

SANTA FE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
REGULAR MEETING
September 24, 2019

Anna T. Hamilton, Chair - District 4
Henry Roybal, Vice Chair - District 1
Anna Hansen - District 2
Rudy Garcia - District 3
Ed Moreno - District 5

SFC CLERK RECORDED 10/30/2019

SANTA FE COUNTY

REGULAR MEETING

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

September 24, 2019

1. A. This regular meeting of the Santa Fe Board of County Commissioners was called to order at approximately 2:15 p.m. by Chair Anna Hamilton in the Santa Fe County Commission Chambers, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

B. Roll Call

Roll was called by County Clerk Geraldine Salazar and indicated the presence of a quorum as follows:

Members Present:

Commissioner Anna Hamilton, Chair
Commissioner Rudy Garcia
Commissioner Anna Hansen
Commissioner Ed Moreno

Members Excused:

Commissioner Henry Roybal

C. Pledge of Allegiance

D. State Pledge

E. Moment of Reflection

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Russell Naranjo, the State Pledge by Diego Gomez and the Moment of Reflection by of the Public Works Department.

Commissioner Garcia requested a moment of silence for State Senator Carlos Cisneros.

F. Approval of Agenda

1. Amendments

2. Tabled or Withdrawn Items

CHAIR HAMILTON: Manager Miller, are there any changes we should be aware of?

KATHERINE MILLER (County Manager): Yes, Madam Chair. On page 1 of the agenda, item 1. G, the approval of the minutes from August 27th, that item was added. Also down on item 4. A. on the agenda, I just wanted you to know, the fiscal year is 2012, not 2022; that's just a typo on that item. And also on page 2 under item 10,

SFC CLERK RECORDED 10/30/2019

Matters from the County Attorney, item 1. Executive Management, Personnel Matters was added. And those are the changes on the amended agenda and that was posted at the County Admin Building on 9/20 and on line at 9:00 am on 9/21.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Great. Thanks very much. So with those amendments noted, Commissioner Hansen.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: I move to approve the agenda as amended.

COMMISSIONER MORENO: Second.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. I have a motion and a second.

The motion carried by unanimous [4-0] voice vote.

1. G. Approval of August 27, 2019, Board of County Commissioners Regular Meeting Minutes

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Madam Chair, I've given my changes to the stenographer and with those changes I move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MORENO: Second.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Great. Thank you. I have a motion and a second.

The motion carried by unanimous [4-0] voice vote.

H. Recognition of Years of Service for Santa Fe County Employees for September, 2019

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, as you know, we recognize employees when they hit a five-year service point of continuous service for the County and it's just to recognize those employees that do hit a milestone at the County, because we value their staying with the County, we value employee retention, and we just want to express appreciation to those employees who have hit a major milestone at the Count.

So in Land Use, in Planning, Erin Lloyd Ortigoza hit her five-year anniversary on September 15th, as well as Adrian Ortiz in our Animal Control at the Sheriff's Office, same day, five years. On September 22nd, Elizabeth Mack in our YDC and in Senior Services, Anthony Aragon on September 29th hit his five-year anniversary.

And in the Sheriff's Department we have Jessica Rodarte who hit her ten-year anniversary on September 2nd, and Axel Hernandez in Road Maintenance in Public Works on September 14th hit his ten years.

And I just want to congratulate them and thank them for their service.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Thank you so much. Any comments? As usual, I totally agree with Manager Miller, the value of having the longevity of employees and their contribution to the County and want to really thank them for that.

1. H. Recognition of New Hires

MS. MILLER: Also, we like to welcome our new employees so at the end of the month, every month, we welcome those employees who are new employees from

the previous month, so some of these employees you may have already met just in the course of regular business but I want to officially welcome them to the County.

In our Assessor's Office, Alyssa Roque. In Housing, Growth Management Maria Lucero. In Corrections we have several new employees, Charles Donaldson, Alexa Lonasee, Desauri Maestas, Rebecca Otero Granger, Robert Page – who is our Deputy Warden, and Angelica Romero, Amanda Trujillo, and Aviv Stein. They're all in our Corrections. And then in our Dispatch Center, RECC, we have several new trainees. We have Mariah Dean, Catherine Hamilton, Alexandria Herlihy, Daniel Solis, Jr.

And then in Sustainability, we have a new Sustainability Specialist, Jacqueline Beam, and in the Sheriff's Office, James Lefevre and Juliet Baca. And James is a new Sheriff's Deputy Cadet and Juliet is an administrative assistant.

So I just want to welcome them to the County and hope they have a good career at Santa Fe County.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Absolutely. We would certainly like to echo that sentiment and welcome everybody aboard. And this might also be a good time to recognize our Sheriff who is here. Adan Mendoza, thank you for attending, and our new Fire Chief. This should be his first Board meeting, Eric Litzenberg. Very glad you're here. Welcome aboard.

