MR. FLORES: So in the perfect world, if we step back a little bit, the ICIP should encompass all capital projects throughout the county whether they're driven by County government or not. So in those cases you may see some things on there like acequia projects that the funding would not come to us but it's on the Santa Fe County's entire list, so that the legislature would be able see that and fund it. That's the basis for their funding is this list. Now, it doesn't necessarily mean it would be coming to us as the entity that would be the fiscal agent for that project.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Hearing nothing else, I appreciate it, Mr. Flores. Also I'd like to recognize former Commissioner Mike Anaya. I think he stepped out.

He's out in the hallway, Deputy Land Commissioner. There is, right there. Hello, Commissioner. Welcome.

MR. FLORES: So, Mr. Chair, with that I'd stand for any questions and then if the Commission desires, open it up for any public comment.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Flores. Thank you, Commissioners. Now we're going to move on to our land use cases and we are moving – oh, I'm sorry. I do have to open up this for public comment. Thank you for that, Vice Chairman. So is there any public comment? This is a public hearing on our ICIP request. This basically is request of projects throughout your communities, what we've just discussed. This also, if you want to try to get something on the list right now you have that opportunity to request that of this Commission so please don't be shy. Would anybody care to comment right now publicly on our Investment Capital Improvement Plan for Santa Fe County? Seeing none, this portion of our public hearing will be closed. Thank you. Thank you for that, Commissioners.

VIII. B. <u>Land Use Cases</u> 1. CDRC C

4.

- VIII. B.
- <u>CDRC Case # V14-5080 Jason Mohamed Variance</u>. (TABLED) <u>CDRC Case # V/FDP 14-5090 Stanley Cyclone Center</u>. Santa Fe County, Applicant, Lorn Tryk (Lorn Tryk Architects), Agent, Page 51 250

County, Applicant, Lorn Tryk (Lorn Tryk Architects), Agent, Request Final Development Plan Approval to Allow a 51,250 Square Foot Structure, to Be Utilized As an Event Center for Equestrian Events, on ±11 Acres. The Applicant's Request Also Includes a Variance of Article III, Section 2.3.6 (Height Restrictions) to Allow the Proposed Structure to Exceed 24 Feet in Height and a Variance of Article III, Section 4.4.4.F (Landscaping) of the Land Development Code. The Property is Located at 22 West Kinsell Avenue in Stanley, within Sections 27 & 28, Township 11 North, Range 9 East, Commission District 3 [Exhibit 9: Letter from Horse Coalition]

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. On May 15, 2014, the County Development Review Committee met and acted on this case. The decision of the CDRC was to approve the applicant's request for final development plan to allow a 51,250 square foot indoor arena on 11 acres with the following conditions:

- The Applicant shall comply with all review agency comments and conditions, as per Article V, Section 7.1.3.c. Conditions shall be noted on the recorded Final Development Plan.
- 2. Final Development Plan with appropriate signatures shall be recorded with the County Clerk, as per Article V, Section 7.2.2.

The CDRC also recommended approval of a variance of Article III, Section 2.3.6, Height Restrictions, to allow the proposed structure to be constructed 34 feet in height, and a variance of Article III, Section 4.4.4.f, Landscaping, of the Land Development Code.

The applicant is requesting a variance of Article III, Section 2.3.6 to allow the proposed structure which will be utilized as an event center for equestrian events to be

constructed 34 feet in height, and a variance of Article IIII, Section 4.4.4.f of the Land Development Code.

The applicant states, To achieve a wide-span structure with sufficient internal headroom to be utilized as an equestrian facility the height of the proposed structure is required to be a minimum of 34 feet.

Staff response: Due to the rural nature of this area and the use of this structure as an equestrian facility, the proposed height of the structure may be considered compatible with the existing large buildings in the area which are used for agricultural purposes.

The applicant states the Land Development Code requires 80 trees, one tree per 500 square feet of the site and one tree per every ten parking spaces, and 1,920 shrubs, one shrub per 16 square feet of designated landscape area, for a total of 27,294 square feet of planted area, which is ten percent of the site area. Landscape proposed for this site includes 20 trees and 35 shrubs, one shrub for 500 square feet for a total of 7,200 square feet of planted area. A variance is being requested to decrease the ten percent landscape requirement based on limited water availability.

Staff response: The applicant's submittal may meet the purpose and intent of the landscape requirements by promoting conservation of water through the use of drought-tolerant plant materials and xeriscape techniques.

Building Development Services staff has reviewed the applicant's request for a variance of Article III, Section 2.3.6 and Article III, Section 4.4.4.f for compliance with current code requirements and has found the following information presented may support a variation of these sections of the code. Due to the rural nature of this area and the use of this structure as an equestrian facility the proposed height of the structure may be considered compatible with the existing large buildings in the area which are used for agricultural purposes. The height is required by the span of the structure, the types of activities to be conducted within the structure require the headroom the proposed height would allow by promoting conservation of water through the use of drought-tolerant plant materials and xeriscape techniques. The application as submitted by the applicant may be considered compliant with the purpose and intent of the landscape requirements.

A modification of the height requirements and landscape requirements may be considered a minimum easing of the code.

Staff recommendation: The request for a variance of the height requirements may be considered a minimal easing of the code due to the headroom required for the types of activities to be conducted within the structure and to allow the span of the proposed structure. The request for a variance of the landscape requirements may be considered compliant with the purpose and intent of the landscape requirements by promoting conservation of water through the use of drought-tolerant plant materials and xeriscape techniques. The Board may vary, modify or waive the requirements set forth in Article III, Section 2.3.6, and Article III, Section 4.4.4.f, Landscaping of the Land Development Code as per the variance criteria set forth in Article II, Section 3 and Article II, Section 3.2. Mr. Chair, I stand for any questions. CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Jose, why is this not with conditions? I see several conditions from County Fire, Hydrologist, DOT, Public Works and Environment.



MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, those conditions are going to be implemented on the final development plan. What we have in front of you are the two variances. Final development plan was approved by the CDRC based on the variances being approved by the Board. So those conditions would be imposed on the final development plan itself, which those were –

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I think we would want to state that clearly for the record though. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So what I'm understanding about this case is that Santa Fe County is in fact the applicant.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, that's correct.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And so this will be a County facility.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, yes.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And the County will be responsible for managing the facility once it's built.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, I believe Mark would be able to answer that. Just looking at the development itself.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. So that might be part of the future business plan, I would assume.

MARK HOGAN (Projects Division): Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, that's correct. The management plan is being developed as the budget progresses.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. And it may be premature to ask some of these questions now. I know that you're working on that but I did want to be clear on the record that Santa Fe County is the applicant, Lloyd Tryk is the agent, the architect that's working on the design elements. The County owns the property in question. And so those are some of the questions I have, and then following up on those questions, because I have no fiscal impact in our packet right now I have to ask some of these questions. I would like to know what the County has spent to date on this project, and then moving forward as we finalize the design and get to construction, obviously we're going to have some operations and maintenance that will go along with the building and I would assume that that would be part of the business plan.

And so we can address that at a later point in time if you're okay with that.

MR. HOGAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, I'm comfortable with that. This is primarily the exceptions and we will be coming back with the full proposal for the capital project.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And I appreciate that and I don't want to take anything away from the project, but as we're moving forward, as we're making these decisions we have to understand that there will be fiscal implications to the decisions that we're making even today. And so I just wanted to put that on the record just for my own comfort. Okay. Not to take anything away from the project but just to be realistic about what we're doing today and we're putting this on future Commissions. Because the O&M will be ongoing. The management of this will be ongoing. So I just want at the front end to have this discussion so that we know with full eyes, eyes wide open, what we're getting into. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I'll go to Commissioner Holian first.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, Mark, in the future, you will be bringing this project in front of us with a cost estimate for the total cost for the project?

MR. HOGAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Holian, that's correct. We have completed design work through about 80 percent complete so cost estimates are available and we'll be prepared to speak about that anytime the Commission wants to hear about it.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: And we will also hear as to where the funding might come from, or whether there is funding allocated for this yet?

MR. HOGAN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner, that's correct. Right now we're not prepared to address the funding sources at this point.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay. Thank you, Mark.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. It's kind of a followup to the questions that came up. I'm wondering, is there any way this could be a shared facility with another local government? If we wanted to make sure that it could get funded and done in an appropriate amount of time that might be some conversations that might be initiated for the future. Thank you very much.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair and my colleagues on the bench here, this is unlike all the projects that come before the Commission, senior centers, health centers, parks, this is one of my high priorities as a Commissioner and I'm going to continue to put County resources to it. Speaking specifically to your question, Commissioner Stefanics, I've already had discussions with the Town of Edgewood, the Mayor in particular, as well as the school board in Moriarty and councilors in Moriarty as well. And when I say the school board in Moriarty, it's the Moriarty-Edgewood School District, that as you know, bisects three counties – Santa Fe County, Torrance County, and a large portion of Santa Fe County. [sic] So those students that attend the schools down there, many of which are in the tri-county area, many of which are Santa Fe County citizens.

Mr. Chair, I'm going to have some more comments later on in the hearing but we do have several people here. I know in past meetings there's been some questions raised as to the level of support and some of the other things that are proposed for the facility, and what the level of interest is out there in the community. So I think you're going to find through that discussion that there's a wider array of interest from every part of the county and even the regional area. So Commissioner Stefanics and my colleagues on the Commission, like I said I'm going to pursue continued funding from the County perspective but also legislative resources that were very much a part of this project when former Commissioner Anaya brought this project forward. I'll let him speak to those himself. But I look forward to hearing the input and then I'll provide some additional feedback and comment later on. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Just a quick question from me and thank you for bringing this project forward. I think it's a well warranted project for Santa Fe County. And I do believe all of Santa Fe County will benefit from this and also outlying counties and outlying states, their events here. But how does the -I guess the revenue



collection on this work? I know when we have a community center that we rent out or lease out there's a fee involved. So will the County be receiving fees when we have events there? And will that just go directly back into the facility? Will there be a third party operator for this?

MR. HOGAN: Mr. Chair, I'm not really prepared to address that question because we haven't really delved into it. That goes into the management plan that Commissioner Chavez referred to earlier. So far work to date has been to scope the project, develop the site, plan for the facilities and tie costs to that, so when we come back we'll have costs, management plan, fiscal impact reports, all the things that would be required of a capital project.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: And then I'll just follow up on indemnification issues. So if we have an event and God forbid somebody gets hurt, there's an accident, is that the County that would be or would it be the event coordinator that would have to bring indemnification to that? How does that work?

MR. HOGAN: Mr. Chair, again, I don't mean to sidestep the questions but we just – issues of indemnification would probably be similar to other County facilities but we have not researched that at this point in time and we haven't talked with any representatives that would be able to provide some insight into that.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. I'm going to go to Commissioner Anaya first please.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: If I could just follow up, we have policies and procedures that the Commission actually established for facilities that speak to indemnification and rules and requirements so I don't think you have to in any way, Mr. Hogan, reinvent the wheel. I think we'll speak with partners as well as our own policies and maybe when you bring forward the business plan you could bring forward our policies that I think Ms. Miller is going to speak to now. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Anaya, there are reasons why I bring it up. I know the County is engaging in a partnership with another entity and indemnification has come up, so I just kind of want to get this cleared out and make sure that it's equitable for everybody in Santa Fe County. So that's kind of where I was going with this. But Ms. Miller, you care to add something?

MS. MILLER: Mr. Chair, I just wanted to comment to some of the Commission's questions relative to operations and fees. We have different arrangements with different facilities. For instance, the Edgewood riding arena, the open space property we have there, we have an agreement with the Town of Edgewood on operations along with they also have an agreement with the horse association in that area on operations and we've talked in the northern part of the county of doing different things with the ballfields. So depending on the particular property and the local community around that property, we've created different arrangements on how we'll maintain it, operate it. Some non-profits step in and do a contract with us to do that, like the Madrid ballfield. It's our asset but the local non-profit actually operates that.

So with this particular facility we would probably look to the local community first for how we could create an operating agreement, since we don't have a lot of County staff in that area and see if we can create some kind of agreement like that. As far as use fees, we



Santa Fe County Board of County Commissioners Regular Meeting of August 12, 2014 Page 61

don't have any use fees established for this type of event center, however, we have created in the past, as Commissioner Anaya said, for our community centers by policy resolution with the Commission, a use fee resolution for our community centers as well as our fairgrounds.

So each one of our facilities and the type of facilities based upon the different uses and the different entities that would want to use it, we will establish the policies around that. So I just wanted to interject that as we get to that stage, based upon whatever facilities end up being developed there we would create the policies around that.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, That's all the questions I have. Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Staff? Is there anything else from staff? No. So we're going to open up the public hearing, Commissioner? I know you had some folks that wanted to come talk. So anybody who would care to comment on this and I recognize – I was going to call on Commissioner Anaya if he wants to come on up and talk about this great facility, and then anybody else who would care to come and comment on this, please.

[Duly sworn, Mike Anaya testified as follows:]

MIKE ANAYA: Good afternoon, Commissioners, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. My name is Mike Anaya. I live in the Village of Galisteo and I have a ranch in Stanley. I hope that when your term is up that you have somebody to follow you to finish jobs that you can't complete in eight years. And I'm lucky to have Commissioner Anaya, my brother, to finish some of these jobs that we couldn't do. We had a lot on our plate. So Robert, thank you for doing that.

You know you finished up a riding arena that we started in Edgewood and you finished up a lot of other projects and I appreciate that. And I hope that the person that follows you can look at what you did and the projects that you didn't complete, and you spent a lot of staff time, and that they follow through and maybe complete this. Because if not, you wasted a lot of time and you wasted a lot of money. And you know, we started this project, I'm going to say five years ago. We had a vision, the community, that we wanted to bring Stanley back. Stanley was blowing away. We had a school there called the Stanley Cyclones and they tore that building down. I wish they would have never did that. That would have been a perfect building. But we tore that down and we need to bring something back to the community, to the Village of Stanley. And this was the idea. If we built it they would come.

To bring the economic development back into the southern part of Santa Fe County, when that thing is built, I think the people will come. We had about \$400,000 that we lost that was going to this project. We purchased the land but we lost that because the legislators – let me remind you. Rhonda King, Representative Rhonda King in District 50 put a lot of that money in there and we lost it. And that was my fault because I didn't stay on top of that so that we could spend that money and get this thing constructed. But that's my fault and I apologize to my constituents at the time.

So now we have another opportunity and when Commissioner Anaya came to me and said that we're going to bring this up this was my opportunity to come back to the Commission and say, look, we need your help. We're asking for a variance, a height variance. It's about ten feet higher than what the code is. There's already a big church right

next to it. It's not going to look out of place; it's going to look fine. I believe there was some water restrictions or water requirements but we're going to do drought-tolerant stuff over there.

So I stand in support of this project and I again hope that the projects that you started you can get completed too. Thank you, Mr. Chair, Commissioners.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner. Is there anybody else from the public wishing to comment on this case for us? Please make your way up. You'll have to be sworn. Really quick, if everybody would just stand up and be sworn in at one time who wants to comment.

[Those wishing to speak were administered the oath.]

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So when you come up just please state your name and your address.

[Duly sworn, Kevan Saunders testified as follows:]

KEVAN SAUNDERS: Commissioners, Kevan Saunders, Galisteo, New Mexico. So just very quickly, I'm going to speak from the standpoint of a realtor, a Santa Fe County youth, and a horse and livestock person. And I think anything that's going to infuse good viability into a community you're going to increase land values, real estate values. But mostly I'm speaking as a former 4-Her, Pony Clubber, Santa Fe County youth, former New Mexico State Fair Queen, 4-H judge, I cannot express my thoughts strongly enough how much this will support youth livestock, youth equine, the youth facilities and the youth programs and continuing education and everything. So I'm just here, and also as a member of the Santa Fe County Horse Coalition. We're 500+ members strong where we do support equine activities. This is such a brilliant location and I think a brilliant idea and I think having shown and worked in many facilities and substandard facilities that to me this is amazing. So I do stand in support. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So if I could, you had the privilege of serving as the State Fair Queen and traveled around the state of New Mexico –

MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: But you also traveled around the region and have been part of these types of facilities, could you just talk a little bit about what you experienced in those areas and what it does to a -

MS. SAUNDERS: Very much. And as a matter of fact, I'm a graduate of the University of Georgia. Very, very, very strong 4-H program and youth programs in the state with outstanding facilities. I worked for Middle Tennessee State University in the equine science program. Middle Tennessee State is strongly connected to 4-H and the extension programs and after college I was almost amazed at the backwoods state I came from, meaning backwoods in support of youth programs, how strong and how brilliant some of these other states and county facilities are. I feel like we are behind the eight ball and if we had a facility like this, especially just off of I-40, easy access, supporting a tri-county area, supporting a place that's easy and wonderful to not only host these horse shows and livestock shows but have a facility where you can work with maybe New Mexico State University and do extension and outreach programs and further education.

So I have seen some amazing facilities and I just stepped up and saw the drawings for

Santa Fe County

Page 63

this facility and I just think it would be such a coup for Santa Fe County, the tri-county area and the State of New Mexico to have a facility like this because they're not easily found. And it's sort of like this may sound trite but you build it and they will come. If you've got a great facility that works, it's pleasant. Sometimes the programs grow from there because you've got this great place to host whatever it is - continuing education, and support the youth programs. Thanks.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Please, whoever's next just come on up.

[Previously sworn, Ray Seegers testified as follows:]

RAY SEEGERS : Commissioners, I'm Ray Seegers. I live in the southern part of the county, have a business in Edgewood and I have live, have my property near Stanley. I'm a member of a riding club down there. I'm a member of the Single Action Shooting Society. I'm a member of the Chamber of Commerce in Edgewood and all of these people I talked to before coming here and each one of these groups, the Chamber of Commerce in Edgewood is absolutely excited about it. The Town of Edgewood is excited about it. And actually, it's a tremendous venue because we got a lot of horse owners in our end of the county. We're kind of pushing to become that kind of center.

And a lot of those folks go to national meets. I go to a few. Go to ropings around the country and you'd be surprised the participation that a location like this can get. Roping can have a thousand participants. Okay. But I think we'll be on a regional scale. We'll probably get quite a few.

Mounted shooting, a really interesting venue that's becoming the fastest growing sport in the horse world, a lot of us down there do that now. And the Cowboy Mounted Shooting Association holds regionals everywhere and then right now their world championship is over in Amarillo. I think we can get that venue if we have this type of facility.

In addition, we got a lot of problems with not having some kind of indoor facility for the people in the southern Santa Fe County to do a lot of stuff. Team roping's a big deal. We got a lot of horses down there. The population in southern Santa Fe County is extremely equine oriented and I think we could make something like this successful and then take the individuals that go to other venues and they'll draw people in and they'll have their meets there, and I can think of at least a dozen disciplines in the equine world that would utilize this and I think it could be actually an economic center. And it's not very far out when you compare it to the way they do this in other parts of the country.

You ask the question. The Ben Avery Center over there in Phoenix is an amazing equine center and they draw all sorts of venues in there to participate and compete. And it gives our kids a place. We got a little problem down there when it's summer or spring and we want our kids to go out and ride and they want to compete and play games and do the things you can do on horseback, but the wind blows 90 miles an hour all spring long and there's no place to do it. So that indoor venue, I think would just mushroom and being in the real estate business down there I know a lot of people. I'd say a third of my customers are horse people or want to be horse people. And that's kind of what we're made of down there.

So I think it would be a wonderful thing and we'd love to see some money spent down our end of the county and I think this is probably the best match that I could possibly think of for the County to get behind.



[Previously sworn, Jim Stunden testified as follows:]

JIM STUNDEN: Commissioners, thank you for letting me speak. My name is Jim Stunden and I live in Torrance County, bordering Edgewood, in between Edgewood and Moriarty. I'm the president of the High Desert Riders, a riding club based out of Edgewood. We have members from Torrance County, Santa Fe County, from Bernalillo County and believe it or not we have some members from Sandoval County that come out on our rides. We participate in play days. We got to a local – well, the new arena that we have there in Edgewood, and we've had play days in the arena. We do all kinds of things year-round, as long as the weather permits.

I believe that an indoor arena would really benefit the community down there. I think it would grow the horse industry in the southern part of Santa Fe County and I do believe that it would really improve the economics of the Edgewood-Moriarty area. And I – all of the members that I have talked to in our club are definitely in favor of this arena. So we'll back you 100 percent. Thank you.

[Previously sworn, Eugene Bassett testified as follows:]

EUGENE BASSETT: Commissioners, I'm Eugene Bassett from Edgewood, New Mexico. I come up and like the rest of the people said, there's a lot of horse people down there and I fully support this 100 percent. But I do got a caveat that I'd like to see happen that if you get this facility going I would hope you'd put a good onsite wastewater system and reuse that water for your landscape. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, I just want to acknowledge Gene Bassett. His brother was here last meeting, John, and Gene's here now. In fact John's going to come up now, but Gene and his family, his entire family – his mother's still with us and his father and the community, like many others in the audience have provided a great deal to Santa Fe County. The Bassett family is the reason we had a volunteer fire station down there. They provided the property. But Gene served on the CDRC. How many years, Gene? About eight years. So he provided a lot of service to Santa Fe County, but appreciate all of you that are here and just wanted to express thanks to you, Gene. Hadn't seen you in a while. But thanks for coming up and your public service.

[Previously sworn, John Bassett testified as follows:]

JOHN BASSETT: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. My name is John Bassett. I'm also from Edgewood. Unlike some of the other people you've heard from tonight I'm not a horse person, but I can tell you first hand that there is a lot of equestrian activity down there. The arena that you built there on the COLTPAC property next to Wildlife West gets a great deal of use. The idea of having an enclosed shelter, as Mr. Seegers said, I'd second that. A lot of times the weather down there is not conducive to the best conditions for people to exercise their horses and do stuff like that. I like the idea of something going to Stanley. That Stanley Cyclone, seeing that for an old-timer, seeing that name out and about again, that's a good thing. They were a proud school down there. A big part of that area. We hated to see them close, hated to see the buildings get torn down and stuff like that, but I do like the idea of that coming back and just the sense that it gives that community of something they can gather around, take pride in. I think it would be a boon for the area's economic interest and I wholeheartedly support this project. I think it would be a good thing for that end of the county on a number of levels, and also I think it would probably be useful. It's not

strictly just for equestrian. There might be other events that could be held in there and an indoor arena, a large outfit like that might prompt other things to come into the area that heretofore haven't been there. So I do wholeheartedly endorse this and I hope, Commissioners that you will too. Thank you.

[Previously sworn, Julia Jarvis testified as follows:]

JULIA JARVIS: Good evening, Mr. Chair, Commissioners, my name is Julia Jarvis. I'm a resident of Santa Fe County and I own horses. When I moved out here I got involved with the Santa Fe County Horse Coalition. I'm vice president of that organization right now. When we first got organized one of the – we were sort of brainstorming. What's missing in Santa Fe County? And one of the things that's missing is an indoor arena for events. And I was really excited, about two days I had that conversation with some of my friends to find out actually there is something in the works. I wasn't aware of it and I'm still learning more about it right now. This evening is probably the most information I've had about it and I think there's generally a lot of people that don't know this is going on and I'm pretty excited about spreading the word and discussing more about it.

Clearly, everybody's got questions and there's a lot of things to work out. At this point, though, I do ask that the Board not get the project hung up by these variances. Let's keep moving forward. Let's keep looking for the future because I really think that this is going to spearhead something great, as you've heard from so many people from the south end of the county.

I also wanted to address one other issue and this is the idea that it's in Stanley and it's so far away and no one's going to get there. Horse people have trailers. They trailer just about everywhere they go. If I want to go to the Pecos Wilderness, I haul my horses up there. If I want to go to Tres Piedras and ride, I haul my horses. If I want to go to a clinic, I'll go to Durango, I'll go to Pagosa Springs, I'll go even further. Stanley is on a paved road. This is easy, easy business and horse people don't mind trailering. Thank you.

[Previously sworn, Jarratt Applewhite testified as follows:]

JARRATT APPLEWHITE: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, my name is Jarratt Applewhite. I live in Lamy. On this end of Santa Fe County it's easy to think of horse facilities as being pretty fancy and indeed a lot of horse owners up here can afford to keep their horses in facilities that often have indoor arenas and they can in out of the spring wind, but that isn't true. In Edgewood and the southern end of this county is really more horsecentric in terms of per capita interest in the activity and a lot of those folks down have the land, they don't have the means, they don't have access to an indoor arena. And it's just like Ms. Jarvis said, it's a hop, skip and a jump for me in February when it's blowing to put my horses in a trailer and head down to Stanley and work out.

I want to share something crazy and relevant which is that I've spent a lot of money and time going to clinics. In one I spent four days in Craig, Colorado, a town of about 5,000 people that had a wonderful arena and I stayed in a motel. I ate three meals. This year, about two months ago I spent three days in Ignacio, Colorado. Well, Ignacio makes Edgewood look like Santa Fe. And they have a wonderful indoor arena. That clinic was – the clinicians who were doing that clinic, live ten miles away from me. John and Cat Parks live on 285 and I wanted their instruction, so I had to haul my horse 300 miles, spend \$100 and change and they would have rather had their clinic in Stanley and I would have a lot more change in my

pocket if they had done that.

So I'm here to tell you that there is a huge demand for this and I'm so glad that the Commission has the leadership to recognize these needs. Thank you very much for your interest.

[Previously sworn, Jackie Baca testified as follows:]

JACKIE BACA: Good evening, Chairman and Commissioners, and I'm the 4-H home economist and interim county director for Santa Fe County Extension. As you all know, the mission of our extension service is to provide practical, research-based knowledge to the residents of Santa Fe County. I am very excited about the potential of this facility in terms of how we can utilize it to further our mission. There are actually three ways that I see extension being able to collaborate with all of you on this type of facility.

The first one is to use this venue to conduct some of our educational programs in our four program areas of agriculture, family consumer sciences, 4-H youth development and community development. We have lots of interests for different programs, educational programs in the southern part of the county and we don't always have a place to conduct those programs. So we're really excited at the potential multi-purpose use of this type of facility as a place to have some of these educational programs. Additionally, we would also like to potentially offer office hours, use this facility and the offices within this facility as a satellite office of our extension office. That would be great for me to be able to send down our home economist in the fall and she could be answering people's questions concerning food preservation. In the spring, we can send down our ag agent and they could be answering questions on gardening and how to prepare your lawn. And the third use that I really am excited about is the venue for our volunteer groups through the extension service. That includes our master gardeners, our homemakers club, which are called the Extension Association of New Mexico, and of course our 4-H clubs and groups. As many of you know we have very active 4-H here in Santa Fe County. We have three very active clubs in the southern part of the county and I was actually just approached by someone to start a fourth club in the southern part of the county. We have quite a lot of interest in 4-H youth development down there.

This will be a great facility for these clubs to use as their home base. They can have their monthly meetings there. They can have their livestock and their horse project meetings there and really take advantage of this type of resource. Thank you very much for putting this together, Commissioner Anaya, and continuing that. We really appreciate the idea of this facility and are excited to see this come to fruition. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Ms. Baca, just really quick. I think it would be beneficial for all of Santa Fe County residents working in collaboration with Santa Fe County too, we have to get some messaging up north at least of what services you all do provide for our county. You're right in the hub of Santa Fe. So I don't know if there could be some collaborative efforts. I fully support everything you do, the services you provide for our county. I think that collaboration would be great if you could just get that messaging out of what you all provide for us.

MS. BACA: Great. We can do that. We'll work on some promotional efforts to get more of that information into the residents' hands.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you so much.

MS. BACA: Thank you.

[Previously sworn, Tom Spindle testified as follows:]

TOM SPINDLE: Hello, Commissioners. I'm Tom Spindle. I'm chairman of the Santa Fe County Fair Board. We're in full support of this. It will be very beneficial to our kids. We do have quite a few equine – kids that are interested in equine. We also have other livestock kids and having a facility down there would save them a lot of drive time up here and some of the gentlemen said it earlier, the spring winds is what kills us down there. There's no facility to get out of the weather and that's the key to this is it's enclosed and it's public and it's free. And I think it will be just used. I'm worried about finding time to get in there because I think it's going to be so used. So we're in full support. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Anybody else?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, as these individuals are walking up, I want to also add that Edgewood Soil & Water Conservation Board, who Mr. Mark and I, my other brother, sits on that board and he's going to make some comments. But we're going to surely work with him and his board. They helped us with water catchment at the senior center in Edgewood and provided some of the cost assistance to do that, so with this particular facility you can bet Mr. Anaya will definitely be coming back to the Board to get assistance with that. If you want to touch on that as well, in addition to whatever comments you might have.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible]

[Previously sworn, Mark Anaya testified as follows:]

MARK ANAYA: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. Thanks, Robert. Yes, I do sit – my name is Mark Anaya and I do sit on the Edgewood Soil & Water Board and we have worked with the County on other facilities as far as water catchment and stuff like that and I can see how this building alone, the size of the building alone would catch a lot of water. I think the thing you'd have to look at is the amount of holding, storage that you could use, but you could irrigate, you could water the livestock that's there, the horses that come in, so it could really be used for a lot of different things.

But we are in support of the facility. As you can see, I've got some of the 4-H kids with me, two of my own, which do ride horses and they do participate in the County Fair. They are very excited about this facility. It does remind me of the movie Field of Dreams, build it and they will come. I think this is the arena of dreams. It's something that if it is built it's a place where all of these kids could go and participate and compete and learn how to ride, how to rope, how to team rope, how to calf rope, how do to all those different things that you can do in an arena. Barrel race. I'm sure a lot of the girls would like to do that and I think they ought to have that open to the boys nowadays too. It would really be a facility that would be used by everybody.

And it is windy down in Stanley, especially in the spring. Winter's cold. So it could be used all year round and I think it would just be a great facility. So we are in support.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you.

[Previously sworn, Basil Davis testified as follows:]

BASIL DAVIS: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, thank you for this opportunity. I think everybody has touched a little bit on everything and how beneficial this facility would be, not only for Santa Fe and the region but everywhere, even here in Santa Fe. Albuquerque,

there's so many venues and not just the equine venues or 4-H but there's so many other opportunities to use this facility. Graduations. And I'm sorry. My name is Basil Davis. I reside in Stanley. I've lived there. I live on a fourth generation ranch and I hope one day that my kids and their children's children can see a facility up there and they can utilize that. We never had that opportunity. So my children – they're not all here today. They have school events. But there've been times they've had 4-H projects where we just look outside the window and the wind's blowing and it's snowing or it's raining or snowing and there's no place to go. We don't have that option to go anywhere. So please consider this building and thank you for your time.

[Previously sworn, Jeff Bolwer testified as follows:]

JEFF BOLWER: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, Commissioners. Jeff Bolwer, Edgewood. I'm going to just reinforce what we've been told today about a father with 4-H children, the advantage this building would provide for my children and the children of the community, having the opportunity to go to an enclosed space like this to work their livestock, get them ready. As you've heard, the wind, the weather is horrible down there during the spring and winter. There's, I think, benefits for this building way past just livestock. I honestly think it would be a horrible shame for this thing to go by the wayside over technicalities. So whatever you can do. Really appreciate your support on this. Thanks for your time.

[Previously sworn, Amber Shelton testified as follows:]

AMBER SHELTON: Commissioners, my name is Amber Shelton and I live in Stanley, New Mexico. And I'm coming on behalf of the Estancia Valley Youth Riders. It's a group that I started last year to teach kids how to show horses, do rodeo, so that they can learn how to do that stuff. And I was just coming to let you guys know that there's a huge demand for an arena of this sort and I will let you know that when I started my group that there was – I thought that it would be just a couple kids would show up, and we had the whole entire arena filled. We couldn't even keep up. And the biggest problem that we have right now is even having a facility to run our program. So this arena would be extremely beneficial to us.

I also wanted to let you guys know that just as of last night I sent out an email and Facebook and everything about this meeting and I got a tremendous response. I mean like I can't even tell you how many people. They just – a lot of people couldn't come because of the short notice and stuff so I just wanted to let you guys know that there's a huge demand in our community for this arena. So I hope that you'll support it. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Mr. Chair, if I could, I'm going to put a gentleman on the spot a little bit but I know he'll have a few words to say but I'd like to have a long-time Fair Board member Mr. Gary Runner, if he'd come up. He's not only part of our Fair Board but he's also part of the Rodeo Association that this Commission has supported in the past. But Gary, if you wouldn't mind, if you could provide some comments and feedback I'd appreciate it.

[Duly sworn, Gary Runner testified as follows:]

GARY RUNNER: He already introduced me but I'll do it again. Gary Runner. I think I am – well, I know I'm the oldest, longest-standing Fair Board member. So this facility is like something that should have been 15 years ago. So I do support the facility. I

er erru vrouve statet

Santa Fe County Board of County Commissioners Regular Meeting of August 12, 2014 Page 69

also wear another hat, Rodeo de Santa Fe. We are also doing a facility and trying to get that funded as well. It's a much larger facility. I'm hoping that we won't be in direct competition. I didn't want to get up here because I didn't want to bring that up. It's a different venue, but I will say that Santa Fe County 4-H has taught a lot of kids to do a lot of things. And I have three boys that went through the program. They wouldn't be the men that they are today without 4-H. I get choked up about 4-H. I bleed green. So, thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Gary. Mr. Chair, the reason I didn't say a whole bunch at the beginning is because I'm figuring out more and more each day that if you surround yourself with people that are passionate about something and you give them the microphone and give them an opportunity to speak they'll say everything that needs to be said and I think that's what's been done tonight. So I don't have anything else, Mr. Chair. When it's appropriate I'd like to make a motion.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: That's appropriate.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: I'd move for approval of CDRC Case – CHAIR MAYFIELD: We are on CDRC Case #V/FCP 14-5090, Stanley

Cyclone Center.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: I would also note in the motion that the County – and I appreciate Commissioner Chavez, Commissioner Stefanics, Commissioner Holian and your comments, Mr. Chair, will abide by conditions associated with our code and other requirements and when the time's appropriate we'll vote on those but I would include that comment in addition to the comments of my colleagues. So I'd move for approval, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I'll second. We have an approval and a second. Commissioners, any other statements or questions? So my comment is just to thank all of you for your public participation. I think kind of your area is the furthest part of Santa Fe County from the County seat up here, so I see that this is very important to your community and I think it will be a valuable resource for all of Santa Fe County. So, Commissioner, thank you for bringing this forward. Commissioner Mike Anaya, thank you for your continued commitment to this project.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: And Mr. Chair, thank you for – and Commission, my colleagues, thank you for bringing the item up first on the agenda. These kids start school this week. Some already started I think. But thank you for doing that. The chairman also noted that there's a sustainable land use development mapping meeting, right? That we're going to have with the Commission and the date for that, Katherine? Did we set that. Penny, do you know which date? The 27th of August in Edgewood.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: It's August 27th at the Edgewood Fire – the Santa Fe County fire station. The new one? The new facility? And that's at what time, Penny? At 6:30 pm.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: We'll all be driving down there to you this time, so thank you.

VIII. B. 2. <u>BCC Case # PCEV 14-5220 Mark Ruttle Vacation of Easement</u>. Mark Ruttle, Applicant, Requests Approval to Vacate and Relocate a Platted Fifteen Foot (15') Wide Public Access and Utility Easement on One Lot Totaling 0.901 Acres. The Property is Located in the Traditional Community of Tesuque At #29 Glowing Star Road, within Section 25, Township 18 North, Range 9 East, Commission District 1

MIGUEL "MIKE" ROMERO (Case Manager): Good evening,

Commissioners. The subject property, Lot 5 of the Blue Sky Estates Subdivision, was created between 1930 and 1982. However, the plat which created the lot cannot be located. A replat of the subdivision in 1982 contained Lot 5 as well as a dedication of utility easements to the public forever. The 1982 replat was approved by the Extraterritorial Zoning Commission and the Santa Fe County Land Use Administrator pursuant to the Extraterritorial Zoning Ordinance. In 1985 a replat of the subdivision, which replat was referred to as WestRick Replat II, Blue Sky Estates, reflected eight lots, including Lot 5. An eight-lot subdivision is a Type III subdivision which is a summary subdivision. Lot 5 is considered a legal lot of record within the Blue Sky Estates Subdivision.

There is currently a residence with an accessory structure on the property. Staff has determined that both structures are legal non-conforming, pre-1981. Currently, the lot has a fifteen-foot wide public access and utility easement that loops through the front yard of the residence. The Applicant claims that the easement loop through the front yard of the residence of Lot 5 has not been used as a public access since 1978. The Applicant also claims that there are no utilities that run through the portion of the easement, but through the middle of Glowing Star Road.

The Applicant requests to vacate the portion of the existing easement where it leaves the road passing in front of the residence of Lot 5, through the point where it rejoins the road passing in front of his residence. The Applicant's intent is to relocate the easement as a thirty-eight foot wide public access and utility easement, alongside the existing boundary from the south to the north property boundary. The Applicant states this will make the road easement consistent with the rest of the road as a thirty-eight wide public access and utility easement. The Applicant also claims that this will not affect the existing utility lines as they are already contained in the proposed easement. This would essentially widen the easement from fifteen feet to thirty-eight feet through Lot 5. The Applicant has contacted all the appropriate utility companies that have utilities within Glowing Star Road.

The Applicant has provided correspondence from PNM, NM Gas Company, Comcast and Century Link regarding the proposed vacation and relocation of the public utility easement. All utility companies have consented to the vacation and re-location of the utility easement. The Applicant has also provided a signed letter by the majority of the property owners and HOA acknowledging that they are in receipt of the proposed easement change and have all voted to approve the easement relocation. The Applicant states that no property owners or utility companies will be adversely affected by this proposed easement location.

Staff recommendation: Approval to vacate a platted fifteen-foot wide public access

and utility easement and relocate as a thirty-eight-foot wide public access and utility easement on another portion of the property totaling 0.901 acres, subject to the following condition. May I enter these into the record?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Please, and read them in, Mr. Romero.

MR. ROMERO: Condition #1: The Applicant shall file the portion of the Final Plat, Lot 5, affected by the vacation and relocation of the easement with the County Clerk's Office.

I stand for any questions.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Commissioners, any questions of staff? Seeing none, this is a public hearing, do we have any one from the public or the applicant that wishes to comment on this case tonight? Kind of saw a head shake back there so the applicant is here tonight. Anybody else from the public wishing to comment on this? Seeing none, this portion of our public hearing is now closed.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Holian.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: I move for approval of BCC Case #PCEV 14-

5220.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Second.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I'll second that, I'll make the motion. It's in my district, but with that, Commissioners, seeing no other comments.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

VIII. B.

3. <u>CDRC Case # V14-5150 Lorenzo Atencio Variance</u>. Lorenzo Atencio, Applicant, Requests a Variance of Ordinance No. 2008-5 (Pojoaque Valley Traditional Community District), 12.5 (Density Standards) to Allow a Land Division of 1.45 Acres Into Two Lots. The Property is Located At 10 Frances Lane, within the Traditional Community of Pojoaque, within Section 7, Township 19 North, Range 9 East (Commission District 1) [Exhibit 10: Quitclaim deed; Exhibit 11: Letter to property owners; Exhibit 12: Aerial views; Exhibit 13: McKay letter; Exhibit 14: McDougal memo; Exhibit 15(Applicant's exhibit AA): Plat of lot; Exhibit 16 (Applicant's exhibit BB): Plat of survey]

JOHN LOVATO (Case Manager): Thank you, Mr. Chair. The subject lot was created in 1995 by way of a land division and is recognized as a legal lot of record. There is currently a residence under construction on the property. A permit for a 3,462 square foot home was issued on October 3, 2013.

The Applicant states a variance is needed due to his medical condition. The Applicant states he can no longer maintain the 1.45-acre parcel and wishes to sell one of the lots he is proposing to divide. The size of lots will be 0.725 acres each and the Applicant



further states the size of lots to be created is close to the minimum 0.75-acre lot size and will not impact potential buyer's health, safety, or welfare.

Ordinance No.200 8-5, Subsection 12.5, Density Standards, states the minimum lot size in the Pojoaque Valley Traditional Community District is 0.75 acres per dwelling unit.

On June 19, 2014 the CDRC met and acted on this case. The decision of the CDRC was to recommend denial of the applicant's request by a 6-0 vote. As attachment it's Exhibit 1. At the June 19, 2014 CDRC meeting five members of the public spoke in opposition to the variance request with concerns of private covenant violation, septic setback concerns and the property not meeting the minimum lot size requirements.

Growth Management staff reviewed this Application for compliance with pertinent Code requirements and finds the project is not in compliance with County criteria for this type of request.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommendation and the decision of the CDRC was to recommend denial of the applicant's request to allow a variance from Ordinance No. 2008-5, Pojoaque Valley Traditional Community District, Section 12.5, Density Standards, to allow a Land Division of 1.45 acres into two lots. The applicant failed to present any evidence of the need for a variance that pertains to an extraordinary hardship he is suffering because of unusual topography or other non-self-inflicted condition, or that these would result in inhibiting the achievement of the purposes of the code.

If the decision of the BCC is to approve the applicant's request staff recommends imposition of the following conditions:

- 1. Water use shall be restricted to 0.50 acre-feet per year per lot. A water meter shall be installed for each lot. Annual water meter readings shall be submitted to the Land Use Administrator by January 1st of each year. Water restrictions shall be recorded in the County Clerk's Office (As per Article III, Section 10.2.2 and Ordinance No. 008-05).
- 2. A Plat of Survey meeting all County Code requirements shall be submitted to the Building and Development Services Department for review and approval (As per Article III, Section 2.4.2).
- 3. Further Division of land is prohibited on the property. This shall be noted on the plat.(As per Article Ordinance No. 2008-5, Section 12.5).
- 4. The proposed vacant lot may be subject to utilizing an advanced liquid waste disposal system in conformance with NMED requirements. This shall be noted on the plat.
- 5. The Applicant shall comply with all Fire Prevention Division requirements at time of Plat Review (As per 1997 Fire Code and NFPA Life Safety Code).

Thank you, Mr. Chair and I stand for any questions.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Holian.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, John. When the subject lot was created in 1995 was there a notation put on the plat that further division of the property would be prohibited?

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Holian, it was not noted on the plat. Later on, a separate document was filed with the Clerk's office with these covenants.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you. CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible]

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, I don't believe that portion is in the packet. CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible] When was that filed with the County

Clerk's Office?

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, that was filed in 1994, I believe. Or 95. COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I'd like to ask Mr. Shaffer a question. CHAIR MAYFIELD: Sure.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Mr. Shaffer, did we not just have a case in district court that overturned one of our decisions because we did not uphold some covenants?

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, I'm not aware of a case

that –

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I'm specifically speaking of the ECIA.

MR. SHAFFER: I don't believe that the court ruling ultimately had to do with the covenants vis-à-vis the County code. My understanding of the law in New Mexico is that private covenants are not a matter for consideration by local zoning boards. Those are between the private parties to which they apply, and that the matter in front of the Board should be considered on the merits based upon the Land Development Code.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Well, Mr. Chair, Mr. Shaffer, I believe that we made a decision here, it was a split vote, about a woman subdividing her property and the ECIA took it to court and won. And they have covenants against subdividing the properties, the lots.

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, I'm familiar with the case in general, but as it's been described to me I don't believe that the court's ruling was based upon the covenants vis-à-vis the County's decision. It was rather based upon the Land Development Code and the criteria for a variance.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay. So, Mr. Chair, Mr. Shaffer, could you check on that, because I think that would be relevant to this decision. Thank you.

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, if I can -

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Really quick, Commissioner Chavez. Do you have an answer to that?

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, it was in 2004.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So it was filed in 2004.

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, that is correct.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Could I see a copy of that? Have that provided to the Commissioners? Thank you. Could we ask that copies be made of this please? Thank you. Oh, here it is. What page is it on? This is what you just passed out.

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, it's on the quitclaim deed. It is noted on the bottom in the recording block.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Commissioners, at this time are there any other questions of staff? Commissioner Chavez.



COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: One page of the packet, under liquid waste – CHAIR MAYFIELD: Excuse me. Whatever we're talking about could we make sure that all applicants and/or individuals concerning this case have a copy of it please.

Thank you. Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So under the section of liquid waste, the paragraph explaining that, it says a house with more than two bedrooms will require a split flow or advanced treatment system. And so your staff recommendation is suggesting that the subject property utilize an advanced liquid waste disposal. So is the split flow or advanced treatment system, is that consistent with what your staff recommendation is?

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, that is correct.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So right now we will go to the applicant. Is the applicant here? Mr. Atencio please. Come on up please. Mr. Atencio, if you need, that mike can be taken back to the seat.

[Duly sworn, Lorenzo Atencio testified as follows:]

LORENZO ATENCIO: Mr. Commissioner, Commissioners, Mr. Chair. My name is Lorenzo Atencio. With me, my wife, Yvonne Atencio Luna. And with us also is the designer-builder for our house, Arch Sproul. We are here on a piece of property that's 1.455 acres in size. It's in the community of Pojoaque. It's located close to the Guadalupe Church. It's located close to the Pojoaque Mid-High. It's located close to the sports fields. It's a very nice piece of property. It was part of a pasture in the family for generations probably. It belonged to Ms. Frances Gomez. This property has great views. It – we have an aerial photo of it in Exhibit 7 of the handout prepared by the County. And it's a very valuable piece of land.