1. J. Recognition of Santa Fe County Staff for Receipt of New Mexico American Planning Association and Western Planner Awards

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, I mentioned this at the last BCC meeting and I'm looking to see if Penny – oh, Paul, to see who actually has the awards in their hand. Robert Griego. Okay. So at the last BCC meeting we had the Western Planning Association had their conference here and we found out that several of the people in our Planning Department or Planning Division were awarded some awards, and so I would like all of those guys to come up to the front row, not the back row. No hiding when you're getting recognized.

So as we had mentioned, at the meeting – So it was the New Mexico American Planning Association and the Western Planner Joint Conference that was held in Santa Fe on September 8th through the 11th and Santa Fe County really, our Planning individuals and open space project managers really did an outstanding job over the past several years and they were recognized at that conference. I don't know how many awards in total were given but I feel like we took the lion's share of them. So I'm pretty proud of that.

But the award categories were the New Mexico American Planning Association Professional Planner Award, Lucy Foma. Raise your hand, Lucy. Innovation in Planning, our Thornton Ranch Open Space, Marian Lohman and Colleen Baker. And then our Western Planner, the Kenneth G. Wydo Award for the Planning Team of the Year was our whole Santa Fe County Planning Division which this isn't all of them, but that's really impressive that our whole Planning Division led by Robert Griego received that award, and then the Sheldon D. Gerber Merit Award in Environmental Plan for the Thornton Ranch Open Space to Maria Lohman.

So Robert, I don't know if you want to add to that, but congratulations. That's really impressive for you and your staff and everybody involved, so that's great.

ROBERT GRIEGO (Planning Manager): Thank you. Thank you, Commissioners. It was really a pretty awesome conference that we had here in Santa Fe. In addition to receiving the award, Santa Fe County also participating in presenting several presentations and plenaries that we were involved in. So we did share the work that Santa Fe County is doing with the Western Planners, which is comprised of the 13 western states, so everything north and west of us are part of the Western Planning organization.

So we were able to present some of those that we've done. Also, we were able to learn a lot about what other folks are doing. Commissioner Hamilton also presented the opening plenary as well to welcome people to Santa Fe. In regard to the awards, we're definitely honored to receive the awards. I think we've got a great planning team. I just want to recognize the planning team.

CHAIR HAMILTON: I just wanted to add, it was a real honor to be there and I also went to the awards presentation evening and I have to say, they managed to keep Lucy's award a secret from here and she was just sitting there with her kids in her lap and listening to all the awards and applauding everybody else and just sitting there and sitting there, like, it never dawned on her. It took measurable, finite seconds after her name was announced. Oh, wait a minute. That was really actually a nice component of it to add that element of surprise. So that was very nice. It was amazing to see you guys get so many well deserved awards. I was very proud to be there and see you guys recognized for your work. Are there other comments? Commissioner Hansen.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Thank you. Thank you, Lucy, Erin, Maria, Colleen, Robert, Paul, Peggy. I don't know her as well as I know all the rest of you. I am so proud of all of you, it makes my heart just warm that you guys got this award. I just have to say, reading over the Ortiz plan is like, this is so impressive. No wonder you got an award. No wonder the County is recognized. It is just amazing the amount of work in the Thornton Ranch plan when I saw that. It's amazing. So congratulations, Lucy, for being Planner of the Year. Totally deserve it. Totally great. Makes me really happy to know that we have such a great Planning Department. So congratulations everybody.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Yes, thank you. Commissioner Moreno.

COMMISSIONER MORENO: Thank you, Madam Chair. I have been attending, off and on, the meetings in San Marcos, and you run a pretty good ship. It makes my heart beat with pride because I'm a retired facilitator and I appreciate the work that goes into that work and you're always happy about it. So I'll just stop there. Congratulations. You're all great in my book.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you so much.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, obviously, when you go down to the Planning Department you also work as a good team and congratulations. Great job. Keep it up, making Santa Fe County look good for our Planning Department. Thank you.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Thank you. Manager Miller.

MS. MILLER: They have a video as a matter of fact that they want to show.

CHAIR HAMILTON: I didn't mean to cut you guys off to the knees there. We just went straight to having things to say to you.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Madam Chair, do you think we could also take a picture?

CHAIR HAMILTON: That would be great. After the video, if we could get the photo op with you we'd be very proud to have that up.