When we bought it a couple years ago it was listed at \$150,000 for the lot. But it's not 1.5 acres so we can divide it into two parcels according to code. I have a couple of exhibits for the Commission that I'd like to – and I'd like to have it marked at Exhibit 1.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible]

MR. ATENCIO: Exhibit AA. How about that? [Exhibit 15]

CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible]

MR. ATENCIO: Okay. This surveyor's map shows what the property looks like, where the house is located, how the property would be, how the lot would be split if the variance is granted. The surveyor has shown on the left the portion that's colored in yellow, that's how much the lot is short. We're talking about a sliver of land. It's a sliver that's seven feet wide.

Now, my wife and I want to split this lot because we really can't do too much with it due to my handicap and we'd like to sell it so that somebody could use it. It's not wasted. We have a hard time keeping up with the weeds. What – let's see. The recommendations was that – recommendations were that the application for a variance be denied because there – let's see. There was no showing of an extraordinary hardship because of unusual topography or other non-self-inflicted condition, or that these conditions would result in inhibiting the achievement of the purpose of the code.

I submit to you that the committee that reviewed this used the wrong test, because we have not applied – we're not saying that we have an extraordinary hardship because of the



unusual topography or other non-self-inflicted condition. We're arguing that the lot size is close enough to the .75 acres that it's in substantial compliance with the code. And I cite – I call the Commission's attention to the original report submitted by Mr. Lovato, which contained a copy of the variance ordinance which reads – give me a minute – sorry about that.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: You're fine, Mr. Atencio.

MR. ATENCIO: I found it. It says, in Section 3, entitled variances that – and I want to read the relevant part on the ordinance. A development review committee may recommend to the Board and the Board may vary, modify or waive the requirements of the code, and upon adequate proof that compliance with the code provisions at issue would result in an arbitrary and unreasonable taking of property or exact hardship and proof that a variance from the code will not result in conditions injurious to health or safety. In other words, the test here is whether not granting a variance is going to result in a taking of our property. And the test is whether if you don't grant the variance – sorry. If you grant the variance is it going to hurt anybody. So is it going to defeat the purposes of the ordinance? And the purposes of the ordinance are to maintain a rural type community. In other words the density. If the variance is not granted is it going to hurt the community or is it going to hurt us, the applicants?

We have a valuable piece of property here and at this point it's worth nothing to us because we can't use it and we can't sell it. And if the – we're asking the Board to grant us a variance because it will not affect the community nature of the area, the neighborhood. You have, like I said, the aerial photograph that shows how homes are spaced in this area. If the house was built on this lot that is .695 acres, it's not going to change. I'm sorry. If this variance is granted the protesters to the north of our lot will not be any closer to any house. They're still be over 100 feet away which is farther than some of the homes in that area.

And there is no covenant regarding the lot size. If you look at the covenants that have been provided to you they refer to having trailers on the property, because they don't want the properties to depreciate, the property value to go down. The State Environment Department has stated the property can be developed within the state ordinances relating to safety and health. If – so regarding the private covenants, what covenants there may be, and I submit that there is no covenant regarding the minimum lot size, whatever covenants there are are irrelevant because they were not approved by the Board, the Commission. They were not approved by the Commission originally. So the Commission really has no jurisdiction over these covenants. And like I said, they really don't talk about a minimum lot size anyway.

I believe that's all I have at this point. I would an opportunity to rebut anything presented by the protesters.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Atencio. Any questions of the applicant, Commissioners? This is a public hearing. Mr. Atencio, at this time there are no questions but you will have the opportunity to come back up.

MR. ATENCIO: Thank you. Thank you for your patience.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. So this is a public hearing. Anybody wishing to provide public comment on this case. Just so I can see by a show of hands, how

many folks are willing to provide – let me go really quick here. Mrs. Atencio, do you want to provide as an applicant or just as a commenter?

YVONNE ATENCIO: As an applicant.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay, so I'm going to leave it still for the applicant. Mrs. Atencio, please.

[Duly sworn, Yvonne Atencio Luna testified as follows:]

MS. ATENCIO: I just want to say that I'm Yvonne Atencio Luna and just a quick background. We bought this land a year and half ago and shortly thereafter we got married and this is where we're starting a new life. We thought about splitting the lot to help us out. I was hoping – we have two neighbors. There are a lot of people here but we only have two that border on us, and I went and spoke to the Sextons. At that time in their home they were very – I thought they were supportive. They didn't say no. I told them that we would like their support and the McDougals have been – Mr. McDougal is a little ill and he's not been very warm, so I kind of counted him as a no.

But we came and talked to Mike Romero about what he thought about us splitting, our chances and what he thought and the County was very supportive. We wouldn't have gone forward with this if we hadn't thought that there was some support in that there wasn't a lot of opposition. So I just want to – that's what I want to point out to you, that we're just – we didn't come up with this and try to shove this down our neighbors' throats. We had the intention of being a good neighbor. We want to be happy in our retirement there. And I'm sorry that we're all here. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Mrs. Atencio. Again, we'll reserve the applicants for rebuttal. Well, sir – you're part of the applicants? Okay, please. Yes, sir. Please.

[Duly sworn, Arch Sproul testified as follows:]

ARCH SPROUL: My name is Arch and I'm the builder-designer of their house, and I'm like to make a correction. The house, heated, is under 2,500 square feet so when they say 3,300 that's not true. And so I've worked with my clients on this house and the design. The lot, we set it up so that we put in an advanced sewage system, one very similar to what I won about four years ago with the parade of homes. I won a green award for it. It's a system that will have no impact whatsoever. You could use it in your landscaping which my former client did and it will not have an impact on the lot and that's a very important factor here.

I'm also a developer of subdivision in Santa Fe where we have 15-foot backyards and five foot on the sides. This has got a tremendous amount of area here to deal with and if you put a house in the middle of it there's practically no impact whatsoever on the other neighbors. We're only talking on this road there – there are three houses. There will be four. This is not a high impact area. This isn't 70 or 80 homes or 100 homes. It's four homes. You know, you're talking no traffic whatsoever. You're talking lots of space between the different houses. It's – I don't see why they can't have this. That's all I have to say.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Mr. Archer or Mr. Atencio I'm going to ask a couple questions on the exhibit that was handed to us. Are you familiar with this exhibit, Mr. Archer?

MR. SPROUL: Yes, that I am.



CHAIR MAYFIELD: So for me, and I don't know if any individuals who are going to comment on this case have a copy of that exhibit or not but if we could make one available to them. Thank you. So just for my clarification, on the exhibit that was just handed out to us by Mr. Atencio. We have the existing easement that's on the left-hand side as I'm reading it, and then to get to Tract 2B they're going to kind of -I guess their point of ingress is going to be down that 25-foot buffer?

MR. SPROUL: Yes. Correct.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So, but there's a setback for a ditch. So how can you put a driveway down a setback?

MR. SPROUL: The ditch is in the very back. The access for it is right off of the road. If you look, it's between the McDougals' property and the Atencios' property. That's the access.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Sir, I have this ditch looking like an L or a 7. MR. SPROUL: Yes. Correct.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So I was seeing a 25 - I don't know what our code is. I asked for this to be put in our code on our acequia setbacks. I think it's 7 or it might be 14 feet on each side as far as setbacks for our acequias. So right now I'm looking at this as a 25-foot setback all the way around. This would be access into their property?

MR. SPROUL: Correct. Yes, it is.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So if you have to have a 25-foot setback how are they going to get that driveway running? I guess, let's see. Here's a scale north, that's going to be running east to west. How are they going to fit a driveway in there?

MR. SPROUL: Well, could they not – there's not something like an easement for access to the lot that the Atencios can give?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I just didn't see it in this design that was handed to us.

MR. SPROUL: Yes, all I know is that the surveyor, Anaya, was the one who did this. And we depend on our surveyors to give us what is the normal route. If there's a question about that I'm not aware of it.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So the easement into Tract 2B would then be through the Atencios' property?

MR. SPROUL: Correct. If they needed to give more, if the setback is a problem then they can give an easement.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: And then my – two other questions. So I'm looking at the document, with the septic tank, the leachfield runs into the proposed lot split area? MR. SPROUL: Yes, that it does.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: And that's going to be a shared advanced system by both parties?

MR. SPROUL: Yes. The access would be such that you would go to the back. On those advanced systems they have to be dealt with once a year. They have to be - you know.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: And then as far as – I don't see a potential well location

on this.

MR. SPROUL: There's one well that would be shared by both.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. That's all I have for now. Commissioners, any questions of the applicant?

VICKI LUCERO (Building Services Division): If I could just clarify, the 25foot setback would be a building setback, but they would be allowed to put driveways or roadways within that area.

> CHAIR MAYFIELD: What's our setback provision in the code on acequias? MS. LUCERO: It would be 25 feet, but it would be for buildings or structures. CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay. I thank you. But the driveway could be placed

there.

MS. LUCERO: Yes, that's correct.

MR. SPROUL: So therefore that means that the road or having that would not be a problem then, correct?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So then on this building site here what's the amount of acreage right in there, knowing that you have to have a setback from that acequia? Excuse me, from the –

MR. SPROUL: The new one would be 1.445 acres. I'm sorry. .695 acres, so that's why we're asking for the variance.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Yes, sir, but that's inclusive of the 25 perimeter setbacks. So I'm just saying what's the amount of acreage for the allowable building site?

: It includes this whole tract. It's Tract 2B. It's divided as such because the first one, the .75, because they already have a leachfield and they already have a septic tank and that type of thing. So you had to keep the .75 on the existing lot. The existing one. And so they're asking for a variance on the second one.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. That's fine. Thank you. So we're going to go now to any – Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I have a – just for clarification, and I think this question might go to staff. Because we have an existing private 20-foot wide ingress and egress easement on one side. I guess the east side. And then it turns the corner into a 25.69 building setback that will be also easement for ingress and egress, right? For Tract 2B, which is the new proposed tract. Right? So the easement, the way I'm following it, it goes from a 20-foot wide easement to a 25-foot wide easement.

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, it's 25 on both sides.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: But I'm reading existing private 20-foot wide ingress and egress easement.

MR. LOVATO: I do see that, Mr. Chair. You are correct.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So is it okay that it goes from 20-foot to 25

feet?

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, that is fine.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: And that's allowable? That's acceptable by code and it's – the road width is adequate?

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, typically we do a 38-foot easement. Given that they'd have a 20-foot driving surface it would meet code criteria.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. I was just wondering because there was a difference in five feet so I just wanted to be sure that that was enough. So that question is

answered. And then so this easement, ingress and egress easement, is it also a utility easement?

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, I do believe so. Let me reference the file. Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, it is not a utility easement. It's just a private ingress-egress.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So is there a separate utility easement then that's identified? Is there a need for a separate utility easement? Are utilities underground? I'm just trying to figure out where the other utilities are running.

MS. LUCERO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, if I could just clarify. If the variance is approved tonight then they will have to come back in for plat approval, and at that time we will make sure that all the easements are included.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And that they're recorded and noted on the

plat.

MS. LUCERO: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay.

MS. LUCERO: And one other point of clarification. Since that proposed roadway is only going to be accessing one lot, it doesn't actually have to be a dedicated easement. It's just a 14-foot driveway that the code requires.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: It will be a private, shared driveway basically. No, it's not a – is it a shared driveway in any way?

MS. LUCERO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, it would not be a shared driveway, being that the proposed tract 2BA already has its own existing driveway.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Right. Got it. Right. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, staff.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Any other questions of staff? Seeing none, this is a public hearing. Anybody wishing to provide public comment just please come on up to the mike and be sworn in. Proponents? Opponents? Whoever cares to provide public comment on this case. If you're all going to be sworn in at once, I'm sorry. Can you all just stand up and be sworn in at once, please?

[Those wishing to speak were administered the oath.]

[Duly sworn, Guy Eden testified as follows:]

GUY EDEN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, thank you for the time this evening. My name is Guy Eden and I'm married to Earleen Eden who was the daughter of Frances Gomez. And Frances Gomez in the latter part of her life decided to subdivide this pasture land that had been in the family for decades. And she wanted to deed it to her three children, two daughters and a son – Sylvia Gomez, my wife, Earleen, and Leroy Gomez who is Earleen's and Sylvia's brother. And at the time she decided to do that we set out the lot sizes and she deliberately set the lot size to be under 1.5 acres. She had an option to make them bigger; she had an option to make them smaller but she wanted to divide this acreage up amongst her three children and give them the most amount of acreage and still leave herself and her house enough acreage to live out her latter years.

And that's what happened. It was – we wanted to have an area where her mother and father lived that was not high density housing. This was deliberately done. Now, I own the lot



directly to the north of Mr. Atencio's lot and I, Earleen and I decided we had enough property and we're getting up in age and so we decided to sell it and we sold it to Ms. McDougal and her husband. We sold this lot to them in good faith counting on the fact that the County ordinance, the County code would protect Mrs. McDougal, my sister-in-law Sylvia, and the other owner – well, Mrs. McDougal and Mrs. Sexton, who are the two lots adjacent north and south of this one.

So we – according to Mr. Atencio there's only three lots that are affected but that's not true. There's a dwelling directly to the east of this lot belonging to Burt Ortiz. There's some land directly to the west of this parcel of land belonging to Mr. Pancho Sena, Frank Sena, and his sister, Martita Sena, and we feel that Mr. Atencio bought this lot knowing full well that the County code was such that he would not be able to divide this lot. And as I look at this plat it's obvious from the location of his house that at the time that he laid out this house he had no intention of splitting this lot, because he put this house so far to the east that it makes it very difficult to split this lot into two lots of less than .75 acres which is the County code for the size of these lots.

He is now – it appears to me from looking at this plat that they are doing their best to cram two residences into a lot that really doesn't set up well for that. The easement takes up a lot of room. The shared septic system – I know that Mr. Sproul said that they were going to put in – I believe it's a closed loop system. Yes. Okay. This property where these houses are, and Mr. Sena can verify this, this land is very clay-like and the EPA requires a lot of field line to percolate the clear water out of a septic system. As a matter of fact, when Mrs. Gomez passed away and we sold her house we almost didn't have enough property, and this is an acre and a quarter, I believe, to put in enough septic line to satisfy the EPA because they wanted 300 linear feet of septic line because of the percolation problems in this area.

One of the other problems that presents itself is the amount of water that will be available for two residences on this lot. It also – this proposed variance, this variance that Mr. Atencio has asked for, he himself admits that it's a beautiful piece of property. It would be a shame to divide it up into two lots and make it look like high density housing. The County at this time, it appears to me in the Sustainable Growth Management Plan is opting for lower density housing. They are in the process of rezoning, for instance the Jacona land grant and the County proposes that the rezone it to a lower density housing, one house per 40 acres and one house per 60 acres. So if you grant this variance to Mr. Atencio on this lot it really flies in the face of the County's attempt to hold down high density housing in the Pojoaque traditional village.

The neighbors in this area will be impacted because if you have high density housing in the middle of a suburban setting like this, it actually – if one of the other residents wants to sell their property, if they see a high density lot right in the middle of this area it will affect the property values. This land is prime land, beautiful land, grassland, irrigated land, and to grant a variance to Mr. Atencio, and I understand his desire to have one, would really impact the quality of life of the people living in this area.

I don't know if you know – I know the chairman knows this area and there's water issues, there's septic issues, there's quality of life issues. The biggest issue I think is that the County code says that you cannot split lots into lots smaller than .750 acres. This is the cutoff

point. And when you buy a piece of property, you should know what the codes are, you should know what the covenants are, and I understand that the covenants don't hold any water, but we expected the County code to be enforced.

So I'm asking that this variance be denied to Mr. Atencio. I understand that it might be a little hard for him to take care of this property but he's built a pretty large house and a lot of the house and the yard take up his property now so there isn't much acreage left to irrigate anymore and it doesn't have to be taken care of. It's a natural grassland. If you just leave it alone it will grow grass – not as green as if you irrigate it but it will grow grass and it's a beautiful area.

So, in light of the fact that the commission that we appeared before on June 19th, and I don't know – I forget what the label for that commission is. Would you help me with that, Mr. Chair?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: CDRC.

MR. EDEN: Yes. They didn't hesitate to make a motion that this variance be denied and it was voted on unanimously that this variance be denied. And the case worker, Mr. Lovato, also recommended that this variance be denied. And we as the families of the descendents of the families that settled that area, Mr. Sena, Mr. Ortiz, the Gomezes, we ask that you deny this variance and keep this area pristine as it is right now. We do not – we would like to have this area remain the way it is and we would like for the County to enforce their code of no lot size smaller than .750 acres. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Mr. Eden, I have a quick – any questions of Mr. Eden? Quick question of staff, just so I know where I'm at. I'm Exhibit 8 right now, Mr. Lovato. So on Exhibit 8, it's within that blue outline that we're talking about, right? Because right there it's saying 9.80 acres, so that was the acreage intact? Before it was subdivided to 1.244?

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, what is it you exhibit are you referencing?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I'm on Exhibit 8, page 22.

MR. LOVATO: Page 22?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Just because the aerial doesn't show a house on this.

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, it is on Exhibit 8 or Exhibit 7, it's 9.8 - it used to be the 9.8. That's a typo. It is that blue area though.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So 9.8 wasn't the prior acreage of that after it was subdivided. So that's the 1.44, that should be 1.44.

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, that is correct.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: And the house as is existing is not on this aerial,

correct?

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, that is correct.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay. Thank you.

MR. EDEN: Can I show you one more thing, Mr. Chair?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Sure.

MR. EDEN: Mr. Atencio said that – stated, that if there was only one house built on this one – less that 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ acres that it would be a waste of land. It is never a waste of

land to have open land around a residence. It gives you privacy. It gives you comfort and it gives you aesthetics. So I believe that putting two houses on this size of lot is not in any way advantageous to anybody but Mr. Atencio who wants to get financial gain from the sale of this property. That's it. Thank you very much.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Eden.

MR. EDEN: Any questions?

achievement of the purposes of the code.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: No, not at this time from the Commission. Thank you. JOSEPH KARNES: Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the Commission. My name is Joseph Karnes, Sommer, Karnes and Associates here on behalf of Mrs. McDougal and Mr. McDougal who is not present here tonight. Mrs. McDougal is here. I think it's important that the Commission not lose sight of the one code provision that is asked to be varied here by Mr. Atencio. It is the provision that states that the applicant needs to show that strict compliance with the requirements of the code would result in extraordinary hardship to the applicant because of unusual topography or other such non-self-inflicted

Mr. Atencio didn't address that provision. He didn't identify any unusual topography or other non-self-inflicted condition relating to this particular piece of property. What he told you was, it's too small. That's right. It's too small. That's his problem. His problem isn't unusual topography or some other condition of the property. Before the CDRC your staff report states that he talked about medical conditions as being one of the reasons why he's applying for this variance. Well, as staff has pointed out, medical conditions are not one of the criteria upon which a variance to the density requirements can be granted, nor is financial hardship.

condition, or that these conditions, i.e., the topography, would result in inhibiting

Now, being the good lawyer that he is, Mr. Atencio identified another argument. He tried to go around and avoid the provision that has to be applied here and he talked about a taking of property. Now, I'm going to submit my own take on that. This is a lot that has a house on it. For there to be a taking there has to be diminution of value of substantially all the economic value of the property. He already has a house. He has, he enjoys substantial economic benefit in relation to this property. Nothing's being taken. He's asking you to bend your rules. That's what a variance is, is bending your rules and not requiring the 1.5 acres that a lot split would require and instead letting him split a lot that's 1.45 acres in size.

He also spoke to his perception of the aspect of frustrating the intent of the code and I want to point out two aspects that this application and this variance if it were granted would absolutely frustrate the purpose of the code. First of all you've already heard testimony from one of the property owners in the area. They enjoy a quality of life. They invested in this area in reliance on the County code and the rules that are applicable to this area. Granting this variance and allowing another house in this low density area, you've already heard, would have an impact on their lives. And moreover, granting this variance would have a precedential effect throughout the county. If savvy developers see that now there's suddenly a substantial compliance, if we're close to 1.5 acres we can subdivide our property, well, you can expect there's going to be a land rush. I have folks that are going to be ringing my phone off the hook saying, absolutely. Let's get something that's close so that we can split it and



subdivide piece of property that are too small. Your 1.5-acre requirement means something. It was put in there for a reason. And when the condition, when the requirement was placed in the code there could have been a de minimus or a substantial compliance requirement associated with that. The County Commission could have said, well, if you're close, that's good enough and you should be able to subdivide your property; they didn't say that.

And I'll point out there was discussion of previous litigation. Well, I had a case a few months ago, a property owner by the name of Minnie Walsh, up in Jacona, this Commission granted a density variance for that property. Just last month Judge Ortiz of the district court overturned that decision. There's no basis here. This applicant, in order to gain approval of a density variance needs to show a condition related to the unique topography of this property or other similar condition; he hasn't shown it. That's his requirement. He can't go around and find some other provision and he hasn't done that.

This requirement for an extraordinary hardship relating to some unique condition of the property has to be demonstrated. He hasn't made the effort, let alone satisfied that condition. So I urge you to deny the variance application. I'd be happy to stand for any questions you might have. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Are you done? Whoever would care to comment, please come forward. Nobody else? Just state your name and address. You've already been sworn.

[Previously sworn, Harold Sexton testified as follows:]

HAROLD SEXTON: I am hard of hearing. This is my wife. It's Harold and Sylvia Sexton. She's the daughter of Frances Gomez.

[Previously sworn, Sylvia Sexton testified as follows:]

SYLVIA SEXTON: Mr. Chair, we do want to present some of our feelings regarding this request for this variance. I do want to make a correction on Mrs. Atencio's statement. She did approach us. She went to visit us, and she told us that they planned to split the property and sell it. And she said we didn't say anything. We did say. We told her – my husband right away told her there is a $\frac{3}{4}$ acre ruling. You cannot build. I mentioned to her there was a covenant that my mom had set for the conditions of this property that only residence would be in each $\frac{3}{4}$ acre.

MR. SEXTON: She said each tract, and it's the tract.

MS. SEXTON: And she was told this. My husband can verify that.

MR. SEXTON: The other thing, our neighbor, Lawrence Quintana was going to come and there was an accident when we came into town. I don't know; they apparently couldn't get here. But the gist of it is he had a regular mobile home on the same type of situation and he built another house and he wanted to rent out the mobile home. He was denied because of the ³/₄ ruling. So he could not do it. That's what it should have been. And we had thought about building a house for our daughter because she was having a lot of marital problems and we realized we couldn't do it because the intent of the rule was you had to have ³/₄ of an acre. And he's proposing .69, and you've got to have some statute, some reasoning, you had a reason for the .75 and you ought to back it up.

MS. SEXTON: Thank you, Commissioners and chairman.



CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Sexton. Is there anybody else? Mr. Sena.

[Previously sworn, Frank Sena testified as follows:]

FRANK SENA: My name is Frank Sena and I own property just east of the property in question. I would like to establish that that property was agricultural. It's been in our family for four generations. It's been agricultural the whole time. This high density building situation is not in our best interest. One of it is traffic, the other one is it will mess up our views. And I just want you to know that our family is opposed to this variance. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Is there anybody else? Please [Previously sworn, Maddie McDougal testified as follows:]

MADDIE MCDOUGAL: This is not legal. My name's Maddie McDougal. I'm Barbara and David McDougal's daughter. I convinced them to buy this property several years ago when they came out here with the idea that they would have a place to end their lives in peace and quiet. They're law abiding people. And so they took it on with that idea, that they would agree to all of the covenants that was set out for them. And it now feels very unsafe that the law is not as firm and supportive as at least I thought it was.

And the idea that somebody can come and say they don't want the law to be what it is because they're not well, and I'm sorry about that. I am. But that's – when we can't do things anymore then we go someplace else and live some place where we can support ourselves or be helped. I would hope that my parents could live in this beautiful place forever.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Ms. McDougal. Is there anybody else from the public wishing to comment, either proponent or opponent of this case? Seeing none, this portion of our public hearing is now closed. And we'll go back to the applicant.

MR. ATENCIO: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, thank you. As I stated initially, the issue in this case is whether the granting or denying of a variance is reasonable or arbitrary. We're not here asking for a variance because I have a handicap. That's not why. We're here asking for a variance because we own this property. We have a deed for this property. That means that we can do whatever we want with this property. We can sell it if we want. I realize that that is affected by this County Commission's authority to pass ordinances and limit our rights to sell this property and the County has done that in order to maintain this rural atmosphere, rural type density. I'm not questioning the Commission's authority.

What the Commission did is it passed an ordinance to maintain the nature of the community, the density, these types of things. The thing is what the Commission is trying to do is vague and ambiguous. How do you define a rural community? How do you define low density? What the Commission did or somebody did is they picked ³/₄ of an acre to accomplish this and generally it does accomplish it. But it's a rough way of doing it. It's a rough estimate. Some situations, .75 minimum lot size will affect the density. In this case it doesn't. In this case nobody has said that our house is violating the County code and our house is closer to the McDougals' home than a new house on the other lot would be.

There was a question about the water. Two houses on a well is not going to affect any water. Well, the expert hydrologists say that there are 55 million acre-feet of water in the Pojoaque Basin. One house is not going to make one bit of difference.

Now, Mr. Eden is here to protest, but he doesn't even live there. He sold his property. Now problem selling his property but he's got a problem with us selling our property. He's saying that we should – that the Commission should have strict compliance with this ordinance. That if somebody comes in with one foot under the .75 acre that that person be denied the ability to sell the property or build on the property, but .75 being a crude instrument the County also has an ordinance that allows for a variance and that's why we're here. A variance of this ordinance that says minimum lot size is .75 acres. And a variance is not based on a topographical condition that creates a hardship. The variance is based on the fact that .695, 55/1000 of an acre, is substantial compliance, that it accomplishes what the County ordinance sets out to do, to keep the density in line with the rest of the community, you have the aerial map. Some homes are closer than this new house would be to anybody.

I keep hearing the word pristine, enjoying the view and pristine. I have another image of it if I may, and I'd label this Exhibit BB. [*Exhibit 16*]

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I'm still waiting for Mr. Shaffer to give us exhibit numbers so we'll defer to Mr. Shaffer to assign exhibit numbers. I'm sorry. Mr. Shaffer is going to be giving us exhibit numbers for the two exhibits. And can you give one to the people that are in opposition please.

MR. ATENCIO: This is a plat of the property owned by Frances Gomez who was referred to, the one who owned this property and divided it equally among her children. What I want to point out is you've heard that Mrs. Gomez, Frances Gomez, intended that the lot size not be less than .75 acres, and that she felt really strong about this. But it's nowhere in writing. We see covenants regarding trailers being on this property or businesses being on this property but nothing about lot size. And what I want to point out is that if you take – at the top it says total area surveyed is 6.203 acres. And then if you take that and subtract .75 acres for the Lot A2 at the bottom, and 1.120 for the lot next to it, if you take those two add them u and subtract it from 6.203 acres you end up with 4.32 acres is the size of the pasture. And if you divide that by three – there were three children – you come up with 1.44 acres per lot. That's how this lot size was determined. Not by Frances Gomez' wishes. Frances Gomez was a smart woman and her brother was Claude Sena, a lawyer. If there was a minimum lot size that she intended she would have put it in writing. She didn't.

But furthermore the lot, the property that Mr. Eden was referring to, the property at the north end of this six-acre property, is located at the end of the pastureland. It's not on pastureland. Pastureland is right next to it. What happened is they got used to seeing this beautiful pastureland as their front yard. But they don't own it. Their brother owned it and he sold it. If they have a complaint it's their brother they need to complain against.

When we bought the property we went to meet the McDougals, introduced ourselves, and Mr. McDougal was very upset because somebody was building a house there; he didn't care. It wasn't that it was us. They were going to lose their pasture, pristine pasture view.

Now, again, the issue is the substantial compliance. Would an additional seven feet on the north end of our tract make a difference? If the Commission grants a variance for a

seven-foot wide strip, is it going to change the character of the neighborhood? No. Is it going to make it more dense? No. And just like the County has an ordinance declaring .75 acres, it also has an ordinance allowing a variance when it's reasonable and a taking is a taking of our property, of our ability to sell the property is a taking, because it does not accomplish anything as far as the ordinance is concerned. And we're talking about other cases. I don't know about any other case. I haven't seen any other case. The only one that counts is this one.

So my wife and I are requesting that you grant this variance because that is what the ordinance requires when there is substantial compliance with the ordinance itself and the purposes of the ordinance. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Atencio. Any questions of Mr. Atencio, the applicant? Seeing none, at this time, Mr. Atencio, thank you.

MR. SPROUL: I'd like to clarify something, Mr. Chair, members of the Commission. When we were putting in the septic system we dug a hole. The State Engineer came out, looked at it. We did the proper percolation for the system that we put in, and that the advanced system would not be affected because it's a closed system and it has to be pumped out yearly. And it's part of the agreement that you have to build sort of an advanced system. So it's not going to be something that's affected by percolation, etc. It's a different type of system.

And then the other argument that this is high density. I've built in the city where it was seven houses per acre. That's high density. This is not high density. There's plenty of room around each of those houses. You're talking four houses on a road going into this area. That's nothing. That's not high density. It's low density. It's not going to impact the lives of the people around it because it is low density and will maintain that. There's plenty of room around all those houses, 50, 100 feet. To the one to the side, several hundred feet. This is not high density. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. So for the proponents, I know that a new exhibit was introduced and there were statements made by Mr. Atencio so I will go back to the opponents and ask if they wish to rebut anything that was said or comment on this exhibit that was just provided to us. So if you could see who would like to speak on that, please, you may, Mr. Eden.

MR. EDEN: Mr. Chair, Commissioners, you know this isn't downtown Santa Fe in Pojoaque. And high density housing is housing in the traditional Pojoaque community, housing on less than .75 acres is considered high density housing in that valley. Mobile home parks have higher density. There are places that were built before this code took effect that are high density on less than ³/₄ of an acre, but this isn't downtown Santa Fe, this is a rural community. It doesn't have a mayor. It's not incorporated. We're living out in the country and that's why we live there.

The other thing is that Mr. – the architect for Mr. Atencio talks about a closed loop system. When I asked him about a closed loop system in my first session up here, he told me that it was not a closed loop system, that it was an enhanced system. So an enclosed loop system is where you take all the wastewater, gray and black, and treat it and don't let it out into any leach lines. Is that right?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Mr. Eden, if you would just address the Commission please and not –

MR. EDEN: I'm sorry. And for Mr. Atencio to say that this was not pastureland is erroneous. That place where he is now building his house was pastureland for 50 years when my father-in-law owned it. As a matter of fact there was a barn on that property that Mr. Atencio now owns. So that was pastureland. I'm sure Mr. Sena can verify that, that it was grazed for years and that's what it was.

I didn't hear a lot of what Mr. Atencio said because – due to his condition, I suppose I should say. It's very hard to understand him and I didn't understand everything that he presented, but I thought I heard him question this code that the County has for .75 acres minimum property size for building home. I think I heard him say that it was an antiquated code, that it no longer should be in effect, but the fact is that it is in effect, and we want it to be enforced. The neighbors, Mrs. Atencio said that some of the neighbors around there didn't mind that lot being split into two acres even though it didn't meet code, but I don't know of anybody in that area that would – that has told me that they're okay with it. The people that own that ³/₄ acre lot, if you look on this map, they own a .75-acre lot, Lot A2. They're not. They're opposed to this.

Laurie Lang, who has my mother-in-law's old house on 1.12 acres is opposed. Mr. Sena is opposed. I haven't heard from Mr. Bert Ortiz, but I would venture to say that he would be opposed. I ask you again to enforce the County code and reject this variance as the committee before you voted to unanimously to reject it and so did the case worker, Mr. Lovato. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: So again, the public hearing is now closed and thank you all for your comments, Mr. Atencio and all of the individuals who spoke. I have a couple of questions of staff, just on some comments that were made, and whoever from staff would care to answer them would be great. So traditional communities have come into – I guess have been talked about, so the Pojoaque Valley area is a traditional community. It's also an area that has and is in compliance with a local community plant. I believe that this area is within the Pojoaque Community Plan. Is that correct?

MR. LOVATO: Mr. Chair, that is correct.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay, so how did the traditional community establishment of .75 acres come to be? Because again, going back to lot sizes there's a lot of grandfathered lots out in that valley that are less than .7 acres but they are grandfathered in. So at one time, and our smallest density, and correct me, Ms. Lucero, if I'm wrong, or Mr. Shaffer, that is afforded under any size in Santa Fe County is .75 if they're within a traditional community. Correct?

MS. LUCERO: Mr. Chair, it's .75, unless they're on community water and sewer, then they can go down to .33 of an acre.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Correct.

MS. LUCERO: And the Community College District has densities that are higher – would allow higher densities than that though.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. So when traditional communities were established though, how did that come to be? Because I won't bring up the reason but I know another community is asking for that right now.

MS. LUCERO: Mr. Chair, the traditional communities actually came about in the 1980 general plan. There were different traditional communities were actually designated at that point, and then when the code was established in 1981 that's when the densities were established, the ³/₄ acre densities.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you for that, Ms. Lucero. Also, there was reference made by Ms. Lucero, if there's a central water system and/or sewer system we can go down to smaller densities. I think three homes per one acre. We know that there's been much discussion about a proposed Aamodt – I don't know if there's been a proposed sewer system in there, so just so everybody knows that, and I'm going to get to my point really quick, the County has also approved, in our Sustainable Land Development Code, accessory dwellings on structures, so everybody knows that. And it was brought up on a prior case tonight. I was going to bring this up really quick now before we take a vote on this. We're going through a zoning map right now within Santa Fe County, and we have a meeting out in the Pojoaque Valley area and who can give me that date really quick if we know it? We'll get it before you all leave. And again, it's important that communities show up to these meetings because these are the plans that the County puts forth and it would be great to have your input on them and we will be looking at a new zoning map for the area.

Commissioners, that's pretty much all I have. I don't know, Commissioners, if you have any other comments of staff or not. Mr. Lovato, I see none. Commissioners, I am going to make a motion and I am going to support staff's recommendation for denial of the applicant's request at this time.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: And I'll second that. CHAIR MAYFIELD: So we have a motion, Commissioners, and a second.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote. [Commissioner Anaya voted after the recess.]

[The Commission recessed from 7:40 to 7:55.]

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair, would you let the record reflect that I vote with the balance of the Commission on the last item?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Yes, we will have that reflected. Thank you, Commissioner.

VIII. B. 5. <u>CDRC Case # Z 13-5380 Elevation</u>. (TABLED UNTIL SEPTEMBER 9, 2014)

6.

VIII. B.

<u>CDRC Case # S 13-5201 Oshara Village Preliminary and Final</u> <u>Development Plan</u>. Century Bank, Applicant, Design Enginuity (Oralynn Guerrerortiz), Agent, Request Preliminary and Final Plat and Development Plan Approval for a Five-Lot Residential Subdivision Located within Tract C of Oshara Village Phase 1, Which Consists of 10.41 Acres. The Property is Located on the East Side of Richard's Avenue, South of I-25, within Section 16, Township 16 North, Range 9 East, Commission District 5 [Exhibit 17: Supporting material]

VICENTE ARCHULETA (Case Manager): Thank you, Mr. Chair. On May 15, 2014, the County Development Review Committee recommended approval for a five-lot residential subdivision located within Tract C of the Oshara Village Phase 1, which consists of 10.41 acres On April 30, 2002, the Extraterritorial Zoning Authority granted Master Plan approval for a mixed-use development known as Oshara Ranch. The development consisted of 735 residential units and 1.7 million square feet of commercial space and 246 acres of open space/park/plaza areas on 471 acres, to be developed in eight phases.

On October 28, 2004, the EZA granted a Master Plan Amendment to the previously approved Oshara Ranch now known as Oshara Village, in order to change the phasing of the project. On January 11, 2005, the Board of County Commissioners granted Preliminary Development Plan and Plat approval for Phase I of the Oshara development.

On June 14, 2005, the BCC granted Final Plat and Development Plan approval for Phase I of the Oshara Village development which consisted of 175 residential lots and 136,000 square feet of commercial space on 74 lots on a total of 37.78 acres in accordance with the previously approved Master Plan.

On November 12, 2013, the Board of County Commissioners approved a Master Plan Amendment request to rezone 36 live/work lots and 17 small commercial lots to 26 residential town home lots and 21 residential patio home lots and to create 5 residential patio home lots on Tract C which was reserve as open space on the original Master Plan.

The Applicants now request Preliminary and Final Plat and Development Plan approval for the creation of five residential lots within Tract C of the Oshara Village Subdivision Phase 1. The lots will range in size from .12 acres to .14 acres. The remainder of Tract C will remain Reserved Open Space. The five lots to be created will be located on the south side of Willowback Road about 400 feet to the east of Richard's Avenue.

Currently Tract C is vacant land platted as reserved open space. It has been reserved to permit future development as long as 50 percent required open space is provided within the development.

Staff recommendation: Staff recommends approval of the Applicant's request for Preliminary and Final Plat and Development Plan to create 5 residential lots located within Tract C of the Oshara Village Phase 1 Subdivision, which consists of 10.41 acres, subject to the following condition:

1. The Applicant shall comply with all review agency comments and conditions, Article V, Section 7.1.3.c.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Vicente. And are there any staff conditions? MR. ARCHULETA: Mr. Chair, there's just one and that's The Applicant shall comply with all review agency comments and conditions.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Commissioners, any questions of staff. Thank you. We'll go to the applicant please.

[Duly sworn, Oralynn Guerrerortiz testified as follows:]

ORALYNN GUERRERORTIZ: My name is Oralynn Guerrerortiz. I own a little firm in town called Design Enginuity, and with me today is Bob Bidal, who is a Century Bank executive and he is also the light monitor. I've asked him to turn off the lights so that the people in the audience could see the screen.

Today we're requesting a five-lot subdivision on the Oshara project. As you recall, Oshara is just south of I-25, west of Richards Avenue and in the Community College District. This last November, November 2013, we were before you with a master plan amendment. We were requesting some live-work and some commercial lots be converted to patio homes and also some other live-work units converted to townhomes. Most of that – once you approved the master plan amendment last November we were able to do most of that work administratively which mostly resulted in lots getting larger, because we had several very skinning lots, but we are still left with creating the last five lots down in the open space down there at the bottom on the left-hand side. This is the existing master plan amendment that we did record.

So what we have before you tonight is a five-lot subdivision. It borders Willowback Road. Willowback Road has a 12" sewer line in it that is served by the Oshara wastewater treatment plant, an advanced system, and it has a 12" County water line. It also has cable, gas and electricity on that road. So we don't have to build any mains; we're not building any roads. We are just doing service connections, sidewalks, street trees, and that's pretty much it. The homes are going to be located more than 50 feet away from the Arroyo Hondo, because the Arroyo Hondo does flow fairly significantly in that area. With the creation of these five homes or five lots we will still have 51.2 percent open space, so we're still over the required 50 percent open space.

And we're agreeing to all conditions and we respectfully request project approval.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Any questions of the applicant? Seeing none, this is a public hearing. Anybody wishing to speak on this case? Please. Sir, if we could turn the lights back on please.

[Duly sworn, Beth Detwiler testified as follows:]

BETH DETWILER: My name is Beth Detwiler. I live at 11 Craftsman Road in Oshara Village in Santa Fe County. I'm the president of the homeowners association board of directors for Oshara Village and we would like to express our support for this plan. It's a part of a larger plan that has already received your approval and as Oralynn described, it maintains our 50 percent commitment to 50 percent open area and creates five new lots but the result of the entire master plan amendment is to decrease lots in Oshara Village and at the same time create more lots that are saleable and the decrease in total lots will help to improve



traffic problems in the future through our village as it reduces residential lots and commercial lots also. So for many reasons I think it will lift our village up in terms of our development and progress in the future, and I hope you'll give your approval to this plan. Thank you all for your consideration.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Ms. Detwiler. Is t here anybody else from the public wishing to comment? Seeing none, this portion of our public comment period is closed. Commissioner Stefanics.

> COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Mr. Chair, I move for approval. COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Second.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: We have a motion and a second. I have a quick question of the applicant. Ms. Guerrerortiz or staff, I know in the past there's been some questions and I've probably asked this before but I'll ask again, with the wastewater system out there. So is everything fixed, fine? Are there any issues out there?

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: That wastewater system is an advanced sequential batch reactor. It operates well and always has. The only problem that they had was there were too few people living in Oshara and it costs a lot of money to operate a wastewater system in that little – that cost was spread over too few people. As more people move into the community it's really helping. So the bottom line is it's in good shape and it continues to be.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay. It's still owned by the – who owns the wastewater? Who's in charge and responsible for that system?

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: It's actually owned by a separate Oshara Village utility company. I think at some point maybe the HOA would like to own it but currently it's not owned by the HOA. It's owned by a separate utility company, who's not running it for profit, and I'm sure they'd love to turn it over to anybody who would take it.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: And on that point, Mr. Shaffer, if, say, something happened to that utility company, what would the County's responsibility be in making sure that that was being maintained for the community? Or would the County have any responsibility in that? And I know – the reason I bring this up because I know we had some bond questions or requirements put up in the past. I don't know if it's addressed in our new Sustainable Land Development Code. And that's just what I want to know, if something would happen to that utility.

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, as I understand it it's a privately owned and maintained utility, so I can't right away think of any legal responsibility that the County would have to step in to maintain or continue the operations of that utility should there be a problem.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. And again, thank you. Commissioner

Chavez. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: On that point, in our packet – and Oralynn you can correct anything that needs to be corrected, but Oshara Village is served by a state of the art facility that treats wastewater on the basis of an activated sludge technology set in a patented sequencing batch reactor mode. It currently operates within the parameters for which it was designed and installed. The plant is operated by a part-time New Mexico certified level three wastewater operator. The current plant's processing capacity is 30,000 gallons of wastewater per day and it is currently treating about 5,000 gallons per day. Reclaimed



wastewater is used for subsurface irrigation of lots and common open space in Oshara Village.

Is all that accurate?

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Yes, that is. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And current?

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Yes, it is.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. And then another question – I think it's just for my clarification and maybe just freshen my memory, but we removed the commercial component from this phase and also removed live-work lots. I can see the commercial but the live-work – was there no demand in the market for the live-work?

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Very little demand for live-work, and many of our live-work lots that were owned by Century Bank were also affordable lots and there was very little demand for affordable live-work units.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And I'm kind of surprised by that because for the artists and craftsman types, for the CPAs, for the low-impact businesses that would fit into a residential setting, I find it a little unfortunate that we don't have any takers for this because I would think that the need is there, but maybe people just can't access the lending institutions. Maybe they don't have access to the capital or the down payment assistance that they might need. And so I think it's unfortunate that we took that component out but maybe it will fit somewhere else, because I do think the need is there.

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: If we find that there's a need, I'm sure it will be developed. But I believe that home occupations are still permitted, and those would be allowed in this community.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: That's another definition of live-work, but this is trying to do it at the front end and be part of the design of that subdivision.

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Well, there are still live-work units in Oshara. And I'm going to guess – I think there's eight total. I could be wrong. But it's some number. But originally, there were another 36 live-work units and that was – we found that that was more than the market could handle in this area.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. The memo does say that there would be the conversion of 36 live-work lots and 17 small commercial lots that would be converted into residential lots.

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Yes. They're patio home lots. And that's where the demand is in this area currently.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Chavez. Really quick for staff. There is a motion on the floor, but that is also what our agency review, our fire conditions. There were conditions by Open Space, Public Works and our Hydrologist.

MR. ARCHULETA: Mr. Chair, that's correct.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: And that's in the motion, Commissioners? Thank you. Okay, thank you. Seeing no other questions.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] vote.



7.

VIII. B.

CDRC Case # S 10-5551 Tessera Subdivision Phase 2. Homewise Inc., Applicant, Design Enginuity (Oralynn Guerrerortiz), Agent, Request Preliminary Plat and Development Plan Approval for Phase 2 of the Tessera Subdivision (Formerly College Hills) Which Consists of 78 Residential Lots on the 69.4 Remaining Acres of a 146 Acre Development. The Property is Located Off the NM 599 Frontage Road, West of the La Tierra Exit, within Section 20, Township 17 North, Range 9 East ,Commission District 2 [Exhibit 18: Supporting material]

MR. ARCHULETA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. On June 19, 2014, the County Development Review Committee recommended Preliminary Plat and Development Plan approval for Phase 2 of the Tessera Subdivision (formerly College Hills) consisting of 78 residential lots on 69.4 acres subject to staff conditions.

The subject property received Master Plan approval for 88 lots on 84 acres in the late 1990's under the name of College Hills. On December 18, 2001, the EZA granted a Master Plan Amendment for the Tessera Subdivision) which consisted of 166 residential lots on 145.97 acres to be developed in two phases. Phase 1 consisted of 88 lots on 76.57 acres and Phase 2 consisted of 78 lots on 69.4 acres. Phase 2 will encompass 69.4 acres, with 35 acres or 50 percent of the property designated as permanent open space.

On December 12, 2002, the EZC granted Preliminary Plat and Development Plan approval for Phase I of the Tessera Subdivision which consisted of 88 lots. On January 13, 2004, the BCC granted Final Plat and Development Plan approval for Phase 1. The Final Plat for Phase 1 was recorded on April 5, 2007. There are currently three homes within Phase 1.

On December 14, 2010, the Board of County Commissioners granted approval of a two-year time extension of the Master Plan for the Tessera Subdivision. On December 11, 2012, the BCC approved an additional two-year time extension of the previously approved Master Plan for Tessera Subdivision consisting of 166 lots on 146 acres

In 2012, Homewise, Inc. purchased the property and intends to build and sell all the homes and it anticipates having the entire 166 lots fully built out within seven years. The previous developer installed the infrastructure needed for Phase 1 prior to the economic downturn. Homewise has posted a financial guarantee for the remaining deficiencies in Phase 1 such as the final lift of asphalt and trails which have not been completed. The remaining deficiencies of Phase 1 will be completed within the next two weeks. A Financial Guarantee will be required for all Phase 2 improvements.

Approval sought: Preliminary Plat and Development Plan approval for Phase 2 of the Tessera Subdivision which consists of 78 residential lots on 69.4 acres.

Staff recommendation: Staff recommends approval of the applicant's request for preliminary Plat and Development Plan for Phase 2 of the Tessera Subdivision which consists of 78 residential lots on 69.4 acres subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The Applicant shall comply with all review agency comments and conditions, Article V, Section 7.1.3.c.
- 2. The Applicant shall submit documentation in regards to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) approval of New Water Deliveries for Phase 2, as required by Resolution 2006-57, "Adopting A Santa Fe County Water Resource Department Line Extension and Water Service Policy", and all other conditions in that resolution and other SFCU policies are met.
- 3. In accordance with the Santa Fe County Utility, The Applicant shall obtain a letter from the City of Santa Fe Water Division (City) that identifies what, if any, additional water utility infrastructure is needed in order supply the maximum 19.5 acre-foot-year demand proposed by Phase 2 prior to Final Plat and Development Plan submittal.
- 4. The Applicant shall agree to construct and dedicate their fair share of infrastructure needs identified by the City's water utility hydraulic modeling.
- 5. The Applicant shall enter into a Water Delivery Agreement and Wastewater Service Agreement with SFCU, which will specify construction standards (e.g., line-taps and meter cans) and inspection and dedication requirements for Phase 2 prior to Final Plat and Development Plan submittal. The agreement will specify many of the requirements identified in SFCU's March 27, 2014 letter.
- 6. Copies of the Water Delivery and Sewer Service agreements between the developer and County shall be submitted to the County Growth Management Department along with the Final Design of the Sewer System for review and approval prior to Final Plat and Development Plan submittal.
- 7. The Applicant shall implement proper sediment control.
 - Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Archuleta, on liquid waste, on page 5 of the memo, it talks about a low-pressure wastewater collection system that was installed in Phase 1. That system passes through the existing roads in Phase 2. A low-pressure line was extended under 599 to a Santa Fe City sewer manhole located on the north bank of the Santa Fe River. The wastewater system is privately owned by the Tessera sewer cooperative. So first, I need a definition of how that low-pressure wastewater collection system works and if it's done to County standards or not, and does that also relate to the condition #5, because I see some connection or correlation between the two, but I just wanted to know for sure that I guess it's done to County standards and that condition 5 also would – it talks about specific construction standards so again, are those standards the same for that one wastewater system that's being discussed?

MR. ARCHULETA: Mr. Chair, I believe Oralynn can probably answer that question better than I can.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible]

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Certainly. I do have a presentation. When would you like to hear that? Can I make the presentation, then can I get into the sewer issue?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible]

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: That's fine.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible]

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Thank you. I'm glad to be here again. I'm Oralynn Guerrerortiz. I've already been sworn, and with me today is Rob Gibbs, who is with Homewise. First, we'll start off with where is this project? It's just north of 599. You would take the Camino La Tierra exit to get to it and it's adjacent to Aldea. Aldea is on its west side.

The property under – and I'm not sure if this is the very current map, but we're the light pink next to Aldea. It is zoned, or it's proposed to be zoned as a planned development district. The reason I'm not sure if this is accurate anymore is that I think the Las Campanas hot pink was questioned and I'm not sure if that's still there.

College Hills was approved in the late 90s and it was to be done in two phases. The first phase was constructed in 2005, 2006, 2007, in that range, and it included 88 lots. And it was – this is a picture of Phase 2 – and it actually bordered Las Campanas, and the area that was closest to 599, roads were built through it going into the Phase 1 area, but the front half, in essence, of the project, wasn't developed at the time. And so we're here before you on that front half, which is a 78-lot subdivision.

The project had reverted to a bank and Homewise purchased it in 2012. Homewise is, for those who don't know, a full-service non-profit focused on promoting homeownership in our community. They provide training and tools to help people qualify. They build high quality homes and sell them at reasonable prices. Their goal is to get folks into homes to help them create long-term financial security.

This is hard to see, especially with the lights on, but the roads through Phase 1 that lead into Phase 2 have all been constructed. They are asphalt roads with mountable curbs. There are water lines, sewer lines, gas, electric, cable and telephone in those roadways.

This is a blow-up of the development plan we're building. Again, it's 78 lots on about 69 acres. More than 50 percent of the property is open space. There's the intention of building a loop trail system through the project, and that would connect to an underpass under 599. We propose no variances and we expect full build-out within seven years. There are currently four houses under construction. A couple of them will be in the parade of homes this coming weekend and I've got some photographs of them.

The terrain is very hilly. It's not steep terrain. We have – most of the slopes are 15 percent or less but as you get closer to arroyos we get some steeper slopes. But that up and down is the reason that they had to go to low-pressure grinder pumps. I have one on my guest house where my parents live and that's just a system that grinds up the wastewater and lifts it at a pressure at about 60 psi and so it can go up and down hills. And the existing system serves all of Phase 1 and then has lines through the existing roadways going off to a long line that goes through a bunch of open space till it gets to the Santa Fe River and the main interceptor. And that phase is served by the City's wastewater treatment plant.

We've requested -I think we made our request in March to the City to get sewer service for this second phase and that issue is still pending. We -I think, have heard I'm on the next PUC agenda and I'll pray for that. I don't know if it's in fact going to happen, but hopefully it's coming up fairly soon. Certainly before we come back.

There was mention that we might want to use Aldea's lift station instead. And the reason why that's come up is because Aldea's lift station, there's a connection point to it that's less than 200 feet from our boundary and if we did that then the City would only have one connection to a county facility in this area, and that might be advantageous. We're open



to it. If it's something that would work, and we can work out all the details, but we recognize that the City may prefer only to have one county connection rather than two county connections in this area. But those details have not been worked out. We've kind of left it open and we're willing to consider it.

You'll see that the setback is more than 400 feet from the frontage road right-of-way and we're about 550 feet from 599. The traffic analysis indicated that there are no existing or future projected traffic concerns in this area. There are eight-inch County owned water lines in those main roads and we propose the standard water conservation requirements, timed drip irrigation, systems that deliver hot water to the tap within five seconds and other water conservation measures. The proposal has fire hydrants throughout the project with capacities to deliver 1,000 gallons per minute.

There are two protected archeological sites on our project. They're in open space. WE don't like to point them out to people but we hope to keep those protected for all times.

These are highlighting where the affordable lots are proposed through the development and we just tried to scatter them throughout the development. Here's some shots of the actual project. I don't know if you all have visited it, but it's actually very attractive land. We are paving Phase 1 lots. When we acquired this from the bank they had laid the first mat throughout the project. A second mat was necessary and Homewise posted a bond for that work. Currently, as in tomorrow, you'll see more paving activities going on Phase 1 so we can finalize that area. We're also working on the trails in these last couple of weeks and there have been some horses out there. This was a picture taken from the Santa Fe Hometown News. So I got that from their online edition. Just some other photographs of the project. Horses.

This is one of the models that is going to be shown at the parade of homes. Homewise builds beautiful homes. And we're going to get some marketing in here, so what you're seeing are a couple of the homes that are out there today. Another thing about Homewise is they're very good, very conscientious about low water use on the plants, preserving as many of the natural vegetation that's out there that they can, and stabilizing the soil. So you'll see that they're well mulched or they have a gravel mulch on them.

Anyway, I think that is all that I have other than more pretty photos and we do agree to all conditions as presented by staff. I'll stand for any questions.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible]

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Oh, I should answer your questions, huh, too. Okay, which one did you want to see? Oh, the affordable? There we go.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: [inaudible]

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: It will follow the County's provisions, so there are four categories, I believe, and they start at – I think it's \$120,000, and probably the County staff knows better than I do. And I think at the high end it's \$240,000. But I could be wrong. I'm sorry. I don't have those numbers memorized.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: That's okay. Thank you. Then Commissioner Chavez' question on the wastewater system, please.

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Well, he asked about why we're doing low-pressure grinder pumps and what they are. Again, they're basically a pump like you would have in your kitchen sink at home. It grinds up the material that comes through. So instead of using a



typical eight-inch pipe you can use a thinner pipe. And actually, what comes out of these things is an inch and a quarter. The streets themselves are three-inch pipes and those all fit in together and then go off and they can go up and down hills. In general, you can go up and down a hill that's at least 100 feet high before you have to put in another lift station, if you will.

So we had to do that in this terrain or face dealing with putting sewer lines in arroyos, and that's what people did many years ago. We built our sewer lines in arroyos, but we also recognize now that in many cases that was harder to maintain because the arroyos have erosion issues. And so along the Santa Fe River there have been cases where manholes have been in essence gotten very precarious because the Santa Fe River has eroded in locations.

Anyway, we tend to avoid now putting sewers in the lowest locations anymore if we don't have to because of this technology. Where it's used currently, we developed it throughout the High Summit area, which is another County project. We've got probably on the order of 200 homes in Summit that are on low-pressure systems. There's also probably 40 homes or so in the Bishop's Lodge area and we've done several projects around the state that also have them. And I have one personally. We had it on our own house. The reason we put it on our house is because the City sewer has backed up many times in my neighborhood. Right in front of my home an eight-inch sewer goes down to a six-inch sewer. So that was a logical location for a potential backup and my husband, when we built the home for my parents, had no intention of having that house ever get a backup into it and a grinder pump actually prevents ever a backup into your home. So we put it in for completely different reasons than most typically are. But we've had ours in place for 15 years now and never had an issue. They're very dependable, really wonderful pieces of equipment. We usually specify E-1 equipment for that. Did I address your question, Commissioner Chavez?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I think to some extent. The only other comment I would make is that even with this system there's going to be maintenance. I know those grinder pumps are expensive to replace when that time occurs, and so I would imagine that the sewer cooperative or the homeowners association would be responsible for maintaining and replacing those grinder pumps?

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Usually, the individual homeowner is responsible for it. The initial costs are about \$5,500 or so when you install them, and when they fail, it tends to be just part of the pump that fails. They come with like a manhole, if you will, that has a vault associated with it. When they fail, it's not the vault that fails, it's usually the pump that fails and so it's the pump that you're replacing. And we've had projects where they've had to go and do work. It usually costs on the order of \$1,000 or less to replace those pumps.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And there would be – but if it's on the individual homeowners, is that a shared cost?

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: No, each individual homeowner has their own grinder pump. And the reason we do that is there are things that you shouldn't put down the grinder pumps like rags or diapers or hypodermic needles, the things that come up, frankly, in our community, and so because those things can stop – it usually jams – just like it would jam your disposal system in your sink. Those jams can happen in these systems. That's the most common problem actually. So we usually recommend that they're on individual systems so people aren't pointing fingers at each other as to who put what down what down what sink



or toilet.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: That explains it a little bit more. Thank you. MS. GUERRERORTIZ: You're welcome.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Holian.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Oralynn, for the presentation. I noted that this subdivision was originally approved back in 2001, I think, as a master plan, and I was wondering whether Homewise has done a market analysis to see whether there is a market for these homes now. Because there aren't very many that have been built over the years.

> MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Hopefully I can get Rob to answer that question. [Duly sworn, Rob Gibbs testified as follows:]

ROB GIBBS: Homewise has done their own internal marketing studies for the Aldea-Tessera communities, and so we do feel that there is a market. Now, the original development that was done had higher price points that they were trying to achieve and our price points are much lower. So we have produce from the mid-\$200,000s to mid-\$400,000s is the price point on that. Those are all market rate homes. Then of course we'll have the homes that we have assistance available for, for down payment assistance in Phase 1 and in Phase 2.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioners, any other questions of the applicant? Is there anybody from the public wishing to comment? Please come on up.

[Duly sworn, Tom Terwilliger testified as follows:]

TOM TERWILLIGER: My name's Tom Terwilliger. I was the leader of the development of the Tres Arroyos del Poniente Community Plan that was developed over the late 1990s and early 2000s. The TAP plan was approved I think in 2006. Of course as the master plan for this project was approved before the TAP plan was approved and made part of the County code, technically the TAP plan does not apply to this development. I'm very thankful to Homewise for their support in our community and happy about that, and I'd like to just encourage Homewise to voluntarily look through our TAP plan carefully and comply as much as is practical with that plan, in particular considering that the development has been – the master plan has been extended for quite some time after the time it normally would have been expired. Thank you very much.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Could we just have your address please?

MR. TERWILLIGER: I'm sorry. 68 Camino Espejo, in the Al Lado de Puesto del Sol neighborhood, a little over a mile from the development.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, sir.

[Duly sworn, Toby Gass testified as follows:]

TOBY GASS: Toby Gass.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: And your address, Ms. Gass?

MS. GASS: 68 Camino Espejo. The subdivision in question of course has had a long history and the master plan was approved in 2001, I believe. I don't believe Homewise has amended or changed the master plan. The original high density master plan – there was a density bonus given to Mr. Hurlocker on the basis of 60 percent open space for Tessera as indicated on page 3 of your packet, and for Phase 2 Homewise is saying they will have 50 percent open space. So I'm wondering whether or not Phase 1 and Phase 2 combined meet the 60 percent open space requirement that was part of the density bonus that was granted for this subdivision. I assume the remaining open space cannot be in Phase 3 because Phase 3 is going to be in the city limits and this was a County density bonus. So that's a question. And I would also just like to request that the trail system in Tessera be contiguous with the trail system in Aldea. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Is there anybody else from the public wishing to comment? Seeing none, this portion of our public hearing is closed, unless the Commission has a question of anybody.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Mr. Chair, if I could I'd like to have staff or the applicant respond to the questions that were raised by the last speaker.

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: Yes, certainly. It is a complicated situation, Commissioner Chavez. Under the EZ Code you could get a density bonus if you did 60 percent open space. This property was partially within what was called the urban zone. The urban zone was allowed two units per acre. Everything outside of the urban zone was 2.5 acres per unit. So when you actually looked at – they figured out two units per acre for the portion that was in the urban zone and then they figured out how much was allowed in the other area that only allowed one per 2.5, and then there was on the area outside of the urban zone is where we had to get 60 percent open space.

In the end they came up with a number of the total acreage required and that master plan that was adopted reflected I believe on this phase 35 acres had to be left as open space. So instead of putting it all on the side where Las Campanas is, so that that would have 60 percent and there would be less open space up front closer to 599, they decided it was wiser to spread it more equally through the project. The open space requirements were met fully but it is not a straightforward 60 percent across the whole site because part of the project is in the urban zone.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: So if you assigned a percentage to the open space overall in all three phases, what do you think that would be?

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: It is a little over 50 percent.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. That's the average?

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: That's the average. Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And then on the question of the trails, I think it was a question of connectivity between trail systems or the network?

MS. GUERRERORTIZ: The intention – we do have one roadway that does connect to Aldea and the intention is to go ahead and run a trail to connect with them along that roadway. So, yes. And the people who were involved with the designs of the Aldea trail system now work for Homewise and so they're involved on the design of the trails within this project.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Any other questions? If none – and we've already closed the public hearing. Commissioner Chavez, I think this is your district.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I think all the questions have been answered. The questions that I asked were answered. And so at this time I would

like to make a motion to approve with conditions, CDRC Case #S 10-5551, Tessera Subdivision, Phase 2, preliminary plat and development plan.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I'll second, Commissioner Chavez. Commissioners, is there any other discussion? Seeing none.

The motion carried by unanimous [5-0] voice vote. [Commissioner Anaya was not present for the vote and requested that his vote be recorded in the affirmative. See page 107.]

VIII. B. 8. <u>BCC Case # MIS 14-5231 High Summit III</u>. (TABLED)

CDRC Case # Z/V 13-5131 Ranch At Santa Fe Canyon. Ranch at VIII. B. 9. Santa Fe Canyon, LLC (Formerly Known As Santa Fe Canyon Ranch LLC), Applicant, Requests a Master Plan Amendment to the Previously Approved Master Plan (Santa Fe Canyon Ranch) to Remove Six Tracts of Land (Containing 845 Acres) from the Approved Master Plan Which Consisted of a Total of 1,316 Acres. The Request also Includes a Variance of Article VII, Section 6.6.2g (Water Budgets and Conservation Covenants) and Ordinance No. 2007-1 (Swimming Pool Ordinance) to Allow the Installation of a Swimming Pool on the 845 Acres Utilizing Permitted Water Rights and to Amend the Water Restrictive Covenants to Reflect the Allowance of a Swimming Pool and to Specify that Water **Restrictions for Landscaping and Irrigation Restrictions Shall** Apply to 72-12-1 Wells Only. The Property is Located off Entrada La Cienega Along Interstate 25 in the La Cienega/La Cieneguilla Traditional Historic Community within Sections 1, 2, 10, 12, 13, Township 15 North, Range 7 East and Sections 5, 6, 7, 8, Township 15 North, Range 8 East, Commission District 3 [Exhibit 19: Declaration of Covenants]

MR. ARCHULETA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. On July 17, 2014, the County Development Review Committee met and acted on this case. The decision of the CDRC was to recommend approval of the master plan amendment to the previously approved Santa Fe Canyon Ranch Master Plan to remove six tracts of land from the approved Master Plan which consisted of 1,316 acres. The CDRC also recommended approval of the variance of Article VII, Section 6.6.2g and Ordinance No. 2007-1 to allow the installation of only one swimming pool on the 845 acres utilizing permitted water rights.

As for the request to amend the water restrictive covenants to reflect the allowance of a swimming pool and to specify that water restrictions for landscaping and irrigation restrictions shall apply to 72-12-1 wells only, the CDRC recommended approval of all the applicant's requested changes with the exception of letter J.

Letter J, as proposed by the applicant, states: "To the extent water is being used from a NMSA Section 72-12-1 well, low water use landscaping techniques applying the principles

of xeriscaping shall be utilized. Drip irrigation and mulching are encouraged whenever possible. Low water use grasses, trees and shrubs may be watered as needed during the first and second years of their growth to become established. Thereafter, such vegetation shall receive only minimal water as needed by each species."

On September 30, 2008, the Board of County Commissioners granted Master Plan approval for a residential subdivision consisting of 162 lots, 174 residential units on 1,316 acres to be developed in three phases. At the time of approval the applicant proposed to construct a new onsite community water system. On September 10, 2013, the Board of County Commissioners approved a two-year time extension of the previously approved Master Plan for the Santa Fe Canyon Ranch Residential Subdivision consisting of 162 lots, 174 residential units, on 1,316 acres to be developed in three phases

Since the time of approval of the original Master Plan, Santa Fe County purchased approximately 470.55 acres of the 1,316 acres. The property is made up of three tracts which consist of Tract G, 188.70 acres, Tract H,141.47 acres, and Tract I, 140.38 acres, now known as La Bajada Ranch.

The applicant is now requesting a Master Plan Amendment to the previously approved Master Plan to remove six tracts of land from the approved Master Plan which consisted of a total of 1,316 acres. The remainder of the Master Planned area currently owned by Santa Fe County would remain intact.

Article V, Section 5.2.1.b states: "A Master Plan is comprehensive in establishing the scope of a project, yet is less detailed than a Development Plan. It provides a means for the County Development Review Committee and the Board to review projects and the subdivider to obtain concept approval for proposed development without the necessity of expending large sums of money for the submittals required for a Preliminary and Final Plat approval."

The Applicant is also requesting a variance of Article VII, Section 6.6.2g and Ordinance No. 2007-1 to allow the installation of one swimming pool on the 845 acres utilizing permitted water rights and to amend the water restrictive covenants to reflect the allowance of a swimming pool and to specify that water restrictions for landscaping and irrigation restrictions shall apply to 72-12-1 wells only.

Article VII, Section 6.6.2g states: "Swimming pools, of a permanent or temporary nature are not permitted, except as commercially operated or publicly open community facilities." Ordinance No. 2007-1 outlines the standard and guidelines for swimming pools and goes on to state: "This ordinance shall only apply to lots of record created prior to the enactment of the Santa Fe County Land Development Code, Ordinance No. 1996-10."

Tracts 4A through 4F were created by 140-Acre Exemption and recorded on February 6, 2006.

Tract 4A through Tract 4D lie within the Homestead Hydrologic Zone which allows one dwelling unit per 160 acres or 40 acres per dwelling unit with .25 acre-feet per year water restrictions. Tracts 4A through 4D are 140 acres in size and are currently allowed 3 dwelling units per tract with .25 acre-feet per year per dwelling unit water restriction

Tract 4E partially lies within the Basin Fringe Hydrologic Zone where the minimum lot size is one dwelling per 50 acres or one dwelling per 12.5 acres with .25 acre-feet per year water restrictions. The remainder of Tract 4E lies within the Homestead Hydrologic Zone.



Tract 4F, 214 acres, lies within the Basin Fringe Hydrologic Zone. There are no water restrictive covenants imposed on this lot.

Approval sought: Master Plan Amendment to the previously approved Master Plan to remove six tracts of land containing 845 acres from the approved Master Plan which consisted of a total of 1,316 acres. The request also includes a variance of Article VII, Section 6.6.2g and Ordinance No. 2007-1 to allow the installation of one swimming pool on the 845 acres utilizing permitted water rights and to amend the water restrictive covenants to reflect the allowance of a swimming pool and to specify that water restrictions for landscaping and irrigation restrictions shall apply to 72-12-1 wells only.

Staff recommendation: The application for the Master Plan Amendment is in conformance with Article V, Section 5.2.1b of the Land Development Code. The remainder of the Master Plan can function as its own development; the remainder is a viable phase and the remainder meets the uses and density requirements of the Code. The CDRC recommended approval of the Master Plan Amendment to remove the six tracts of land from the Master Plan. The motion passed by unanimous vote (5-0).

The CDRC recommended denial of the covenant revision to J and thus requiring the applicant to abide by landscaping irrigation and xeriscaping principles however water is supplied. The motion passed by majority vote, 4-1.

The water rights have been transferred to the entire property and can be used for domestic purposes. The CDRC recommended that the water restrictions on the property be revised to reflect the full amount of the permitted water rights and the changes as proposed by the applicant with the exception of Letter J which states: "Low water use landscaping techniques applying the principles of xeriscaping shall be utilized. Drip irrigation and mulching are encouraged whenever possible. Low water use grasses, trees and shrubs may be watered as needed during the first and second years of their growth to become established. Thereafter, such vegetation shall receive only minimal water as needed by each species."

The CDRC also recommended approval of the variance for the installation of one and only one swimming pool on 845 acres/six parcels restricting the applicant to using water rights for the pool. The motion passed by a majority vote, 4-1, subject to the following condition:

1. The Applicant must use permitted water rights for the pool and cannot exceed permitted water rights for all development on the property.

Mr. Chair, Vicki just passed out a Declaration of Covenants for the Santa Fe Canyon Ranch with staff's recommendations for the changes and I'll read those changes. Under Article II, Water Restrictive Covenants, under A, Domestic water use is restricted to a quarter acre-foot per year, 81,460 gallons per year per dwelling for said Tract 4A, Tract 4B, Tract 4C, and Tract 4D as required by the Santa Fe County Land Development Code. And then we added: This restriction shall not apply to the use of any permitted water rights being utilized on these tracts. Future development of these tracts is subject to compliance with all Santa Fe County ordinances. Letter G, staff removed "no more than one" and added – it reads: Automatic dishwashers shall use no more than 13 gallons per cycle and shall have a cycle adjustment which allows reduced amounts of water to be used for reduced loads. And also in H, we removed: No more than one automatic clothes – and then added washing machines

shall use no more than 43 gallons per cycle and shall have a cycle of water level adjustment that permits reduced amounts of water to be used for reduced loads.

The reason we removed the "no more than one", that was not in compliance with our code. It was added later on in the code. The way the water restrictions read in the code is Automatic dishwashers shall be - shall use no more than 13 gallons per cycle. So we made that change.

And then in Letter L, swimming pools of a permanent or temporary nature are not permitted. Provided that one swimming pool is permitted on one lot if permitted water rights are utilized for the swimming pool. We added that "provided that one swimming pool is permitted." Temporary wading pools of diameter not to exceed eight feet and a depth not to exceed one foot and covered spas are acceptable at each dwelling units. All existing swimming pools, hot tubs and spas must be covered to prevent evaporation when not in use. Swimming pools may only be emptied once a year.

And Letter N, the applicants decided to remove from that an NMSA Section 72-12-1 well so that is the same as our Letter N in our water covenants. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Archuleta. Commissioners, any

questions? Seeing none, the applicant is here with us tonight? Welcome.

[Duly sworn, Rick Borrego testified as follows:]

RICK BORREGO: My name's Rick Borrego. I'm the manager of the Ranch at Santa Fe, LLC that currently owns the property.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. You have anything on your application?

MR. BORREGO: Well, just to – Mr. Chair and Commissioners, just to bring you up to speed, we have held this property for almost ten years now. As you know, part of the property was sold to the County and we have an offer to purchase the remainder of the property from a gentleman that lives out in California. We've decided that given the long history of this property it would be better to go ahead and sell it to this gentleman who intends on putting up his own estate, a home, and an equestrian facility. We decided at this – it's better to just sell it and move down the road and do something else because we've owned this property for a long time already.

And the requested changes have been requested by the purchaser and they're a condition of the purchase contract between ourselves and the prospective purchaser. With that I'll answer any questions the Commission may have.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Borrego. Commissioner Chavez.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I have a question, Mr. Chair, and I don't know that it's directed to the applicant but I'll ask it and I think maybe the question can be answered either by the applicant or by staff. And it goes to the water use and the water rights. I know the applicant has agreed to the condition, I think you've agreed to the condition that says that the applicant must use permitted water rights for the pool and cannot exceed permitted water rights for all development on the property. It was stated earlier that there was a one well that's a 1978 well. Is that the only well that's servicing this property?

MR. BORREGO: There's several wells on this property. Most of them are exploratory wells. There is one well right now on the property where the water rights are part of that well. There's over 14, almost 14.5 acres of consumptive water rights, like 1876 era water rights that are associated with that well, and that's one of the reasons the purchaser is

asking for these amendments because his reasoning is that with his permitted water rights he should be able to not be subject to the same rules that would apply if it was a 72-12 well that everybody gets to drill on their lots with just the application to the State Engineer.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: And I guess I was going in a little bit different direction because I know in some cases when we're asked to approve a variance, in this case the variance is for a use that's not permitted which is a swimming pool. We also impose a condition that would meter that well. Did staff consider in this situation that a meter be placed on that well to know exactly what the consumption is on a yearly basis? And it was also stated that the swimming pool was only to be emptied once a year. How do we know if that's happening or not? And I would assume that one way we would be able to know is if we have those wells metered. So I just want to pose that as a question to staff, if that was a consideration in this case or not.

MR. BORREGO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, I'm not sure if staff has imposed that as a condition but I do know with dealing with the State Engineer and our water permit that's existing for these water rights that a meter is required by the State Engineer and usage reports are required to be turned in to the State Engineer.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Well, I'm glad that you bring that up and actually, when we do approve variances and ask that the well be metered we're asking – that language is part of the condition of approval that the well be meters and that those findings be recorded with the County.

> MR. BORREGO: We wouldn't have any objection to that condition. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. Let's see what response the staff would

have.

MS. LUCERO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, the water restrictive covenants, the draft that was handed out to you, there is actually, I think it's Letter M, there's a requirement that the well shall be metered. So it is already in our restrictive covenants.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I apologize for that. Let me read it. M, All wells on the property shall be metered with a Santa Fe County approved totalizing meter. Meter readings shall be documented by the property owner annually within two weeks of January 1st. Meter readings shall be submitted to Santa Fe County by April 30th of each year. Proof of meter installation as well as a meter reading must be submitted with a Santa Fe County development permit application. Failure to meter and measure water use may be grounds for fines and denial of future land use development permits. So the applicant then agrees to metering and will comply with all of those requirements?

MR. BORREGO: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Chavez, yes, sir. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIR MAYFIELD: I have a question of staff, please and it kind of goes

back to the case that we voted on a little earlier tonight. So, Mr. Shaffer, if you could just help me with this. If individuals have water rights, and I understand that we have our restrictions on these water rights. And I'm looking at the Aamodt case too. How – if we limit their ability to use this water for I guess for good reasons. We're in an arid, dry climate. How can they ever prove beneficial use to these water rights when the time comes or push comes to shove when they have to prove they've been using these water rights beneficially.

We've had so many applicants in front of us tonight talking about takings, how are we not doing a taking when we don't allow an individual to use their water rights? Knowing that state law says beneficial use.

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, I think the County would be acting pursuant to its general police authority as well as specific statutory authorization to impose limitations on non-agricultural use of permitted water rights.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay, so I am going back to the earlier case tonight, and I did vote against that case, but it is saying that the well with the permit #RG 4122 however had also been authorized for use as a supplemental point of diversion for water rights originally perfected by irrigation. So they were saying that that water was being used initially for irrigation. It wasn't a 72-12-1 well that was just for domestic use, was it?

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, I want to make sure I understand the question. Is the question whether or not -

CHAIR MAYFIELD: How I understand it, it's been stated to me by your predecessor is that usually a domestic well, a 72-12-1 well, is kind of a license to use that water. But if individuals are the owners of these water rights, and we're limiting the use – again, maybe for very just reasons, but we're limiting the ability for them to use it for agricultural purposes, to establish trees, or a swimming pool. How – and they're required to at some point to prove beneficial use. How are we not doing a taking of that water from them if we say no, you can't use it?

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, we're not – first, those limitations don't apply to agricultural use, just as a point of clarification. And secondly, in essence it would be in my mind no different than any other property right or property regulation. It's regulating water as opposed to other property that someone might own. I don't know that the analysis would be any different.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have for now. Mr. Archuleta. Commissioners, are there any other questions right now?

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Holian.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have a question for staff. Maybe this is for Penny or Vicki. If tracts 4A through 4F were removed from the master plan what would their zoning be on the new proposed zoning map for the County?

MS. ELLIS-GREEN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Holian, at the moment that whole area is shown as a planned development district because it's all one master plan. If the Board recommends that or approves the removal of this land from the master plan, we will need to go back and look at our criteria and establish what the zoning would be on the remainder of the portion.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you. So you don't know for sure what it would be at this point, or what would be proposed?

MS. ELLIS-GREEN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Holian, certainly the land to the furthest we've spoken – or I've spoken with Planning and that would be an ag-ranch area. As to what the other area is and where the boundary would be, I'd want to go back and look through our criteria.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you.





MR. ARCHULETA: Mr. Chair, I just wanted to state that they have community support. We have two letters in the packet from – one from the La Cienega Valley Association and one from the El Guicu Irrigation Association offering their support. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: This is a public hearing. Anybody from the public wishing to comment, please come forward. Mr. Dickens, Mr. Gonzales.

[Duly sworn, J.J. Gonzales testified as follows:]

J.J. GONZALES: My name is J. J. Gonzales. I'm a resident or I live at 54 Entrada La Cienega. Thank you for this opportunity to address the Commission. Yes, we support this application. I just want to say a couple of things. I'm a member of the La Cienega Valley Association. We discussed this case at length at one of our meetings. I'm also a member of the El Guicu Ditch Association and we wrote a letter of support of this application.

We support the separating of the six tracts from the original master plan, the 1,319 acres. That's one of the things they're requesting. We support the amending of the water restrictive covenants. We felt that they have permitted water rights from the State Engineer's Office and although they're using that water for a swimming pool that is a small concession I think that we can support, being that they're doing a lot of other things to that ranch. We support the variance of the water restrictive covenants and also for the swimming pool.

The original master plan on the 845 acres that they are separating out call for 18 homes that they could built on those 800 acres. There's six lots and they could build as many as18 homes. We feel that they said that instead of building 18 homes that they were going to build one home and a swimming pool and equestrian facility and reduce the number of homes on the 845 acres to -I think it was 12 homes. So there's a down-zoning on how many homes they were allowed to build.

Like I said before, allowing a swimming pool, considering the scope of the project that they're doing is kind of a small concession to allow, although we do not support swimming pools; we use water for a different purpose but some people do like swimming pools and depending on what part of the country you're at some people have a swimming pool in their backyards and that's something we do not have here.

The other thing is we understand the 845 acres will be owned by one person or one family and they're going to keep that land in what is considered historical ranching and farming. So they're using it not as a means of starting a new development but they're just going to have historical uses for that land.

The other thing is they're keeping the water rights on that property. I understand they're purchasing the water rights that would serve the entire 1,300 acres. Apparently that's what they're going and they're going to use the water rights for historical uses and they're going to keep the water rights on that property. That was a big question we had, that those water rights could be sold to somebody or used elsewhere for increased development. So for those reasons we are supporting this application. I thank you very much.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Gonzales.

MR. GONZALES: If you have any questions I'm happy to answer them. CHAIR MAYFIELD: Mr. Dickens.

[Duly sworn, Carl Dickens testified as follows:]



CARL DICKENS: Hello. I'm Carl Dickens, president of the La Cienega Valley Association. I'm not sure I could say it any better than J. J. did. We have been responding to development requests for nine years regarding this property. To see this large segment of the ranch, 845 acres, become a single-family resident horse property is a dream come true to us. In my mind it is a true community success and so I'm going to be completely and totally in support. And we absolutely support their request for variances. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Thank you. Is there anybody else from the public wishing to comment on this case?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: [inaudible]

CHAIR MAYFIELD: At this point we're going to close the public hearing.

Thank you. Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: I'd move for approval, Mr. Chair.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I'll second.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioners, is there any further discussion? Seeing none, we have a motion and a second.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Mr. Chair. CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioner Holian. COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Was your motion with staff conditions? COMMISSIONER ANAYA: With staff conditions, yes. CHAIR MAYFIELD: With staff conditions it was approved 5-0. COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Mr. Chair. MR. ARCHULETA: Mr. Chair. CHAIR MAYFIELD: Let me go to Commissioner Anaya and then I'll go to

you.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Karen, on the last item, also reflect I voted in the affirmative on the last item as well. Thank you.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Mr. Archuleta.

MR. ARCHULETA: Mr. Chair, does that include the approval of Letter J on the water restrictions?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Yes. Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Anaya, would it not include the Declaration of Covenants and water restrictions? The complete document?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Yes, I would think all the conditions, right? That's what you're recommending?

MR. ARCHULETA: Mr. Chair, we were recommending all except Letter J. COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Okay. And Letter J, refresh my memory.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Commissioners, let's do this. I know we voted and with staff conditions. So, Mr. Archuleta, help – just go back to Letter J, because we kind of have to take a separate vote. That's how CDRC did it.



Santa Fe County Board of County Commissioners Regular Meeting of August 12, 2014 Page 108

MR. ARCHULETA: Okay. The CDRC recommended denial of the covenant revision to J, and thus requiring the applicant to abide by landscape irrigation.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: I think the CDRC approved it. It was a 4-1.

MR. ARCHULETA: This one was, yes, for denial. They had requested denial tter J.

on Letter J.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: That was another amendment added. Or another restriction added.

MR. ARCHULETA: That is correct.

CHAIR MAYFIELD: The CDRC did not approve that. So we did not approve that restriction.

MR. ARCHULETA: Okay. Okay. I understand.

MS. ELLIS-GREEN: Mr. Chair, just for clarification. The water covenants that Vicente handed out did not indicate a change in J and the applicant has stated that they are in agreement with that.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So our vote as it stands does not concur fully with the CDRC recommendation. Is that what I'm hearing?

MS. ELLIS-GREEN: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Anaya, originally, the applicant had requested a J. The CDRC did not recommend that and that is reflected in the water covenants that are handed out. The applicant just stated that they are in agreement without making that change to J. So just as the water covenants were handed out as Vicente read through earlier.

> COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So did we do it right, Ms. Ellis-Green? CHAIR MAYFIELD: Mr. Shaffer.

MR. SHAFFER: Mr. Chair, if you want to clarify things, what I understood the motion to be was that you were making a motion to approve the request for the property to be removed from the master plan and that motion included approval of the variance as well as the restrictive covenants that had been handed out by staff at the beginning of the hearing. That's what I understood the motion to be. If you want to adopt that as your motion and then have a second and revote then I think the record will be clearer.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: That's what I want. Are you okay with that, Commissioner Stefanics?

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Okay, so we are just going to reaffirm a vote on that.

The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

IX. CONCLUDING BUSINESS

A. Announcements

CHAIR MAYFIELD: Do we have any announcements from anybody?

Santa Fe County Board of County Commissioners Regular Meeting of August 12, 2014 Page 109

IX. B. Adjournment

Having completed the agenda and with no further business to come before this body, Chair Mayfield declared this meeting adjourned at 9:15 p.m.



Approved by:

Board of County Commi iers Daniel W. Mayfield, Chair

ATTEST TO:

falazar

GERALDINE SALAZAR SANTA FE COUNTY CLERK

Respectfully submitted: Karen Farrell, Wordswork 453 Cerrillos Road Santa Fe, NM 87501



Daniel "Danny" Mayfield Commissioner, District 1

Miguel M. Chavez Commissioner, District 2

Robert A. Anaya Commissioner, District 3



Liz Stefanics Commissioner, District 5

> Katherine Miller County Manager

Aug 12, 2014

Santa Fe County Geographic Information Systems Division (GIS) has reviewed the maps provided by the public at the June 11th hearing. GIS has determined that these maps show a viewshed from both Camino Real and I-25 and that no determination can be made on the accuracy of these maps due to the following reasons: unknown source of data and unknown GIS system used; in addition to this lack of data, there was no information provided on the process of analysis.




	EXHIBIT
tabbles'	2
5	



JAMES W. SIEBERT AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

915 MERCER STREET * SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87505 (505) 983-5588 * FAX (505) 989-7313 jim@jwsiebert.com

MEMORANDUM

Date: August 8, 2014

To: Jose Larranaga

From: James Siebert

Re: Rockology request to approval of Construction Materials Extraction

This memorandum is in response to comments from Karen Torres on water for reseeding and Nicholas Schiavo's comments on the use of City effluent.

Response to Karen Torres Review

Ms. Torres assumes that the processing of excavated basalt material will take place 50 weeks out the year for 8 hours a day. The report and presentation to the CDRC and BCC made it clear that processing of materials takes place on a limited basis throughout the year since it does not take that many hours of operation to generate the 250,000 tons of processed material that is estimated on an annual basis. Steve Hooper has prepared the mathematical methodology for the processing operation which assumes 60 days of operation on an annual basis.

The reclamation for reseeding part of the estimate of water use prepared by Ms. Torres assumes that the area will be reseeded with Buffalo Grass. Page 23 of the report describes the types of grasses that will be used for reseeding. Buffalo Grass is not included in the seed mix. The seed mix that is proposed is designed to be sowed during the rainy summer months where supplemental water is limited to times that the monsoonal rains do not occur. This has been an acceptable practice to the County since the reseeding requirement was adopted as an ordinance.

Ms. Torres states that irrigation of non-food crops with effluent can be done with flood application only and spray irrigation is prohibited by NMED.

THE PERSON BUILD BUILD

Rockology resTorresSchiavo



.

Jose Larranaga Rockology application August 8, 2014 Page Two of Two

Attached is a request for determination by NMED if a ground water discharge permit is required for materials processing and revegetation purposes.

Use of City Effluent

Mr. Schiavo states in his letter that a release of liability letter from the user is required. The applicant has no objections to providing the release of liability letter. I have requested the form that the City uses for the release of liability letter (see e-mail attached). The use of the effluent is intermittent since it is used for approximately 60 days out the year. The applicant understands that the permit would have to be renewed on an annual basis.





•

Aggregate		Production	Production						
Moisture	Production	Rate	Hours		Wate	r Required fo	r Plant Dust	Control	
Content	(tons/yr)	(tons/hr)		(tons/hr)	(lb/hr)	(gal/hr)	(gal/min)	(gal/yr)	(ac-ft/yr)
0.75%	250,000	500	500	3.75	7500	900	15	450,180.07	1.39

Water Required for Dust Control around Plant

(Haul road and plant area will be treated with a dust palliative))

(gal/sy)	(SY)	(gal/yr)	(ac-ft/yr)
0.4	2,500	260,000	0.80

TIRZ/RI/ER GEGENDED BB/18/2817



New Mexico Environment Department Ground Water Quality Bureau

1. Name and mailing address of person proposing to discharge:

Rockology	Limited LL	<u>ckk</u>	Siphe	(teA	SOC
				4	

Steven Hooper

Cell/Hame Phone: <u>(505) 321-2071</u> Fax: (505) 989 - 7313

Work Phone: (505) 344-6626

915 Mercer St. SF. NH. 87505

2. Name of facility:

Managing Member

Email:<u>steve@buildology.net</u> Jim@ Juosiebert.com

N/A- dust control and revegetation of and sand and gravel extraction operation

 Physical location of discharge (if applicable, give street address, township, range, section, distance from closest town or landmark, directions to facility, location map):

Waldo Canyon Rd. (County Rd. 57) east side of I-25 within section 21, T15N, R7F

See vicinity map attached

4. Type of operation generating the discharge (e.g., truck wash, food processing plant, restaurant, etc.):

Mining for construction material

 Source(s) of the discharge. Describe how the wastewater, sludge, or other discharges processed and/or disposed at your facility are generated. Identify all sources. Attach additional pages if needed:

Reclaimed water is purchased from the City of Santa Fe, which is class 1-B treated

effluent.

 Expected contaminants in the discharge (e.g., nitrats-nitrogen, metals, organic compounds, saits, etc.) include estimated concentration if known, and copies of results of laboratory analyses, if evailable:

City effluent satisfies discharge requirements of a class 1-B operator

 Describe all components of wastwater processing, treatment, storage, and disposal system (e.g., grease interceptor, legoon, septic tank/leachfield, etc.) Include sizes, site layout map, plane and specifications, etc. If available:

Effluent water source if the City of Santa Ee. Effluent is stored in a 10,000 gallon tank at the site and used on demand. Spray for dust control during processing is estimated

at 900 gals/day and ground dust control at 4 gals/yard, and reveg at .3 gals/sq.ft

8. Estimated maximum daily discharge volume in gallons per day (or other units):

Maximum daily discharge - 1,000 gals/day

9. E	stimatic depth to ground water	/(ft):	S50 feet	L		/
Signa	ture: Home W. S.	لار	rent	Date: 8/10	14	
Printe	ad names with	Ś	Sieber += Assoc.	THE Preside	nt	
	e return this form to:		•			


<u>Please return this form to:</u> NMED Ground Water Quality Bureau P.O. Box 5489 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-5469

Telephone: 505-827-2900 Fax: 505-827-2965

December 4, 2008 Page 1 of 1 Notice of Intent

Ground Water Quality Bureau - Pollution Prevention Section Notice of Intent





\\SEEBSERVER\Public\Users Shared Folders\2003\20311\2013\11-13\311-MR\-COVER-11.dwg, 11/7/2013 10:01:14 AM

CLERK RECORDED &S/18/2814

Victoria Dalton

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:

4

Victoria Dalton <victoria@jwsiebert.com> Thursday, August 07, 2014 11:13 AM SCHIAVO, NICK A. 'Jose Larranaga' Rockology

Dear Nick,

We are in receipt of your letter addressed to Steve Hooper regarding use of treated effluent. Under Article 22-13.9 of the City Code it states that the release of liability should be provided within the Effluent Use Agreement. Since it is not within the agreement to you have a release of liability form that you can send over to us

Thanks, **Victoria Dalton**

James W. Siebert L Associates, Inc. 915 Mercer Street Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 (505) 983-5588 (505) 989-7313 Fax

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jerry Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
Categories:	Red Category

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to As a resident of santa recounty and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sience Crack, relieve, and resident of Santa recounty and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sience Crack, relieve, and resident of Santa recounty and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sience Crack, relieve, and recounty and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sience Crack, relieve, and recounty of Sonta recounty and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sience Crack, relieve, and recounty of Sonta recounts of Sonta recoun

impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Brown 825 Calle Mejia Apt 1132 Santa Fe, NM 87501-1486 (505) 954-1421

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robin Gray <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Gray 1042 Osage Cir Santa Fe, NM 87505-3325

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of James Godman <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 12:47 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

 RockologyInc. for this mine permit.
 Image: Constituents is project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Please vote NO.
 Image: Constituent is control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Mease vote NO.
 Image: Constituent is control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Please vote NO.
 Image: Control alone should is control alone should its c

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Charlene Reader <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:47 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlene Reader 1300 Canyon Rd Santa Fe, NM 87501-6162 (505) 690-5199

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gina Horrocks <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:49 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.



Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO for the sake of this generation and future generations. The time to act for change is now. Please vote no

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Gina Horrocks 1404 Cerro Gordo Rd Santa Fe, NM 87501-6111 (505) 988-1634

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Deborah Madison <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:49 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Galisteo and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. It's simply not needed.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Madison 7 Marcellina Ln Galisteo, NM 87540-9772 (505) 466-0850

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Martinez <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:49 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuus, 1900, 1907, 190

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Elizabeth Martinez 1399 Hyde Park Rd Santa Fe, NM 87501-8943

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Kathryn H Brooks <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:49 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathryn H Brooks 1400 Paseo Norteno Santa Fe, NM 87507-5182 (505) 473-7594



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Theresa Bohn <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, Estimate y and a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Theresa Bohn 43 Avenida Vieja Lamy, NM 87540-9782 (518) 929-3611

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Joe Sneed <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:51 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Joe Sneed 690 Gonzales Rd Apt 19 Santa Fe, NM 87501-6152

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Swaran Kaur Khalsa <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:51 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Swaran Kaur Khalsa 11 Walnut Cir Espanola, NM 87532-8081 (505) 753-8077

3

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Helen Henry <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:52 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

4

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Henry 1b Chevy Ln Galisteo, NM 87540-9511 (505) 204-6182

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Kenneth Mayers <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 12:54 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Kenneth Mayers 74 Monte Alto Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8224 (505) 466-6954

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Vijali Hamilton <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:55 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Vijali Hamilton 662 Alta Vista St Apt D18 Santa Fe, NM 87505-4168



Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dianne Duenzl <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 12:55 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Dianne Duenzl 62 Avenida De Compadres Santa Fe, NM 87508-8705

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Charles Fox <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:55 PM
To:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Mining is one of the most destructive activities ever undertaken by humans. As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

8

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Fox 119 W Berger St Apt 3 Santa Fe, NM 87505-2702 (415) 831-9861

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of John Turnbull <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:56 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Strong y Sierra consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. John Turnbull 12 Old Canoncito Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-9578 (505) 466-9329

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Kay Lockridge <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:56 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and like my cousin, land-activist Ross Lockridge, I strongly urge you to consider the long-lasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to your positive response and NO vote on this mine permit.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kay Lockridge 2742 La Silla Dorada Santa Fe, NM 87505-6703

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of John Singleton <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:57 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Science years, consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. John Singleton 4 Calle Aguila Santa Fe, NM 87508-5996

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Kamerin Mcmillion <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:57 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kamerin Mcmillion 3 Red Thorn Pl Santa Fe, NM 87508-1347

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Frank Ettenberg <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:58 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywida impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank Ettenberg 3 Lucerito Ct Santa Fe, NM 87507-3702

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Wingate Paine <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:59 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

1/

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Wingate Paine PO Box 4782 Santa Fe, NM 87502-4782

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Harinamsimran Khalsa <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Harinamsimran Khalsa PO Box 1284 Santa Cruz, NM 87567-1284 Ms. Harinamsimran Khalsa PO Box 1284 Santa Cruz, NM 87567-1284

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Kevin Murphy <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

16

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Murphy PO Box 1785 Santa Fe, NM 87504-1785

_			
Е.	-	-	
Г	υ	[]]	

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Richard King <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 1:00 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County, I implore you to consider the long-lasting negative consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa as envisioned by the application of RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control should alone automatically disqualify this project. Yet further, hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified on the negative countywide impacts this project would create.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard King 29 Rancho Alegre Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-1507

From:	÷	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Tina Davila <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:		Monday, August 11, 2014 1:00 PM
То:		Jose Larranaga
Subject:		Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Tina Davila 933 Nicole Pl Santa Fe, NM 87505-0705 (505) 986-9856

-	
Erc	m:
110	/111.

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Rita Cash <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 1:01 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Rita Cash 22a Arroyo Coyote Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-7026

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Krystyn Bleda <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:01 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of the town of Cochiti Lake, neigiboring La Bjada, and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

NOT IN MY BACKYARD! Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Krystyn Bleda 6415 Vooscane Ave Cochiti Lake, NM 87083-6001

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Agie Sznajdrowicz <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:03 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, i Strongy and a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Agie Sznajdrowicz 632 Gomez Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-8819

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Bronwen Denton-Davis <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:04 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please be part of stopping the pillaging and polluting of our planet!

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Bronwen Denton-Davis 707 E Palace Ave Santa Fe, NM 87501-2288 (505) 820-9307

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dawn Rodriguez <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:05 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciub, I Strong and a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Dawn Rodriguez 4129 Big Sky Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-4887



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Judy Boyd <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:06 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by Rockology Inc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Judy Boyd 2872 Plaza Amarilla Santa Fe, NM 87507-6504

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Katherine Mortimer <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:07 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuus, Factoria, Second consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by



Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Katherine Mortimer 31 Encantado Loop Santa Fe, NM 87508-8275 (505) 466-8988



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Susan Fraher-Seligman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:09 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Strongy are reaction by consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by the strip for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Susan Fraher-Seligman 770 Paseo De Florencio Santa Fe, NM 87501-6173

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Donald Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:09 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Miller 7 Paseo Sudeste Cerrillos, NM 87010-9807 (505) 710-4673

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Tom Moore <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, i strong, e.e., -consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Tom Moore 320 Calle Estado Santa Fe, NM 87501-1020 (505) 988-4196



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Verona Thompson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Verona Thompson 11 La Pintera Pl Santa Fe, NM 87508-2146

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of John Voorhees <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. John Voorhees 2000 Conejo Dr Santa Fe, NM 87505-6109 (505) 982-5031

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Judith Lewis <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Lewis 28 Chusco Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8779

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Doyle Preheim <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:12 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,



Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Doyle Preheim PO Box 6393 Santa Fe, NM 87502-6393



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
	of Kenneth Jacks <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

My God, be sensible...

Don't let the money bully you.

This is not a good idea for us and especially not for our children!

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the long lasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Jacks PO Box 8754 Santa Fe, NM 87504-8754

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robert Cooper <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 1:13 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Merico Group of Sector 2000, Sec



Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide 111 impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

I think we have already reached the point at which we must stop committing to use water that won't be available in a few years. New Mexico hasn't the population, money or political clout to secure water elsewhere when our own runs out (unlike California); we have to manage it for ourselves. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Robert Cooper 131 E Chili Line Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-1376

Santa Fe, NM 87508-1376 (505) 471-4476

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Amy Schaefer <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

องกลังได้ได้สารประสารได้เป็นที่สารให้ได้ที่สารสารได้เสียงได้ได้ได้ได้เสียงการว่า เวลา เกมาะ เป็นระเอง

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Amy Schaefer 1000 Cordova Pl # 62 Santa Fe, NM 87505-1725

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Marc Scullin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.



Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Marc Scullin 369 Montezuma Ave Santa Fe, NM 87501-2835 (505) 231-1608



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Sweetland <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:17 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Sweetland 1 Esquila Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8739 (505) 466-1106

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robert Urban <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:17 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Robert Urban 407 Alejandro St Santa Fe, NM 87501-2379 (505) 577-2559

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Suzanne Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:18 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County, I strongly urge you to consider the long-lasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Suzanne Smith 3268 Casa Rinconada Santa Fe, NM 87507-2543 (505) 424-3129

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Linda Dutcher <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 1:18 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa</sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Aug 11, 2014 Jose Larranaga NM 87501 Dear Larranaga, I understand the owner wan reasons so ably put forth by For heaven's sake, let us not we'll never get it back. Sincerely, Dr. Linda Dutcher 606 Alto St Santa Fe, NM 87501-2519 (505) 820-0201	ts to make some profit from the land, but strip mining is not the way to do itfor all of the so many citizens. destroy this birthright for a mess of pottage (in this case, known as "gravel.") Once gone,

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robert Glanz <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:21 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
Categories:	Red Category

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Glanz PO Box 32195 Santa Fe, NM 87594-2195

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Tara Russo <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:22 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Tara Russo 3740 Academy Rd Ste D Santa Fe, NM 87507-3447

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Erika Wanenmacher <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:23 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Erika Wanenmacher 1422 Agua Fria St Santa Fe, NM 87505-0960 (505) 984-2301

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Susan Pence <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:26 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Susan Pence Sincerely, Ms. Susan Pence 2988 Plaza Azul Santa Fe, NM 87507-5338

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jason Merkoski <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:26 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Jason Merkoski 3 Camino De Los Angelitos Galisteo, NM 87540-9764 (505) 466-1400

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of David LaPlantz <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:28 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Dear Santa Fe County Commissioners:



I live not very far from this proposed strip mine site. I do not wish this site to be ruined. I don't want the dust and skies

fouled either. Like many residents in the Downs area, I am on a water well. I don't want my water to be disrupted by such strip mining. And I don't want other people water to dry up or be used to strip mine when drinking water is so precious.

And I don't want other people water to dry up or be used to strip mine when drinking water is so precious. As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the long lasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you,

David LaPlantz Santa Fe County Resisdent

Sincerely,



Mr. David LaPlantz 69a Las Estrellas Santa Fe, NM 87507-8517

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Julia Berman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:29 PM	
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Julia Berman 947 Camino De Chelly Santa Fe, NM 87505-6261

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nancy Grabowski <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:30 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, Forena, and for consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide 1775 impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Haven't we done enough damage to our environment? When will this nonsense finally stop. We are mining all over the country and NM already Let's stop it already and preserve La Bajada Mesa for generations to come. PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE!!! vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Nancy Grabowski 70 County Road 84b Santa Fe, NM 87506-7215

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Andrew Lustig <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:32 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, 1 strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Andrew Lustig 794 Camino Los Abuelos Lamy, NM 87540-9695 (505) 473-9333

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of John Buchser <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:32 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. John Buchser 606 Alto St Santa Fe, NM 87501-2519 (505) 820-0201

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Katherine Ochotsky <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:34 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Ochotsky 3357 Cerrillos Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-2942

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Francine Foster <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:35 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Francine Foster 2303 Cedros Cir Santa Fe, NM 87505-5252 (505) 473-1067

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Barry MacKichan <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:36 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Barry MacKichan 751 Calle Picacho Santa Fe, NM 87505-6607 (505) 984-9148

From:	
Sent:	
To:	

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Saundra Fox <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 1:36 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa


Aug 11, 2014

Subject:

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuus, Estimation, Sierra Cuus, Estimation, Sierra Cuus, Estimation, Sierra Cuus, Estimate and set in the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by



Ms. Saundra Fox PO Box 3202 Edgewood, NM 87015-3202

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Donna Wells <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:38 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

a na shi na shi na shi na shi na shi na shi ka shi na kata shi kata shi kata ka shi kata ka shi kata kata shi a

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Donna Wells 5 Torreon Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-2208 (505) 466-4914

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mervin Moore <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:39 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuo, 19800, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 198

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Mervin Moore 245 Closson St Santa Fe, NM 87501-2533 (915) 474-3948

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Donald Emery <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:38 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

eter den service de la contraction de l

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Donald Emery 930 Paseo De Andres Santa Fe, NM 87501-6168 (505) 982-8383

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sydney Walter <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:39 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cup, 1900,

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Sydney Walter 2501 W Zia Rd Apt 6202 Santa Fe, NM 87505-5755 (505) 438-3929

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Marion Jackson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:37 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larránaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marion Jackson 900 Calle Arco Santa Fe, NM 87501-1013

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Royal Jackson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:39 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Royal Jackson 28 Old Agua Fria Rd W Santa Fe, NM 87508-9038 (505) 995-9870

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jill Stthomas <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:40 PM
To: /	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jill Stthomas 39 Yerba Buena Cerrillos, NM 87010 (303) 800-8509

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Royal Jackson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Monday, August 11, 2014 1:39 PM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuss, Ferrage, and consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Dr. Royal Jackson
28 Old Agua Fria Rd W
Santa Fe, NM 87508-9038
(505) 995-9870



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Michelle Hoffman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

AR REAL TORS A BREACHER SHE AND A STATE

And a marganetic second state of the

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Hoffman 6329 Milagro Estrella Santa Fe, NM 87507-1624

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of John Burnett-Ragueneau <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 1:41 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. John Burnett-Ragueneau 619 Baca St Santa Fe, NM 87505-0915



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Paula Tackett <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:44 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Regardless of the staff recommendation, the final decision rests with you, the elected decision-makers. Please, vote NO.

Thank you, Paula Tackett

Sincerely,

Ms. Paula Tackett 7459b Old Santa Fe Trl Santa Fe, NM 87505-9578 (505) 982-3784

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Michael Giannuzzi <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuus, I Strong, Soc., and consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on the strip-mine operation of the strip-mine operation of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application operation of the strip-mine operation of the strip-mine operation on the strip-mine operation of the strip-mine operation on the strip-mine operation of the strip-mine operation operation

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Michael Giannuzzi PO Box 3160 Edgewood, NM 87015-3160 (505) 286-1646

and the second state of the second second

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robin Pascal <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Pascal 58 Moriarty Rd Edgewood, NM 87015-7049 (505) 286-1783

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Leslie Burnside <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group or Sierra Cuub, 1980, 198

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywida impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Leslie Burnside PO Box 1600 Tesuque, NM 87574-1600

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Eddie Soloway <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Eddie Soloway PO Box 6745 Santa Fe, NM 87502-6745 (505) 466-6030

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Clark Zrakovi <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:53 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Clark Zrakovi 89 Rio Vista PI Santa Fe, NM 87501-1571 (505) 982-0944

From:	 Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gudrun Hoerig <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:54 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Gudrun Hoerig 723 Gomez Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-8823 (505) 466-2115

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lorraine Gilmore <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 1:53 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Lorraine Gilmore 7 Enebro Pl Santa Fe, NM 87508-8837

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jon Asher <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:53 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I urge a NO vote on this proposal.

The materials sought are readily available elsewhere.

The permanent destruction of this aesthetically valuable land isn't justified for any reason, certainly not the profits of a private company, which will depart the area the second they decide that they've reached the end of available materials. That further means that any "repairs" to the land will be borne by the taxpayers, because we've seen privately held companies do this time and time again.

They'll declare bankruptcy, leaving Santa Fe County residents with the burden of "fixing" what they leave behind.

The use and transport of millions of gallons of water that might be better used for other purposes is reason enough to vote NO on this proposal.

Thank you.

Jon Asher Glorieta

Sincerely,

Mr. Jon Asher 226 La Cueva Rd Glorieta, NM 87535-7000 (505) 757-6516

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dwight Finkel <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:54 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Dwight Finkel 2 Dream Catcher Santa Fe, NM 87506-1202 (505) 820-0567



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Deirdre Campbell <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:54 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Deirdre Campbell 4884 La Junta Del Alamo Santa Fe, NM 87507-7861 (575) 654-4520

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Gaston <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:55 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Patricia Gaston 530 Garcia St Apt 10 Santa Fe, NM 87505-2869

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Don Hanover <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:57 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Hanover 2808 Vereda De Pueblo Santa Fe, NM 87507-5386 (505) 975-6003

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of William Bowman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuus, Factors, Soc., consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. William Bowman 46 Estambre Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8769

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of daryl stanton <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. daryl stanton PO Box 9504 Santa Fe, NM 87504-9504 (505) 982-4430

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Arthur Judd <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:03 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to As a resident of sama re-county and memory of the non-neuronal sector and non-neuronal sector and neuronal sector and neuronal

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Arthur Judd PO Box 5362 Santa Fe, NM 87502-5362



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Deanna Draudt <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:07 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Deanna Draudt 22 Frasco Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8842

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Marcie Brooks <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Rockologyinc. for this mine permit.
Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Ms. Marcie Brooks
15 Amigos Ln
Santa Fe, NM 87508-4585
(505) 989-7754

. . .

From:

Sent:

To:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Wendy Adler <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:13 PM Jose Larranaga Subject: Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

PECONDER ST.S. ST. ST.

The mine is neither wanted or needed. Why would you work against the wishes of your constituents in favor of a commercial enterprise that has no benefit to the community, environment or marketplace?

We voted for you, now it is your turn to vote for us!

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Wendy Adler 1422 Santa Rosa Dr Santa Fe, NM 87505-3489 (505) 670-5768

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of David Doty <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. David Doty 2751 Via Caballero Del Sur Santa Fe, NM 87505-6532 (505) 473-1924

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ronald Christ <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cub, 19800, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980

 Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide

 impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should

 automatically disqualify this project.

 Please vote NO.

 Thank you,

 Sincerely,

 Mr. Ronald Christ

 40 Camino Cielo

 Santa Fe, NM 87506-2115

 (505) 231-5016



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Peter Cummings <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:14 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Peter Cummings 32 Timberwick rd. SFE , NM,87508

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Cummings 32 Timberwick Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8908 (831) 402-3533

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Samantha Ruscavage-Barz <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:15 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Signa Cost, Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the strip-mine operation of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the strip-mine operation of the strip-mine operation of the strip-mine operation of the strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the strip-mine operation operation of the strip-mine operation oper

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Samantha Ruscavage-Barz 127 E Santa Fe Ave Santa Fe, NM 87505-2647

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Deborah Harmon <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:18 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please help us to keep our pristine and beautiful areas of NM, La Bajada Mesa being one of them.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Harmon 2 Broken Rock Pl Santa Fe, NM 87508-1342 (301) 652-7369

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Malcolm MacPherson, Ph.D. <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:15 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cup, Factor, Source, consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Malcolm MacPherson, Ph.D. 34 Coyote Mountain Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-8178 (505) 989-9502

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Denise Shreeve <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:19 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Denise Shreeve 18 Arroyo Rdg Santa Fe, NM 87508-1360 (703) 893-2247

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ramos Medina <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:19 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cus, Course, Coursider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

 RockologyInc. for this mine permit.
 Plant for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Please vote NO.
 Please vote NO.

 Thank you,
 Sincerely,

 Mr. Ramos Medina
 30a W Sombrillo Rd

 Espanola, NIM 87532-9600
 Please

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Fred Nugent <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:22 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Nugent 952 Santander Ln Santa Fe, NM 87505-0082 (505) 988-9137

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Michal Curry <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:23 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application By RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Ms. Michal Curry
PO Box 508
Tesuque, NM 87574-0508

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lucy Foma <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:23 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lucy Foma 621 Alarid St Santa Fe, NM 87505-3035 (505) 310-4489

From:	Sierra Club Northern New
	of Ryan Toups <sierra@sie< td=""></sierra@sie<>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014
То:	Jose Larranaga

Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf erraclub.org> 2:23 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Subject:

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Class, and so a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Ryan Toups 36 Raven Ravine Santa Fe, NM 87507-4291 (504) 252-9244



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of George Cappannelli <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:24 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I am strongly opposed to strip-mining on La Bajada Mesa

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. George Cappannelli 119 Sunlit Dr W Santa Fe, NM 87508-9383

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Noe Villareal <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:24 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Noe Villareal 3 Feather Bush Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-2290 (505) 690-2043

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Micayla Duran <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:25 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Micayla Duran 2928 Pueblo Pintado Santa Fe, NM 87507-2534 (505) 690-1723

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Grady Stem <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:25 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application in RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Grady Stem 88 Woods Loop Santa Fe, NM 87505-1449 (505) 988-7152

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sandra Halpin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:26 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Halpin 1308 Luana St Santa Fe, NM 87505-3239

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gary Eschman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:28 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuub, Factors, Soc., Soc.,

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Gary Eschman 5 Alteza Santa Fe, NM 87508-2218 (505) 466-2191

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Marlin McKenna <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:30 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Marlin McKenna 804 Galisteo St Santa Fe, NM 87505-8814 (505) 699-9181

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Sara Novenson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Monday, August 11, 2014 2:30 PM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Sara Novenson 706 Columbia St Santa Fe, NM 87505-4005



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dennis Lopez <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:31 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
То:	Jose Larranaga

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

It is horrifying just to imagine the MESS!

Please vote NO.

Thank you, Dennis Lopez

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Lopez 7517 Kachina Loop Santa Fe, NM 87507-8426

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Diane Pattara <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:33 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I attended the public hearing on the strip mining application in June and I was shocked to hear the facts against this application.

「「「「「」」」である。

Water is a precious resource here. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to

consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Pattara 303 Alegre St Santa Fe, NM 87501-1303 (512) 698-8763

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Leslie Pearlman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:33 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you, 👘

Sincerely,

Dr. Leslie Pearlman 325 W Cordova Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-1809

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Wilbert Hobbs <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:37 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the long lasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Wilbert Hobbs 2116 Calle De Sebastian Santa Fe, NM 87505-7314 (505) 984-3032



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Diana Hardy <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Diana Hardy 2363 Camino Carlos Rey Santa Fe, NM 87507-5205

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Faith Crosby <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application B RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Faith Crosby 1628 Jay St Santa Fe, NM 87505-3809 (505) 955-0727

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
	of Pamela Gilchirst <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Gilchirst 3466 Cerrillos Rd Apt C2 Santa Fe, NM 87507-2969

From:	Jennifer Jenkins <jennifer@jenkinsgavin.com></jennifer@jenkinsgavin.com>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:43 PM
To:	Jose Larranaga
Cc:	'Hillary Welles'
Subject:	Rancho Viejo Plat
Attachments:	9175-DIV 8-5-14.pdf

Hi Jose,

The revised Rancho Viejo plat is attached for one final review. I would like to plot the mylar and deliver it to you tomorrow.

Thank you.

Jennífer Jenkíns JenkinsGavin Design & Development, Inc. 130 Grant Avenue, Suite 101 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Ph. (505) 820-7444 <u>jennifer@jenkinsgavin.com</u> <u>www.jenkinsgavin.com</u>

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Maureen Whelan <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:47 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Maureen Whelan 1500 Pacheco St Apt 806 Santa Fe, NM 87505-4927 (505) 983-7653

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Judith Sellars <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:48 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Static Group, Static, Static,

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Judith Sellars 327 Delgado St Santa Fe, NM 87501-2756 (505) 982-3416



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lawrence Israel <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:50 PM
To:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Lawrence Israel 3929 Fairly Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-3294 (505) 670-6897

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ruth Sabiers <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:51 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of the San Marcos neighborhood in Santa Fe County and as a member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and to vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. You have, no doubt, reviewed this testimony and realize that the required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

If for no other reason than this, please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruth Sabiers PO Box 29537 Santa Fe, NM 87592-9537

From:	Karen Torres
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:51 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga; Adam Leigland; Claudia I. Borchert
Cc:	Vicki Lucero
Subject:	RE: Sieberts response to water Budget Review

Hello Jose

I have a quick question....do you have a copy or a reference to the reseeding ordinance Jim Siebert wrote about in his memo of last Friday?

I am drafting a response for clarification purposes.

Thanks

kt -

From: Jose Larranaga
Sent: Friday, August 08, 2014 1:48 PM
To: Adam Leigland; Karen Torres; Claudia I. Borchert
Cc: Vicki Lucero
Subject: Sieberts response to water Budget Review

<< File: Rockology response to Water Budget Review.pdf >>

Jose E. Larranaga Development Review Team Leader Building and Development Services Santa Fe County 102 Grant Avenue, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87504 (505) 986-6296

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Wood <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:53 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

As a resident of Santa Fe County, I have invested enormous amounts of time, effort and money doing everything As a resident of Santa Fe County, I have invested enormous amounts of time, effort and money doing everything possible to use less water - day to day, week to week, year to year. Approval of this strip mining application will be a slap in the face to me and thousands of New Mexicans who are using less and less water each year. Personally, I have cut my water usage in half during the short four years living here through very expensive home/plumbing improvements. Why should I through very expensive home/plumbing improvements. Why should I bother to shut off my sink water while washing my hands if you think it a grand idea to waste millions of gallons of water

- and it does not matter where the water comes from - on unneeded GRAVEL? Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required MILLIONS of gallons of water for dust control alone should automatically disgualify this project. Water is a precious resource. Don't waste a drop on this strip mine.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Wood 74b Jacinto Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-9179 (505) 466-6713



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Guthrie Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:55 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Very many of us here in Santa Fe are concerned about this issue. We recognize the strong economic forces threatening the beautiful natural environment we are blessed with here. Please be assured that many people are paying attention.

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Guthrie Miller 509 Camino Lejo Santa Fe, NM 87505-7518 (505) 954-4224


From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riògrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Liberty Blueskyes <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riògrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:56 PM
To:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciuo, Fostorior, E.G., consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by





	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gunnar Plake <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:57 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Gunnar Plake 427 San Antonio St Santa Fe, NM 87505-2846 (505) 988-7136

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Barbara Rucker <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Monday, August 11, 2014 2:58 PM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cius, 1900, 190

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Barbara Rucker 40 Rons Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-6628

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Barb Higgins <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:59 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Barb Higgins 1433 Paseo Norteno Santa Fe, NM 87507-5183 (505) 474-7683

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Kenny Stanberry <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:59 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Kenny Stanberry 915 Vuelta Del Sur Santa Fe, NM 87507-7751

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Debbie Romero <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Debbie Romero PO Box 6893 Santa Fe, NM 87502-6893

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mary Rogers-Perry <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:04 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application de RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Mary Rogers-Perry 5 Torneo Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-8345 (505) 466-2430



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dyanna Taylor <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:07 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As 26 year resident of Santa Fe County, and long time proponent of open spaces and viewsheds for the public, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. If such a permit were granted, we would live with the negative impacts of such an operation for the rest of our days and children's days here in Santa Fe County! The water use alone would be

unconscionable. The testimonies from experts in all fields have well

advised us against such a blight to our environment and to our La Bajada welcome in and out of Santa Fe. Not to mention the benefit of such an operation would go only into the hands of Rockology Inc. Santa Fe County could easily be faced with costs related to regulation enforcement and clean up of the destruction they will cause.

Please vote NO on the project. thank you.

Thank you,

Dyanna Taylor

Sincerely,

Ms. Dyanna Taylor 15 Old Dog Run Santa Fe, NM 87508-9519 (505) 982-4214

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Alfred Silvia <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:07 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Stevensor DENY the application by consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Alfred Silvia 6473 Valentine Way Santa Fe, NM 87507-7238

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Tom Videen <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:09 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Videen 54 La Serena Trl Santa Fe, NM 87506-7780

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dennis & Dona Hoilman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, Fattoria, and a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Rockologyinc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Dennis & Dona Hoilman 15 E Chili Line Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-1395 (505) 473-2205

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Janet Lincoln <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

In addition, we vote in large numbers and we remember what behaviors our officials show.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Lincoln 2712 Herradura Rd Unit A Santa Fe, NM 87505-6803 (512) 791-7366

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Hollis Wood <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cius, Forena, Sono, So

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Hollis Wood 706 Sosaya St Santa Fe, NM 87505-2854 do not call

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Javier Fernandez <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Javier Fernandez 14 Camino Don Fidel Santa Fe, NM 87507-7909

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nancy King <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sterra Cius, 1999, 2009, consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Nancy King 1224 Vallecita Dr Santa Fe, NM 87501-8803 (505) 986-1040

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Margaret Kuhlen <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:14 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

In addition, confidence in this company is marginal at best and any projections about adequacy of water needed for this project should not be trusted. No amount of water can insure the quality of air our citizens deserve to minimize further health risks of increased asthma in our children. Noise pollution, water pollution, light pollution and air pollution are all more certainty than risks.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Margaret Kuhlen 2800 Cerrillos Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-2313

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of George Rubottom <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:15 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cup, 1300087, 2002, , consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely,

Dr. George Rubottom 548 Alto St Apt A Santa Fe, NM 87501-3536 (505) 988-2925

From:	i di s	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mark Chalom <sierra@sierraclub.org>.</sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:		Monday, August 11, 2014 3:15 PM
То:		Jose Larranaga
Subject:		Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Chalom 52 Calimo Cir Santa Fe, NM 87505-8984 (505) 670-8853

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Joseph Tedesco <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cius, Ferragia, and ferragina cius, ferragin

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create and I am adding my name to that number. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Joseph Tedesco 2500 Rancho Siringo Dr Santa Fe, NM 87505-5533 (505) 795-6100



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mark Zolnick <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Mark Zolnick 8 Pinon Ridge Ln Santa Fe, NM 87506-7563 (505) 820-1238

From:	

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Stacy Burkman <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:17 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Signa Ciar, 1997 of Source Ciar, 2007 of Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Ms. Stacy Burkman 3748 Valmora Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-4554 (505) 670-3445

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Adrian Engel <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:18 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Adrian Engel 3361 Vista Del Prado Ct Santa Fe, NM 87507-4884

From:

Sent:

Subject:

To:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Stacy Burkman <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:18 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Stacy Burkman 3748 Valmora Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-4554 (505) 670-3445

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Barbara Gage <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:19 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Gage 1569 La Cieneguita Santa Fe, NM 87507-5658 (505) 424-0302

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Pamela Denning <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:21 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sterra Ciuc, Foctoria, and consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Huge out of state companies want our resources and our WATER. Check out the reputation on Rockolory. There is a reason they are not listed with the BBB, also, check out a guy on the board of Rockology, Jerry Geroghty, see what he CEO of, and follow the cleanup history of Vectron Corp., Try Terre Haute, Indiana Please vote NO.

PS. Hi Liz, I know you will not be voting for this. Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Denning 4303 Acequia Ln Santa Fe, NM 87507-7155 (505) 204-6467

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Peter Roche <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:24 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Roche 2916 Avenida Alamosa Apt C Santa Fe, NM 87507-1596

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Carlton <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:25 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Carlton 500 Rodeo Rd Apt 1121 Santa Fe, NM 87505-6367 (505) 988-1596



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Teresa Seamster <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:25 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Do you remember the "old days" when real estate agents said they could charge 20% more for Santa Fe property because of the "blue sky"! Blue sky, clean air, beautiful views and sound land use choices - that you CAN put a real value on!

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Teresa Seamster 104 Vaquero Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8190 (505) 466-8964

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Richard Khanlian <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:26 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Rockologyinc. for this mine permit.
Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Mr. Richard Khanlian 2744 Herradura Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-6801

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Rita Gentry <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:27 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Rita Gentry 3466 Cerrillos Rd Apt B1 Santa Fe, NM 87507-2967 (505) 699-5446

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sarah Lovett <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:27 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a 40-year resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid 122 impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

This would be a short-sighted project with very damaging consequences to New Mexico's appeal as a recreational and tourist destination.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sarah Lovett
Sincerely,
Ms. Sarah Lovett
PO Box 6692
Santa Fe, NM 87502-6692

Santa Fe, NM 87502-6692 (505) 466-0117

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jordan Minkin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:27 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

i hand data setter from the second of the second of the second second second second second second second second

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Jordan Minkin 2207 Vela Street Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-913-0048

Sincerely,

Mr. Jordan Minkin 2207 Vela St Santa Fe, NM 87505-5607 (505) 913-0048

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Eileen Torpey <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:28 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cias, Ferrer, and a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

 Rockologyinc. for this mine permit.

 Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Please vote NO.

 Thank you,

 Eileen Torpey

 Sincerely,

 Ms. Eileen Torpey

 118 Mesa Verde St

 Santa Fe, NM 87501-1730

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
	of Georgann Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:28 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

The possible damage to foundations of existing homes and businesses for many miles. We just do not need the mine period.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Georgann Wright 10 Cloud March E Santa Fe, NM 87506-2171

From:

Sent:

Subject:

To:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lura Brookins <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:36 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cice, end of a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Lura Brookins PO Box 1362 Santa Fe, NM 87504-1362 (505) 820-7017



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jan Novak <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Jan Novak 250 E Alameda St Apt 333 Santa Fe, NM 87501-2142 (505) 989-3391

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Judith Novak <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cius, Forces, Forces, County and consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

 Rockologyinc. for this mine permit.

 Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Please vote NO.

 Thank you,

 Sincerely,

 Mrs. Judith Novak

 250 E Alameda St Apt 333

 Santa Fe, NM 87501-2142

 (505) 989-3391

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Philip Ciaffa <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Ciaffa 60 Vaquero Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8376 (505) 466-4260

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Singer Rankin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cius, Forces, and so consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Singer Rankin 8 Cole Ln Santa Fe, NM 87508-5967 (505) 982-5358

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Rachel Hollis <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Rachel Hollis 5309 Circita En Medio Santa Fe, NM 87507-4928

From:


Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Rock <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:45 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Case, DENY the application by consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Rock <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Rock 6420 Vooscane Ave Cochiti Lake, NM 87083-6000 (505) 465-2082

From:	Sierra Club Northern New M
	of Bob Desmond <sierra@si< td=""></sierra@si<>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:4
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining app

/lexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf ierraclub.org> 45 PM Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cius, Forces, Social consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Desmond 39 Azul Loop Santa Fe, NM 87508-8243 (505) 466-1786

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robert Rock <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Rock 6420 Vooscane Ave Cochiti Lake, NM 87083-6000 (505) 465-2082

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carol Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, Farons, F

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Carol Brown 3600 Cerrillos Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-2612 (505) 474-8858

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carol Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Brown 3600 Cerrillos Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-2612 (505) 474-8858

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carol Johnson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
•	

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Rockologyinc. for this mine permit.
Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Ms. Carol Johnson
226 La Cueva Rd
Glorieta, NM 87535-7000
(505) 757-2650



and Shall a the Description of the

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Anne Widmark <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:47 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

องการแก่ เป็นไปและไม่ได้ไปได้ และก็สี่ไปการสี่สร้างไม่มีในสี่ไม่เสี่ยงเขาแก่สร้าง ไม่เ

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Widmark 369 Montezuma Ave # 572 Santa Fe, NM 87501-2835 (505) 986-9505

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of John Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:48 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. John Wright 10 Cloud March E Santa Fe, NM 87506-2171 (505) 603-7952

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gail MacQuesten <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:54 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Section of the Event of the States

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Please deny the application of RockologyInc. to operate a strip-mine on La Bajada Mesa.

There is no need for a strip-mine at this location. And the negative impact to Santa Fe County from such an operation would be substantial.

We should not allow damage to the scenic entry to the City of Santa Fe. And we should not use our precious water - even wastewater- to further such damage.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Gail MacQuesten

Sincerely,

Ms. Gail MacQuesten 4 Frasco Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-8860 (505) 466-0532

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Houston Davis <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:58 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting and negative consequences of a strip-

As a resident of Santa Fe County , I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting and negative consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Houston Davis 10 Camino Montuoso Santa Fe, NM 87506-8520 (505) 995-1987



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dmitri Cramer <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:59 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Dmitri Cramer 909 Placita Chaco Santa Fe, NM 87505-6253 (505) 577-4603

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Rose Allen <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:59 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

No No No! Thank you.

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Rose Allen PO Box 965 Santa Fe, NM 87504-0965 (503) 961-2242 As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to

(503) 961-2242

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carol Sky <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Sky 705 Pinon Dr Santa Fe, NM 87501-1337 (505) 820-9879

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of debra zabinski <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Seria Cius, Strong, Seria , and consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Rockologyinc. for this mine permit.
Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Ms. debra zabinski
35 stacy rd
santa fe, NM 87505

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Craig Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:01 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Anderson 173 Las Estrellas Santa Fe, NM 87507

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Susan Bergholz <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:02 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Susan Bergholz 61 Rancho Magdalena Lamy, NM 87540-9793 (505) 466-7776

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ron Helman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:03 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Ron Helman 5614 B, Hwy. 41 Galisteo, NM 87540

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Alan Deuter <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 4:05 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Mr. Alan Deuter
243 Closson St
Santa Fe, NM 87501-2958

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Linda Wiener <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:05 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Please vote to DENY the application by Rockology Inc. for a mining permit on La Bajada Mesa.

The worst problem is the huge amount of water this project would require. Water is precious, and is needed for agriculture and for the community. There is no shortage of gravel in the area and so there is no pressing need for this mine. Save our water. Save La Bajada residents, both human and animal. Vote NO on the mine.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Linda Wiener 1055 Mansion Ridge Rd Santa Fe, NM 87501-1049

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mary Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:07 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Mary Clark 7 Chapala Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-2206 (505) 466-3236

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Diane Atwell <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:07 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Atwell 1428 Don Gaspar Ave Santa Fe, NM 87505-4657

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Vicki Altenberg <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:07 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sterra Cius, 1900, 190

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Vicki Altenberg 2667 Via Berrenda Santa Fe, NM 87505-6731 (505) 471-4323



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Audre Gutierrez <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:09 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Audre Gutierrez 51 N Shining Sun Santa Fe, NM 87506-8738 (505) 438-0855

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nona Lee Gregg <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. It's a no brainer that the strip-mining should not be allowed!!!!Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Miss Nona Lee Gregg 3471 Cerrillos Rd Trlr 69 Santa Fe, NM 87507-7219

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Roberts French <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Roberts French PO Box 33650 Santa Fe, NM 87594-3650

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Paul Luehrmann <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I am unable to attend the public meeting due to business travel but I wish you to know my strong opinions.

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide

impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should PECORDED 83.48.2814 automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Luehrmann 223 N Guadalupe St PMB 294 Santa Fe, NM 87501-1868 (505) 995-8167

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Bert Snyder <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:12 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Bert Snyder 61 Rancho Magdalena Lamy, NM 87540-9793

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lee Thompson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:12 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Guo, Forest, Song, Song, Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Lee Thompson 50 Tano Alto Santa Fe, NM 87506-7504 (505) 820-0271

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Randy Weber <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

e de la faire de la companya de la c

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the human race, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Is the cost justified? Could the water resources be put to better use?

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Randy Weber 32 Camino Hasta Manana Santa Fe, NM 87506-7956

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sarah Sisk <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Sarah Sisk 11 Camino Del Gallo Lamy, NM 87540-9690 (505) 466-8621

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Deborah Boldt <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Boldt 6 Torneo Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-8823

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dorothy Seaton <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cuo, Fourier, Sourier, So

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Dorothy Seaton 4156 Big Sky Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-3805 (512) 618-3600



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Frederick & Florence Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:17 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As residents of Santa Fe County and members of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, We strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. We enjoy the views of La Bajada mesa every time we drive to Albuquerque or Tent Rocks and would hate to see the area disturbed.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Frederick & Florence Wright 32 E Chili Line Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-1387 (505) 473-3665

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Alvaro Garcia <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:44 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.
Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Mr. Alvaro Garcia
1601 La Cieneguita Apt C6
Santa Fe, NM 87507-5665
(505) 990-4395

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sally Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:44 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, Lstrongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Sally Wright 2500 Sawmill Rd Apt 1512 Santa Fe, NM 87505-5691 (951) 808-5826

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jennifer Graves <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:45 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.
Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Ms. Jennifer Graves
1841 Arroyo Chamiso
Santa Fe, NM 87505-5771
(212) 663-5133

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sydney Walter <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 9:12 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

I hike on La Bajada and I love the place. Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Sydney Walter 2501 W Zia Rd Apt 6202 Santa Fe, NM 87505-5755 (505) 438-3929

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of M Morgan <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cius, Force, and the consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. M Morgan 1308 San Jose Ave Santa Fe, NM 87505-3348

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Stephanie Nemet <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Stephanie Nemet PO Box 23269 Santa Fe, NM 87502-3269 (505) 555-1212

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gail Walker <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 6:45 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Gail Walker 204 E Santa Fe Ave Santa Fe, NM 87505-2650 (505) 660-8047

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of cynthia lux <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. cynthia lux 52a Las Tres Galisteo, NM 87540-9663

From:	
Sent:	

To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Heidi Schulman <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 6:47 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by 17 RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Heidi Schulman 128 Mesa Vista St Santa Fe, NM 87501-1732

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Keith Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:12 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

You can not rezone a property unless it is for the benefit of the public. This clearly is not.

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Anderson 2227 Calle Cacique Santa Fe, NM 87505-4944 (505) 984-2563

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nancy Wheeler <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:14 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, 1300, 1300, 200-, consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywida impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Nancy Wheeler 2c Wymas Dr Santa Fe, NM 87506-2667 (505) 455-2858



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jefferson Davis <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:15 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Jefferson Davis 707 E Palace Ave Apt 33 Santa Fe, NM 87501-6403 (505) 983-6891

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jill West <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 7:16 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Then there is the destruction of the beauty of the Galisteo Basin area and views. This part of the county with its Old New Mexico feel and pristine environment is a treasure not to be invaded and destroyed. Please vote NO. Thank you,

Thank you, Sincerely, Jill West 830 Camino Los Abuelos Galisteo, NM 87540

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jill West 830 Camino Los Abuelos Galisteo, NM 87540-9675

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
	of Cindy Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:41 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cindy Clark 16 Lime Kiln Rd Lamy, NM 87540-9673 (505) 466-6950

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carolyn D'Alessandro <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 7:41 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cius, Forena, F

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. I beg you to vote NO...Please for New Mexico. Thank you so much Please vote NO. Thank you, Carolyn D'Alessandro 2945 Calle del Res Santa Fe,NM 87505 Sincerely, Ms. Carolyn D'Alessandro 2945 Calle Del Res Santa Fe, NM 87505-6718 (505) 603-2746

(505) 603-2746

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Helen Desmith <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:44 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Desmith 1500 Pacheco St Apt 206 Santa Fe, NM 87505-4920 (505) 470-7690

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Robert Stearns <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Monday, August 11, 2014 7:46 PM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Now is the time to stand up for common sense on the strip mine question! I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Robert Stearns 329 Sena St Santa Fe, NM 87505-8869 (505) 988-3718

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Niki Wheeler <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:14 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Niki Wheeler 1925 Aspen Dr Ste 400a Santa Fe, NM 87505-5491 (505) 986-9976

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Angela Macelli <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:14 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group or Sierra Cuus, Factoria, Social a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Angela Macelli 810 Baca St Apt C Santa Fe, NM 87505-0950 (207) 482-9912

a second and the second se

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Paul Rice <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Printerent A. Side de Partecies

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Rice 35 Cerro Alto Rd Lamy, NM 87540-9518 (505) 466-4885

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Frieda Levine <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 8:14 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Frieda Levine 54 Cerro Blanco Rd Lamy, Nm 87540 Sincerely, Mrs. Frieda Levine 54 Cerro Blanco Rd Lamy, NM 87540-9524 (595) 466-3033

(595) 466-3033

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gary Cronin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:15 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Section Sector and Company

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Cronin 1991 Siringo Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-5442 (505) 310-4972


From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Catherine Kirkwood <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, Factoria, Society, Society,





Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Dharma Best <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Monday, August 11, 2014 8:43 PM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Dharma Best 27 Rancho Manana Santa Fe, NM 87506-7011

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Linda Young <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by And Andrews RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. In this State, which has been in a drought for at least 10 years and at best is a desert, it is foolhardy to even consider using this rare resource in such a careless way. We don't have it to spare. Vote NO. No other vote makes ANY sense whatsoever!!!! Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Linda Young PO Box 24228 Santa Fe, NM 87502-9228 (505) 501-3702

(505) 501-3702

The second s

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Livtar Khalsa <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Livtar Khalsa 28 Sombrillo Rd Espanola, NM 87532-9630

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jon Klingel <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, 1900, 190

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely,

義を、主義、自義である

Mr. Jon Klingel 47 Star Vista Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-4514 (505) 986-1771

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
	of Jolanda Krommenhoek <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jolanda Krommenhoek 106 Avenida Azul Santa Fe, NM 87508-8708 (505) 466-3437

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Katherine Berkowitz <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuus, Factor, Song, Song, Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by



Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Katherine Berkowitz 2513 Camino San Patricio Santa Fe, NM 87505-5822 (570) 404-2644

And the second strand a second state

Martin Contestion Statistics

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Bert Ponce <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

a subscript representation and the state of the device of

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Bert Ponce 2302 Middle Ct Santa Fe, NM 87505-5847


From: Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Leslie Staller <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 9:13 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

We live in a desert. We can't even provide water for our river, our people, our animals and plants...We need to find alternative energy sources, not authorize more mining that will use precious water to support an unsustainable project



RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please VOTE NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Staller 223 N Guadalupe St Santa Fe, NM 87501-1868 RECORDED BLIEVERIA

1

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
	of Lynne Loshbaugh <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynne Loshbaugh 536 Vista De La Ciudad Santa Fe, NM 87501-6303 (505) 988-9259

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Ramona Ruark <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Monday, August 11, 2014 9:17 PM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, Factoria, Figure , Figure ,

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Ramona Ruark 6556 Winding Ridge Loop Santa Fe, NM 87507-3187

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Chris Wismer <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:18 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Chris Wismer 29 Domingo Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8256 (505) 466-2707

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Margaret Beattie <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:18 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Margaret Beattie 663 Canyon Rd Santa Fe, NM 87501-2721

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dan Warner Warner <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

an art a table a to table table a second of

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Warner Warner 16 Vista Del Cerro Santa Fe, NM 87508-9303

From:	
Sent:	

Subject:

To:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patty. Conway <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 9:41 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Patty. Conway 1218 Apache Ave Santa Fe, NM 87505-3210

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Catherine Avery <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you, Keep NM free of environmentally disastrous industry!

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Avery PO Box 23792 Santa Fe, NM 87502-3792 (505) 577-4422

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sandy Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Scottage, Sont consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Sandy Anderson 296 Ojo De La Vaca Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8882

Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
То:	Jose Larranaga
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:10 PM
From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Denise Saccone <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>

1.27

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Saccone 40b Camino Cerrado Santa Fe, NM 87506-7229 (505) 989-1233

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Russell Toal <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Subject and consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Russell Toal 3 Cresta Del Angel Santa Fe, NM 87505-4579 (505) 988-7463

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jane Hanna <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:12 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I live in Eldorado from where I can clearly see the Ortiz mountains and nearby La Bajada Mesa. Even if they were not in view of my house, I would oppose the mining being requested for anywhere in that area. The scar from previous mining on the slope of the mountain is clearly visible years after it was made.