MARIA LOHMAN (Planning): So Colleen and I have been working on the Thornton Ranch suite of plans which includes the master plan, the management plan, the interpretive plan and also a management plan for the Galisteo Basin Archaeological Sites Protection Act site within Thornton Ranch. So there's a lot of work that goes into this and this is such a special, special place, Thornton Ranch Open Space that we wanted, as part of the interpretative and educational component of this property that we are working on right now to get the word out about how important and spectacular this place is.

So we put together a video of Thornton Ranch Open Space, the Galisteo Basin, and it's connection to people. So we will play that video for you. And this was part of the submittal of the awards. So we included all four of those plans. Also a synopsis of the plan in the process and this video to the awards committee. So this was what was included and what I think influenced our award.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Thank you. Thank you for bringing that.

[A video was shown.]

MS. LOHMAN: Thank you. I've seen that video thousands of times and it gets me every time when Archie West, the rancher, says this is my place. Anna from Galisteo talks about the importance of preserving this place and creating this area for children to have education and learn about this and even Mark saying that it's so important to create clean air and clean water. So thank you for letting me show you that.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you so much. Commissioner Garcia.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, actually for new employees or anybody that has not been out to the Galisteo Basin Preserve it's a very, very beautiful place. As Colleen knows, Paul and a few others that have been here for a while, back in 2005, the County Commission actually approved an open space bond and we actually went and purchased that property and now the staff is moving forward and getting the management plan complete and hopefully it will be ready in 2021. If you want to experience the beauties of that beautiful place in District 3 you guys are welcome to stay there for five minutes and talk about it because it is a very, very beautiful place. Has a lot of history, as the ex-governor from Tesuque mentioned up there, but for the new people that have never been out there, some of our taxpayers that don't even know where the Galisteo Basin Preserve is and how we actually purchased that property, if you want to elaborate a little bit more that would be great.

COLLEEN BAKER (Planning): Madam Chair, thank you, Commissioner Garcia. Yes. This has just been an amazing opportunity to work on for my entire career here at the County. I really want to thank just the foresight that the citizens of Santa Fe County had for even passing the bonds, recognizing that places like this need to be protected and authorizing the County to purchase this because I think this truly is a legacy that Santa Fe County is leaving for many generations to come.

This landscape has been used by human beings for over 10,000 years. The timescale that we can see in the Galisteo Basin is just kind of beyond comprehension. It's also a very beautiful and unique natural area, being a basin contained by mountain ranges all around it, just south of Santa Fe. The geology is extremely interesting and unique with both the Sangre de Cristo Uplift and the volcanics that happened as the plates settled down into the Rio Grande Valley, it's created all sorts of different geology there, with the Galisteo Dike and the Comanche Gap Dike that are running through the landscape, so you can really see the geology. You can see the under-foundation of that whole landscape right there in the basin.

This property happens to sit right in the middle. And so because of that it's also very significant to many different Native American groups. There are actually 29 tribes that have association with this. It was such a passage way between the Plains and the Rio Grande that there is just this meeting of cultures. And then there are layers and layers of culture beyond that that we can see on the landscape, but it's still very natural and open out there. We can see the Galisteo community itself and the people that have lived in that landscape since the original Spanish settlers came to this area and were walking up and down, trading salt and herding sheep in that landscape.

And we can see when the railroad came in and there's actually two different railroads that were in conflict right there. And so one railroad, they couldn't agree on crossing at grade, so one of the railroads actually had to build a flyover, a bridge, that went over the other railroad. So there's so much railroad history we can even interpret. And then later ranching and now we're all here too.

So what we do next on this landscape is the next footprint, and we're trying to be very conscious of that and really leave this place as remarkable as it is now but allow people to enjoy it. So we're very proud of the plans that we put together, the whole suite of plans and Maria's initiative to submit those to the Western Planner and the New Mexico Planner awards. It's been recognized and we're very proud of that, but we also recognize the task now is to implement those plans in the way we intended. So thank you for letting us be a part of this and we're very proud to work on this project.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Thank you so much. So if indeed we could come join you for a photograph for all of you and all the awards we'd appreciate it.

[Photographs were taken.]

2. CONSENT AGENDA

- A. **Request Approval of County Health Assistance Claims in the Amount of \$2,032.17 (Community Services Department/Jennifer Romero)**
- B. **Resolution No. 2019-112, a Resolution Requesting an Increase to the 2016 Capital Fund Program Grant (301-1986) in the Amount of \$28,225 and a Decrease to the 2017 Capital Fund Program Grant (301-1987) in the Amount of \$7,910 (Finance Division/Gary L.J. Giron)**
- C. **Resolution No. 2019-113, a Resolution Requesting a Budget Increase to the Law Enforcement Protection Fund (211) in the Amount of \$7,464 (Finance Division/Gary L.J. Giron)**

D. Resolution No. 2019-114, a Resolution Requesting a Budget Increase to the Clerk Filing Fees Fund (218) in the Amount of \$51,169.39 (Finance Division/Gary L.J. Giron)

CHAIR HAMILTON: Did any Commissioners have any items they want pulled or have questions on?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, move for approval.

COMMISSIONER MORENO: Second.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. Is there any further discussion? I have a motion and a second.

The motion carried by unanimous [4-0] voice vote.

[Clerk Salazar provided the resolution numbers throughout the meeting.]

3. APPOINTMENTS/REAPPOINTMENTS

There were no new appointments or reappointments.

4. MISCELLANEOUS

A. Resolution No. 2019-115, a Resolution Adopting Projects for Inclusion in Santa Fe County's Senior Services Infrastructure and Capital Improvement Plan for Fiscal Years 2021-2025; Authorizing Submittal of Plan to the New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration; and Replacing Resolution 2018-96

ANNA WAR (Senior Services): Good afternoon. I'm here today – we are required by DFA to submit a senior ICIP plan. We have until October 4th to submit that. It is for fiscal years 2021 through 2025. So this request today will be to review and prioritize the recommendations for the top five projects for the submittal of that plan.

What we went ahead and did was I just brought the last year's five plans and reprioritized them. They are ADA compliance for the County senior centers, Abedon Lopez Senior Center renovation, vehicle replacements Countywide in our Senior Services Division, the Bennie J. Chavez Center renovation, Edgewood Senior Center water system. The total for all of these projects is \$2.4 million. As you can see from Exhibit A I've gone ahead and broken those out by fiscal year.

So this resolution will replace the resolution that was voted on last year by the Board of County Commissioners. That resolution was Resolution No. 2018-96. With that I stand for questions.

CHAIR HAMILTON: So Commissioners, are there any questions?

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Commissioner Hansen.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: I just have a question about the vehicle replacement. As you move forward with the vehicle replacement I would hope that you'll

keep in mind to look for electric vehicles and hybrids so that we can move toward a more sustainable economy.

MS. WAR: Madam Chair, Commissioner Hansen, mostly what we are in need of is actually our hot-shot trucks. That is a truck that has a hot box that will keep food hot and cold. I'm not sure if they do that as a hybrid or electric vehicle but certainly we can look into that going forward. The other vehicles that we tend to use a lot are the vans to transport for medical transportation, handicap vehicles for those that are in wheelchairs, scooters or walkers.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Madam Chair, so with Amazon moving to all electric vehicles the marketplace will become more full hopefully with more vehicles that are sustainable and give us that opportunity.

MS. WAR: Madam Chair, Commissioner Hansen, thank you. We will definitely look at that.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. Are there other questions?
Commissioner Garcia?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, I talked to Manager Miller about this but I know we have some challenges in our Projects Department but I'm going to be asking questions on projects, so just so everybody can be a little bit aware of stuff. So actually this Exhibit A, so is this going to go on the state's general obligation bond in the next year and a half, two years? Or this is money that the state legislature is going to give? Capital outlay money?

MS. WAR: Madam Chair, Commissioner Garcia, so one of the things on here is Abedon Lopez, through the general obligation bond we have received some funding; it's not enough for the total renovation, which is why we continue to keep it on here and it would go through the general obligation bond. The Bennie J. Chavez Center renovation, I did request through the capital outlay request last April, through Aging and Long-Term Services Department. It has not been approved as of yet.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So really quick, so correct me if I'm wrong. This list actually goes from Santa Fe County and every other municipality and every other county, the state and then the Agency on Aging creates this big, huge list. And then what happens is next November the State of New Mexico votes on this entire list, that Agency on Aging puts forward to the state legislature not in 2020. Is this the list we're talking about? Do you know?

MS. WAR: Madam Chair, Commissioner Garcia, I'm going to look to Paul Olafson for a little help because this is my first run as Senior Services Director in doing this and Paul is a little bit more familiar.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So just really quick, once again, what happens is these are the County's five priorities, so once again the state legislature now in 2020 will adopt a huge list statewide. So what will happen is the voters in November, next year, 2020, will vote on this entire list for all these senior centers throughout the State of New Mexico on a general obligation bond.

RACHEL O'CONNOR (Community Services Director): Madam Chair, Commissioner, I was conferring to make sure we had the similar understanding. It's eligible for both general fund and general obligation bonds.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Manager Miller.

MS. O'CONNOR: We had some requests that go through – that we have submitted.

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, so the state has different requirements and procedures for each thing. We do our Countywide ICIP, and you did that for September 1st and I think I spoke to some of you about I want all this wrapped into one. And then DFA has a separate requirement that we give our Seniors ICIP. And our Seniors ICIP is something that they request so that they can then look at specifically counties' priorities related to seniors' projects that could go in the GO bond question for seniors. Because they do a separate GO bond question for higher ed, one for libraries, and one for seniors. And this is where they develop that list, is off of all of the counties' and cities' Seniors ICIP. So they ask that they be separated out because they do a separate bond question.