There have been no positive reasons for allowing mining on the La Bajada Mesa and dozens of reasons to deny permission to do so. You surely have heard them all many times over so I won't repeat them. When so many of us who voted for you have expressed our very strong opposition to your granting permission to mine there, I can only hope that our efforts to prevent this will be heard. The consequences are all negative and would be extremely long-lasting. Future generations enjoyment of the La Bajada Mesa should also be considered as you make your decision about this mining request.

I urge you to DENY today's and future requests for mining on the mesa. Please vote NO.

Sincerely, Jane Hanna

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Hanna 10 Descanso Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-9125

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ann Morgan <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

It is quite clear at this point: Will you represent the citizens or will you represent the mining masser, masser, is profit/greed? As a citizen who attended the last meeting, and left feeling disappointed that a decision was not made is profit/greed? As a citizen who attended the last meeting, and left feeling disappointed that a decision was not made is profit/greed? As a citizen who attended the last meeting, and left feeling disappointed that a decision was not made is profit/greed? As a citizen who attended the last meeting, and left feeling disappointed that a decision was not made is profit/greed? As a citizen who attended the last meeting, and left feeling disappointed that a decision was not made



As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Ann Morgan 730 Juniper Dr Santa Fe, NM 87501-1363

Santa Fe, NM 87501-1363 (505) 983-8493

Contraction of the second states of the

and the second secon

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Margaret Trujillo <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Monday, August 11, 2014 10:13 PM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Margaret Trujillo San Acacio Santa Fe, NM 87505

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Dunham <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Science, and consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Elizabeth Dunham 901 Nicole Pl Santa Fe, NM 87505-0705 (505) 820-6476

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Andrea Schafer <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Andrea Schafer 1012 Tierra Dr Santa Fe, NM 87505-5232 (505) 231-6440

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Diana Gries <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 11:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciux, Estation, Sierra Ciux, Estation by consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Diana Gries 3 Raudo Pl Santa Fe, NM 87508-8369 (505) 466-2961

a na ann an Arabana ann an Arabana an Arabana an Arabana ann an Arabana an Arabana an Arabana an Arabana an Ara

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Hilary Bromberg <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 11:12 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Hilary Bromberg 3 Camino De Los Angelitos Galisteo, NM 87540-9764 (505) 466-6833

@sierraclub.org> on behalf
-

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Strongty Group of Sierra Club, I Stro

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Frank Croft 8 Withers Peak Santa Fe, NM 87508-4816 (505) 703-9206

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Uphoria Blackham <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 12:11 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Uphoria Blackham PO Box 1888 Santa Fe, NM 87504-1888

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carola Clift <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 1:10 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Subject and Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Carola Clift 5 Vuelta Yucca Santa Fe, NM 87506-1177 (505) 603-1857

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Holly Goldstein <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 6:11 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Holly Goldstein 747 Porvenir Ln Apt B Santa Fe, NM 87505-1082

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Tobin Oruch <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 7:12 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciud, 1 Strong, 2007, 3007, 300

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you. Sincerely,

Mr. Tobin Oruch 1011 Paseo De La Cuma Santa Fe, NM 87501-1221

อโล้มีอย่ายให้เห็นและ

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Rosemarie Lopez <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 7:12 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosemarie Lopez 217 Camino Encantado Santa Fe, NM 87501-1089

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gary Cronin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, i Subjection by consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Cronin 1991 Siringo Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-5442 (505) 310-4972

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Allison Fabara <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 7:41 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمنافق والمراجع والمتحافظ والمتحافظ والمتحافظ والمراجع والمحافظ والمحافظ والمتحاف

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Allison Fabara 2732 La Bajada Santa Fe, NM 87505-5332

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Lester Drapela <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Tuesday, August 12, 2014 7:42 AM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
of Lester Drapela <sierra@sierraclub.org> Tuesday, August 12, 2014 7:42 AM Jose Larranaga</sierra@sierraclub.org>

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Subject was a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by



Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Lester Drapela 3025 Pueblo Grande Santa Fe, NM 87507-2517 (505) 438-3306



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Faith Garfield <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:11 AM	
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

terri e la familia de la filita de curra de la familia de se

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Faith Garfield 128 Alamo Dr Santa Fe, NM 87501-1518

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Cynthia Nero <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:11 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Cynthia Nero 46 Cerro Blanco Rd Lamy, NM 87540-9524 (914) 980-7303

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	
of Lucy Lippard <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>	
Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:11 AM	
Jose Larranaga	
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Lucy Lippard 14 Avenida Vieja Galisteo, NM 87540-9783

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ulrike Porat <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:12 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly upper, consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Ulrike Porat 211 Rosario Blvd Apt 5 Santa Fe, NM 87501-1391 (505) 819-3157

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Heather Karlson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:13 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
Subject.	Please deny strip-mining application on La bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Heather Karlson PO Box 8371 Santa Fe, NM 87504-8371

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Virginia De Saulniers <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:42 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Strongly or a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Miss Virginia De Saulniers 3232 1/2 La Avenida De San Mar Santa Fe, NM 87507

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Leah Gibbons <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:42 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

and a state of the second second

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

10

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Leah Gibbons 115 Moore St Santa Fe, NM 87501-1733 (505) 231-2358

From:	Karen Torres
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 9:09 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	FW: Rockology Draft Response
Attachments:	Rockology Water Budget- 2nd Review.docx
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

From: Karen Torres Sent: Monday, August 11, 2014 5:20 PM To: Adam Leigland Subject: Rockology Draft Response

Attached is a draft response to the memo from Jim Siebert. Sorry for the 11th hour on this.....had multiple fires to put out.

If this is acceptable, Jose will pass it out at the BCC meeting along with the applicant's memo.

Karen M. Torres Santa Fe County- Public Works Department Hydrogeologist PO Box 276 Santa Fe, NM 87504-276 Office - (505) 992-9871 Fax - 992-3028

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Tom Shillinglaw <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

AT LE

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Shillinglaw PO Box 1657 Santa Fe, NM 87504-1657

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of R.C. Luna <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 4:43 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Signa elegences, so a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

 Rockologyinc. for this mine permit.

 Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Please vote NO.

 Thank you,

 Sincerely,

 Ms. R.C. Luna

 2762 Via Venado

 Santa Fe, NM 87505-6726

 (505) 820-9318

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
	of Paula Lozar <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:28 PM
To:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Paula Lozar 7499 Sagebrush Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-8413

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Julie Moss <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:29 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Julie Moss 516 Rio Grande Ave Apt 1 Santa Fe, NM 87501-1699 (310) 450-0790

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sharon Dogruel <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:49 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

and the second second

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Dogruel 11 W Gutierrez Unit 3458 Santa Fe, NM 87506-0217 (505) 455-7612

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gary Brooker <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:49 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sterra Club, Force, a Coup consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Gary Brooker 550 Canyon Rd Santa Fe, NM 87501-2720 (000) 000-0000

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Joan O'Donnell <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan O'Donnell 1820 Tewa Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-3350 (505) 988-1675

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Terry Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywider impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Terry Smith 7408b Old Santa Fe Trl Santa Fe, NM 87505-9576 (505) 577-0648

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lorna Rankin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you, Lorna Rankin

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorna Rankin 407 Graham Ave Santa Fe, NM 87501-1658

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Fitzhugh Cline <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Ms. Fitzhugh Cline
103 Catron St
Santa Fe, NM 87501-1875

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robin Lackey <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Robin Lackey 4262 Cacurs Flower Lane Santa Fe, NM 87507 (505) 670-4474

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nancy Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:44 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Nancy Brown 2925 Pueblo Pintado Santa Fe, NM 87507-2535

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nancy Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As I a a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nancy Brown 2925 Pueblo Pintado Santa Fe, NM 87507-2535

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Cynthia Hayes <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 5:45 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Cynthia Hayes 1896 Lorca Dr Apt 46 Santa Fe, NM 87505-6006

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of George Price <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:12 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. George Price 15b Vuelta Chamisa Santa Fe, NM 87506-7936

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Bridget Green <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Bridget Green 919 Don Juan St Santa Fe, NM 87501-2409 (505) 699-8694

an alter the maintainers i

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Claudia Jessup <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Claudia Jessup 802 Apodaca Hill St Santa Fe, NM 87501-5910 (505) 982-4049

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Janet Fletcher <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Monday, August 11, 2014 6:15 PM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Ciup, Factoria, Siena, Social consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, I can not personalize this as I am given to bouts of profanity. I do, however, think it is deserved.

Have you no decency at all? Really?

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Fletcher 18 High View Ln Santa Fe, NM 87508-8082

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
	of Ken Hughes <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:17 PM
Го:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

My adopted daughter grew up in the tiny village of La Bajada. She now lives in Argentina or otherwise would be front and center in opposing this mine.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Hughes 2300 W Alameda St Apt B1 Santa Fe, NM 87507-9656 (505) 474-0550

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Karen Schultheis <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cius, Facensi, F

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Karen Schultheis 34 Sendero De Corazon Santa Fe, NM 87505-4610

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Cheryl Nelsen <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Nelsen 1601 Salvador Pl Santa Fe, NM 87501-1752 (505) 992-0046

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mary McCormick <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:41 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

WHY IS THIS STILL A QUESTION? THERE HAS BEEN ENORMOUS OPPOSITION TO THIS STRIP MINE. HOW CAN YOU STILL **BE DEBATING THE ISSUE?**

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you,

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary McCormick PO Box 24188 Santa Fe, NM 87502-9188

We will be entry

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sandy Rasich <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:41 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandy Rasich 2300 W Alameda St Apt C3 Santa Fe, NM 87507-9657

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jack Inson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Jack Inson 2 Camerada Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8233 (505) 470-3549

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on beh of Kirsten Lear <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	alf
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:43 PM	
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	,

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Kirsten Lear 219 Anita Pl Santa Fe, NM 87505-8805 (505) 983-4232

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Tara Russo <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:22 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group or Sierra Ciup, Factore, Society, Society,

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Tara Russo 3740 Academy Rd Ste D Santa Fe, NM 87507-3447

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Erika Wanenmacher <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:23 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Erika Wanenmacher 1422 Agua Fria St Santa Fe, NM 87505-0960 (505) 984-2301

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Susan Pence <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:26 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cup, Estimation, and the consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Susan Pence Sincerely, Ms. Susan Pence 2988 Plaza Azul Santa Fe, NM 87507-5338



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jason Merkoski <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:26 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Jason Merkoski 3 Camino De Los Angelitos Galisteo, NM 87540-9764 (505) 466-1400

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of David LaPlantz <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 1:28 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

に対応

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Dear Santa Fe County Commissioners:



I live not very far from this proposed strip mine site. I do not wish this site to be ruined. I don't want the dust and skies fouled either.

fouled either. Like many residents in the Downs area, I am on a water well. I don't want my water to be disrupted by such strip mining And I don't want other people water to do up or be used to strip mine when drinking water is so presidue. And I don't want other people water to dry up or be used to strip mine when drinking water is so precious.

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the long lasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

David LaPlantz Santa Fe County Resisdent

Sincerely,



Mr. David LaPlantz 69a Las Estrellas Santa Fe, NM 87507-8517

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Julia Berman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:29 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Julia Berman 947 Camino De Chelly Santa Fe, NM 87505-6261

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nancy Grabowski <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:30 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.



Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Haven't we done enough damage to our environment? When will this nonsense finally stop. We are mining all over the country and NM already Let's stop it already and preserve La Bajada Mesa for generations to come. PLEASE PLEAS

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Andrew Lustig <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:32 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Andrew Lustig 794 Camino Los Abuelos Lamy, NM 87540-9695 (505) 473-9333

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of John Buchser <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:32 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cup, 1 Strong, 200-consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. John Buchser 606 Alto St Santa Fe, NM 87501-2519 (505) 820-0201

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Katherine Ochotsky <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:34 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Ochotsky 3357 Cerrillos Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-2942

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Francine Foster <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Monday, August 11, 2014 1:35 PM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuup, 1900, 190

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Francine Foster 2303 Cedros Cir Santa Fe, NM 87505-5252 (505) 473-1067

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Barry MacKichan <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:36 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Barry MacKichan 751 Calle Picacho Santa Fe, NM 87505-6607 (505) 984-9148

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Saundra Fox <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:36 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cius, Estimation, Estimatio, Estimation, Estimation

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Saundra Fox PO Box 3202 Edgewood, NM 87015-3202

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Donna Wells <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:38 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Donna Wells 5 Torreon Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-2208 (505) 466-4914

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mervin Moore <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:39 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, Estense, estense,

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Mervin Moore 245 Closson St Santa Fe, NM 87501-2533 (915) 474-3948

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Donald Emery <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:38 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Donald Emery 930 Paseo De Andres Santa Fe, NM 87501-6168 (505) 982-8383

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sydney Walter <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:39 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cius, Fourier, F

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Sydney Walter 2501 W Zia Rd Apt 6202 Santa Fe, NM 87505-5755 (505) 438-3929



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Marion Jackson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:37 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marion Jackson 900 Calle Arco Santa Fe, NM 87501-1013

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Royal Jackson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:39 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciub, Forces, Edg., consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Royal Jackson 28 Old Agua Fria Rd W Santa Fe, NM 87508-9038 (505) 995-9870

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jill Stthomas <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jill Stthomas 39 Yerba Buena Cerrillos, NM 87010 (303) 800-8509

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Royal Jackson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Monday, August 11, 2014 1:39 PM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Royal Jackson 28 Old Agua Fria Rd W Santa Fe, NM 87508-9038 (505) 995-9870



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Michelle Hoffman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Hoffman 6329 Milagro Estrella Santa Fe, NM 87507-1624

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of John Burnett-Ragueneau <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:41 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. John Burnett-Ragueneau 619 Baca St Santa Fe, NM 87505-0915

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Paula Tackett <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:44 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Regardless of the staff recommendation, the final decision rests with you, the elected decision-makers. Please, vote NO.

Thank you, Paula Tackett

Sincerely,

Ms. Paula Tackett 7459b Old Santa Fe Trl Santa Fe, NM 87505-9578 (505) 982-3784

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Michael Giannuzzi <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cius, Establish, and consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Michael Giannuzzi PO Box 3160 Edgewood, NM 87015-3160 (505) 286-1646

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robin Pascal <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Robin Pascal 58 Moriarty Rd Edgewood, NM 87015-7049 (505) 286-1783

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Leslie Burnside <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Leslie Burnside PO Box 1600 Tesuque, NM 87574-1600



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Eddie Soloway <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Eddie Soloway PO Box 6745 Santa Fe, NM 87502-6745 (505) 466-6030

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Clark Zrakovi <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:53 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, Factoria, For a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Clark Zrakovi 89 Rio Vista Pl Santa Fe, NM 87501-1571 (505) 982-0944

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gudrun Hoerig <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:54 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Gudrun Hoerig 723 Gomez Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-8823 (505) 466-2115


From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lorraine Gilmore <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:53 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jon Asher <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:53 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I urge a NO vote on this proposal.

The materials sought are readily available elsewhere.

The permanent destruction of this aesthetically valuable land isn't justified for any reason, certainly not the profits of a private company, which will depart the area the second they decide that they've reached the end of available materials. That further means that any "repairs" to the land will be borne by the taxpayers, because we've seen privately held companies do this time and time again.

They'll declare bankruptcy, leaving Santa Fe County residents with the burden of "fixing" what they leave behind.

The use and transport of millions of gallons of water that might be better used for other purposes is reason enough to vote NO on this proposal.

Thank you.

Jon Asher Glorieta

Sincerely,

Mr. Jon Asher 226 La Cueva Rd Glorieta, NM 87535-7000 (505) 757-6516

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dwight Finkel <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 1:54 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Dwight Finkel 2 Dream Catcher Santa Fe, NM 87506-1202 (505) 820-0567



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Deirdre Campbell <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:54 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Deirdre Campbell 4884 La Junta Del Alamo Santa Fe, NM 87507-7861 (575) 654-4520

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Gaston <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:55 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Patricia Gaston 530 Garcia St Apt 10 Santa Fe, NM 87505-2869



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Don Hanover <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 1:57 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Hanover 2808 Vereda De Pueblo Santa Fe, NM 87507-5386 (505) 975-6003

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of William Bowman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. William Bowman 46 Estambre Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8769



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of daryl stanton <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. daryl stanton PO Box 9504 Santa Fe, NM 87504-9504 (505) 982-4430

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Arthur Judd <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:03 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application de RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Arthur Judd PO Box 5362 Santa Fe, NM 87502-5362



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Deanna Draudt <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:07 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Deanna Draudt 22 Frasco Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8842

F	ro	m	1:
			••

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Wendy Adler <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:13 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Class, Status, Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. The mine is neither wanted or needed. Why would you work against the wishes of your constituents in favor of a commercial enterprise that has no benefit to the community, environment or marketplace? We voted for you, now it is your turn to vote for us! Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Wendy Adler 1422 Santa Rosa Dr Santa Fe, NM 87505-3489 (505) 670-5768

(505) 670-5768

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of David Doty <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywida impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. David Doty 2751 Via Caballero Del Sur Santa Fe, NM 87505-6532 (505) 473-1924

F	r	0	n	n	:
٠	•	-	•	•••	•

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ronald Christ <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:13 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Christ 40 Camino Cielo Santa Fe, NM 87506-2115 (505) 231-5016

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Peter Cummings <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:14 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Peter Cummings 32 Timberwick rd. SFE , NM,87508

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Cummings 32 Timberwick Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8908 (831) 402-3533

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
	of Samantha Ruscavage-Barz <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:15 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Niexico Group of Sicha Glas, Ferrary, Solar consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by



Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Samantha Ruscavage-Barz 127 E Santa Fe Ave Santa Fe, NM 87505-2647



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Deborah Harmon <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:18 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please help us to keep our pristine and beautiful areas of NM, La Bajada Mesa being one of them.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Harmon 2 Broken Rock Pl Santa Fe, NM 87508-1342 (301) 652-7369

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Malcolm MacPherson, Ph.D. <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:15 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Malcolm MacPherson, Ph.D. 34 Coyote Mountain Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-8178 (505) 989-9502



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Denise Shreeve <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:19 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Denise Shreeve 18 Arroyo Rdg Santa Fe, NM 87508-1360 (703) 893-2247

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ramos Medina <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:19 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cub, 1980, 1987, 2007, consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Ramos Medina 30a W Sombrillo Rd Espanola, NIM 87532-9600

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Fred Nugent <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:22 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Nugent 952 Santander Ln Santa Fe, NM 87505-0082 (505) 988-9137

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Michal Curry <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:23 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Rockologyinc. for this mine permit.
Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Ms. Michal Curry
PO Box 508
Tesuque, NM 87574-0508

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lucy Foma <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:23 PM
To:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lucy Foma 621 Alarid St Santa Fe, NM 87505-3035 (505) 310-4489

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ryan Toups <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:23 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cub, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 2000,

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Ryan Toups 36 Raven Ravine Santa Fe, NM 87507-4291 (504) 252-9244

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of George Cappannelli <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:24 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I am strongly opposed to strip-mining on La Bajada Mesa

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. George Cappannelli 119 Sunlit Dr W Santa Fe, NM 87508-9383

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Noe Villareal <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:24 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Noe Villareal 3 Feather Bush Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-2290 (505) 690-2043

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Micayla Duran <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:25 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Micayla Duran 2928 Pueblo Pintado Santa Fe, NM 87507-2534 (505) 690-1723

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Grady Stem <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:25 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Class, Forces, Society, Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application By

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Grady Stem 88 Woods Loop Santa Fe, NM 87505-1449 (505) 988-7152

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sandra Halpin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:26 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Halpin 1308 Luana St Santa Fe, NM 87505-3239

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gary Eschman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:28 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Gary Eschman 5 Alteza Santa Fe, NM 87508-2218 (505) 466-2191

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Marlin McKenna <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:30 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Marlin McKenna 804 Galisteo St Santa Fe, NM 87505-8814 (505) 699-9181

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sara Novenson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:30 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,
Sincerely,

Ms. Sara Novenson 706 Columbia St Santa Fe, NM 87505-4005

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dennis Lopez <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:31 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

It is horrifying just to imagine the MESS!

Please vote NO.

Thank you, Dennis Lopez

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Lopez 7517 Kachina Loop Santa Fe, NM 87507-8426

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Diane Pattara <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:33 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I attended the public hearing on the strip mining application in June and I was shocked to hear the facts against this

application. Water is a precious resource here. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and yote to DENX the application by

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Diane Pattara 303 Alegre St Santa Fe, NM 87501-1303

Santa Fe, NM 87501-1303 (512) 698-8763

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Leslie Pearlman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:33 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Leslie Pearlman 325 W Cordova Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-1809

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Wilbert Hobbs <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:37 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Series (2007, 2008), 2008, 200 consider the long lasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Wilbert Hobbs 2116 Calle De Sebastian Santa Fe, NM 87505-7314 (505) 984-3032

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Diana Hardy <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Diana Hardy 2363 Camino Carlos Rey Santa Fe, NM 87507-5205

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Faith Crosby <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:43 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely,

Mrs. Faith Crosby 1628 Jay St Santa Fe, NM 87505-3809 (505) 955-0727

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Pamela Gilchirst <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Gilchirst 3466 Cerrillos Rd Apt C2 Santa Fe, NM 87507-2969

From: Sent:	Jennifer Jenkins <jennifer@jenkinsgavin.com> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:43 PM</jennifer@jenkinsgavin.com>
То:	Jose Larranaga
Cc:	'Hillary Welles'
Subject:	Rancho Viejo Plat
Attachments:	9175-DIV 8-5-14.pdf

Hi Jose,

The revised Rancho Viejo plat is attached for one final review. I would like to plot the mylar and deliver it to you tomorrow.

Thank you.

Jennifer Jenkins JenkinsGavin Design & Development, Inc. 130 Grant Avenue, Suite 101 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Ph. (505) 820-7444 jennifer@jenkinsgavin.com www.jenkinsgavin.com

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Maureen Whelan <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:47 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Maureen Whelan 1500 Pacheco St Apt 806 Santa Fe, NM 87505-4927 (505) 983-7653

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Judith Sellars <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:48 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Class, Esteral, and consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Judith Sellars 327 Delgado St Santa Fe, NM 87501-2756 (505) 982-3416

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lawrence Israel <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:50 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Lawrence Israel 3929 Fairly Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-3294 (505) 670-6897

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ruth Sabiers <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:51 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of the San Marcos neighborhood in Santa Fe County and as a member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and to vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

and to vote to DENY the application by Kockologynic. for this inne permit.
Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. You have, no doubt, reviewed this testimony and realize that the required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
If for no other reason than this, please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Ms. Ruth Sabiers
PO Box 29537
Santa Fe, NM 87592-9537



From:	Karen Torres
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:51 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga; Adam Leigland; Claudia I. Borchert
Cc:	Vicki Lucero
Subject:	RE: Sieberts response to water Budget Review

Hello Jose

I have a quick question....do you have a copy or a reference to the reseeding ordinance Jim Siebert wrote about in his memo of last Friday?

I am drafting a response for clarification purposes.

Thanks

kt

From: Jose Larranaga
Sent: Friday, August 08, 2014 1:48 PM
To: Adam Leigland; Karen Torres; Claudia I. Borchert
Cc: Vicki Lucero
Subject: Sieberts response to water Budget Review

<< File: Rockology response to Water Budget Review.pdf >>

Jose E. Larranaga Development Review Team Leader Building and Development Services Santa Fe County 102 Grant Avenue, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87504 (505) 986-6296

<< OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Wood <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:53 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

As a resident of Santa Fe County, I have invested enormous amounts of time, effort and money doing everything As a resident of Santa Fe County, I have invested enormous amounts of time, effort and money doing everything possible to use less water - day to day, week to week, year to year. Approval of this strip mining application will be a slap in the face to me and thousands of New Mexicans who are using less and less water each year. Personally, I have cut my water usage in half during the short four years living here through very expensive home/plumbing improvements. Why should I bother to shut off my sink water while washing my hands if you think it a grand idea to waste millions of gallons of watar - and it does not matter where the water comes from - on unneeded GRAVEL? Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required MILLIONS of gallons of water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Water is a precious resource. Don't waste a drop on this strip mine. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Wood 74b Jacinto Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-9179 (505) 466-6713

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Guthrie Miller <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:55 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Very many of us here in Santa Fe are concerned about this issue. We recognize the strong economic forces threatening the beautiful natural environment we are blessed with here. Please be assured that many people are paying attention.

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Guthrie Miller 509 Camino Lejo Santa Fe, NM 87505-7518 (505) 954-4224

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riògrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Liberty Blueskyes <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riògrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:56 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely,

Ms. Liberty Blueskyes 2 Dovela Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-8859 (505) 428-0666

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gunnar Plake <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:57 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Gunnar Plake 427 San Antonio St Santa Fe, NM 87505-2846 (505) 988-7136

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Barbara Rucker <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:58 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sterra energy of Deriver energy of Sterra ene

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Barbara Rucker 40 Rons Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-6628

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Barb Higgins <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:59 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Barb Higgins 1433 Paseo Norteno Santa Fe, NM 87507-5183 (505) 474-7683

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Kenny Stanberry <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:59 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Class, Forester, Fo

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Kenny Stanberry 915 Vuelta Del Sur Santa Fe, NM 87507-7751

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Debbie Romero <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Debbie Romero PO Box 6893 Santa Fe, NM 87502-6893

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
	of Mary Rogers-Perry <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:04 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern new member of the Northern new member of the Northern new member of the Sonta Fe County and member of the Northern new members of the Northern new member of the Northern new member of the Northern new members of the Northern new membe

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Mary Rogers-Perry 5 Torneo Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-8345 (505) 466-2430

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dyanna Taylor <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:07 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As 26 year resident of Santa Fe County, and long time proponent of open spaces and viewsheds for the public, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. If such a permit were granted, we would live with the negative impacts of such an operation for the rest of our days and children's days here in Santa Fe County! The water use alone would be

unconscionable. The testimonies from experts in all fields have well

advised us against such a blight to our environment and to our La Bajada welcome in and out of Santa Fe. Not to mention the benefit of such an operation would go only into the hands of Rockology Inc. Santa Fe County could easily be faced with costs related to regulation enforcement and clean up of the destruction they will cause.

Please vote NO on the project. thank you.

Thank you,

Dyanna Taylor

Sincerely,

Ms. Dyanna Taylor 15 Old Dog Run Santa Fe, NM 87508-9519 (505) 982-4214

_			
E	r0	m	•
			•

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Alfred Silvia <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:07 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Signa Case, result of consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Alfred Silvia 6473 Valentine Way Santa Fe, NM 87507-7238

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Tom Videen <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:09 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Videen 54 La Serena Trl Santa Fe, NM 87506-7780

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dennis & Dona Hoilman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Rockologyinc. for this mine permit.
Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Dr. Dennis & Dona Hoilman
15 E Chili Line Rd
Santa Fe, NM 87508-1395
(505) 473-2205

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Janet Lincoln <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

In addition, we vote in large numbers and we remember what behaviors our officials show.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Lincoln 2712 Herradura Rd Unit A Santa Fe, NM 87505-6803 (512) 791-7366

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Hollis Wood <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Hollis Wood 706 Sosaya St Santa Fe, NM 87505-2854 do not call



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Javier Fernandez <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Javier Fernandez 14 Camino Don Fidel Santa Fe, NM 87507-7909

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nancy King <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Rockologyinc. for this mine permit.
Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Dr. Nancy King
1224 Vallecita Dr
Santa Fe, NM 87501-8803
(505) 986-1040

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Margaret Kuhlen <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:14 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

In addition, confidence in this company is marginal at best and any projections about adequacy of water needed for this project should not be trusted. No amount of water can insure the quality of air our citizens deserve to minimize further health risks of increased asthma in our children. Noise pollution, water pollution, light pollution and air pollution are all more certainty than risks.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Margaret Kuhlen 2800 Cerrillos Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-2313

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of George Rubottom <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:15 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, 19800, 1980, 1980, 19

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. George Rubottom 548 Alto St Apt A Santa Fe, NM 87501-3536 (505) 988-2925

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mark Chalom <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:15 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Chalom 52 Calimo Cir Santa Fe, NM 87505-8984 (505) 670-8853

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Joseph Tedesco <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the long lasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application Rockology, Inc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create and I am adding my name to that number. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Tedesco 2500 Rancho Siringo Dr Santa Fe, NM 87505-5533 (505) 795-6100

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mark Zolnick <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Mark Zolnick 8 Pinon Ridge Ln Santa Fe, NM 87506-7563 (505) 820-1238

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Stacy Burkman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:17 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Stacy Burkman 3748 Valmora Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-4554 (505) 670-3445

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Adrian Engel <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:18 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Adrian Engel 3361 Vista Del Prado Ct Santa Fe, NM 87507-4884

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Stacy Burkman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:18 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

 RockologyInc. for this mine permit.
 Image: Constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Please vote NO.
 Image: Constituents, control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Sincerely,
 Image: Constituent and the control alone should automatically disqualify the control alo



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Barbara Gage <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:19 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Gage 1569 La Cieneguita Santa Fe, NM 87507-5658 (505) 424-0302

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Pamela Denning <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:21 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
-	

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Huge out of state companies want our resources and our WATER. Check out the reputation on Rockolory. There is a reason they are not listed with the BBB, also, check out a guy on the board of Rockology, Jerry Geroghty, see what he is CEO of, and follow the cleanup history of Vectron Corp., Try Terre Haute, Indiana Please vote NO.

PS. Hi Liz, I know you will not be voting for this. Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Denning 4303 Acequia Ln Santa Fe, NM 87507-7155 (505) 204-6467

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Peter Roche <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:24 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Roche 2916 Avenida Alamosa Apt C Santa Fe, NM 87507-1596

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Carlton <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:25 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Gierra Cido, Control, Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Patricia Carlton 500 Rodeo Rd Apt 1121 Santa Fe, NM 87505-6367 (505) 988-1596

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Teresa Seamster <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:25 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Do you remember the "old days" when real estate agents said they could charge 20% more for Santa Fe property because of the "blue sky"! Blue sky, clean air, beautiful views and sound land use choices - that you CAN put a real value on!

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Teresa Seamster 104 Vaquero Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8190 (505) 466-8964

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Richard Khanlian <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:26 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciub, I Strong, Sierra Ciub, Sierra Ciu

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywidal impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Richard Khanlian 2744 Herradura Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-6801

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Rita Gentry <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:27 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Rita Gentry 3466 Cerrillos Rd Apt B1 Santa Fe, NM 87507-2967 (505) 699-5446

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sarah Lovett <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:27 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a 40-year resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywided impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

This would be a short-sighted project with very damaging consequences to New Mexico's appeal as a recreational and tourist destination.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sarah Lovett
Sincerely,
Ms. Sarah Lovett
PO Box 6692
Santa Fe, NM 87502-6692

Santa Fe, NM 87502-6692 (505) 466-0117

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jordan Minkin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:27 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Jordan Minkin 2207 Vela Street Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-913-0048

Sincerely,

Mr. Jordan Minkin 2207 Vela St Santa Fe, NM 87505-5607 (505) 913-0048

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Eileen Torpey <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:28 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sterra Curb, Factor, and consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Eileen Torpey Sincerely, Ms. Eileen Torpey 118 Mesa Verde St Santa Fe, NM 87501-1730



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sier of Georgann Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sier 	raclub.org> on behalf
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:28 PM '	
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

The possible damage to foundations of existing homes and businesses for many miles. We just do not need the mine period.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Georgann Wright 10 Cloud March E Santa Fe, NM 87506-2171

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lura Brookins <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:36 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cido, Fortuno, e.g., consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Lura Brookins PO Box 1362 Santa Fe, NM 87504-1362 (505) 820-7017

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jan Novak <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Jan Novak 250 E Alameda St Apt 333 Santa Fe, NM 87501-2142 (505) 989-3391

From:	Sier
From:	
	of Ju
Sent:	Mor
To:	Jose
Subject:	Plea

ra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf udith Novak <sierra@sierraclub.org> nday, August 11, 2014 3:40 PM e Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Santa Fe County and Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Santa Fe County and Sa

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Judith Novak 250 E Alameda St Apt 333 Santa Fe, NM 87501-2142 (505) 989-3391



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Philip Ciaffa <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,


Mr. Philip Ciaffa 60 Vaquero Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8376 (505) 466-4260

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Singer Rankin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,





From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Rachel Hollis <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Rachel Hollis 5309 Circita En Medio Santa Fe, NM 87507-4928

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Rock <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Octave and Vote to DENY the application by consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Patricia Rock 6420 Vooscane Ave Cochiti Lake, NM 87083-6000 (505) 465-2082



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patricia Rock <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Rock 6420 Vooscane Ave Cochiti Lake, NM 87083-6000 (505) 465-2082

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Bob Desmond <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Bob Desmond 39 Azul Loop Santa Fe, NM 87508-8243 (505) 466-1786



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robert Rock <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Rock 6420 Vooscane Ave Cochiti Lake, NM 87083-6000 (505) 465-2082

_	
From:	

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carol Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:46 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Club, Force, and a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Carol Brown 3600 Cerrillos Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-2612 (505) 474-8858



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carol Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Brown 3600 Cerrillos Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-2612 (505) 474-8858

-			
Fr	0	m.	
	U,		


Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carol Johnson <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 3:46 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Close, result of a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by





From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Anne Widmark <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:47 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Widmark 369 Montezuma Ave # 572 Santa Fe, NM 87501-2835 (505) 986-9505

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of John Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:48 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sterra Club, Force, and a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application with

Rockologyline, for this mine permit.
Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.
Please vote NO.
Thank you,
Sincerely,
Mr. John Wright
10 Cloud March E
Santa Fe, NM 87506-2171
(505) 603-7952

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gail MacQuesten <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:54 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Please deny the application of RockologyInc. to operate a strip-mine on La Bajada Mesa.

There is no need for a strip-mine at this location. And the negative impact to Santa Fe County from such an operation would be substantial.

We should not allow damage to the scenic entry to the City of Santa Fe. And we should not use our precious water - even wastewater- to further such damage.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Gail MacQuesten

Sincerely,

Ms. Gail MacQuesten 4 Frasco Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-8860 (505) 466-0532

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Houston Davis <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:58 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting and negative consequences of a stripmine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Houston Davis 10 Camino Montuoso Santa Fe, NM 87506-8520 (505) 995-1987

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dmitri Cramer <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:59 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Dmitri Cramer 909 Placita Chaco Santa Fe, NM 87505-6253 (505) 577-4603

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Rose Allen <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 3:59 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
	5

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

No No No! Thank you.

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application be RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Rose Allen PO Box 965 Santa Fe, NM 87504-0965 (503) 961-2242 As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to

(503) 961-2242

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carol Sky <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Sky 705 Pinon Dr Santa Fe, NM 87501-1337 (505) 820-9879

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of debra zabinski <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:00 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by 前的 RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. debra zabinski 35 stacy rd santa fe, NM 87505

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Craig Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:01 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Anderson 173 Las Estrellas Santa Fe, NM 87507

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Susan Bergholz <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:02 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern new member of the Northern new member of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Susan Bergholz 61 Rancho Magdalena Lamy, NM 87540-9793 (505) 466-7776

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ron Helman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:03 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Ron Helman 5614 B, Hwy. 41 Galisteo, NM 87540

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Alan Deuter <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:05 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Alan Deuter 243 Closson St Santa Fe, NM 87501-2958



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Linda Wiener <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:05 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Please vote to DENY the application by Rockology Inc. for a mining permit on La Bajada Mesa.

The worst problem is the huge amount of water this project would require. Water is precious, and is needed for agriculture and for the community. There is no shortage of gravel in the area and so there is no pressing need for this mine. Save our water. Save La Bajada residents, both human and animal. Vote NO on the mine.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Linda Wiener 1055 Mansion Ridge Rd Santa Fe, NM 87501-1049

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mary Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:07 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, 1900, 190

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Mary Clark 7 Chapala Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-2206 (505) 466-3236



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Diane Atwell <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:07 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Atwell 1428 Don Gaspar Ave Santa Fe, NM 87505-4657

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Vicki Altenberg <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:07 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cius, Forenar, Song, Song, Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Vicki Altenberg 2667 Via Berrenda Santa Fe, NM 87505-6731 (505) 471-4323

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Audre Gutierrez <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:09 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Audre Gutierrez 51 N Shining Sun Santa Fe, NM 87506-8738 (505) 438-0855

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nona Lee Gregg <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Nexico Group or Sierra Club, Force, and so consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by BackelegyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. It's a no brainer that the strip-mining should not be allowed!!!!Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Miss Nona Lee Gregg 3471 Cerrillos Rd Trlr 69 Santa Fe, NM 87507-7219

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Roberts French <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Roberts French PO Box 33650 Santa Fe, NM 87594-3650

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Paul Luehrmann <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I am unable to attend the public meeting due to business travel but I wish you to know my strong opinions.

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Luehrmann 223 N Guadalupe St PMB 294 Santa Fe, NM 87501-1868 (505) 995-8167

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Bert Snyder <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:12 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Bert Snyder 61 Rancho Magdalena Lamy, NM 87540-9793

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lee Thompson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:12 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, Estimation, and the consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Lee Thompson 50 Tano Alto Santa Fe, NM 87506-7504 (505) 820-0271

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Randy Weber <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the human race, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Is the cost justified? Could the water resources be put to better use?

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Randy Weber 32 Camino Hasta Manana Santa Fe, NM 87506-7956

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sarah Sisk <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, Estimation, and the consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Sarah Sisk 11 Camino Del Gallo Lamy, NM 87540-9690 (505) 466-8621

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Deborah Boldt <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Boldt 6 Torneo Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-8823

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dorothy Seaton <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Dorothy Seaton 4156 Big Sky Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-3805 (512) 618-3600

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Frederick & Florence Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:17 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As residents of Santa Fe County and members of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, We strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. We enjoy the views of La Bajada mesa every time we drive to Albuquerque or Tent Rocks and would hate to see the area disturbed.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Frederick & Florence Wright 32 E Chili Line Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-1387 (505) 473-3665

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Alvaro Garcia <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:44 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Alvaro Garcia 1601 La Cieneguita Apt C6 Santa Fe, NM 87507-5665 (505) 990-4395

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sally Wright <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:44 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Sally Wright 2500 Sawmill Rd Apt 1512 Santa Fe, NM 87505-5691 (951) 808-5826

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jennifer Graves <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:45 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Jennifer Graves 1841 Arroyo Chamiso Santa Fe, NM 87505-5771 (212) 663-5133



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sydney Walter <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 9:12 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

I hike on La Bajada and I love the place. Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Sydney Walter 2501 W Zia Rd Apt 6202 Santa Fe, NM 87505-5755 (505) 438-3929

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of M Morgan <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 6:45 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cias, Ferrary, Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. M Morgan 1308 San Jose Ave Santa Fe, NM 87505-3348

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Stephanie Nemet <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Stephanie Nemet PO Box 23269 Santa Fe, NM 87502-3269 (505) 555-1212

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gail Walker <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Gail Walker 204 E Santa Fe Ave Santa Fe, NM 87505-2650 (505) 660-8047

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of cynthia lux <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. cynthia lux 52a Las Tres Galisteo, NM 87540-9663

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Heidi Schulman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:47 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciuo, 19800, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 19



Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Heidi Schulman 128 Mesa Vista St Santa Fe, NM 87501-1732



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Keith Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:12 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

You can not rezone a property unless it is for the benefit of the public. This clearly is not.

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Anderson 2227 Calle Cacique Santa Fe, NM 87505-4944 (505) 984-2563

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nancy Wheeler <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:14 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, Forestart, Soura, Sou

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Nancy Wheeler 2c Wymas Dr Santa Fe, NM 87506-2667 (505) 455-2858

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on beha of Jefferson Davis <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	lf
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:15 PM	à
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Jefferson Davis 707 E Palace Ave Apt 33 Santa Fe, NM 87501-6403 (505) 983-6891

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jill West <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by n Ci RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Then there is the destruction of the beauty of the Galisteo Basin area and views. This part of the county with its Old New Mexico feel and pristine environment is a treasure not to be invaded and destroyed. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Jill West 830 Camino Los Abuelos Galisteo, NM 87540 Sincerely,

Mrs. Jill West 830 Camino Los Abuelos Galisteo, NM 87540-9675

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Cindy Clark <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:41 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cindy Clark 16 Lime Kiln Rd Lamy, NM 87540-9673 (505) 466-6950

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Carolyn D'Alessandro <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:41 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group or Sierra Cuub, Estimation, and a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. I beg you to vote NO...Please for New Mexico. Thank you so much Please vote NO. Thank you, Carolyn D'Alessandro 2945 Calle del Res Santa Fe,NM 87505 Sincerely, Ms. Carolyn D'Alessandro 2945 Calle Del Res Santa Fe, NM 87505-6718 (505) 603-2746

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Helen Desmith <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:44 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Desmith 1500 Pacheco St Apt 206 Santa Fe, NM 87505-4920 (505) 470-7690

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robert Stearns <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 7:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

Now is the time to stand up for common sense on the strip mine question! I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Robert Stearns 329 Sena St Santa Fe, NM 87505-8869 (505) 988-3718

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Niki Wheeler <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:14 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Niki Wheeler 1925 Aspen Dr Ste 400a Santa Fe, NM 87505-5491 (505) 986-9976


From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Angela Macelli <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:14 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, 1980, 1987, 1987, 1987, 1987, 200



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Paul Rice <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Déar Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Rice 35 Cerro Alto Rd Lamy, NM 87540-9518 (505) 466-4885

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Frieda Levine <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:14 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and New Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and Medice Crosperson of Santa Fe County and New Medice

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Frieda Levine 54 Cerro Blanco Rd Lamy, Nm 87540 Sincerely, Mrs. Frieda Levine 54 Cerro Blanco Rd Lamy, NM 87540-9524 (595) 466-3033

(595) 466-3033

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gary Cronin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:15 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Cronin 1991 Siringo Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-5442 (505) 310-4972

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Catherine Kirkwood <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, 1900, 190

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Catherine Kirkwood 1015 Calle Lento Santa Fe, NM 87501-1025

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dharma Best <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Dharma Best 27 Rancho Manana Santa Fe, NM 87506-7011

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Linda Young <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group or Sierra Cius, 19800, 1980, 1980, 19

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. In this State, which has been in a drought for at least 10 years and at best is a desert, it is foolhardy to even consider using this rare resource in such a careless way. We don't have it to spare. Vote NO. No other vote makes ANY sense whatsoever!!!! Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Linda Young PO Box 24228 Santa Fe, NM 87502-9228 (505) 501-3702

(505) 501-3702

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Livtar Khalsa <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Livtar Khalsa 28 Sombrillo Rd Espanola, NM 87532-9630

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jon Klingel <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:46 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cius, Establish, establish, consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Jon Klingel 47 Star Vista Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-4514 (505) 986-1771

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jolanda Krommenhoek <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jolanda Krommenhoek 106 Avenida Azul Santa Fe, NM 87508-8708 (505) 466-3437

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Katherine Berkowitz <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:10 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Guo, 19800, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 1980, 198

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Katherine Berkowitz 2513 Camino San Patricio Santa Fe, NM 87505-5822 (570) 404-2644

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Bert Ponce <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Bert Ponce 2302 Middle Ct Santa Fe, NM 87505-5847

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Leslie Staller <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
-	

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

We live in a desert. We can't even provide water for our river, our people, our animals and plants...We need to find alternative energy sources, not authorize more mining that will use precious water to support an unsustainable project

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on to Reised. consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please VOTE NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Staller 223 N Guadalupe St Santa Fe, NM 87501-1868

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lynne Loshbaugh <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynne Loshbaugh 536 Vista De La Ciudad Santa Fe, NM 87501-6303 (505) 988-9259

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ramona Ruark <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:17 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, Factoria, Social procession by consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Ramona Ruark 6556 Winding Ridge Loop Santa Fe, NM 87507-3187

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Chris Wismer <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:18 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Chris Wismer 29 Domingo Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8256 (505) 466-2707

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Margaret Beattie <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:18 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, Factors, Ford, consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Margaret Beattie 663 Canyon Rd Santa Fe, NM 87501-2721

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Dan Warner Warner <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Warner Warner 16 Vista Del Cerro Santa Fe, NM 87508-9303

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Patty. Conway <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:41 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Patty. Conway 1218 Apache Ave Santa Fe, NM 87505-3210



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Catherine Avery <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you, Keep NM free of environmentally disastrous industry!

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Avery PO Box 23792 Santa Fe, NM 87502-3792 (505) 577-4422

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sandy Anderson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 9:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuub, I Strong, Solar a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Sandy Anderson 296 Ojo De La Vaca Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8882

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Denise Saccone <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:10 PM
To:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Saccone 40b Camino Cerrado Santa Fe, NM 87506-7229 (505) 989-1233

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Russell Toal <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciuo, Estimation, Strong, Store, Ference of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by the strong of the strip mine nermit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Russell Toal 3 Cresta Del Angel Santa Fe, NM 87505-4579 (505) 988-7463

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jane Hanna <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:12 PM ·
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I live in Eldorado from where I can clearly see the Ortiz mountains and nearby La Bajada Mesa. Even if they were not in view of my house, I would oppose the mining being requested for anywhere in that area. The scar from previous mining on the slope of the mountain is clearly visible years after it was made.