But they are eligible for any of our projects, truly, whether they're on the ICIP or not, as we've noticed through the last time, could potential get a general fund or a severance tax bond if they issue severance tax bonds, or a junior bill appropriation, if the legislators so choose to do so.

From an administrative standpoint though, DFA tries to get us to do our overall ICIP, submit that September 1st with our top five Countywide priorities, and then they come back and ask a month later for your Seniors ICIP.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, I totally understand that. So one of the reasons why I'm asking, if this is going on the general obligation bond in 2020 of November, and the Abedon – I'll speak for Commissioner Roybal, the Abedon Senior Center renovation is going to cost \$2 million. Why are we only asking for \$700,000? So some of the other things that I'm going to have questions about is the State Road 14 Senior Center. Do we need additional money for that, or where are we at with that? And also in regards to the Edgewood Senior Center for \$50,000 – is that going to be enough just to fix the water system?

MS. WAR: Madam Chair, Commissioner Garcia, with regard to Abedon Lopez, we recently are getting the \$1.3 million for Abedon, which is why we're asking for the \$700,000. With regard to Highway 14, we had already received some funding and received additional funding through the legislature so we no longer have to request that funding. And Edgewood Senior Center, they started the water system process. It was for the facility but the one for the kitchen is separate so this will cover the kitchen side. That was my understanding.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Thank you.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. So not to overcomplicate this but I assumed like it's the case with the other ICIP they're sort of externally requested. It's a requirement that's put on us from the outside but we are trying to integrate our internal processes with these externalities, right? With the capital planning. So is there something between our general strategic planning and specifically our capital improvement planning that we are trying to integrate that is thrown off at all by the fact that this is requested later? Because these are priorities within a department but certainly those are still brought forward into the Countywide strategic planning, right?

MS. MILLER: So Madam Chair, even though DFA requires this list at a different time, it really should be done at the same time we do the Countywide ICIP because – and the same with our Fire strategic plan has its own capital stuff at the back of

it, but that should all be wrapped in, as should this, all be wrapped into our Countywide ICIP with all funding sources identified. And that's what we're trying to do with that process that you approved with Paul Olafson and Jacob Stock and the planning group about how do we make that plan so that at any time of the year, if DFA asks what are your top five seniors projects, you pull them out of the Countywide ICIP, not go do a separate ICIP just for seniors projects.

So next year, what I hope is with that new process we have all of that rolled into the overall ICIP and you could pull out Fire's five-year plan out of there, if you wanted to look by department you could see it when you approve that. Or if you wanted to see seniors, on that same day after you approve it you could just say give me all the senior facilities and needs that are in that five-year plan. It's just that we didn't have that process adopted yet, plus we're not there because we're taking everybody's individual ICIP plans and we have to identify the funding sources and bring all of those into the bigger ICIP.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Thank you. Did you have anything to add to that, Rachel, or is that good? Because it was kind of a general question.

MS. O'CONNOR: I think that the County Manager and I have spoken and definitely next year this project will be rolled into the larger ICIP as well as being submitted to meet the DFA requirements.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Fabulous. Glad to hear that. Thank you so much. So are there other questions? Commissioner Hansen.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: I move to approve the resolution adoption projects for inclusion in the Santa Fe County Senior Service Infrastructure Capital Improvement Plan.

COMMISSIONER MORENO: Second.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Thank you. I have a motion and a second.

The motion carried by unanimous [3-0] voice vote. [Commissioner Garcia was not present for this vote.]

4. B. Request (1) Approval of Agreement No. 2019-0227-PW/KE Between Santa Fe County and Design Office in the Amount of \$342,049, Excluding NM Gross Receipts Tax, to Provide Design Services for the Thornton Ranch Open Space and (2) Authorization for the County Manager to Sign the Purchase Order

BILL TAYLOR (Purchasing Director): Thank you, Madam Chair, Commissioners. We're here before you to ask two things. One, to approve the agreement between Santa Fe County and Design Office in the amount of \$342,049 excluding New Mexico gross receipts tax to provide design services for the Thornton Ranch Open Space, and two, the authorization for the County Manager to sign the purchase order.

Thornton Ranch is Open Space's largest open space area and we have adopted a master plan for that ranch and identified natural and cultural resources. This is actually the design contract to design the actual trails, trailheads and put it out for construction.

And these are the fees and additional fees for those design services. I'll stand for questions.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Any questions? Commissioner Garcia, that was slightly slow motion.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, can you explain this to me, but looking at the actual plan or the schedule of events for the design work, it seems like it's going to take four months to actually do the schematic, which is like basically a schematic, like programming, you talk to us and tell us what we want out there. Why four months? Why so long?

MR. TAYLOR: Madam Chair, Commissioner, it is slightly complex, working with tribal, BLM, and state – SHPO, State Historic Preservation in coordination of exactly where the trails will be placed. So there is an initial – it's going to delay getting actually to schematics, but Colleen is here also to discussion that and the design service if you have any further questions. But that is really getting through that initial phase and working with those different government entities is a little complex.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Because if you look at the construction schedule, and I'll just leave it at this, if we can – I don't know the situation we have out there, but if you look at the design schedule, that's 12, 13, 14 – that's 15 months to design it, and then it actually takes six months for construction? Shouldn't it be like the other way around?

MR. TAYLOR: Madam Chair, Commissioner, I'll let Colleen – but they are trails. It's not building a road or utilities or a building. That's what's a little deceiving. It does take a lot with the cultural and natural resources in designing it. The construction is not that complex. So the schedule on construction may be, in this case, for trails be a little bit shorter for construction. But I'll defer to Colleen.

MS. BAKER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Garcia, so a lot of what is going on – actually we have multiple contracts going on. Right now we have one also for cultural resources and the environmental assessment for the entire property under NEPA. Because this property includes about 200 acres of Bureau of Land Management land and the subsurface minerals from the majority of the property are owned by BLM that federalizes the entire project. So a lot of what's driving the design schedule is not design.

It's not the design work. It's the coordinating with the NEPA process and how fast we can work that through BLM and in this case, BLM actually is the lead agency because they're the only federal agency on this project. So they have to do the consultation with SHPO themselves and they also have to do the consultation with the tribes themselves. Along with that, in the master plan, we dedicated a tribal review of the trail alignments for at least 60 to 90 days.

So part of what we do is front-end all these processes and coordinate all of the work that the consultants are going to be doing on the ground with these other timelines that have to go on. So that's what's driving the timeline. It's not so much a landscape architect's work; it's all these other schedules, things that have to happen. Part of that, because we are taking visitors to the cultural resources that are historic, we actually have to mitigate our impact on those cultural resources. That's something that Santa Fe County has actually not had to do, at least under the open space program, because we typically avoid any impact to cultural resources.

In this case we're trying to interpret them, and part of that will be there's a whole timeline in entering into an MOU with the State Historic Preservation Office to come up with a mitigation plan and then execute the mitigation before we even have final design. So there are all these other pieces that are driving the other timeline, not the actual physical landscape architectural work.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Thank you. I understand. And then also, what is the construction budget that we have for this?

MS. BAKER: The construction budget is \$1.6 million.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And is the \$1.6 million reflected in the agreement with the designer, so that way they know we're designing for \$1.6 million, not \$1.7 million, not \$1.8 million, but \$1.6 million?

MS. BAKER: Correct.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Thank you.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Are there other questions on this? What is the pleasure of the Board?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, move for approval.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Second.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Great. Thank you. I have a motion and a second.

The motion carried by unanimous [4-0] voice vote.

4. C. Resolution No. 2019-116, a Resolution Adopting the Ortiz Mountains Open Space Management Plan

MS. LOHMAN: Madam Chair, Commissioners, we have been working on the Ortiz Mountains Open Space Management Plan for a little while now. The Ortiz – and I do have a power point to present to you, actually, so I will give you an introduction as we wait for that to be cued up.

Santa Fe County purchased the Ortiz Mountain Open Space from the Santa Fe Botanical Gardens in 2007 and we worked with the Santa Fe Botanical Garden to maintain their management of this property for education and interpretation. So that is the main focus of this property is education and interpretation. So keep that in mind as I go through this.

The County purchased 1,350 acres from the Santa Fe Botanical Gardens in 2007 and this property is basically the tops of the Ortiz Mountains. It's adjacent to the gold mine off of Gold Mine Road. So it's fairly steep, pretty hard to access. We wanted to write this management plan to help us understand what is at this property, how we are going to manage this property, what kinds of future investments we need to start to think about, and also how to get stewardship, community education opportunities programmed.

So this property is pretty incredible. It is adjacent to the gold mine. It has some pretty significant geology and mine history. It has some pretty interesting cultural significance. It also has the opportunity to provide education on mining and impacts to the land. There is some existing infrastructure at this property including a picnic shelter. There are some historic structures. There's also trails, but there are also some mines that we need to take into account.

The other challenge with this property is that the road condition is pretty hard, so access to this property is really, really challenging. The access road goes through the gold mine property and there are some restrictions on that access agreement. There's also a conservation easement on this property that's held by the Santa Fe Conservation Trust, and so they do have oversight on some of the land management decisions that we would like to make. And really the only way right now to access this property is by guided trip accompanied by staff.