There have been no positive reasons for allowing mining on the La Bajada Mesa and dozens of reasons to deny permission to do so. You surely have heard them all many times over so I won't repeat them. When so many of us who voted for you have expressed our very strong opposition to your granting permission to mine there, I can only hope that our efforts to prevent this will be heard. The consequences are all negative and would be extremely long-lasting. Future generations enjoyment of the La Bajada Mesa should also be considered as you make your decision about this mining request.

I urge you to DENY today's and future requests for mining on the mesa. Please vote NO.

Sincerely, Jane Hanna

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Hanna 10 Descanso Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-9125

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ann Morgan <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

It is quite clear at this point: Will you represent the citizens or will you represent the mining industry, whose only motive is profit/greed? As a citizen who attended the last meeting, and left feeling disappointed that a decision was not made when it was clear that the argument for strip-mining came from the handful of people who would benefit financially.

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sterra Coup, Forces, Sources, Coupling consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Ann Morgan 730 Juniper Dr Santa Fe, NM 87501-1363

Santa Fe, NM 87501-1363 (505) 983-8493



1.au

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Margaret Trujillo <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Margaret Trujillo San Acacio Santa Fe, NM 87505

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Dunham <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, i strongly was , -----consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Elizabeth Dunham 901 Nicole Pl Santa Fe, NM 87505-0705 (505) 820-6476

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Andrea Schafer <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 10:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Andrea Schafer 1012 Tierra Dr Santa Fe, NM 87505-5232 (505) 231-6440

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Diana Gries <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 11:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, i strongly one , consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by for this mine nermit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Diana Gries 3 Raudo Pl Santa Fe, NM 87508-8369 (505) 466-2961

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Hilary Bromberg <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 11:12 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Hilary Bromberg 3 Camino De Los Angelitos Galisteo, NM 87540-9764 (505) 466-6833

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Frank Croft <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 11:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
То:	Monday, August 11, 2014 11:40 PM Jose Larranaga

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Strongy Group, and the consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by the strip for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Frank Croft 8 Withers Peak Santa Fe, NM 87508-4816 (505) 703-9206



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Uphoria Blackham <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 12:11 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Uphoria Blackham PO Box 1888 Santa Fe, NM 87504-1888

a Clift <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
, August 12, 2014 1:10 AM
ranaga
eny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
1

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Strongry Group of Sierra Club, I Stro

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Carola Clift 5 Vuelta Yucca Santa Fe, NM 87506-1177 (505) 603-1857



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Holly Goldstein <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 6:11 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Holly Goldstein 747 Porvenir Ln Apt B Santa Fe, NM 87505-1082

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Tobin Oruch <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 7:12 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you. Sincerely,

Mr. Tobin Oruch 1011 Paseo De La Cuma Santa Fe, NM 87501-1221

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Rosemarie Lopez <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 7:12 AM	
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosemarie Lopez 217 Camino Encantado Santa Fe, NM 87501-1089

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gary Cronin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 8:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Gary Cronin 1991 Siringo Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-5442 (505) 310-4972

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Allison Fabara <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 7:41 AM	
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Allison Fabara 2732 La Bajada Santa Fe, NM 87505-5332

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lester Drapela <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 7:42 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly uper , ----consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Lester Drapela 3025 Pueblo Grande Santa Fe, NM 87507-2517 (505) 438-3306



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Faith Garfield <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:11 AM	
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Faith Garfield 128 Alamo Dr Santa Fe, NM 87501-1518

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Cynthia Nero <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:11 AM	
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Strongly May , -consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Cynthia Nero 46 Cerro Blanco Rd Lamy, NM 87540-9524 (914) 980-7303

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lucy Lippard <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:11 AM	
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Lucy Lippard 14 Avenida Vieja Galisteo, NM 87540-9783

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ulrike Porat <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:12 AM	
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I Science of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Ulrike Porat 211 Rosario Blvd Apt 5 Santa Fe, NM 87501-1391 (505) 819-3157

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Heather Karlson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:13 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Heather Karlson PO Box 8371 Santa Fe, NM 87504-8371

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Virginia De Saulniers <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:42 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Class, Estensi, e.g., consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Miss Virginia De Saulniers 3232 1/2 La Avenida De San Mar Santa Fe, NM 87507



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Leah Gibbons <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>	
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:42 AM	
То:	Jose Larranaga	
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa	

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Leah Gibbons 115 Moore St Santa Fe, NM 87501-1733 (505) 231-2358

From:	Karen Torres
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 9:09 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	FW: Rockology Draft Response
Attachments:	Rockology Water Budget- 2nd Review.docx
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

From: Karen Torres Sent: Monday, August 11, 2014 5:20 PM To: Adam Leigland Subject: Rockology Draft Response

Attached is a draft response to the memo from Jim Siebert. Sorry for the 11th hour on this.....had multiple fires to put out.

If this is acceptable, Jose will pass it out at the BCC meeting along with the applicant's memo.

Karen M. Torres Santa Fe County- Public Works Department Hydrogeologist PO Box 276 Santa Fe, NM 87504-276 Office - (505) 992-9871 Fax - 992-3028

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Tom Shillinglaw <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Shillinglaw PO Box 1657 Santa Fe, NM 87504-1657

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of R.C. Luna <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. R.C. Luna 2762 Via Venado Santa Fe, NM 87505-6726 (505) 820-9318



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Paula Lozar <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:28 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Paula Lozar 7499 Sagebrush Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-8413

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Julie Moss <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:29 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Julie Moss 516 Rio Grande Ave Apt 1 Santa Fe, NM 87501-1699 (310) 450-0790

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sharon Dogruel <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:49 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Dogruel 11 W Gutierrez Unit 3458 Santa Fe, NM 87506-0217 (505) 455-7612

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Gary Brooker <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:49 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

 Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid

 impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should

 automatically disqualify this project.

 Please vote NO.

 Thank you,

 Sincerely,

 Mr. Gary Brooker

 550 Canyon Rd

 Santa Fe, NM 87501-2720

 (000) 000-0000



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Joan O'Donnell <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan O'Donnell 1820 Tewa Rd Santa Fe, NM 87505-3350 (505) 988-1675

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Terry Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:16 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Terry Smith 7408b Old Santa Fe Trl Santa Fe, NM 87505-9576 (505) 577-0648

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Lorna Rankin <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you, Lorna Rankin

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorna Rankin 407 Graham Ave Santa Fe, NM 87501-1658

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Fitzhugh Cline <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:42 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

 RockologyInc. for this mine permit.
 Image: Constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Please vote NO.
 Image: Constituent of the constituent of the control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Sincerely,
 Image: Constituent of the constened of the constitent of the constituent of the constitent of th

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robin Lackey <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dr. Robin Lackey 4262 Cacurs Flower Lane Santa Fe, NM 87507 (505) 670-4474

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nancy Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:44 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

 RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

 Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

 Please vote NO.

 Thank you,

 Sincerely,

 Mrs. Nancy Brown

 2925 Pueblo Pintado

 Santa Fe, NM 87507-2535

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Nancy Brown <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 5:45 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As I a a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nancy Brown 2925 Pueblo Pintado Santa Fe, NM 87507-2535

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Cynthia Hayes <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 5:45 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cius, Second, and a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Cynthia Hayes 1896 Lorca Dr Apt 46 Santa Fe, NM 87505-6006

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of George Price <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:12 PM
To:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. George Price 15b Vuelta Chamisa Santa Fe, NM 87506-7936

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Bridget Green <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Bridget Green 919 Don Juan St Santa Fe, NM 87501-2409 (505) 699-8694

	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Claudia Jessup <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:13 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Claudia Jessup 802 Apodaca Hill St Santa Fe, NM 87501-5910 (505) 982-4049

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Janet Fletcher <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:15 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Class, Fourther, Fourther, Consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, I can not personalize this as I am given to bouts of profanity. I do, however, think it is deserved. Have you no decency at all? Really? Sincerely, Ms. Janet Fletcher 18 High View Ln Santa Fe, NM 87508-8082

Santa Fe, NM 87508-8082



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ken Hughes <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:17 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

My adopted daughter grew up in the tiny village of La Bajada. She now lives in Argentina or otherwise would be front and center in opposing this mine.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Hughes 2300 W Alameda St Apt B1 Santa Fe, NM 87507-9656 (505) 474-0550

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Karen Schultheis <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywidal impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Karen Schultheis 34 Sendero De Corazon Santa Fe, NM 87505-4610



	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Cheryl Nelsen <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:40 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Nelsen 1601 Salvador Pl Santa Fe, NM 87501-1752 (505) 992-0046

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Mary McCormick <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:41 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

WHY IS THIS STILL A QUESTION? THERE HAS BEEN ENORMOUS OPPOSITION TO THIS STRIP MINE. HOW CAN YOU STILL **BE DEBATING THE ISSUE?**

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application Hy RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Mary McCormick PO Box 24188 Santa Fe, NM 87502-9188

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Sandy Rasich <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:41 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandy Rasich 2300 W Alameda St Apt C3 Santa Fe, NM 87507-9657

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Jack Inson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Jack Inson 2 Camerada Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8233 (505) 470-3549

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Kirsten Lear <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:43 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Kirsten Lear 219 Anita Pl Santa Fe, NM 87505-8805 (505) 983-4232

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Anne Ortiz <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:20 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group or Sierra Cuus, i Score, and a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Anne Ortiz 2573 Camino Chueco Santa Fe, NM 87505-5262 (505) 471-2318



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Joan Dickerson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:23 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide, impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan Dickerson 4156 Big Sky Rd Santa Fe, NM 87507-3805

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Janis Kerr < sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:24 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I BELIEVE YOU KNOW THE CORRECT THING TO DO.

SIX HUNDRED CITIZENS OF SANTA FE COUNTY REPRESENT THE MAJORITY OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS THAT FEEL 附 STRONGLY THAT NO ONE SHOULD DESTROY THE LA BAJADA ESCARPMENT FOR THE SAKE OF AGGREGATE THAT WE ALREADY HAVE 10 YEARS OR MORE IN RESERVE OF. NOT TO MENTION THAT A MINING PROJECT THAT ONLY OFFERS UP 7 FULL TIME JOBS AND NOT ENOUGH GROSS RECEIPTS TAXES TO MAKE IT WORTH WHILE IS CERVINE TO COMPANY DESTROYING THE GRAND ENTRANCE TO NORTHERN NEW MEXICO THAT IS THE HEART OF EVERY PERSON THAT COMENT

ON TOP OF THAT WE ARE STILL IN A DROUGHT! NEW MEXICO NEEDS TO SAVE IT'S PRECIOUS WATER FOR THE PEOPLE NECONTED. AND LAND, NOT FOR AN AGGREGATE MINE.

YOU AND THE CITY OF SANTA FE SHOULD BE MORE CONCERNED AND CAUTIOUS ABOUT SELLING OFF WATER FOR THESE PURPOSES. PLEASE VOTE NO!

Janis Kerr Santa Fe 87505

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application RockologyInc. for this mine permit. 630) 170)

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywid impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,



Mrs. Janis Kerr 1327 Declovina St Santa Fe, NM 87505-3215 (505) 982-9865

From:

Sent: To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Janis Kerr < sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 2:24 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I BELIEVE YOU KNOW THE CORRECT THING TO DO.

SIX HUNDRED CITIZENS OF SANTA FE COUNTY REPRESENT THE MAJORITY OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS THAT FEEL 멧 STRONGLY THAT NO ONE SHOULD DESTROY THE LA BAJADA ESCARPMENT FOR THE SAKE OF AGGREGATE THAT WE ALREADY HAVE 10 YEARS OR MORE IN RESERVE OF. NOT TO MENTION THAT A MINING PROJECT THAT ONLY OFFERS UP 7 FULL TIME JOBS AND NOT ENOUGH GROSS RECEIPTS TAXES TO MAKE IT WORTH WHILE IS CERTAINLY NOT WORTH DESTROYING THE GRAND ENTRANCE TO NORTHERN NEW IVILAICO THE OVER LA BAJADA HILL.. OVER LA BAJADA HILL.. ON TOP OF THAT WE ARE STILL IN A DROUGHT! NEW MEXICO NEEDS TO SAVE IT'S PRECIOUS WATER FOR THE PEOPLE AND LAND, NOT FOR AN AGGREGATE MINE. YOU AND THE CITY OF SANTA FE SHOULD BE MORE CONCERNED AND CAUTIOUS ABOUT SELLING OFF WATER FOR THESE PURPOSES. PLEASE VOTE NO!

Santa Fe 87505

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second wate to DENY the employed of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second wate to DENY the employed of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second wate to DENY the employed of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second wate to DENY the employed of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second wate to DENY the employed of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second wate to DENY the employed of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second wate to DENY the employed of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second wate to DENY the employed of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second wate to DENY the second wate to DENY the second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to a second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I second of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I second of the Northern New Mexico Group of the N consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywite impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,



Sincerely,

Mrs. Janis Kerr 1327 Declovina St Santa Fe, NM 87505-3215 (505) 982-9865

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robbie Lambert <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 12:51 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Robbie Lambert 2600 W Zia Rd Apt O1 Santa Fe, NM 87505-5828 (505) 471-3338

From:	Sierra Club N of A Felix <si< th=""></si<>
Sent:	Monday, Aug
То:	Jose Larranag
Subject:	Please deny s

Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf ierra@sierraclub.org> gust 11, 2014 4:18 PM ga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by 附前 RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. A Felix 2446 Avenida Chaparral Santa Fe, NM 87505-5500

From:	
Sent:	
To:	
Subject:	

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Robert Josephs <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 4:18 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, Force, and a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Robert Josephs 2303 Calle Halcon Santa Fe, NM 87505-5714

From:	
Sent:	

To: Subject: Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Arnold Lieberman <sierra@sierraclub.org> Monday, August 11, 2014 1:39 PM Jose Larranaga Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Cius, Estimation, estimation by consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Arnold Lieberman 7 Estambre Pl Santa Fe, NM 87508-2105 (505) 466-3056

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Victoria Cederberg <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Friday, August 08, 2014 9:59 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
Categories:	Red Category

Aug 8, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should

impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should \$\$\$2.41.55 GAGGGGG automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Victoria Cederberg 9304 Drolet Dr NW Albuquerque, NM 87114-1340 (505) 515-5283

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Angela Werneke <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Friday, August 08, 2014 9:59 PM
To:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
Categories:	Red Category

Aug 8, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

I am a 40-year resident of Santa Fe County. I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa. Vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. A ST. ST. ST. ST.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have already testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create.

The millions of gallons of County water required for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. We are in a serious longterm drought with insufficient water for our existing community and agricultural needs. The futurel of the communities in Santa Fe County depends on wise allocation of our diminishing precious water.

Norte land is unacceptable. 111日本では、111日本では、111日本では、111日本では、111日本では、111日本では、111日本では、111日本では、111日本では、111日本では、111日本では、111日本では、111日本

I urge you to vote NO to strip mining on La Bajada mesa!

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Werneke 3466 Cerrillos Rd Apt J1 Santa Fe, NM 87507-3014



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Rory Bente <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Saturday, August 09, 2014 1:01 PM
To:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
Categories:	Red Category

Red Category

Aug 9, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Have we not damaged with minning enough already?

Just look at the mess left in Questa and the Red River area. Now no work and buildings that should have been torn down after the winning stopped. What is wrong with our state? Take a drive up there and see the stupid thing we hav already allowed to happen. Rose Marie Homeyer Bente 2965 Viaje Pavo Real Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 Just look at the mess left in Questa and the Red River area. Now no work and buildings that should have been torn

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Rory Bente 2965 Viaje Pavo Real Santa Fe, NM 87505-5389 (505) 424-7679

From:	Dottie Starr <dottiestarr@aol.com></dottiestarr@aol.com>
Sent:	Saturday, August 09, 2014 1:51 PM
То:	Robert A. Anaya; Daniel Mayfield; Miguel Chavez; Kathy S. Holian; Liz Stefanics
Cc:	Jose Larranaga; Penny Ellis-Green; Stephen C. Ross; SaveLaBajada@gmail.com
Subject:	La Bajada Mesa Threatened! NO to mining!
-	

Categories:

Red Category

La Bajada Mesa is one of New Mexico's most endangered places. It is mind boggling to me that the Santa Fe County Commissioners are even considering strip mining there.

NO, NO, NO!

Have we learned nothing from the past sins of strip mining? To ruin this historic and vulnerable ecosystem for the sake of gravel (*gravel*?) suggests pay to play politics at it's worst. And to commit precious water resources to this boondoggle seems downright immoral.

I hope the commissioners hear from enough outraged citizens to stop this folly.

Dorothy Starr Montezuma Avenue #439 op this folly. 369 Santa Fe NM 87501

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Susan Ostlie <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Saturday, August 09, 2014 8:00 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
-	

Categories:

Red Category

Aug 9, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Bernalillo County who often hikes the La Bajada area, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

There are strong scenic and historic values to the La Bajada area. Why would you want to make the I25 corridor even less scenic?

less scenic? In addition, hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Susan Ostlie 2401 Madre Dr NE Albuquerrue, NM 97112 2505

Albuquerque, NM 87112-2505



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of judy carr <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Saturday, August 09, 2014 2:32 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
Categories:	Red Category

Aug 9, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for duct control alone should

impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Mrs. judy carr 3046 Plaza Blanca Santa Fe, NM 87507-5342

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Susan McDuffie <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Saturday, August 09, 2014 11:34 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa
Categories:	Red Category

Aug 10, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

As a resident of sama recounty, is strongly unge you to consider the longisting consequences of a strip mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Susan McDuffie Sincerely, Ms. Susan McDuffie 2577 Camino Chueco Santa Fe, NM 87505-5262

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Linda Jacobson <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:19 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Jacobson 5 Bisbee Ct Santa Fe, NM 87508-1397

From:

Sent: To: Subject:

Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf</riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
of Thomas Shreeve <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org>
Monday, August 11, 2014 4:24 PM
Jose Larranaga
Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Cuup, Factoria, Social a consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mr. Thomas Shreeve 18 Arroyo Rdg Santa Fe, NM 87508-1360

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Coleman <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:25 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County 1 strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project.

Please vote NO.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Coleman 2368 Camino Pintores Santa Fe, NM 87505-5269 (505) 471-5032

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Diana Gonzales-Pacheco <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 4:26 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Siena Ciup, Foreign, F

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Diana Gonzales-Pacheco 135 Nine Mile Rd Santa Fe, NM 87508-8912

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Barbara Lenssen <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 6:44 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. Barbara Lenssen 2227 Calle Cacique Santa Fe, NM 87505-4944 (505) 982-6200



From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Leah Gibbons <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:42 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by

And the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by Rockologylnc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Mrs. Leah Gibbons 115 Moore St Santa Fe, NM 87501-1733 (505) 231-2358

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of stephen markowitz <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, August 12, 2014 9:13 AM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 12, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Ciup, Estimation of Sierra Ciup, Estimatio of Sierra Ciup, Estimation of Sierra Ciup, Estimation

Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Dr. stephen markowitz 3928 Old Santa Fe Trl Santa Fe, NM 87505-4538

From:	Sierra Club Northern New Mexico Group <riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Marcie Brooks <sierra@sierraclub.org></sierra@sierraclub.org></riogrande.chapter@sierraclub.org>
Sent:	Monday, August 11, 2014 2:11 PM
То:	Jose Larranaga
Subject:	Please deny strip-mining application on La Bajada Mesa

Aug 11, 2014

Jose Larranaga NM 87501

Dear Larranaga,

As a resident of Santa Fe County and member of the Northern New Mexico Group of Sierra Club, I strongly urge you to consider the longlasting consequences of a strip-mine operation on La Bajada Mesa and vote to DENY the application by RockologyInc. for this mine permit.



RockologyInc. for this mine permit. Hundreds of constituents, technical experts, hydrologists and legal advisers have testified as to the negative countywide impacts this project would create. The required millions of gallons of county water for dust control alone should automatically disqualify this project. Please vote NO. Thank you, Sincerely, Ms. Marcie Brooks 15 Amigos Ln Santa Fe, NM 87508-4585 (505) 989-7754



Kenneth Jacks

August 12, 2014

Honorable Commissioners

Some guys with money want to make more money by ravaging our Mother and squandering our children's precious life giving water.

They probably talk about "progress" and promise "jobs" but that is only smoke to obscure their motive: to make money at our expense in total disregard of the long term devastation of our home land.

They come before us today to ask our approval of this shortsighted and greedy project; a proposal of absolute self-interest without sustainable benefit to the community.

I - AND MANY, MANY OTHERS - SAY "NO" TO THIS.

You, our representatives, must to do the same.

PLEASE VOTE TO DENY APPROVAL ONCE AND FOR ALL.

Thank you, Semeth Jack

P.O. Box 8754

Santa Fe, NM 87504

kennethjacks@hotmail.com







JAMES W. SIEBERT AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

915 MERCER STREET * SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87505 (505) 983-5588 * FAX (505) 989-7313 <u>jim@jwsiebert.com</u>

August 11, 2014

Board of County Commissioners 102 Grant Avenue Santa Fe, NM 87504

Re: CDRC Case #ZMXT 13-5360 Buena Vista Estates, Inc. & Rockology LLC.

Dear County Commissioners:

The following comments are in response to the material presented in the County Commission packet for the above referenced case.

County Hydrologist Comments on Water Budget

The County hydrologist calculated a much higher water use than presented in the report for the project. The estimate of water use was based on reseeding with Buffalo Grass. The report stated that drought tolerant grasses are used and would be planted during the summer monsoon season. This is the practice that has been used for the last 20 years and has been accepted by County staff and has always served as the cost estimate for the Letter of Credit. A response to the County Hydrologist Comments has been provided to County Land Use.

Comments from City Utilities Director on use of City effluent

It has not been determined by the City that effluent could not be used for the materials extraction site. It is our belief that the use of City treated effluent is intermittent since treated effluent is required for use approximately 60 days out to the year and trucks to fill the storage tank will access the City stand pipe on fewer occasions than the 60 days. A request has been submitted to NMED to determine if a ground water discharge permit is required for dust control and irrigation for reseeding. A response to Mr Schiavo's letter has been submitted to County Land Use.



County Commission Rockology Application August 11, 2014 Page Two of Two

It was my understanding that the testimony was closed for the case. The majority of information in the County Commission packet comes from the Rural Conservation Alliance or their consultants. Since I have only recently received the Rural Conservation Alliance comments there is insufficient time to respond to all of the allegations by the Alliance. A response is provided to a selected number of their allegations.

1. Response from the Caja del Rio pit manager

There is a lengthy response from Mr. Kippenbrock PE and Laird Graeser on the operation of the Caja del Rio pit and reasons why this application creates competition for the same type of material and should not be permitted. My experience with County Commissions over the last 30 years would indicate that County Commissions does not get involved in deciding which companies should be the winners or losers in the normal business competition that takes place in Santa Fe County.

2. Basalt extraction is really hard rock mining

On page 286 of the County Commission packet the Rural Conservation Alliance continues to argue that extraction of basaltic material falls under the provisions of the Land Development Code for "Hard Rock Mining". The County Attorney has already responded to that position in prior hearings and has determined that the provisions of "Hard Rock Mining" do not apply to this application.

3. Traffic report does not meet regulatory standards

There is a reference in the Rural Conservation Alliance report to manuals for traffic studies that have no relevance to the State on New Mexico. The traffic regulations that apply to this application are governed by the "State Access Management Manual, 18.31.6 NMAC, State Highway Access Management Requirements", 2001. The traffic report submitted to Santa Fe County Land Use complies with those regulations. This is one of the several misrepresentations that are included in the response to County Commission questions.

In summation the Land Use staff has compiled information from the other County departments and based on this information continues to recommend approval of the application.

Sincerely, me in James W. Siebert

Xc: Peter Domenici Jr. Steve Hooper

EXHIBIT 5

SANTA FE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AUGUST 12, 2014 CDRC Case #ZMXT 13-5360 Buena Vista Estates, Inc. & Rockology LLC.

Applicants' Comments on the following statements in the Testimony and Analysis of Laird Graeser:

- Aggregate production at CdR is governed by its landfill permit (Executive Summary of Testimony and Analysis by Laird Graeser, page 1)
- Hereafter, gravel operations that obtain their permits from and report production and sales statistics to the NM EMNRD are referred to as "State gravel-permitted" operations. Other legal production comes from "adjunct-permitted" operations, whose permits allow gravel production secondary to a main purpose, such as landfill at CdR; and from "temporary permit" operations, usually specific to borrow pits for road construction. Neither adjunct nor temporary production should be thought of as operating without any permit. (*Id.*)
- Gravel, and other types of mining, are generally regulated and monitored by the State of NM's Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Mining and Minerals Division, which issues mining permits and collects and publishes production and sales statistics. Caja del Rio, because it operates under a stricter type of permit, is not required to be permitted by EMNRD. Because of this, CdR's production and sales are not reported to EMNRD's Mining and Minerals Division and therefore the quantity of materials are produced and sold are not included in EMNRD's data either at the County level or State level. (Laird Graeser White Paper, ¶6).
- Is aggregate production and sale at Caja del Rio legal?
- No support or citation for statements about "adjunct-permitted" operations
- Article XI- Zoning for Extraction of Construction Materials, Santa Fe County Development Code
 - No demonstration of County zoning approval
 - No demonstration of County permitting
- No support for claim that Caja del Rio's solid waste permit governs aggregate production and sales
 - o The solid waste permit issued to Caja del Rio
 - governs disposal of solid waste at Caja del Rio
 - does not regulate or authorize production of aggregate materials
 - does not regulate or authorize sales of aggregate materials
- No public notice of aggregate production and sale
- Conclusion
 - Caja del Rio does not comply with County law
 - Caja del Rio does not comply with State law
 - No public notice has been provided
 - Caja del Rio being provided a competitive/regulatory advantage

Domenie i Thatty for Applicant

EXHIBIT 6 unbbler.

118 Proxite HE PAZ BY P.O. Box 339, Santo Domineo Re, NM 89 ABO Sourn. 1 - North SUI RII Grande, #A4	7501 mervinmesbeglobal.net
118 Proxite HE PAZ BY P.O. Box 339, Santo Domineo Re, NM 89 ABO Sourn. 1 - North SUI RII Grande, #A4	508
P.O. Box 339, Somt Daning Re, NM 89 ABO Source 1-20026 501 Rid Grande, #A-4	
ABO Journ. 1-Warsh 501 Ril Grande, #A-4	1052 efchavize Kewe-nsn.us
ABO Journ. 1-Warsh 501 Ril Grande, #A-4	
	+/4St@abasaumalcom
DELLA DAY LO TO CENTILLE	
KI4N KAX PANIP STINIVIS	7506
	OI poncumino popul. com
	7508 annlacy (D. gmail con
2 2 1 2 1	750- mbhulden Daward.
	7506 perio beth beloch. con
	rrillos 87010
······································	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	20 Polans Pol 8-

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS		EMAIL	
Man THELLE	MERZERd 11	GALISTED.	NowG	
Sandipa Holzman	Moriarty Rol	Edgewood	Sandras, IK@gmail.com	
KAREN KRAMER	Educat way,	Lany NM	KdK8687@msn, com	
SILVIA STENIZE	2001 TORTUN	NON DR. 84505	Silvia Stenitzer 2 gmou	
Sushing Lake	38 La Louite	S.F. 87507	V	

0				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5		<u>.</u>		
6		<u></u>		
7		e constante o relationalité la colora.		
8				
9				
0				
1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2				
3		······································		
4		···-		
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			······································	
5				
7				
8				
9				
0				
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
0				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
)				
)				

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	EMAIL
NEMINA NELL	1313 DON GARDAR AVE	VELAMAC P. VERIZON. NET
WALTER WAIT	48 BONANZA CREEK ROAD SF	Waltwait 66. com
MATTHEW MCOULERN	PO \$0x (2)6 SF 87504	matthew & trenalau.cu
KEN EARLE	10 Box 28657 SF 87592	Rearle @ Cybermera, Com
Peter Helen McClosker	POBOX 158 Cornellos 270.0	filly 6 @ col. com
Baymond MGueen		raying 117 agmaila
BRENDA JEROME	911 CERRO DE LA PAZ, SF 87501	BRENDAJEROME à RUNBOX. COM
May 2 Schunber	2119 Ravelio Singe Red SF 05	A SHELLOW I CONTRACTOR CONT
Togeth H Suna Gul	Per To Coenit NM \$2072	
	45 Saltbush Rd SF 87508	Security Company
Contration (- conton)		comacnerce agmail com
11/ Cole	1018 TIENA Dr. 87505	Jiasound yaleon un
12 211cm Bradbury Kent	510 Alto 87501	bradburge receives or a
13 Ed Reid	510 Alto 81501	edreid@edreid.com
14 Hardy PHIPPEN	461 W 43 ST = 2 Ny 10036	nycphipeyahoes.com
1554 HODA SULVERIA		santhe a Strone insurett. net
16 Snendan Shepha	POBX516 Cerrille NM	bourite Chalman Con
17 Jusan Joseini	1870 Comino Luntree St. X	J SusansRil @ KIVE. CO
18 fimed Sadders	P. J. Box 471, Wichcarty, NM 8703	Sanders. Irma @. yahoo.com
19 Bytch Martin	20 Avenida Azul 57= 87508	Butches butchmartin . com
OPIT MCKENNG	192 Campo Cerro Chito Carl	illas MM-
21 SUSAN HARRIES	518 OLD SANTA FETTRESTEL SANTAFE Stes 359 Gold Mine Rd (Trivillos NM 87010	SUBAINHER SUSAN HARRE'S REALTVE COM
22 Joshna Nounty	359 Gold Mine Rd (timillos NM 87010	thank joshnovakd@nsn.com
23 John Contebuby	6 Arthracite Ave cerrilles NIA 8701	o creationvidration (G) GNG. 1 Car
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
8		
9		
0		
1		
2		
3		
.4		
15		
6		
17		
.8	2	
9		

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	EMAIL
MEL RUBEL GALLEGES	1804 VEREDA SAM ANTONIO (ACUA FRIM)	metrubel@gmail.com
LATRO GRAF-SER	115 RANCHO ALEGIRE	lavereser es cybermosa, on
Jan Udell	5 Pagaro Blanco Rd S.F 87508	None
i zirik Johnson	2843 Turquent Ril Malril NM 87010	Eleotterance yahos.com
-Ocialist allrod	905 allehna way	eallred alasalamos com
Julianne Luian	1915 Morris Place, SF. Nm 8759	juju luj amsn.com
Eliza Sultan	1611 Cake Lokig #A S# R7505	eliza. sultas @mail, houses
LESLIE HANCOCA	1611 Calle Loria FA SF 137505 50 OLD SAN MARCOS TRL 87508	QUINBAS @ QUINBUS, NET
Michelle Meaders	4047 Anderson Ane SE, Aba. 87108	shellout deathlink not
10/1/arietarokney		mhay dengosyneigeranch.com
	2 303 Sulle Halcon SF 87507	
12 Toby Livingstone	303 E Buena Vista St Smin F 8751	
13 Barbara Chatteree	228 Alon Vieta St Spinta Fe 87505	
4 RONALD ERENIER		
15 milren Branble	38 white wat Cestilles NME 701	
16 RISANA ZAXUS	76 OCEAN VIEW CENTILOS NM 870	
Emarisse La Chapelle	214 Paseo Sudeste, Madrid	Charoselachazelie @ g mail
18 Delia T. Zisman	Stor Jacona Ciccle SF 87507	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
19 Chelse Fielder	359 Gold Mine Pacersillos 200	1
20 Milhard Holix dent	ZZE Gold (Guy Rd ST 87508	
21 Acus Hartl	DIA Paseo Sudeste 87011	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
10		
11		
42	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
43		
44		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15		
16		
47		
10		
48 49		

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	EMAIL
1 DON VAN DOREN	317B CANING CERRO CHATO CULLOS	DVAN DOREN® VANGUARD. NET
2 DELBERT E. CONNII	2635 VIA CABALLERO DEL NORTE 87505	NDDCONN@ YANOO.COM
3 Alexis Hiopunbothan	131 Salver Hills Rd SF	@ mustangally 10 amail.c
Archie Ten	h p	
5 AUTO ANDISELL	5 WALTO EPOTUS 87010	
6 Lolly Mastin	16 glondree Rd. SF 87508	
7 Barbara Rucker	40 Ron's RD SF 87 508	
	1 Gui & ccc	erinlujane granticom
	Have AL A ST TALAT	erintujane gravitican
Don Maders		Lupin 157 MSn-com
10 hois laylor	124 Duan 87 SF 87501	
11 Emilie SEDERHULK	317 A Camino Cerro Chato Mudid M	1 anemilie 9 Qgnail. com
12 Vendell Harris	1208 Vista Kerde ct.	wham bug O Eylerne se, coa
13 Kothy N, Kelle	1310 LUAN2SY SF 87505	Ruby 1310@ gmzil. con
14 J. J. GONZOLOS	54 Entrada La Cienega	0
15 Louise Baum	54 San Marcos Rd. W.	
16/10. Robert A. Mora Sr.	Pueblo of Tosuque	governove meblo of forughe . org
17 Margaret Roman	3129 Avenida de San Margos SA	
18 Boss H' Aten	21 Dancy Harso RA Madrie	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		···
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
40		
49		

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	EMAIL
HELENE AARONS	6 PASED SUDESTE MADRID NM 87010	haarons@ amail.com
2 CHRISTINE FURLAN		CRERWE @ YAHOACOM
3 NILOUS CONN	2635 VIA CABALLER: DEL NORTE 87505	
4 MANCOL TIRACER	354/2 CAUE LOMA NORFE 8759	
5 Sheily Sullivan	3 Ceviado Loop Santa Fe, NM 87508	
-		
6 Beverly Arredondo		Hud a b @ Q i at a t
7 fru Munay	Po Bx 22 Cenillos NM 87010	HurLock@Raintecounty, com
8 4015 bochtidge		(1
· plan MBOYCE		
10 Stephen Clarke	74 Johson Men Stern 87508	~
11 TINA Door daw	PO Box 304 Certilles, Nº 87010	R P ANTHING TC AUC
12 HANCIETT HARRIS	120-5 VISTA VERDECT. 87501	
13 KAREN HELDMENIR	327 6 DENLAR 877.	(
14 KIPUDO ATMO	1463 BoxZI Peria GaucoNU	rpudual Values. an
15 Jackach Datt	26A Camino il guel 87506	
16 Jane Lokemagn	264 Comuno de la Siersa	KENETOKENEWMERICO,COM
17 VI OFI DETIND	2869 Hwy 14 Madrid, NM87	
18 Jere Turner	2809 Plaza Gmarilla 27507	UIC TEOTITIC STOCK
19 Marianna tatler	29 High Feather Ramen Ce	
	3990 ord Sentafe Trail, 87505	SUSAGE E399 Susana3990 each
20 Susan Swartzberg	3550 Ora Sentate/rail, 07305	JUSALINE POT I Sosanas The ad
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
10		
41		
2		
13		
14		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	EMAIL
1 Parm Bennett - Cum ming	236 (amino Caro Chato, Cenzilos	P. Dennett cumming @ gmed.com
2 RUSS Bennytt-Cumping		robenneticummile amail.com
3 MARRY TURNER	2809 Plaza Amarilla -5E87507	
4 DIANE SENIOR		
5 GAIL ROBERTSON	317-13 CAMINO CERRO CHATO, MAR 1624 Paseo di la Conquistadora Santa A	DAVE TU OR OVERHETUBR CO.M
6 Carla Coper	504A Adolfo St. 87501	blaupique hotmail. Com
7 F.M. PATORNI	804 OSO DE LA VACA SFNA8250	FMPATORNIC EARTHURK. ME
8 MCBsoutite	P. JBOX 94 36 ST 1577 - 87104	
9 Comin Greekson	344 Carnine (ewor Chato, 5078910	
10 GAIL KARR	100 RANCHO ALEGRE RD 87508	jailkarr2Ogmail.com
11 STAN BIES	& Don Jose Luop	STAN. Wley 1) Att. con
12 . Type Johnson	97 CANINO Rio 87501	Jy Joh 20 gol. com
13 Laren Heitley	92 canino Kia 82501	
14 Gynthen LUX	527 Las tred. Galizte	-forked LUX Ravel 10m
15 TREVOR BURRDWES		TREVORUCHE @ HOL. COM
16 Timple	Orgon, UK OY 2904	Creden dutainer 1 @ tagm F. C. Up
17 1 19TINC Bogg	47232 Laughing Crace Ln. 87507	christinesshopping o gmail.
18 Mituleren	1'C Brx Z41	Tunialan, Will
19 William Clift	PO Box 6035 Santa Fx 87502	
20 John Velaiones,	13,3 Lou Guspuan	
21 lel Leelon com	37 Puseo EdiBuca	
2 A	37 Parco Que Baca Survey, N	M\$7507
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		** ***********************************
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
11		
42		
13		
14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a and the second s		
45		
46		
17		
18		
19		
50		

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	EMAIL
1 James Johnson	97 Camino Rio Sube Fe Nm 87501	JJ cleanuater 2098 and com
2 Eileen Blau	60 Rattler Rd., cervillos NMI 8700	eplanzooo@hotmail.com
3 Ed Mendez	45 BONANZEN IVI. Sunta Fr. 87508	
4 Kelhenne J. Laye	1021 Stage coach R-SF 87501	kjkaye@gmail.com
	and d clencing	N. C.
- I MILLICE I CO		1
6 WILLAM WROTH	651 cAm. do la 642 87505	www.othecybermesa.com
7 DONNA MATTAN	173 CR 74 97 8750Ce	
8ETizyboth Slagsvol	12 Black Conyon Rd \$7505	bslagsvol & macion
9 Tuck Hogenboom	59 Daveng Horse 07010	puck hogenbrown & hotma deca
10 Marc Hogenbour		ware burger books (not moil course
11 Junthia S Rowe	P. J. Bx 592 Cerrillos, M \$7010	plymate (242 granail. com
12 Dy Cesoren	530 Girin #5 + 87505	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
······		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		

SIGN IN SHEET BCC MEETING AUGUST 12, 2014

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	EMAIL
TIM Evans	POBOX576 Cerrella	
2 Althez Reverle	128 Camino Cerro Chafo Cerri	105 NM 8700
3 Apprés Neumersfer	HRackvidge Rd Generillag	
Elizaboth Dunham	914 Necde PO S. Fe 87505	~
Thomas ROMERO	369 Monteguma	87501
5 JAma Sucham	POBOX 694 Cervillos NM 87010	
FRIN L DURHAAM	R.O. Box 684 CONTOS NU 87010	
3 JANICE Griscom	2.2 Via la Pueute Galisten UM8757	
Martha Scheiner	PE BOX 8343 SEE NM 87504	
10 Retht Gudbanden	3762 State HWW214 87508	
11 audea Aplstrom	20 Renoft 8 7508	
12 Dara Mark	37-B Old Rd, Lany 8.7540	davawayne @ cybernesa.co.
13 Susan Selkin		
	941 Calle Myin #631 82501 780 Partie 87508	Sselbin chatmail, com
Charlos have	Camona auguco	
	1006 Care Catapine SFNME 750	2 8 Tractorial
16 Elizabeth West		n 8750.5 ewest@eyberme
17 Jonna Neurocon		Konneth yreks@Hotmail
18KENNETH)ACKS	p. a. Box 8754, 5.F. 87504	
19 VEANNelle CAUINESS	377 general good in Pel	Dr. CAViness @ outlook. com
20 Marian Serman	2300 W. Alawede St DZ	-
21 Amanda Brambly_	RO BOT 773 COST. 105 NM 87010	·
22 Donna Herring	197 Camino Los Abuelos, SE 87508	
23 Janet Penceck	433 Appedage Hill - 87.901	
24 Riene Werbrouk	341 Rogerstelle Rd Cent	lon NM. P7010
25 Dancy Blake	Sy Bonanza Prend SF	
26 SISAN HARRIS	518 OLD SANTA FETR, Stud SF 87505	SUSANHOESUSANHAMPRISPOCALITY, COM
NORMA SCHMEDT	3471 CERRFLLOSRD#85 Jana \$250	2 1
28 JZSSEALLEN	9 CHMINO SUBSSTE, SEE 87	508
29 JUIN / HAIN CZ	POBOR 28415 Sentore	
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
38		
39		
10		
1		
2		
3		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		

SIGN IN SHEET BCC MEETING AUGUST 12, 2014

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	EMAIL
1 Michele Robteling	139 Jun de Dias SF.8	
2 Standry (Phila	1812 Mann Steet SEN	
3 Certain Serve		
	508 A 10 St	Ed C EDREDD. COM
4 lereza Spanster	104 Vaguero Rd. 12 E Prove ERT SFOOM	AR couterade Qaal
5 Clarre Clay		
6 GEORGE WRIGH	1	5 GUSTS URADAUL-CAM
7 Tom Barney	27 Camino dela Acauia Madre	
	th 61 Cam. Son Constebul, Galis	ter leslieged @me.com
PMa Wilson	POBLOZ CENTILOG NM	<u> </u>
10 NONA GREGG	3471 CERRILLOSPD # 69 SFF 8;	
11 Patricia Oliver Wigh	pt 37 Caminite definon SF8	7505 patimwright@comcast.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		

SIGN IN SHEET BCC MEETING AUGUST 12, 2014

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	EMAIL
KIR BOOKIN	723 RODVAUEST. SF	Unabridging @ amal.com
Tanot MEVICKar	17 1/16ta Alondora 5F 87508	imavickar @ a mail com
- P II. in	Bonta (R	June contra a gring - Christian
	ecmape	
2		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
.0		
1		
.2		
3		
.4		
.5		
.6		
.7		
.8		
.9		
20		
1		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
10		
11		
12		
13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
50		







2014 AFFILIATE & EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE RESOLUTIONS

Board of Directors' Meeting

McKinley County Red Lion Hotel August 7, 2014

NEW MEXICO ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

Commissioners AFFILIATE, RESOLUTION # ____

1 Oort Title or Subject	Maintain Southwest Chief Amtrak Service	
2. Affected Affiliates	Commissioners, managers	
3. Impact on County Revenues/Finance	Loss of \$29.3 million in direct economic benefits	
4. Legislation Resolution or Policy Statement	Legislation Resolution and resolution for	
Or Resolution for Congressional Delegation	congressional support	
5. Requested as NMAC Legislative Priority	yes	

WHEREAS, Amtrak's Southwest Chief serves northern New Mexico on its route between Chicago and Los Angeles on the host railroad line of Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway (BNSF); and

WHEREAS, Fiscal Year 2012 revealed a total of 34,444 Amtrak boarding's and alighting's among the Raton, Las Vegas, and Lamy stations; and

WHEREAS, a Report of the Annual Economic Impact of Amtrak's Southwest Chief in New Mexico, dated November 11,2013 and filed with the NM Interim Transportation Infrastructure Sub-Committee on November 12, 2013 assesses the annual visitor spending impact in the Lamy-Raton corridor at \$29.3 million in economic output, \$8.9 million in worker earnings and 368 jobs; and

WHEREAS, Amtrak's agreement with BNSF for use of the track expires in January of 2016; and

WHEREAS, Amtrak indicates it does not have sufficient funds to pay BNSF to maintain the line to 79 mph pagenger rail standards; and

WHEREAS, Amtrak needs funding in place to remain on the current route or it shall begin relocation or discontinuance of the train by January 2016; and

WHEREAS, long distance passenger rail is a vital and necessary part of our national transportation system and economy; and

WHEREAS, the federal government has declined to provide the needed fiscal support to allow Amtrak to pay for the necessary capital investment and annual maintenance in New Mexico, Colorado, and Kansas; and

WHEREAS, New Mexico, Kansas, and Colorado support the Southwest Chief and seek State and Congressional support for additional funding;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the New Mexico Association of Counties support legislation that would:

- 1. Allow the continuation of Amtrak's Southwest Chief along its current and historic route; and
- 2. Create a Southwest Chief Rail Service Fund or other reasonable funding alternatives; and support
- 3. Efforts by the New Mexico Congressional Delegation in supporting and maintaining the Southwest Chief on its current route.

Date me 19, 2014 ffiliate Chair Signed

Healthcare Policy Committee Resolution on SB 268/Hospital Funding

WHEREAS, New Mexico counties care greatly about health care for their local citizens and value their local hospitals, particularly in rural areas; and

WHEREAS, counties have long played an integral role in providing health care services for their indigent residents; and

WHEREAS, for nearly 20 years counties have worked collaboratively with their local community hospitals in helping fund the Sole Community Provider program and in coordinating health care for their local citizens; and

WHEREAS, the Sole Community Provider program has now been replaced by the Safety Net Care Pool (SNCP), which provides funding for community hospitals for Medicaid base rate increases and uncompensated care for Medicaid patients; and WHEREAS, the counties' role under the SNCP has been significantly diminished and their financial contribution to the SNCP no longer has any relationship to the funds disseminated by the Human Services Department (HSD) to the counties' respective community hospitals; and

WHEREAS, information provided by HSD regarding the SNCP has been confusing and often contradictory, and the distribution formula to community hospitals has been inequitable and difficult to ascertain; and

WHEREAS, finding a solution to funding the SNCP requires communication and cooperation among the various stakeholders—counties, hospitals, and the state, in both the legislative and executive branches; and

WHEREAS, the SNCP is part of the federal Medicaid program, and is therefore a state responsibility that should be fully funded by the state; and

WHEREAS, SB268, as passed by the legislature in 2014, required most counties to contribute the equivalent of a 1/12th % gross receipts tax (grt) increment to the SNCP, and was intended to be a three-year interim solution for funding that program; and

N.M. Const. Art VIII

Sec. 4. [Misuse and deposit of public money.]