I don't expect you to read this but there's some really, really interesting and significant environmental resources on this property. We have some very unique wildlife habitat. Peggy and I have spent several days out in the Ortiz doing bird surveys. We found some really important species of lucerne including the Grace's warbler, Virginia's warbler. We also think we have Townsend's big-eared bats in some of the mines. So this is a really important property for wildlife.

We also have some erosion and soil conditions that we need to manage. This is a landscape that has been very heavily impacted by past mining, and we also have some forest health conditions that we also are managing for.

We have lots of interesting archaeology and as I mentioned before, lots of mining history.

So as we move forward with this property our intention is to maintain this property as the wildness component of Santa Fe County open space. We want it to be maintained as a preserve for wildlife and their natural systems. We want to make sure that we can provide educational access to this property because it is so significant, and we also want to use this property as a science-based land restoration demonstration site.

So that's how we focused the actions in this plan. And we put together some specific management goals that are in the plan in your packet. So what we did is we prioritized our actions in this plan so that they are focused on being safe as we access the site, continuing to maintain and provide educational opportunities, even on a limited basis, addressing some of our legal constraints and physical constraints to the property especially as they're related to access, maintaining our existing infrastructure on the property, managing our ecosystem health, and making sure that we build capacity with stewards and communities to help us provide all of these things.

So generally, visitor management is something that we really focused on this plan. We will continue to operate and offer guided trips. We need to work on developing docents through partnerships. We did outline a few policies and we have outlined some monitoring and assessment needs.

So as you can see, we have a timeline associated with this property and what we want to do is do these environmental assessments in particular in the next one to five years, and that includes some of the projects that we're working on currently. We are collaborating with our wildland fire crew to do a forest health assessment and all that that entails. That does require that we do bird surveys and habitat surveys so that we get some baseline data. It also requires that we do an archaeological survey, so we're working on all of those currently. So that we will be ready to go when our fire crew is ready to go.

We also need to do some assessments of the trails and their condition to figure out, one, how sustainable they are, but also how safe they are. Right now the policies that we've outlined in this management plan do indicate that you need to be fairly physically

able to access this property. This property is pretty steep and everything you do out there is pretty strenuous. So we need to make sure that everything is safe. We also need to make sure that we can maintain a monitoring schedule so that we know all of the things that we are out there doing will have a positive impact.

And then, once we get that under control, we're hoping that we will be able to have educational material developed, figure out who we can work with and partner with to do docent-led hikes and eventually schedule some tours to go out there. So that's our plan in the next five years. I do want to point out we reviewed this plan with COLTPAC at their September 4th meeting and they recommended approval of this plan. With that I will stand for questions.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. Do you have a questions, Commissioner Hansen?

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: I just have comments. When I was going through this other night realizing all the work, not just done on the management plan but also on the things Ecotone has done and the Ortiz Appendix is quite extensive. It is a long document, like 82 pages. It is impressive. And that is I think why you won these awards is because of the kind of dedication and work that has gone into these projects of really paying attention to the details. When I was reading it and looking at endangered or impaired birds. To know that the piñon jay is impaired or not doing as well as it could and that is our local state bird, and that's concerning.

So to have some place where we know that they have some safety and some place of refuge is really important to me. So I was really heart-felt and glad to see this management plan and all the appendices, because the appendices really give you a tremendous amount of background and information about the Ortiz Mountains and the open space and the possibilities and the reclamation, whether we do any reclamation or not, but just being aware of the mines that do exist there and making sure that people are safe and that all those things are taken into account.

So I wholeheartedly support this and think it's very impressive work that our Planning Department has done, and I hope you get more awards. Anyhow, that's all I have to say.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. Excellent. Are there other questions? Commissioner Garcia.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, another beautiful open space in District 3. Commissioner Hansen actually likes to say District 2, but the Ortiz Mountains, for those who don't know where it is, whenever you go past Madrid, once you get to the top of that hill there, you look to the highest peak that you can see there, and the highest peak probably in District 3 down in that area, that's what we're talking about, that area there.

Actually I went to the property with Maria about a year and a half ago. Met there 9:00 in the morning with ten individuals. Everybody had these walking sticks and she said, Do you need some water? I said, No, I don't need any water. I'm like, Why do these people have these walking sticks? Did you bring some lunch? I said, No, I don't have any lunch. Later I found out Maria actually forced me to take two bottles of water that she had in her truck up there. Thank god she did that, and she also when we got to the top, top, top up there, she actually shared her lunch with me.

But some spectacular views from up there. Amazing. It's so beautiful I get the chills just talking about it.