Any public officer making any profit out of public money or using the same for any purpose not authorized by law, shall be deemed guilty of a felony and shall be punished as provided by law and shall be disqualified to hold public office. All public money not invested in interest-bearing securities shall be deposited in national banks in this state, in banks or trust companies incorporated under the laws of the state, in federal savings and loan associations in this state, in savings and loan associations incorporated under the laws of this state whose deposits are insured by an agency of the United States and in credit unions incorporated under the laws of this state or the United States to the extent that such deposits of public money in credit unions are insured by an agency of the United States, and the interest derived therefrom shall be applied in the manner prescribed by law. The conditions of such deposits shall be provided by law. (As amended November 3, 1914, November 7, 1967 and November 4, 1986.)

NEW MEXICO ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES PUBLIC LANDS & NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE, RESOLUTION # 2

1. Short Title or Subject	Public Land Task Force	
. Affected Affiliates	Managers, Commissioners	
3. Impact on County Revenues/Finance	Neutral	
4. Legislation Resolution or Policy Statement	Legislative	
Or Resolution for Congressional Delegation		
5. Requested as NMAC Legislative Priority	Yes	

WHEREAS, the State of New Mexico encompasses approximately 77.6 million acres of land; and

WHEREAS, approximately 35% of New Mexico's land is federal lands administered by agencies such as the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Forest Service, Bureau of Reclamation, National Park Service, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, National Wildlife Reserve Areas, federal water resource development projects and military installations; and

WHEREAS, the State of New Mexico and its counties depend on federal revenues to support vital state, county and local budgets, programs, services and operations; and

WHEREAS, New Mexico counties received \$37,677,905 in Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) in 2014 to provide general fund revenue; and

WHERAS, New Mexico counties received \$5,054,742 in Federal Forest Reserve payments in 2014 from the Secure Rural Schools & Community Self Determination Act (SRS); and

WHEREAS, counties rely on federal funding through PILT and SRS to provide essential support for pools, road infrastructure, search and rescue operations, wildfire preparedness, county operations and other community services that protect the health, safety, and welfare of New Mexico citizens; and

WHEREAS, federal funds are also distributed to county governments as a pass-through from state agencies for county infrastructure, economic development, wildfire prevention and response, energy and natural resource investments, emergency management, E911, health care, and social programs; and

WHEREAS, there is increasing uncertainty regarding the federal commitment to funding these vital county and state programs, which makes it difficult to budget for necessary services; and

WHEREAS, there have been proposals for the State and counties to take a more active role in federal land management, including the potential for transfer of certain federal lands to the state; and

WHEREAS, the State of New Mexico and its counties have a vested interest in decisions on federal land management, natural resource exploration and development; and

WHEREAS, the State of New Mexico and its counties need an evaluation of the legal, economic and practical implications of any potential transfer of federal lands.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the New Mexico Association of Counties supports legislation requesting the State of New Mexico to create a task force to: 1) study the legal, economic and practical framework surrounding the potential transfer of certain public lands from the federal government; 2) conduct an inventory of federal land ownership within the state; and 3) evaluate state and county dependence on federal revenue streams, and report and make recommendations to the bropriate legislative committee no later than November 1, 2015.

Sianed Afkliate Chair

Date 7/29/14

Potential Public Lands Stakeholders (Incomplete list)

- Native American Pueblos, Nations and Tribes
- New Mexico Land Grants
- New Mexico Acequia Association
- New Mexico Farm Bureau
- New Mexico Cattle Growers Association
- New Mexico Conservancy Districts
- New Mexico Soil and Water Conservation Districts
- New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department & other appropriate
- state agencies and education institutions
- United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs

NEW MEXICO ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

TREASURERS' AFFILIATE, RESOLUTION #1

1. Short Title or Subject	County Treasurers as Agents of PTD for receiving payments on Installment Agreements		
2. Affected Affiliates	Assessors, Managers Commissioners, Treasurers		
3. Impact on County Revenues/Finance	Earlier & more Accurate receipt of payments made on Installment Agreements		
4. Legislation Resolution or Policy Statement Or Resolution for Congressional Delegation			
5. Requested as NMAC Legislative Priority			

WHEREAS, NMSA 7-38-62 instills the responsibility and exclusive authority on the Tax and Revenue Department to collect delinquent taxes showing on the tax delinquency list; AND;

WHEREAS, PTD enters into Installment Agreements with delinquent tax payers as a means to collect those taxes; AND

WHEREAS, NM County Treasurers acknowledge the tracking of payments, the filing of injunctions or liens related to missed payments, and the enforcement of installment agreements, is a function that stand remain under the authority, control, and within the jurisdiction of the Property Tax Division and the Legal Service Bureau of the Tax and Revenue Department; AND

WHEREAS, payments by delinquent taxpayers to the PTD creates an unnecessary, confusing and inefficient third tier of government to which taxpayers are required to make payments; AND

WHEREAS, the public's right to have updated and accurate information at the local level is compromised by the payment by delinquent tax payers to the state level where it is retained and not distributed for up to three years; AND

WHEREAS, county treasurers are equipped with resources, policies, and procedures already in place by which they are able to distribute payments received on behalf of Property Tax Division to them on a monthly basis, enabling the department to perform the necessary enforcement, negotiation, and tracking of all installment agreements;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the New Mexico Association of Counties supports legislation that would amend State Statute 7-38-62 to authorize County Treasurers to receive all payments of property taxes, including for those properties that have been turned over to the Property Tax Division for collection and been placed on installment agreements.

Patrick Varela, Affiliate Chair

~ 19,2014 Date

7-38-62. Authority of department to collect delinquent property taxes after receipt of tax delinquency list; use of penalties, interest and costs.

After the receipt of the tax delinquency list, the department has the responsibility and exclusive authority to take all action necessary to collect delinquent taxes shown on the list. This authority includes bringing collection actions in the district courts based upon the personal liability of the property owner for taxes as well as the actions authorized in the Property Tax Code [Articles 35 to 38 of Chapter 7 NMSA 1978] for proceeding against the property subject to the tax for collection of delinquent taxes. Payment of delinquent taxes listed and any penalty, interest or costs due in connection with those taxes shall be made to the department if occurring after the receipt by the department of the tax delinquency list; however, the department may authorize county treasurers to act as its agents in accepting payments of taxes, penalties, interest or costs due. Penalties, interest and costs due received by the department under this section shall be retained by the department for use, subject to appropriation by the legislature, in the administration of the Property Tax Code.

History: 1953 Comp., § 72-31-62, enacted by Laws 1973, ch. 258, § 102; 1990, ch. 22, § 5.

16 Votes

NEW MEXICO ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES COMMISSIONERS AFFILIATE, RESOLUTION # 3

Special Management Inmates		
Commissioner/Managers/Detention		
Liability Reduction		
Yes		

WHEREAS, counties have been and continue to be subjected to significant liabilities (three cases totaling nearly \$20 million to date) related to allegations of cruel and unusual punishment in county detention facilities; and

VEREAS, there is a complete lack of appropriate options to house special management inmates, and a lack or programing to meet legitimate medical/mental health needs in those facilities; and

WHEREAS, counties have found a similar lack of options for these inmates at the state level as well;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the New Mexico Association of Counties support legislation that would bring together the appropriate entities and agencies, to study possibilities for the establishment of housing options and service delivery for these inmates, and make recommendations to the appropriate legislative committee by November 1, 2014.

Hore Signed

Affiliate Chair

Date_ (0/27/14

7-38-78. Action by property owner in district court to change property tax schedule.

A. After the delivery of the property tax schedule to the county treasurer for a particular tax year, a property owner may bring an action in the district court requesting a change in the property tax schedule in connection with any property listed on the schedule for property taxation in which the owner claims an interest. The action shall be brought in the district court for the county for which the property tax schedule in question was prepared.

Actions brought under this section may not directly challenge the value, classification, В. allocations of value determined for property taxation purposes or denial of any exemption claimed and must be founded on one or more of the following grounds:

errors in the name or address of the property owner or other person shown on the (1)schedule;

(2) errors in the description of the property for property taxation purposes;

(3) errors in the computation of taxes;

(4) errors in the property tax schedule relating to the payment or nonpayment of taxes;

(5) multiple valuations for property taxation purposes for a single tax year of the same property on the property tax schedule; or

(6) errors in the rate of tax set for any governmental unit in which the owner's property is located.

C. Actions brought under this section shall name the county treasurer as defendant, and if the action is brought under Paragraph (6) of Subsection B of this section shall also name the secretary of finance and administration as a defendant.

History: 1953 Comp., § 72-31-78, enacted by Laws 1973, ch. 258, § 118; 1974, ch. 92, § 28; 1981, ch. 37, § 80.

NEW MEXICO ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE RESOLUTION 1



1. Short Title or Subject	County IRB Act Improvement
2. Affected Affiliates	Managers and Commissioners
3. Impact on County Revenues/Finance	Revenue generating or neutral
4. Legislation Resolution or Policy Statement	Legislative Resolution
Or Resolution for Congressional Delegation	
5. Requested as NMAC Legislative Priority	Yes

WHEREAS, Counties in the State of New Mexico play a critical role in economic development; and,

WHEREAS, Counties understand the economic needs of their communities; and,

WHEREAS, one highly effective economic development incentive available to Counties is the County Industrial Revenue Bonds Act, Article 4-59 NMSA 1978; and,

WHEREAS, Industrial Revenue Bond (IRB) projects frequently entail a lengthy and costly application and approval process for both the County and the applicant; and,

WHEREAS, IRB projects may also be combined with other economic development incentives such as Local Economic Development Act (LEDA) funds to create new or expanded employment opportunities; and,

WHEREAS, Counties often partner with the State Economic Development Department and local economic development agencies to attract and grow businesses; and,

WHEREAS, businesses and counties need predictability and certainty in their economic development projects; and,

WHEREAS, §4-59-15 NMSA 1978 provides that an existing business, within the County or within five miles of the proposed IRB project, may file a complaint with the County that the proposed IRB project would directly <u>and</u> substantially compete with the existing business; and,

WHEREAS, the complaint stops the IRB process and requires a hearing before the State Board of Finance to determine if the proposed IRB project directly <u>or</u> substantially would compete with the existing business; and,

WHEREAS, the "direct and substantial competition" determination requirement contained in §4-59-15 NMSA 1978 is not defined nor does it provide for any criteria upon which a determination could be made; and,

WHEREAS, §4-59-15 NMSA 1978 is internally inconsistent and ambiguous as a complaint may be alleged where there is direct <u>and</u> substantial competition but the State Board of Finance must make a determination that there is direct <u>or</u> substantial competition; and,

WHEREAS, the State Board of Finance has never considered a complaint as provided for by §4-59-15 NMSA 19 and,

WHEREAS, the IRB complaint provision severely hampers the economic development efforts of all counties; and,

WHEREAS, the IRB complaint provision creates uncertainty and significantly delays economic development efforts of counties throughout the State; and,

WHEREAS, the IRB complaint provision disrupts and delays the coordinated efforts of Counties, the State Economic Development Department, local economic development agencies and the IRB applicants; and,

WHEREAS, the IRB complaint process does not exist in the Industrial Revenue Bond Act, Article 3-32 NMSA 1978, applicable to municipalities in the State of New Mexico; and,

WHEREAS, the inclusion of the IRB complaint process in the county IRB Act but not in the municipal IRB Act provides an unnecessary economic disadvantage for counties.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the New Mexico Association of Counties support legislation that would delete §4-59-15 NMSA 1978 in its entirety.

Signed,

Date_02/23/14



4-59-15. Board of finance.

If any representative of an existing business or enterprise located within the boundaries of the county or within five miles of the proposed project alleges, in a written complaint filed with the county governing body at a meeting at which an ordinance or resolution authorizing the issuance of bonds hereunder [sic], that the proposed project would directly and substantially compete with such an existing business or enterprise located within the boundaries of the county or within five miles of the proposed project, the bonds in connection with such project shall not be issued until the state board of finance has determined that the proposed project will not directly or substantially compete with an existing business or enterprise located within the boundaries of the county or within five miles of the proposed project.

History: 1953 Comp., § 15-60-15, enacted by Laws 1975, ch. 286, § 15.

NEW MEXICO ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES



DETENTION ADMINISTRATORS AFFILIATE, RESOLUTION #1

1. Short Title or Subject	Detention Center Funding
2. Affected Affiliates	Commissioners, Managers, Finance, Attorneys
3. Impact on County Revenues/Finance	Revenue generating
4. Legislation Resolution or Policy Statement	Legislative
Or Resolution for Congressional Delegation	
5. Requested as NMAC Legislative Priority	Legislative Priority

WHEREAS, the County Detention Facility Reimbursement Act was passed unanimously by the Legislature in 2007, as a Legislative Finance Committee bill, was signed by the Governor, and funded at \$5 million; and

WEREAS THE Act specifies reimbursement by the state to counties for three specific categories of offenders in county jails: 1) parole violators, 2) inmates sentenced to prison and awaiting transport, and 3) offenders under supervision for both probation and parole violations; and

WHEREAS, the cost to counties for housing the three categories of offenders covered by the Act is currently \$4,718, 448, according to the New Mexico Sentencing Commission; and

VitiEREAS, funding for the Act has been reduced to \$3.3 million and remained at that level for several years; and

WHEREAS, the cost to county government for detention centers is, on the average, at least one third of county budgets;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the New Mexico Association of Counties support an increase in the 2015 General Appropriations Act that would fund the County Detention Facilities Reimbursement Act at a level sufficient to reimburse counties for the actual cost of holding the three categories of prisoners specified under the Act.

Affiliate Chair

Date 6-30-14

NEW MEXICO ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES ASSESSOR'S AFFILIATE RESOLUTION NUMBER 3

Brief Title or Subject: Tax Roll Corrections Authority and Responsibility

15 Votes

Affected NMAC Affiliates and/or County Offices: Assessors and Treasurers

Type of Governmental Entity (Federal or State) & Whether Legislative or Regulatory: State Entity, NM Taxation & Revenue Laws and Regulations (7-38-77 & 7-38-78)

Impact on Government Revenues & Finances: Financial Impact will be minimal.

Submitted as NMAC Priority or Affiliate Initiative (please circle one)

WHEREAS, According to NMSA 1978, the County Treasurer has sole authority to make changes to the "Tax Schedule" after the County Assessor has delivered the tax schedule to the Treasurer, and;

WHEREAS, tax schedule changes most often arise due to valuation related errors identified and initiated by the Assessor's Office; and

WHEREAS, the majority of tax schedule changes must be processed first through the Assessor's database thus requiring the Assessor to share in the responsibility of tax schedule changes; and

WHEREAS, the Assessor is not named in NMSA 7-38-77 as having authority in correcting the tax schedule; and

WHEREAS, tax schedule corrections are a remedy for correcting errors made by the Assessor's and/ or the Treasurer's office and ultimately benefit the property owner; and

WHEREAS, clarification is needed to define which errors are allowed to be corrected in the tax schedule under mutual agreement by the Assessor and Treasurer; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the New Mexico Association of Counties support legislation that will change NMSA 7-38-77 and NMSA 7-38-78 to clarify the authority and reasons necessary for tax schedule changes.

Signed CULLE 7-1-14 Affiliate Chair	Date:



AUGUST ADVOCACY

1 111

mail mini that

TOOLKIT FOR COUNTIES

EXHIBIT

8

and the second s

17. T. T. T.

uuun

THIN STORE

National Association of Counties

ANA .

fesersente feserseten Managaten Mattalate

2

Anteren Serener (Benner Harten)

INFORMATION FOR AUGUST ADVOCACY

With Congress in recess for the month of August and 2014 being an election year, county leaders have a great opportunity to advocate at home for county legislative and regulatory priorities. NACo has put together the following information to help you be an advocate effectively back home:

1

Advocacy Opportunities and Planning

Media Toolkit

Policy and Regulatory Briefs

ADVOCACY OPPORTUNITIES AND PLANNING

Between now and the end of the year, federal lawmakers will be back in their home districts and states more days than they are in Washington. These recess periods, particularly the one occurring during the month of August, provide counties a unique opportunity to communicate with members of Congress and demonstrate their impact within their communities.

If you do not already have plans to meet with your Senators and Representative(s) while they are home, we encourage you to reach out to their offices and request meetings. To schedule a meeting with members of your congressional delegation, you should contact the scheduling staff at the office location nearest to you. Information on office locations and contact numbers can be found on Members' websites. Links to U.S. House of Representatives websites can be found <u>here</u> and links to U.S. Senate websites can be found <u>here</u>.

If possible, county leaders should invite members of Congress and local media to tour county facilities and projects, especially those that closely relate to policy and regulatory issues outlined below (e.g. jails, transportation facilities and hospitals that received federal funding, etc.). Lawmakers will appreciate the opportunity to see the facilities made possible with federal funds, meet local elected officials and talk with employees (meaning voters!) who work in the new facilities. Whether you get them in a car and drive around their district or schedule a tour, this is a highly effective way to build the relationship and begin your advocacy efforts.

A Federal project tour gives legislators an opportunity to see their contribution to their constituents and also serves as an opportunity for the local community and local elected officials to provide on-site feedback to their members of Congress. If a federal project tour isn't an option for your county, consider inviting your congressional members to attend one of your county events such as county fairs and county commission meetings. Remember to thank them for their time, and if possible, take pictures and write a press release about the visit.

Please be sure to let NACo know about your advocacy efforts, and share pictures and media coverage with NACo's Legislative Director, Deborah Cox at <u>dcox@naco.org</u>.

NACO National Association of Counties

MEDIA TOOLKIT

While members of Congress are in their states and districts during the summer recess, county officials have a great opportunity to work with local media outlets to draw attention to key federal policy priorities. Inform your community about a Congressman's visit to your county projects through a press release. NACo's *Media Relations Guide for Counties* is available to assist county officials in working with local media outlets.

Submitting an op-ed or guest commentary to local papers is an excellent way to express your views in a highly-visible way. NACo created a <u>short guide</u> on writing an op-ed on the proposed redefinition of "Waters of the U.S." along with general guidance on writing effective op-eds. Here is an example of NACo 1st Vice President Sallie Clark's op-ed in the <u>Denver Post</u>.

NACo has tools to assist you with local media outlets





To access the media relations guide, click here

To access the op-ed guide, click here

POLICY AND REGULATORY BRIEFS

BRIEFS INCLUDED:

Highway Trust Fund and Surface Transportation Reauthorization

Marketplace and Internet Tax Fairness Act

Tax-Exempt Status of Municipal Bonds

Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILT)

Secure Rural Schools (SRS)

Waters of the U.S. Proposed Rule





ISSUE: HIGHWAY TRUST FUND AND SURFACE TRANSPORTATION REAUTHORIZATION

Background: In 2012, Congress passed the current federal surface transportation law known as Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21). MAP-21 authorized federal highway and transit programs through September of 2014. The programs authorized by MAP-21 are primarily funded through the Highway Trust Fund (HTF). The HTF collects revenue from federal motor fuels taxes to pay for highway and transit projects across the country. Since 2008, the HTF has experienced funding shortfalls and has required multiple transfers to ensure its solvency and meet its obligations. The HTF's funding shortfalls are primarily caused by lower levels of fuel consumption and the fact that the tax rate on gasoline (18.4 cents per gallon) has not been raised since 1993 and was never indexed to the rate of inflation. In the lead up to the passage of MAP-21, the Congressional Budget Office predicted that the HTF would become insolvent before the end of 2014. Rather than fix the HTF, Congress deferred action and left the HTF in a dire state, letting the HTF head toward insolvency.


County Interest: Counties own 45 percent of the nation's road miles and 39 percent of the nation's bridges, and are involved with over a third of the nation's transit systems. As significant owners of the nation's surface transportation network, counties often receive HTF dollars through their State DOTs to support eligible transportation projects. In addition, the policies and programs authorized through MAP-21 and future surface transportation authorization bills impact the funding available for county-owned infrastructure, transportation planning processes, and project delivery requirements and regulations.

Status: On July 31, 2014, Congress passed a short-term measure that will keep the HTF solvent and extend MAP-21 through May of 2015. Congress has until that time to find a sustainable long-term funding solution for the HTF and reauthorize MAP-21.



NACo has developed transportation profiles for each state

To access the MAP-21 state profiles, click here



To access the state funding and finance profiles, click here







Talking Points:

- What's best for America's transportation system and for counties is a long-term vision and funding certainty at the federal level. Therefore, counties urge Congress to fix the HTF and pass a multi-year surface transportation reauthorization bill (preferably six years in length).
- MAP-21 significantly decreased the funding available for locally-owned infrastructure. In fact, due to the program consolidation and elimination under MAP-21, the funding for county-owned and municipal-owned highways and bridges decreased by 30 percent.
- Counties want Congress to provide more funding for the Surface Transportation Program (STP) and increase the portion of STP dollars that are sub-allocated to local areas. The STP program receives 27 percent of the highway funding from MAP-21 but has to support the vast majority of the system (78 percent of the nation's highway miles and 77 percent of the nation's bridges). Before MAP-21, 62.5 percent of the program's funding was sub-allocated to local areas. MAP-21 changed the portion that gets sub-allocated to 50 percent. Counties want Congress to, at minimum, restore the sub-allocated percentage to 62.5 percent.
- Counties want to continue funding for public transportation through the HTF. The HTF is made up of to two major accounts: the highway account and the transit account. Currently, 80 percent of the HTF funds federal highway programs and 20 percent funds federal public transportation (transit) programs. Without funding from the HTF, federal transit formula dollars would be subject to the annual appropriations process, which has proven to be an unpredictable and unreliable funding process.
- Counties want Congress to support additional county priorities for MAP-21 reauthorization. For more information
 on NACo's priorities for MAP-21 reauthorization, click <u>here</u>.

Relevant Committees (find your member):

House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee (Jurisdiction: Highway and Transit Programs)

House Ways and Means Committee (Jurisdiction: HTF)

Senate Environment and Public Works Committee (Jurisdiction: Highway Programs)

Senate Banking Committee (Jurisdiction: Transit Programs)

Senate Commerce Committee (Jurisdiction: Highway Safety and Freight)

Senate Finance Committee (Jurisdiction: HTF)



1.24


ISSUE: MARKETPLACE AND INTERNET TAX FAIRNESS ACT (S. 2609)

Background: The Marketplace and Internet Tax Fairness Act (MITFA) (S. 2609) would combine the Marketplace Fairness Act (S. 743), which passed the Senate last year with strong bipartisan support, with a ten-year extension of the Internet Tax Freedom Act (ITFA), which is scheduled to expire on November 1.

With this combination, S. 2609 has two components important to counties. First, it includes the Marketplace Fairness Act (MFA), a long-standing priority for NACo that enables state and local governments to enforce existing sales and use tax laws on remote or online sales. This essentially requires out-of-state merchants to collect the same taxes that merchants on Main Street currently collect. Second, S. 2609 provides a temporary extension of the ITFA, which prohibits counties from collecting a tax on Internet access. While a 10-year extension is not the ideal scenario for counties, it is preferable to the House-passed bill (H.R. 3086) that seeks to permanently extend the prohibition. Additionally, S. 2609 preserves the status of grandfathered states created in the original ITFA, i.e. states that were collecting Internet access taxes when the law was first enacted (grandfathered states include: HI, NM, ND, OH, SD, TX, WI, NH, WA, TN).

County Interest: The issue of taxing remote sales has compounded in recent years due to the extraordinary development of the Internet's use as a retail marketplace, resulting in billions of dollars lost by state and local governments in uncollected sales taxes and Main Street businesses finding themselves at a significant competitive disadvantage to various online retailers. Further, over the next several years, most telecommunications and cable services will transition to broadband. As a result, the scope of the services that the current Internet access tax moratorium immunizes from state and local taxation will rapidly expand. The temporary extension provided for in S. 2609 would allow time to understand and more meaningfully assess what the transition from telecommunications and cable to broadband means for state and local governments, consumers, and other industries.

Status: The Senate hopes to take up The Marketplace and Internet Tax Fairness Act (S. 2609) in September, once Congress returns from recess. It is likely, however, that the bill will not be considered until after the General Election in a lame duck session.



NACO National Association of Counties

FB.COM/NACODC | TWITTER.COM/NACOTWEETS | YOUTUBE.COM/NACOVIDEO | LINKEDIN.COM/IN/NACODC 25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG





Talking Points:

- If your member voted in favor of the Marketplace Fairness Act (S. 743), please thank them and urge their support for the Marketplace and Internet Tax Fairness Act (S. 2609). You can click <u>here</u> to view the Roll Call vote to see how your member voted.
- Enacting the Marketplace Fairness Act does not create a new tax. It simply allows state and local governments to enforce existing sales and use tax laws.
- The bill would enable states and local governments to collect an estimated \$23 billion owed in sales taxes each year that could be dedicated to providing important public services such as infrastructure, education, health and public safety.
- Enacting the Marketplace Fairness Act would level the playing field for Main Street businesses. These businesses are at an estimated five to ten percent competitive disadvantage to remote sellers because of their inability to collect existing sales taxes. Main Street businesses contribute to local economies and are active participants in local communities.
- The technology to help businesses track varying tax rates and collect from customers already exists, reducing the concern that once existed for business. The software that keeps track of tax rates is no more complicated than calculating real-time-shipping, a feature that already exists on most retail websites.
- The Internet of 2014 does not need the same level of protection that the Internet of 1998 required. The original intent of ITFA was to encourage the development of the Internet, since the technology was fairly new. Now that the Internet is weaved into countless aspects of daily life, this justification is no longer applicable given the substantial advancements in technology since 1998.
- Counties would prefer to temporarily extend ITFA, including extending the status of grandfathered states, rather than permanently extend the moratorium on taxing Internet access. The ten-year extension would preserve ITFA's longstanding grandfather clause that protects an estimated \$500 million in annual revenues in seven states, and recognizes that the Internet access tax moratorium should not be made permanent in the midst of enormous technological change, particularly when telecommunications and cable services are rapidly transitioning to broadband services subject to the ITFA.

Relevant Committees (find your member):

House Judiciary Committee

Senate Finance Committee



124

ISSUE: TAX-EXEMPT STATUS OF MUNICIPAL BONDS


Background: Tax-exempt bonds were written in the first tax code in 1913 and are a well-established financing tool. They are predominantly issued by state and local governments for governmental infrastructure and capital needs purposes. The debt issued for capital projects help governments pay for public projects, such as the construction or improvement of schools, streets, highways, hospitals, bridges, water and sewer systems, ports, airports and other public works.

Tax-exempt bonds are a critical tool for counties that facilitate the budgeting and financing of long-range investments in the infrastructure and facilities necessary to meet public demand for government services. Without the tax-exemption, counties would pay more to raise capital, a cost that would ultimately be borne by the taxpayers through means such as reduced spending on the roads and bridges that counties are responsible for, decreased economic development or higher taxes or user fees.

County Interest: Deficit reduction efforts have already resulted in cuts in aid to local governments from the states and reduced funding in federal programs that benefit counties. Now, counties face the additional risk of not having a low-cost, market-driven means of financing to support local needs.

Status: Although a comprehensive rewrite of the federal tax code is unlikely in 2014, the work over the past few years of members like House Ways and Means Chairman Dave Camp to lead the tax reform discussion will certainly serve as the roadmap for future efforts. There seems to be building consensus that true reform of the federal tax code is one of the necessary components to getting our nation's fiscal house in order. The debate on tax reform will likely be revived in earnest after this year's elections and will certainly be a hot topic in the next presidential election. Since changes to provisions important to state and local governments, like the exemption for municipal bond interest, are still on the table, it is important to continue engaging your delegation members and stress the importance of this critical tool for counties.

NACo has resources to help with your Municipal Bonds advocacy efforts



To access the research report, click here



To access individual state profiles showing the potential impact of changing the tax-exempt status of municipal bond interest, click <u>here</u>



FB.COM/NACODC | TWITTER.COM/NACOTWEETS | YOUTUBE.COM/NACOVIDEO | LINKEDIN.COM/IN/NACODC 25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG

7



Talking Points:

- 75 percent of all national infrastructure projects are completed using bond financing. Counties, localities, states and state/local authorities invested \$3.2 trillion in infrastructure using municipal bonds from 2003-2012.
- If municipal bonds were fully taxable during the 2003-2012 period, it is estimated that the financing for the 21 largest infrastructure purposes would have cost state and local governments an additional \$495 billion of interest expense. If the 28 percent cap were in effect, the additional cost to state and local governments would have been approximately \$173.4 billion.
- The municipal bond tax-exemption represents a fair allocation of the cost of projects between federal and state/local levels of government. Through the use of tax-exempt municipal bonds, state and local governments invested 2.5 times more in infrastructure than the federal government.
- Tax-exempt bonds are vital for infrastructure, justice and health needs because counties own and operate 45 percent of public road miles and 39 percent of the nation's bridges, are involved with over a third of the nation's transit systems and airports, own 964 hospitals, manage 1,947 health departments and own many of the nation's jails.

Relevant Committees (find your member): House Ways and Means Committee

Senate Finance Committee

Ann. Any Anne - - Serie Serie Anne Serie Serie



ISSUE: PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES (PILT)

Background: The PILT program was created in 1976 to offset costs incurred by counties for services provided to federal employees and families, the public and to the users of public lands. These include education, solid waste disposal, law enforcement, search and rescue, health care, environmental compliance, firefighting, parks and recreation and other important community services. Today, the U.S. Department of the Interior makes PILT payments to over 1,850 counties in 49 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

County Interest: The federal government owns roughly 635-640 million acres, or 28 percent, of land in the U.S. As federal land is not taxable by local governments, PILT provides payments to counties to offset losses in property tax revenues and also to reimburse counties.


Status: The last PILT payments were distributed in late June, as FY 2014 funding was included in the 2014 Farm Bill. While FY 2015 PILT funding was included in the Interior Appropriations Bill in the

NACo has developed a presentation "Why PILT Matters to Counties"

The Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) Program

To view the presentation, click here

U.S. House, the U.S. Senate has not attached funding to any of their appropriations bills. **PILT is a top priority for NACo** and counties across the country, and we continue to urge lawmakers to support a long-term, sustainable solution.



FB.COM/NACODC | TWITTER.COM/NACOTWEETS | YOUTUBE.COM/NACOVIDEO | LINKEDIN.COM/IN/NACODC MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG

FY 2014 ANNUAL PILT PAYMENTS County by County Breakdown

9



Talking Points

- Thank your member for including PILT funding in the 2014 Farm Bill. To see how your members voted, click here to view the roll call votes in the U.S. House and here to view the roll call vote from the U.S. Senate.
- The PILT program provides payments to counties and other local governments to offset losses in tax revenues due to the presence of substantial acreage of federal land in their jurisdictions. As federal land is not taxable by local governments, public land counties have struggled to provide adequate services to the public in light of the annual losses in tax revenue. Counties with public lands in their jurisdictions often provide critical services on those lands including law enforcement, search and rescue, fire management, solid waste disposal, and emergency medical services.
- Without additional mandatory funding, PILT will revert to a discretionary program subject to the annual appropriations process. Counties require a public commitment from the administration and Members of Congress to support long-term funding at its full authorized levels - \$425M - for FY 2015 and beyond.
- As local governments are unable to tax the property values or products derived from federal lands, these payments are essential to support essential government services (mandated by law) such as education, first responders, transportation infrastructure, law enforcement and healthcare.

Relevant Committees (find your member):

Senate Energy & Natural Resources Committee
House Natural Resources Committee



ISSUE: SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS ("SRS" OR REVENUE SHARING PAYMENTS TO FOREST COUNTIES)

Background: The Secure Rural Schools (SRS) program provides assistance to rural counties and school districts affected by the decline in revenue from timber harvests on federal lands. Historically, rural communities and schools have relied on a share of receipts from timber harvests to supplement local funding for education services and roads. During the 1980s, national policies substantially diminished the revenue-generating activity permitted in these forests. The resulting steep decline in timber sales decreased the revenues that rural counties and school districts received from these timber sales.

County Interest: The SRS program was enacted to provide funding for counties and schools to compensate for steep reductions in revenues from timber harvests. In 2014, the SRS program provided \$270 million to 729 rural counties, parishes and boroughs across the United States.

Status: SRS funding was last addressed in the Helium Stewardship Act of 2013. This provided funding for FY 2013, however, FY 2014 funding and beyond has not yet been provided.

Talking Points

- Thank your members for supporting SRS funding in the Helium Stewardship Act of 2013 (P.L. 113-40). To see how your members voted, you can click <u>here</u> to view the roll call vote in the U.S. House and <u>here</u> to view to the roll call vote in the U.S. Senate.
- The expiration of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination (SRS) Act in 2014 will create dramatic budgetary shortfalls for 729 rural counties if Congress fails to renew this federal obligation to rural county governments.
- New legislation should be enacted that provides payments to counties and promotes active natural resource management for the stability and well-being of forest counties and communities. NACo supports a forest trust model that would designate specific Forest Service land to be managed by the states on behalf of counties and schools according to state land management practices and federal and state laws as they apply to state land.

Relevant Committees (find your member):

Senate Energy & Natural Resources Committee

House Natural Resources Committee

((Q))

ISSUE: WATERS OF THE U.S. (WOTUS) PROPOSED RULE

Background: On April 21, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) jointly released a newly proposed rule - "Definition of Waters of the U.S. Under the Clean Water Act." The amended definition of "Waters of the U.S." would subsequently expand the range of waters, including ditches, which fall under federal authority.

County Interest: Counties are charged with maintaining public safety infrastructure such as roads and roadside ditches, flood control channels, drainage conveyances, stormwater systems and green infrastructure. Without clarification, the broad nature of the proposed rule would expand the number of county owned and maintained ditches that ultimately fall under federal authority. The new regulation would increase the number of federal permits required for construction and maintenance, thereby increasing the time and costs associated with the permitting process.

Status: Both the FY 2015 House Energy and Water Appropriations and the House Interior-EPA Appropriations bills include a funding moratorium for the development and implementation of the "Waters of the U.S." proposed rule for one year. The proposed rule, published in the Federal Register, is open for public comment for 181 days, until October 20, 2014.

NACo has resources to help with your "Waters of the U.S." advocacy efforts

New *			
	Waters of the United States" Definition	n Released	
DR J	Counties are strongly encouraged to submit written con atential impacts of the proposed regulation to the Field		
	Environmental Protection Agency (IPA) and the U.S. Army Corps of I		
	and win - <u>Andritics of Manue of Act V.S. Conte the Class</u> Water /s area at the U.S. [*] and expand the union of waters that full amile folio		
	even of the U.L." and repaint the samp of wours tool for allow row deal to the Federal Impilie, is open for wolds, comment for 381, days, i		
	as in S. Emandemantial Protocolous Agency's (EP4); draft registr, two Color		
the second second second	near Measure & Province and Sandheau of the Amazida Kontensy, which	the state of the second s	
	or admost front, as a should nave to rear over periods. The rep		
	to that developing the initial convectories of follocation, southers,		
linundingen waters	and the respect these connections have an the blakepool channess and	physical relationship to	
and the second se			
Why "Waters of	the U.S.* Regulation Matters to Countles		
be proposed forthe	s of the U.S." regulation from EMs and the Corps could have a significa	el engant pe colarites	
be proposed forthe		el espect pe columbes	
The program of "webs proves the casestary 1	s of the U.S." regulation from EMs and the Corps could have a significa		
the proposed function process disa country 1 • Desidin to confid	is at the U.S." regulation from EM and the Curps could have a significa- a the following ways:	name and the second second	
The proposed function process drive country of the country to come unless from the Charts Watter in	c) of the U S ⁺ regulation hear EPA and the Carpo could hear a signification that the end of th	niniting regulations, Josephicelus downgib dws april bygreens Catyof	
The proposed "webs press the country 1 • Deals to carlo which form in Organ Water / decisions that	ng at this U.S." regulatation from EMA and the Curps could have a togethic the following ways: in posterior and reference () present regulated risks societo michly an indicate for our process regulation and the societo michly and process regulation of the society regulated waters followed for the concession decompanies proced to configuration and a constanting for their constant decompanies proced the configuration and a constanting for the concession decompanies proced the configuration and the constantion of the configuration and the constantion and the configuration and the constantion of the configuration and the constantion and the configuration and the configuration and the configuration and the configuration of the configuration and the configuration and the configuration and the configuration and the configuration and the configuration and the configuration and the configuration and the configuration and the configuration and the configuration an	nendeng regulations, I panaliction dorangle dae and I baserous Cavel an On the	
The proposed "webb process disc classificy. I e Deadles for classification which forces in Classific Strates / decisions. How index's unless(2)	is at the U-S - regulations from 2DM and the Cures could have a together to the following veget: we waters under followed parallelithetic PT or programmer of the could in m00H sears on place for own 25 years, regulating which waters full motion forder to 2DMs. The supposed sound/faculty are not curied to some followed parallelithetic and an extension of the cures of the cure of the cure of the cure of the three could extension of the cure of the cure of the cure of the cure of a cure of the cure of a cure of the cure	ningang regulations, I jurnalindun duraugh due and butterins Calvet ma on the	
The proposed "webb cross die cauritay i o Deales to dark websit fanne in Orani fanne in	is of the U.S. "regulations from DNA and the Grego could have a togethic the following water: we water, used for the second particulation of the perception of our world number on prices for second second particulations are not control togethic the DNA and the perception control togethic second second second in DNA and the perception of the second of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of the second of DNA and the perception of the DNA and the perception of the second of DNA and the perception of the perception of DNA and the perception of the second the perception of the perception of DNA and the perception of the second the perception of the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA an	ningang regulations, I jurnalindun duraugh due and butterins Calvet ma on the	
The proposed "webb cross die cauritay i o Deales to dark websit fanne in Orani fanne in	is at the U-S - regulations from 2DM and the Cures could have a together to the following veget: we waters under followed parallelithetic PT or programmer of the could in m00H sears on place for own 25 years, regulating which waters full motion forder to 2DMs. The supposed sound/faculty are not curied to some followed parallelithetic and an extension of the cures of the cure of the cure of the cure of the three could extension of the cure of the cure of the cure of the cure of a cure of the cure of a cure of the cure	ningang regulations, I jurnalindun duraugh due and butterins Calvet ma on the	
The proposed "works crown the country 1 • Dealers to call which force in Chass 5% other 1 decisions the index's unreach proposed rail meters becau	is of the U.S. "regulations from DNA and the Grego could have a togethic the following water: we water, used for the second particulation of the perception of our world number on prices for second second particulations are not control togethic the DNA and the perception control togethic second second second in DNA and the perception of the second of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of the second of DNA and the perception of the DNA and the perception of the second of DNA and the perception of the perception of DNA and the perception of the second the perception of the perception of DNA and the perception of the second the perception of the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA and the perception of DNA an	nesting regulations; Jamgitchina dawagih-dwa and Dapateria Catvel ano da time Silan Basanan Ant giar languad an mana	
 Integraphical function to the program of the country of the country	• at the U.S.* regulations have DNA and the Guege could have a tagetiful 20th Information gauge: use markets statute financing parallelectrics. The progetimes rule sector and/of sectors and and the source 20 years, regulated statutes fielder to LONA. The program configuration errors with the sector field to the content of the sector 20 years, regulated statutes fielder to low content of the sector 20 years and the sector of CMA states (end) of entropy of the sector of CMA states (end) and the sector of the sector 20 years of CMA parallelectrics and the data and a charden show content or advances that and the sector 20 years and sector of the sector 20 years of CMA parallelectrics.	nearing regulations. I parallecture chrough dee Marallecture Cayof and Barrene Cayof Statu Bendande Me glar Imagesch an menne se. Thig prospanied nutte	
The grapping function function the country is search to country is under have in Orant Watter i descriptions: propagated with search function and any search function or equilibrium country underst country underst co	• pt the U.S." regulation from DNA ped the Guege could have a significant probability of the bibliogeneous events were not except and the featured parely display d	nesting regulations,) percentration of the anti-bostness clavet and bostness clavet (a) the December of the get impact as more as Trip programmed in the m manup, the programmed of the programmed bostness	
The proposal function oversets the convertey. I oversets the convertey. I oversets have in Orant Watter I descriptions that autors because oversid defines coarry means bages requires	• or the U.S." regulations have DNA and the Curey, could have a tagetiful table bibliomy support. The problem grane strength of the could be used to a model to make the same DNA prane, regulated model, subsch factor factor in DNA. The program of the could be used to the table of DNA. The program of the could be used to the table of a could be used to the table of the table of the table of a could be used to the table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table table of the table of the table of the table of the table table of the table of the table of the table of the table table of the table of the table of the table of the table table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table table of the table of the table of the table of the table of the table table on table table (table of the table of th	Anothing regulations, Januality based on a set based on a set based on a set base sites. The based on the set of the se	
The groupsheet function the groupsheet country to a seadily to color organity that and deprivations that deprivations that deprivations that deprivations that deprivations that and	• pt the U.S." regulation from DNA ped the Guege could have a significant probability of the bibliogeneous events were not except and the featured parely display d	vesting regulations. (Jonalisticus Caved) are and Ducerius Cavet and Ducerius Cavet State. Beneticat And the Information of the Information of Information And the Information Cavet Information Cavet State Cavet And And the Information Cavet State Cavet And	

To access the NACo policy brief, click here



To access the new information hub (which includes draft comments, letters and other resources), click here







FB.COM/NACODC | TWITTER.COM/NACOTWEETS | YOUTUBE.COM/NACOVIDEO | LINKEDIN.COM/IN/NACODC 25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG

Talking Points:

- The proposed rule would broaden the number of county maintained ditches—roadside, flood channels and potentially others—that would require CWA Section 404 federal permits. Counties use public infrastructure ditches to funnel water away from low-lying roads, properties and businesses to prevent accidents and flooding incidences.
- The proposed rule states that man-made conveyances, including ditches, are considered jurisdictional tributaries if they have a bed, bank and ordinary high water mark (OHWM) and flow directly or indirectly into a "Water of the U.S.," regardless of perennial, intermittent or ephemeral flow.
- The proposed rule excludes certain types of upland ditches with less than perennial flow or those ditches that do not contribute flow to a "Water of the U.S." However, under the proposed rule, key terms like 'uplands' and 'contribute flow' are undefined. It is unclear how currently exempt ditches will be distinguished from jurisdictional ditches, especially if they are near a "Water of the U.S."
- Ultimately, a county is liable for maintaining the integrity of their ditches, even if federal permits are not approved by the federal agencies in a timely manner. For example, in 2002, in Arreola v. Monterey County (99 Cal. App. 4th 722), the Fourth District Court of Appeals held the County of Monterey (Calif.) liable for not maintaining a levee that failed due to overgrowth of vegetation, even though the County argued that the Corps permit process did not allow for timely approvals.
- The National Association of Counties' policy calls on the federal government to clarify that local streets, gutters, and man-made ditches are excluded from the definition of "Waters of the U.S."



NACO LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT STAFF

Deborah Cox Legislative Director dcox@naco.org | 202.942.4286

Paul Beddoe

Deputy Legislative Director Health pbeddoe@naco.org | 202.942.4234

Mike Belarmino

Associate Legislative Director Finance, Pensions and Intergovernmental Affairs mbelarmino@naco.org | 202.942.4254

Yejin Jang

Associate Legislative Director Telecommunications and Technology yjang@naco.org | 202.942.4239

Arlandis Rush

Associate Legislative Director Justice and Public Safety Large Urban County Caucus arush@naco.org | 202.942.4236

Hadi Sedigh

Associate Legislative Director Labor and Pensions hsedigh@naco.org | 202.942.4213

Jacquelyn Alamia

Legislative Assistant jalamia@naco.org | 202.942.4271

Marilina Sanz

Senior Legislative Director Human Services and Education msanz@naco.org | 202.942.4260

Daria Daniel

Associate Legislative Director Community, Economic & Workforce Development ddaniel@naco.org | 202.942.4212

Jessica Monahan

Associate Legislative Director Transportation jmonahan@naco.org | 202.942.4217

Arthur Scott

Associate Legislative Director Agriculture and Rural Affairs Rural Action Caucus ascott@naco.org | 202.942.4230

Julie Ufner

Associate Legislative Director Environment, Energy and Land Use jufner@naco.org | 202.942.4269

Brian Bowden

Legislative Assistant bbowden@naco.org | 202.942.4275



FB.COM/NACODC | TWITTER.COM/NACOTWEETS | YOUTUBE.COM/NACOVIDEO | LINKEDIN.COM/IN/NACODC | 25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG

((O)) National Association of Counties (NACo) Information for August Advocacy

STATUS OF PENDING EPA REGULATIONS OF INTEREST TO COUNTIES

NAME	STATUS • FINAL	RIN #	BACKGROUND	LOCAL GOVERNMENT IMPACT
DEFINITION OF "WATERS OF U.S." UNDER CLÉAN WATER ACT (CWA)	Status: Public Comment Period opened April 21, 2014 Comments due Oct. 20, 2014 Final Rule: April 2015	RIN: 2040-AF30	According to the EPA, the purpose of this upcoming rule would clarify which bodies of water (and their ditches) fall under federal jurisdiction in the Clean Water Act (CWA). Proposed rule released on April 21, 2014. Public comments will be accepted for 181 days.	Local governments that oversee a number of ditches (roadside, stormwater, floodwater, etc.) that would be impacted.
NATER QUALITY STANDARDS	Final Rule: May 2015	RIN: 2040-AF16	EPA has proposed changes to water quality standards (WQS) regulations which would: tighten current standards, clarify designated uses, and address variances to WQSs.	Many local governments must comply with WQS for their CWA programs. The standards are not always achievable or affordable.
STORMWATER REGULATIONS REVISION TO ADDRESS DISCHARGES FROM DEVELOPED SITES	Status: Pre-proposal Final Rule: unknown	RIN: 2040-AF13	EPA is working on crafting an updated version of its existing stormwater rule. The proposed rule may impact all Phase I, Phase II and non-regulated Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4). The rule would establish requirements, at a minimum, for managing stormwater discharges from newly developed sites.	Local governments are likely to be both regulates and involved in implementation of this rule. Sin the rule may include requirements to expand the current universe of Phase 1, Phase 2, and MS4s to other localities, local governments may be impacted.
DRINKING WATER REGULATIONS: REGULATION OF LEAD AND COPPER	Status: Pre-Proposal NPRM: Feb. 2016	RIN: 2040- AF28	EPA announced it is assessing rule-making options on lead and copper to determine if there is a national problem related to elevated lead and copper levels in drinking water.	This rule will impact local governments who own or operate water utilities.

NACO National Association of Counties

FB.COM/NACODC | TWITTER.COM/NACOTWEETS | YOUTUBE.COM/NACOVIDEO | LINKEDIN.COM/IN/NACODC 25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG



1

((O)) National Association of Counties (NACo) Information for August Advocacy

STATUS OF PENDING EPA REGULATIONS OF INTEREST TO COUNTIES

NAME	STATUS • FINAL	RIN #	BACKGROUND	LOCAL GOVERNMENT IMPAC
LEAD: RENOVATION, REPAIR, AND PAINTING FOR PUBLIC AND COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS	Status: ANPRM NPRM: July 2015	RIN:2070-AJ56	In 2008, the EPA established a final rule to address lead-based paint hazards in housing and child care facilities. EPA was sued for not addressing lead renovation hazards in public and commercial buildings. In a settlement agreement, EPA agreed to determine whether lead in these buildings create hazards, and thus, work practices, in these buildings, must be federally regulated.	This proposal will impact any county that owns a building with lead paint.
NPDES ELECTRONIC REPORTING RULE	Proposed Rule Stage Final Rule: Dec. 2014	RIN: 2020- AA47	This regulation would identify the essential information that EPA needs to receive electronically, primarily from NPDES permittees with some data required from NPDES agencies (NPDES- authorized States, territories and tribes) to manage the national NPDES permitting and enforcement program.	An electronic reporting rule would impact areas that don't have broadband or other types of infrastructure.
POLYCHLORINATED SIPHERRYLS (PCB) LIGHT FIXTURES	Status: NPRM Feb. 2015	RIN: 2070-AJ38	Due to a lawsuit, EPA is considering whether to require all building operators who may still use ballast light fixtures (common in buildings older than 1978 and have not been subject to energy efficiency upgrades) to replace them. These fixtures may be common in schools, hospitals, government centers, etc.	If EPA required immediate replacement of all PCI fixtures, this would create a huge unfunded mandate on local governments.
STANDARDS FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUALS GENERATED BY COMMERCIAL ELECTRIC POWER PRODUCERS	Status: Proposal; Final: Dec. 2014	RIN: 2050-AE81	In 2010, EPA proposed the first-ever national rules to ensure the safe disposal and management of coal combustion residuals. Coal combustion residuals, also known as coal ash, is a byproduct of combustion at power plants, and can cause health problems and contamination if not properly handled. Coal ash can be recycled for beneficial uses, rather than disposing of the byproducts in landfills. EPA sought to clarify these beneficial uses.	Local governments use coal ash as a major component in road bed construction projects. Byproducts are also heavily used in cement, concrete, brick, roofing materials, agriculture applications, paints, plastics, and snow and ice control.



 FB.COM/NACODC | TWITTER.COM/NACOTWEETS | YOUTUBE.COM/NACOVIDEO | LINKEDIN.COM/IN/NACODC

 25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG
 Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2"

 25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG
 Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2"

2

((O)) National Association of Counties (NACo) Information for August Advocacy

STATUS OF PENDING EPA REGULATIONS OF INTEREST TO COUNTIES

NAME	STATUS • FINAL	RIN #	BACKGROUND	LOCAL GOVERNMENT IMPACT
EMISSION STANDARDS FOR MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS	Status: Pre-proposal Final: Jan. 2015	RIN: 2060-AM08	Due to a judicial consent decree, EPA agreed to reassess its New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) and Emission Guidelines for municipal solid waste landfills. There are a number of options on the table ranging from no changes to tighter emission standards for all landfills.	New and/or tighter emissions requirements will impact every county that owns/manages a landfill.
OZONE AIR STANDARDS	Status: NPRM: Dec. 2014	RIN: 2060-AP38	In Feb. 2014, EPA released its Policy Assessment for the Review of Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards Second External Review Draft. Preliminary conclusions include a recommendation to tighten current standards as low as 60 parts per billion (ppb). The current ozone standard, set in 2008, 75 ppb.	During the last reconsideration, over 1000 counties would have been in non-attainment if levels were tightened. Counties in non-attainment areas often have difficulty attracting and keeping business, who must comply with the tighter standards.
MGMT STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS WASTE PHARMACEUTICALS	2 nd NPRM: Dec. 2014	RIN: 2050-AG39	A small portion of pharmaceuticals are regulated as hazardous waste under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act when discarded. Health care (and associated) facilities that generate hazardous waste pharmaceuticals have reported having difficulties complying with the manufacturing-oriented framework of the subtitle C hazardous waste regulations for a number of reasons.	Counties own and operate nursing homes and hospitals that may be impacted. The impact on pharmaceutical give-back programs is also uncertain.

NACO National Association of Counties

FB.COM/NACODC | TWITTER.COM/NACOTWEETS | YOUTUBE.COM/NACOVIDEO | LINKEDIN.COM/IN/NACODC 25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG ((O)) National Association of Counties (NACo) Information for August Advocacy

UNFUNDED MANDATES AND OTHER REGULATORY IMPACTS ON COUNTIES

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

CLEAN AIR ACT	Compliance with federal air pollution standards, including, but not limited to, monitoring air quality; retrofitting stationary and mobile sources of pollution and obtaining required permits; ozone and particulate matter (PM) standards for PM 10 and PM 2.5. While tighter standards for PM 10 and ozone have been temporary tabled, the reconsideration process for air standards resets every five years. Ozone reconsideration started in February 2014, the latest draft document proposed tightening the current standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) to a range of 60-70 ppb.	
PARTICULATE MATTER STANDARDS	Mentioned briefly above, lowering PM standards is problematic, especially for rural areas, where practices governing regular everyday events such as cars driving down dirt roads and agricultural practices that sustain local economies could be regulated, as could natural events such as wildfires, droughts or wind storms. Because of the high, naturally occurring, dust levels found in arid climates, many western counties have a difficult time meeting the current PM standard. This, in turn, affects their economic base, which will further restrain economic recovery. Based on previous experience, non- attainment areas have difficulty maintaining and attracting businesses to their regions, since these businesses would have to operate under the tighter standards. Most businesses chose to relocate or not even build in a non-attainment area.	
TICIDES REGULATION	The general permit for pesticides became effective the end of October, 2011. NACo has heard mixed reviews from our counties. Some counties, have changed spraying patterns, which may not be as effective as previous practices. The general permit has a heavier paperwork burden for spraying activities. This in turn has changed the way counties administer the program. Since county governments serve as primary service providers for their residents, this permit has significant effects on county programs, particularly mosquito abatement and noxious weed control efforts, creating unfunded mandates for both urban and rural counties through the tight reporting requirements.	
CLEAN WATER ACT	Compliance with federal regulations and mandates related to: county owned water and wastewater treatment regulations; combined and sanitary sewer overflow consent decrees; "Waters of the U.S." definitional changes (refer below for more specific problems with the navigable "waters of the U.S." regulation program); regulation of point and non-point discharges (including those from forest roads), including standards for improving and maintaining water quality; and the upcoming stormwater regulations which will impose tighter requirements on local governments.	
DRINKING WATER	Establishes maximum contaminant levels for contaminants in public water systems and specifies treatment techniques to be used. Upcoming regulations that will have a direct impact on local governments that own/operate drinking water facilities include the lead and copper rules.	
RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT	Cleanup at landfills, superfund sites and underground storage tanks - Local governments who own landfills are subject to federal standards regarding location, operating criteria, groundwater monitoring, corrective actions, closure and post-closure care. For Superfund sites, the issues stem from institutional controls such as zoning around sites, setting and enforcing easements and covenants and overseeing building and/or excavation near sites.	



FB.COM/NACODC | TWITTER.COM/NACOTWEETS | YOUTUBE.COM/NACOVIDEO | LINKEDIN.COM/IN/NACODC 25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG

((O)) National Association of Counties (NACo) Information for August Advocacy

UNFUNDED MANDATES AND OTHER REGULATORY IMPACTS ON COUNTIES

COAL ASH	Coal ash, aka "Fly Ash," is a byproduct of coal combustion for electricity generation. Coal ash is used in the production of concrete and is a mixture of choice for many local transportation projects because of its performance and cost-saving benefits. However, the EPA has proposed to reclassify coal ash as a hazardous material. This would prevent it from being used in road projects. The American Road and Transportation Builders Association estimates costs would increase \$104 billion over the next 20 years if coal ash was no longer available as a road, runway, and bridge building material.	
BROWNFIELDS REDEVELOPMENT/DIOXIN	Brownfields redevelopment has created some of the biggest success stories for local governments. However, the EPA is assessing whether to drop its dioxin levels to a point that would halt all brownfields development in the nation. While dioxin can be created as a byproduct through manufacturing, it is also naturally occurring. The levels the EPA proposed to lower dioxin are equal to many naturally occurring levels. NACo would urge the EPA the revisit the science used behind the health standards. Otherwise, this could be a huge loss for local governments.	
ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS -	SPECIFIC PROBLEMS DEALING WITH THE 404 PERMIT PROGRAM (EPA & USACE)	
COMPENSATION WETLAND MITIGATION	Rule issued in conjunction with EPA. Local governments request added flexibility in meeting wetland mitigation requirements. Specific example includes variance between state and federal requirements. In this case, the state has an expanded set of options to meet the requirement that is not necessarily followed at the federal level. Therefore a local government may satisfy state requirements but not be able to meet federal requirements.	
DITCH DRAINAGE REQUIREMENTS	The excessive amount of requirements necessary to provide information for USACE to review before a project is approved is both costly and time consuming for counties. For example, a county that wished to pursue and complete a drainage project was informed that the following was needed by USACE before work could be started: detailed plans showing existing condition, photos of areas where work will be done, details concerning existing water surface elevation, ordinary high water line, calculations of amount of material to be excavated, and a wetland delineation. Just to do this, the county would need to hire engineers to survey and perform calculations. All of this would significantly add to the cost of the project without necessarily ensuring clean water.	
POST CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS - 404 PERMIT RELATED	The post construction monitoring process adds costs for channel rebuilds and other mitigation measures. For example, one county, after completion of a bridge replacement project, was required by NOAA Fisheries and FHWA to reinitiate formal consultation due to shifting boulders in the stream bed. State fish and wildlife officials supported the county in its objection and in its request to allow the channel to continue to stabilize. An updated BA and additional reporting would cost the county \$50,000 in this instance. Should the reconstruction of the stream bed be required by the agencies almost \$1M in additional costs could be incurred.	
WATERS OF THE U.S.	Any changes to "Waters of the U.S." definition within the CWA will have an impact on county owned and maintained ditches such as roadside, flood control, stormwater, etc. Additionally, since there is only one "waters of the U.S." definition in the CWA, changes would impact more than the Section 404 permit program. A proposed "waters of the U.S." rule was published on April 21 jointly by EPA and Corps. The public comment period will end Oc 20. Concurrently, EPA is developing its connectivity report that will be used as the scientific basis of the proposed rule.	



FB.COM/NACODC | TWITTER.COM/NACOTWEETS | YOUTUBE.COM/NACOVIDEO | LINKEDIN.COM/IN/NACODC 25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG



((O)) National Association of Counties (NACo) Information for August Advocacy


2

UNFUNDED MANDATES AND OTHER REGULATORY IMPACTS ON COUNTIES

TRANSPORTATION		
GRANT REQUIREMENTS MAP-21	Requirements do not provide flexibility during implementation phase. For example, a county applies for funding to install electronic dynamic driver feedback speed limit signs. The county would like to purchase the signs using grant funding and then use county resources (e.g. staff) to install them. Requirements however, dictate that all stages of the process must be let out to private contractors which further implies other requirements, e.g. Davis-Bacon, EEO, etc. MAP-21 provides for some major reforms in regard to project delivery/environmental streamlining. It also proposes to modify the categorical exclusion process for NEPA review of certain projects. NACo continues to be engaged in rulemakings pertaining to these areas.	
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATM	OSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION	
NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE	The Biological Assessment (BA) process through NMFS is extremely time consuming and raises costly barriers. For example, one county was working on a joint interchange project with the state to address urban growth. In an attempt to navigate the federal environmental permitting process, the project took two years alone to navigate the BA consultation with NMFS. A standard BA consultation generally takes9-12 months but the NMFS process added more than a year in time and approximately \$1M in additional engineering costs with no added value to the project.	
MISCELLANEOUS/MULTIPLE AC	GENCIES	
INMATE HEALTHCARE	The Supreme Court required counties to provide health care for jail inmates in Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97 (1976), while the federal government refuses to contribute to the provision of Medicaid, Medicare, CHIP or veterans' health benefits or services for otherwise eligible inmates.	
FUNDING ASSISTANCE-APPLICATIONS When applying for funding assistance from separate sources/agencies for one project, multiple applications are required. The du interchangeability of the forms and the agencies is very time consuming for local governments.		
USE OF .GOV DOMAIN FOR COUNTY WEBSITES	US GSA regulates the use of this extension. Arguably, this would make county sites easier to recall constituents. Rules for use, however, restrict counties from enacting local ordinances/laws to assist in offsetting technology costs associated with website operation and maintenance via approved and regulated advertising.	



FB.COM/NACODC | TWITTER.COM/NACOTWEETS | YOUTUBE.COM/NACOVIDEO | LINKEDIN.COM/IN/NACODC 25 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, NW SUITE 500 | WASHINGTON, DC 20001 | 202.393.6226 | FAX 202.393.2630 | WWW.NACO.ORG



Board of Directors Dr. John Parks, President Julia Jarvis, Vice-President Richard Beal, Treasurer Cat Parks, Secretary

Advisory Board Dr. Doug Thal, DVM Kevan Saunders David Marks Rev. Gail Lindsey Marriner Tim Nielsen David Mead Dr. Richard Patton Pilar Marks Matt Williams August 11, 2014

Board of County Comissioners 102 Grant Avenue Santa Fe, NM 87501 pengreen@santafecountynm.gov

VIA ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

RE: CDRC Case # V/FDP 14-5090 Stanley Cyclone Center

Dear Commissioners:

The Santa Fe County Horse Coalition is writing to support Loren Tryk's petition seeking two variances for the construction of the Stanley Cyclone Center and in general support for approval of its Final Development Plan.

The Coalition seeks to promote horse ownership and activities throughout Santa Fe County. The proposed Stanley Cyclone Center will be a major equitation venue in the county and a boon to all resident equestrians. It is ideally located near I-40 to draw events as many participants on the show circuit pass by while traveling between Texas, Arizona, and Oklahoma. In this capacity it will serve as a catalyst to economic development in the region. Additionally, it will provide an all-weather arena for equitation activities in a portion of the county that is rural--where horsemanship abounds.

Thank you for your consideration of the Coalition's position on this matter.

Sincerely,

Julia Jarvis Vice President

	QUITCLAIM DEED			
	LeRoy M. Gomez and Mary Gomez, husband and wi	fe, for consideration paid, quitclaim		
to LeF	Roy M. Gomez and Mary Gomez, husband and wife, wh	nose address is 3287 S. Xenia Street,		
Denve	er, Colorado 80231, the following described real estate	e in Santa Fe County, New Mexico:		
	TRACT B-2. All as shown on that Plat of Survey Reg. Prof. Land Surveyor No. 7220 and entitled PL. by FRANCES S. GOMEZ, being within the Pojoac County, New Mexico and recorded in the Office of t County, New Mexico under Reception No. 904,993 of in Book of Plats 304 Page 007. Containing approxi- less. Together with a 20 foot wide easement of e Frances Lane to County Road 84, all as shown on a	AT OF SURVEY Requested que Pueblos Grant, Santa Fe the County Clerk of Santa Fe on the 17th day of May, 1995 imately 1.445 acres, more or egress and ingress known as		
134868 Dactt	Subject to all easements, covenants, and restictions limited to those certain Protective Covenants and Office of the Santa Fe County Clerk on the <u>30</u> day <u>Page</u> , and the 20 foot wide easement of Frances Lane to County Road 84.	Restrictions recorded in the y of $3e_{1}F$, 2004 in Book		
Witne	ess my hand and seal this <u>30th</u> day of <u>sprenbe</u>	<u>ee</u> , 2004.		
d	Play M. Donez (Seal)	(Seal)		
7	Mary borne (Seal)	(Seal)		
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT				
	TE OF NEW MEXICO) INTY OF Sato Fe)			
by L	This instrument was acknowledged before me this reaction of the second s			
I TY OF SANTA FE OF NEW MEXIC	FROES, I	HY PUBLIC		
reby Certify T rd On The 30TH Was Duly Recor	hat This Instrument Was Filed for Y CL Day Of September, A.D., 2004 at 14:26 ded as Instrument # IEAETER Santa Fe County	OFFICIAL SEAL Krystal A. Felix NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEW MEXICO y Commission Expires: 7.22000		

. : ' . .

(

EXHIBIT

PROTECTIVE COVENANTS AND RESTRICTIONS

G

u

These Protective Covenants and Restrictions are entered into on this <u>30</u>th day of <u>September</u>2004, by and between Frances Gomez, an unmarried woman; LeRoy M. Gomez and Mary Gomez, individually and as husband and wife; Guy E. Eden and Earleen T. Eden, individually and as husband and wife; and Harold Sexton and Sylvia G. Sexton, individually and as husband and wife.

WHEREAS, LeRoy M. Gomez, Sylvia G. Sexton, and Earleen T. Eden are owners of the following real estate located at 4 Frances Lane, Pojoaque, New Mexico, which is more particularly described as: "Tract A-1. All as shown on that Plat of Survey prepared by C. Peter Lujan Reg. Prof. Land Surveyor No. 7220 and entitled PLAT OF SURVEY Requested by FRANCES S. GOMEZ, being within the Pojoaque Pueblo Grant, Santa Fe County, New Mexico and recorded in the Office of the County Clerk of Santa Fe County, New Mexico under Reception No. 904,993 on the 17th day of May, 1995 in Book of Plats 304 Page 007. Containing approximately 1.120 acres, more or less. Reserving unto the grantor a LIFE ESTATE in the property described above."

WHEREAS, Frances S. Gomez retains and possesses a Life Estate in the following real estate located at 4 Frances Lane, Pojoaque, New Mexico, which is more particularly described as: "Tract A-1. All as shown on that Plat of Survey prepared by C. Peter Lujan Reg. Prof. Land Surveyor No. 7220 and entitled PLAT OF SURVEY Requested by FRANCES S. GOMEZ, being within the Pojoaque Pueblo Grant, Santa Fe County, New Mexico and recorded in the Office of the County Clerk of Santa Fe County, New Mexico under Reception No. 904,993 on the 17th day of May, 1995 in Book of Plats 304 Page 007. Containing approximately 1.120 acres, more or less."

WHEREAS, LeRoy M. Gomez, Sylvia G. Sexton, and Earleen T. Eden are the owners of the following real estate also located at 4 Frances Lane, Pojoaque, New Mexico, which is more particularly described as: "Tract A-2. All as shown on that Plat of Survey prepared by C. Peter Lujan Reg. Prof. Land Surveyor No. 7220 and entitled PLAT OF SURVEY Requested by FRANCES S. GOMEZ, being within the Pojoaque Pueblo Grant, Santa Fe County, New Mexico and recorded in the Office of the County Clerk of Santa Fe County, New Mexico under Reception No. 904,993 on the 17th day of May, 1995 in Book of Plats 304 Page 007. Containing approximately .75 acres, more or less."

WHEREAS, Harold Sexton and Sylvia G. Sexton, husband and wife, are the owners of the following real estate located at 6 Frances Lane, Pojoaque, New Mexico, which is more particularly described as: "Tract B-1. All as shown on that Plat of Survey prepared by C. Peter Lujan Reg. Prof. Land Surveyor No. 7220 and entitled PLAT OF SURVEY Requested by FRANCES S. GOMEZ, being within the Pojoaque Pueblo Grant, Santa Fe County, New Mexico and recorded in the Office of the County Clerk of Santa Fe County, New Mexico under Reception No. 904,993 on the 17th day of May, 1995 in Book of Plats 304 Page 007. Containing approximately 1.44 acres more or less. Together with 20' easement of egress and ingress to County Road No. 84, all as shown on aforesaid plat."

WHEREAS, LeRoy M. Gomez and Mary Gomez, husband and wife, are owners of the following real estate located at 10 Frances Lane, Pojoaque, New Mexico, which is more particularly

described as: "Tract B-2. All as shown on that Plat of Survey prepared by C. Peter Lujan Reg. Prof. Land Surveyor No. 7220 and entitled PLAT OF SURVEY Requested by FRANCES S. GOMEZ, being within the Pojoaque Pueblo Grant, Santa Fe County, New Mexico and recorded in the Office of the County Clerk of Santa Fe County, New Mexico under Reception No. 904,993 on the 17th day of May, 1995 in Book of Plats 304 Page 007. Containing approximately 1.445 acres, more or less. Together with 20' easement of egress and ingress to County Rd. 84, all as shown on aforesaid plat."

WHEREAS, Guy E. Eden and Earleen T. Eden, as husband and wife, are owners of the following real estate located at 14 Frances Lane, Pojoaque, New Mexico, which is more particularly described as: "Tract B-3. All as shown on that Plat of Survey prepared by C. Peter Lujan Reg. Prof. Land Surveyor No. 7220 and entitled PLAT OF SURVEY Requested by FRANCES S. GOMEZ, being within the Pojoaque Pueblo Grant, Santa Fe County, New Mexico and recorded in the Office of the County Clerk of Santa Fe County, New Mexico under Reception No. 904,993 on the 17th day of May, 1995 in Book of Plats 304 Page 007. Containing approximately 1.444 acres, more or less. Together with a 20 foot wide Ingress and Egress Easement known as Frances Lane to Santa Fe County Road No. 84, as shown on aforesaid Plat."

WHEREAS, a copy of the Plat of Survey prepared by C. Peter Lujan Reg. Prof. Land Surveyor No. 7220 and entitled PLAT OF SURVEY Requested by FRANCES S. GOMEZ, being within the Pojoaque Pueblo Grant, Santa Fe County, New Mexico and recorded in the Office of the County Clerk of Santa Fe County, New Mexico under Reception No. 904993 on the 17th day of May, 1995 in Book of Plats 304 Page 007 (hereinafter "Plat") is attached hereto as Exhibit "1" and is incorporated herein by reference.

WHEREAS, all of the parties named above are desirous of entering into protective covenants and restrictions which will limit the use of each of the tracts set forth on the Plat (hereinafter jointly and severally "Tracts") to single family residence use only and that will restrict the type of single family residential building that may be utilized on the Tracts to further the best interests of the inhabitants and owners thereof.

IT IS THEREFORE AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

10

1. <u>Residential Use</u>. Each of the Tracts set forth on the Plat shall be used exclusively for single family residential purposes only. Under no circumstances shall the Tracts used for any other purpose including, without limitation, the operation of any commercial business or the construction of multi-family housing units.

2. <u>Structure/Building Restrictions</u>. The only buildings or structures that may be placed or erected on the Tracts shall be single family residences that are considered to be conventional homes of substantial construction. No housing trailer, mobile home, modular home, manufactured home, or other similar structure shall be placed on the Tracts, permanently or temporarily, regardless of whether or not such structure has had its portable characteristics removed and regardless of whether or not it is placed on a permanent foundation. However, contractors may use a temporary building

SHC CLERK RECORDER FOR SCALES

during the course of construction of a single family residence permitted to be erected by these protective covenants and restrictions.

Without in anyway altering the foregoing provision, it shall be permissible to erect a noncommercial, accessory structure or outbuilding on the Tracts for use as a garage, storage, private recreational or hobby facility, greenhouse, bathhouse, or for the keeping or housing of domestic pets. Under no circumstances shall any such accessory structure be used as a residence, nor shall any residence of a temporary nature or character be erected or permitted to remain on the Tracts.

3. <u>Duration</u>. These protective covenants and restrictions shall be considered to run with the land and shall have perpetual duration. If New Mexico law limits in any manner the period during which these Covenants may run with the land, then to the extent consistent with such law, these Covenants shall be binding on all parties and persons claiming under them for a period of twenty years from the date these covenants and restrictions are recorded, after which time said covenants shall be automatically extended for successive periods of ten years unless an instrument agreeing to terminate said covenants and restrictions is signed by a majority of the owners of the lots and recorded in the office of the County Clerk.

Unless otherwise provided by New Mexico law, in which case such law shall control, these protective covenants and restrictions may not be terminated within twenty years from the date of recording without the written consent of all owners.

4. <u>Enforcement</u>. Enforcement to restrain violation of these protective covenants and restrictions, or to recover damages, shall be by proceedings at law or in equity in a court of competent jurisdiction against any person or persons violating or attempting to violate any covenant herein and may be brought by the owner or owners of any Tract or having any interest therein whether acting jointly or severally.

5. <u>Attorney's Fees</u>. In the event that any party engages the services of an attorney to enforce any of the provisions of these protective covenants and restrictions or to recover damages for a cause of action related thereto, the prevailing party shall recover all reasonable costs, damages, and expenses, including, but not limited to attorney's fees and costs expended or incurred in connection therewith, from the non-prevailing party, regardless of whether or not litigation was commenced.

6. <u>Severability</u>. If any one of the provisions of these protective covenants and restrictions shall, for any reason, be held to be violative of any applicable law, then the validity of such specific provision shall not be held to invalidate any other provision herein and those remaining provisions shall remain in full force and effect.

Choice of Law. These protective covenants and restrictions shall be interpreted in 7. accordance with the laws of the State of New Mexico.

Dated this <u>29</u> day of <u>September</u> 2004.

Frances Gomez, an unmarried woman

Mary Gomez, individually and as wife of LeRoy Gomez

Earleen T. Eden, individually and as wife of Guy E. Eden

via G. Sexton, individually and as wife of Harold Sexton

8-9-05

LeRoy M. Gomez, individually and as husband of Mary Comez

Guy E. Eden, individually and as husband of Earleen T. Eden

Harold Sexton, individually and as husband of Sylvia Sexton

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this 30 day of 2004 by Frances Gomez, an unmarried woman. MARYLOUNGHTAKEY PUBLAC MY COMMISSION EXPIRE 12/20/04 12/20100 on Expires:


The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this 29 day of <u>September</u> y LeRoy M. Gomez, individually and as husband of Mary Gomez. 2004 by LeRoy M. Gomez, individually and as husband of Mary Gomez.


ARY PUBL

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:

TUCERAL SECONDENCES SERVICE


The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this 29 day of September 2004 by Mary Gomez, individually and as wife of LeRoy M Gomez. NOTARY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 8-9-05 The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this 30 day of Sent 2004 by Guy E. Eden, individually and as husband of Earleen T. Eden. MARYLOU MY COMMISSION EXPIRES OTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEW MEXICO 20/04 My Commission Expires: 12 The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this 30^{44} day of 50^{44} 2004 by Earleen T. Eden, individually and as wife of Guy En Eden. MARY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 時間 NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEW ME 120104 My Commission Expires: The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this 29 day of 5eptember2004 by Harold Sexton, individually and as husband of Sylvia Sexton. MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 8-9-05 The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this 29 day of September 2004 by Sylvia Sexton, individually and as wife of Harold Sexton. NOTARY PUBLIC MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 9-05





To be sent Certified Mail return receipt requested to all property owners within 100 ft. (excluding right of ways) of subject property and all appropriate Neighborhood Associations by May 29, 2014.

CDRC CASE # V



Dear Property Owner:

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing will be held to consider a request by Lorenzo Atencio, for a variance of Ordinance No. 2008-5 (Pojoaque Valley Traditional Community District), § 12.5 (Density Standards) to allow a Land Division of 1.45 acres into two lots. The property is located at 10 Frances Lane, within The Traditional Community of Pojoaque, within Section 7, Township 19 North, Range 9 East, (Commission District 1).

A public hearing will be held in the County Commission Chambers of the Santa Fe County Courthouse, corner of Grant and Palace Avenues, Santa Fe, New Mexico on the 19th day of June 2014, at 4 p.m. on a petition to the County Development Review Committee, and on the 12th day of August 2014, at 5 p.m. on a petition to the Board of County Commissioners.


Please forward all comments and questions to the County Land Use Administration Office at 986-6225.

All interested parties will be heard at the Public Hearing prior to the Commission taking action. All comments, questions and objections to the proposal may be submitted to the County Land Use Administrator in writing to P.O. Box 276, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-0276; or presented in person at the hearing.

Sincerely:

Forenze aterier Encl: Vicinity Map






To see all the details that are visible on the screen, use the "Print" link next to the map.

F

Google



https://maps.google.com/

To see all the details that are visible on the screen, use the "Print" link next to the map.

6

Google





SOMMER UDALL SUTIN.

Kimball R. Udall Janet McL. McKay Eric M. Sommer Jack N. Hardwick Kurt A. Sommer Tracy T. Sanders Jeremy R. Jones Mark Kriendler Nelson Jacqueline Berg Joseph P. Walsh Patrick D. Barry Lisa G. Adelman Of Counsel to the Law Firm Michael G. Sulin Robert P. Worcester J. Michael Hyalt

Joseph A. Sommer (1922 - 2006)

EXHIBIT

Via Certified and Regular First Class Mail

Mr. and Mrs. Lorenzo Atencio P.O. Box 1538 Espanola, NM 87532

Re: Proposed Lot Division; 10 Frances Lane

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Atencio:

We represent your neighbors, the McDougals. The McDougals have retained us to review the legality of your proposed division of Tract B-2 ("your property"), which property is shown on the "Plat of Survey Requested by Frances S. Gomez" filed for record on May 17, 1995 in Book 304 at Page 007 in the records of Santa Fe County (the "Plat"). Your property, along with the McDougals' property and the property of 3 of your other neighbors, are part of a 5 lot division that was made by Frances Gomez in 1995. All 5 lots are subject to the Protective Covenants and Restrictions filed on September 30, 2004, Document No. 1348681 (the "Covenants"). In addition, 4 of the lots, including yours, are subject to the 20 foot Easement shown on the Plat (the "Easement"), and the Road Maintenance Agreement filed on February 15, 2005 as Instrument No. 1366929 (the "Maintenance Agreement"). It is our conclusion, based on those documents and on relevant law, that you are prohibited from dividing your property into two lots. If you proceed, the matter will likely end up in litigation. Below we set forth the grounds for our conclusion.

May 23, 2014

The Covenants limit the use of the five Tracts to "single family residential purposes". The term "Tracts" is defined as "each of the Tracts set forth on the Plat". Paragraph 1 goes on to state that "[u]nder no circumstances shall the Tracts used [sic] for any other purpose including, without limitation, ... the construction of multi-family housing units." Based upon the restrictive and narrow language in the Paragraph 1 of the Covenants, it is clear that subdividing one of the Tracts as defined in the Covenants would be in contravention of the prohibition on multi-family housing units on <u>each</u> Tract. This is the same result that was reached in *Lockwood v. Steiner*, 101 N.M. 783, 689 P. 2d 932 (1984), where the New Mexico Supreme Court ruled that a property owner could not divide his lot, because the covenants provided that only "a private dwelling house" (meaning one house) could be erected in each lot. Your Covenants also refer to "a single family residence" to be constructed on the Tracts, meaning each Tract may have only one house.

The Easement, which is a 20 foot, one lane roadway as shown on the Plat, provides access to the McDougal Tract (Tract B-3), the Sexton Tract (Tract B-1) and your Tract B-2. In New Mexico,

Mr. and Mrs. Atencio May 23, 2014 Page 2 of 3

when construing an easement, a Court must determine and give effect to the intent of the parties. In determining the intent of the parties, the Court may examine the surrounding circumstances that shed light on the parties' intent. *Northrip v. Conner*, 107 N.M. at 143, 754 P.2d at 520. We have spoken to Sylvia Sexton, whose mother, Frances Gomez, created the Tracts and the 20 foot Easement which serves Tracts B-1, B-2 and B-3. Each of those tracts is less than 1.5 acres, being approximately 1.44 acres each. Mrs. Sexton told us that her mother deliberately made each of those tracts less than 1.5 acres, because the Santa Fe County Code would not allow lots less than .75 acres, and Mrs. Gomez did not want anyone to be able to divide their lot into two lots. It was for that express reason that she made each of the Tracts less than 1.5 acres, so they could not be divided. Mrs. Gomez' intent would be persuasive to a Court if this matter were litigated.

Further, you are seeking to overburden the Easement by expanding its use to include an additional household. In the cases of *Brooks v. Tanner*, 101 N.M. 203, 207, 680 P.2d 343, 347 (1984); *Posey v. Dove*, 57 N.M. 200, 212, 257 P.2d 541, 548 (1953); and *Kikta v. Hughes*, 108 N.M. 61, 63, 766 P.2d 321, 323 (Ct. App. 1988), New Mexico Courts held that easements created to benefit a dominant estate cannot be expanded, changed, or modified without the express consent of the servient estate. This means that the scope, or intended use of an easement cannot be expanded without the consent of the persons over whose land the easement passes. Your neighbors, both the McDougals and the Sexons, will not agree to this expanded use if you attempt to divide your lot. Adding another family would expand the scope of use originally contemplated when the Easement was created, and would result in additional traffic, and wear and tear on what is only a one lane road.

Our conclusion that you may not divide your lot is also supported by the Maintenance Agreement, which identifies the four lots entitled to use the Easement, being the owners of Tract A-1, B-1, B-2 and B-3. Paragraph 2 of the Agreement allocates each Tract's percentage of responsibility for expenses related to road maintenance, and does so based upon the linear feet of the Easement used by each Tract. No language in the Maintenance Agreement contemplates additional tracts being created, which would require an amendment to the Maintenance Agreement in order to keep the allocation of percentages of responsibility fair, since your Tract would then be putting twice as much wear and tear on the road. The road agreement may only be modified by persons owning 3 of the Tracts. The McDougals and the Sexons will not agree to amend the Maintenance Agreement to include another user of the road.

We understand that you have applied to the County for your lot split. Please be advised that the McDougals and the Sextons will object to your variance application on several grounds, including the unsafe conditions that your lot split would present for emergency vehicular access on the road. They will also, along with your neighbors who own Tract A-1 and A-2, Laurie Wong and Paul Herrera, object to the increased burden an additional lot will place on the water table and any increase in septic system which would impact their wells. Moreover, be advised that the Covenants and the Maintenance Agreement both provide for attorneys fees to be awarded to the prevailing party in any litigation. If my clients seek to enforce their rights under the agreements in Court, they will seek to recover their attorneys fees and costs against you in any such litigation.

May 23, 2014 Page 3 of 3

Very truly yours,

Imet mel. Mykay Janet McL. McKay

cc: Barbara and David McDougal Sylvia and Harold Sexton Paul Herrera Laurie Wong Karl Sommer, Esq. (via email)

EXHIBIT

BARBARA MCDOUGAL'S THOUGHTS ON THE 'A'S PLAN TO DVIDE THEIR PLOT

VARIANCE'--The protective covenants clearly states that no commerce shallbe soucht on this land. Mrs A has been very open to me about their plan 'to,in about six months after their occupancy OF THE NEW HOUSE in June TO BUILD ANOTHER HOUSE ON THE BACK PART OF THE LOT FOR SALE because they needed more money" That sounds a lot like commerce to me.

RURAL NATURE- Although most of the Pojoaque valley is densely populated our photographs will show our small area has tried to maintain a Rural feel by the original owner, Mrs Frances Gomez, by dividing her land into three lots of 1.44 acres so that those lots conform to the county building code--houses must be on at least 3/4 acre per house. Our next-door neighbors the Ortizes on the East and the Frank Senas on the West are maintaining their acreage as single rural estates. Mr Sena raises horses and cattle and his hay field borders our land. It is a great pleasure to watch the occasional Coyotes and the domestic animals on the fields and the Bosque toward the River.


Second house on the "A"s land will require an access road it will have to be right along our front yard, likely about 20 feet from our property line. Building it alone will cause traffic that will raise dust and much noise and would force us to not use our front portal which is lattice with climbing vines. This will, no doubt, make our life less livable and very likely make our home less valuable when the time comes to sell it.


I have been made aware that Mr "A" has developed Parkinsons disease, and my husband has Alsheimers.disease. The roadway is only 20 feet wide and has the possibility of emergency vehicle traffic would be unthinkable on the narrow roads.


WATER--The ground water table is falling.I'm not an expert but life here would be impossible if one more family used it up.

We built our home here because of the beautiful quiet and views that guaranteed our privacy. Another house at the back of the"A"s house would deprive us of the reasons we bought and built here as well as decreasing the value of our home.













SFC CLERK RECORDED 35/18/2814





.













6

.




SEC TINE DECEDED BUILDER















































SEC GLERK PERSENCES SOLARIZES






















ſ	EXHIBIT
abbles'	19
Ľ-	

DECLARATION OF COVENANTS AND WATER RESTRICTIONS FOR TRACT

(Section ____, T__N, R __E)

THIS DECLARATION is made in Santa Fe, New Mexico, this _____ day of ____, 200___ by ______ hereinafter for convenience referred to as the "Owner(s)".

WITNESSED THAT:

WHEREAS, Santa Fe Canyon Ranch, LLC is the owner of real property in Santa Fe County, New Mexico, which property includes four Tracts containing 140.03, 140.00, 140.01 and 140.01 acres, more or less, according to the plat entitled LAND DIVISION CREATED BY 140 AC EXEMPTION SURVEY PLAT PREPARED FOR SANTA FE CANYON RANCH referred to hereinafter at Article I; and

WHEREAS, the Owners are required to provide for certain water conserving covenants and measures as required per the Santa Fe County Land Development Code AND Ordinance 2002-13; and

WHEREAS, the Owner desires and intends that the owners, tenants, mortgagees, occupants and other persons hereinafter acquiring any interest in said property shall at all times enjoy the benefits of, and shall hold their interest subject to, the rights and restrictions hereinafter set forth, all of which are declared to be in furtherance of a plan for the proper and beneficial use of the property.

NOW THEREFORE, the Owners declare, covenant, and agree as follows:

ARTICLE I

Property Subject to this Declaration

The real property which is and shall be held, transferred, sold, conveyed and occupied subject to this Declaration is legally described as Tr 4A, TR 4B, TR4C and TR 4D containing a combined total of 560.05 acres, more or less, in Sections 10, 11 and 12, Township 15 North, Range 7 East, N.M.P.M., Santa Fe County, New Mexico, according to the Plat of Survey for Santa Fe Canyon Ranch LLC by Gary E DEawson, N.M.L.S. #7014, dated January 30, 2006, recorded February 6, 2006, under reception No. 1418939, Plat Book 614, Page 34, in the records of Santa Fe County, New Mexico (the "Plat").

ARTICLE II

Water Restrictive Covenants

The following water restrictive covenants shall apply to the use and occupancy of said TR 4A, TR 4B, TR 4C and TR 4D on the plat noted above. (Any Existing dwelling units shown on the plat must meet provisions (B) through (J) at the time of fixture or appliance replacement or installation of additional landscaping; provisions A, K and L are applicable immediately.)

(A) Domestic water use is restricted to 0.25 acre-feet per year (81,460 gallons per year) per dwelling for said TR 4a, TR 4B, TR 4C and TR 4D as required by the Santa Fe County Land Development Code. <u>This restriction shall not apply to the use of any permitted water rights being utilized on</u>



these tracts. Future development of these tracts is subject to compliance with all Santa Fe County ordinances.

- (B) Water conserving appliances and fixtures as outlined in Ordinance 2002-13 shall be installed and used at the time of construction or replacement.
- (C) Toilets shall use no more than 1.6 gallons per flush. Urinals shall use no more than 1.0 gallon per flush.
- (D) Lavatory and kitchen faucets shall be equipped with aerators and faucet flows shall not exceed 2.5 gallons per minute. Showerhead-flows shall not exceed 2.5 gallons per minute.
- (E) Hot water pipes shall be insulated.

- (F) Evaporative coolers must circulate bleed-off water.
- (G) No more than one a<u>A</u>utomatic dishwashers per dwelling unit may be used, provided that it is shall <u>be-a model designed to</u> use no more than 13 gallons per cycle and shall have a cycle adjustment, which allows reduced amounts of water to be used for reduced loads.
- (H) No more than one automatic clothes wW ashing machines per dwelling unit may be used, provided that it is a model that shall uses no more than 43 gallons per cycle and shall have a cycle or water level adjustment that permits reduced amounts of water to be used for reduced loads.
- (I) Water system leaks from private water lines shall be repaired by the owner or property manager within fifteen (15) days of initial notification by the County or the owner's knowledge of the leak. Proof of repair shall be provided to the County upon completion of the repair when such notification is requested.
- (J) Low water use landscaping techniques applying the principles of xeriscaping shall be utilized. Drip irrigation and mulching are encouraged whenever possible. Low water use grasses, trees and shrubs may be watered as needed during the first and second years of their growth to become established. Thereafter, such vegetation shall receive only minimal water as needed by each species.
- (K) Planting sod or grass seed that contains Kentucky bluegrass is not permitted. Lawns of other nonnative grasses shall not exceed 800 square feet per parcel and shall only be watered with rainwater collected by means confined to the property or with recycled household gray water. Gray water systems shall meet the requirements of the New Mexico Environment Department and the Uniform Plumbing Code.
- (L) Swimming pools, of a permanent or temporary nature, are not permitted, provided that one (1) swimming pool is permitted on one lot if permitted water rights are utilized for the swimming pool. Temporary wading pools of a diameter not to exceed eight feet and a depth not to exceed one foot and covered spas are acceptable at each dwelling unit. All existing swimming pools, hot tubs and spas must be covered to prevent evaporation when not in use. Swimming pools may only be emptied once a year.
- (M) All wells on the property shall be metered with a Santa Fe County approved totalizing meter. Meter readings shall be documented by the property owner annually within two weeks of January 1st. Meter readings shall be submitted to Santa Fe County by April 30th of each year. Proof of meter installation as well as a meter reading must be submitted with a Santa Fe County Development Permit application. Failure to meter and measure water use may be grounds for fines and denial of future land use development permits.
- (N) Outdoor Irrigation from a NMSA §72-12-1 (1987) well is prohibited between 11am to 7pm from May through September of each year. The following sources and water and types of irrigation methods are exempt from the irrigation hour restrictions:
 - a.) Plants being irrigated for retail or wholesale transactions.
 - b.) All manual watering by landscape maintenance and contracting personnel, however landscaping personnel setting timed irrigation systems must ensure that the systems comply with the irrigation hour restrictions.
 - c.) Any water derived through rainwater catchment systems or any permitted water re-use system and
 - d.) Any water being used from an acequia or other agricultural irrigation system.

2

ARTICLE III

General Provisions

3.1 <u>Duration; Covenants Running with the Land.</u> The covenants of this Declaration shall run with and bind the land, shall inure to the benefit of and be enforceable by the owner of any land subject to this Declaration, their respective legal representatives, heirs, successors and assigns and the County Land Use Administrator.

3.2 <u>Enforcement.</u> Enforcement of these covenants and restrictions shall be by the County Land Use Administrator or any owner of property as shown on the Plat in any proceeding at law or in equity against any person or persons violating or attempting to violate any covenant or restriction or to restrain any such violation. Any failure by the County Land Use Administrator or any owner to enforce any covenant or restriction herein contained shall in no event be deemed a waiver of the right to do so thereafter. If the County Land Use Administrator or an owner prevails in any action against any person or persons to enforce any provision hereof, they shall be entitled to recover from such person or persons his costs and reasonable attorneys fees.

3.3 <u>Severability</u>. The invalidity or unenforceability of any covenant, restriction, term or other provision hereof as determined by a court of competent jurisdiction shall not impair or adversely affect the validity or enforceability of any other covenant, restriction, term or provision hereof, which shall be and remain valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.

3.4 <u>Miscellaneous</u>. This Declaration shall be governed by and interpreted under the laws of the State of New Mexico. This Declaration shall be binding upon each tract owner, his successors and assigns.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Owners have caused this Declaration to be signed as of the date first above written.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

On this _____ day of _____, 20___, the foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by the person(s) whose name(s) appear above.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

APPROVED BY COUNTY LAND USE ADMINISTRATOR

Penny Ellis-Green