But thank you for the good work on the management plan. One think that I would like, and I haven't talked to Manager Miller about this yet is next time maybe we can go on a hike out there and if we can have somebody GPS it, because you're probably one of the only ones that knows where that trail is. And so if we can have somebody do that, maybe the next month or two in the fall it would be great. But thank you for the work that you guys do on the management plans.

MS. LOHMAN: Thank you very much.

CHAIR HAMILTON: That's actually a good question. We have the Economic Development Department developed a Santa Fe Trails app for your phone. Would these get added to that eventually?

MS. LOHMAN: Madam Chair, Commissioners, the challenge with this property is that it's not open to the public.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Right.

MS. LOHMAN: And so we haven't included these trails on the app for that reason. Commissioner Garcia is correct that we do need to do some kind of trail assessment so that we can figure out what the best routes for trails are and how we move forward with that. I would love to see more access to this property and that's what this management plan is going to help us address. At least it provides the framework and the steps for us to move forward to figure out trail safety, trail access, public access, and more opportunity for people to go out there and use these trails.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Is there further discussion or what's the pleasure of the Board?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, I move for approval.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Madam Chair, second.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. I have a motion and a second.

The motion carried by unanimous [4-0] voice vote.

- 4. D. Request (1) Approval of Amendment No. 1 to Agreement No. 2018-0299-CORR/MM with Mira Consulting, Inc. to Provide Dental Services, Extending the Term an Additional Year and Increasing Compensation an Additional \$150,000, for a Total Contract Sum of \$320,560, Exclusive of NM GRT, and (2) Authorization for the County Manager to Sign the Purchase Order(s)**

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Madam Chair. We're asking again for two approvals on this amendment to agreement 2018-0299 with Mira Consulting to provide dental services extending the term an additional year, increasing the compensation an addition \$150,000, for a total contract sum of \$320,560 exclusive of tax, and two, authorizing the County Manager to sign the purchase orders. We entered into an agreement in 2018 with Mira as a result of an RFP and we're here to amend to extend that term and add compensation for the additional fiscal year. With that I'll stand for any questions.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you, Bill. Are there any questions?

Commissioner Hansen.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. So what's been the outcome? Has the detention center felt that they served the constituents?

MR. TAYLOR: Madam Chair and Commissioner Hansen, yes. This is the service for the inmates at the adult and youth centers to provide quality and professional dental services. Mira Consulting is out of Albuquerque in providing the services for the Corrections and the word is from the warden and everyone that they have provided those services and that is why they're wishing to extend that term. So the quality of care has been very well received and provided to the department.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Madam Chair, I move to approve this amendment number one to the agreement.

COMMISSIONER MORENO: I'll second.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. I have a motion and a second. Is there any further discussion? Seeing none.

The motion carried by unanimous [3-0] voice vote. [Commissioner Garcia was not present for this action.]

4. E. **Request Approval of an Order Imposing Tax Rates on the Net Taxable Value of Property Allocated to the Appropriate Governmental Units within Santa Fe County for the 2019 Tax Year**
[Exhibit 1: Certificate #1 (Status Quo); Exhibit 2: Certificate #2 (All Pass)]

GARY GIRON (Finance Director): Madam Chair, members of the Commission, I also have with me to help answer your questions Yvonne Herrera, the Deputy Division Director for Finance, and Erik Aaboe, the compliance coordinator. And I think Erik actually has a handout for you and I will explain to you why you're getting it at such a late date.

Let me go through our process with you. We're requesting the Board of County Commissioners issue a written order setting the property tax rates for Santa Fe County for the 2019 tax year. To give you a background on the general process, pursuant to Section 7-38-33 NMSA 1978, by September 1st of each year the Secretary of the New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration, DFA, is supposed to issue a written order setting the property tax rates for all governmental units that share in the tax.

Additionally, pursuant to Section 7-38-34 NMSA 1978, within five days of receipt of the property tax rate setting order from DFA, the Board of County Commissioners must issue its written order imposing the tax rates set on the net taxable value of property allocated to the appropriate governmental units. A copy of this written order must be delivered immediately to the County Assessor. This year that process was delayed.

First, DFA received an extension of the deadline by which it's supposed to issue its written order. The County did not receive DFA's order until Friday, September 13th. Second, the BCC was granted an extension to issue its written order until September 25, 2019 – tomorrow. Finally, part of our process internally is that we advise any of the

entities that have tax issues out there to review them. Finally, an error was found in the initial certificates of the tax rates received from DFA which caused DFA to issue corrected certificates to the County on September 23rd of this year – yesterday.

So it seems like we've been reviewing tax certificates for a while now.

And there's an additional caveat in the middle of all this, and I believe that might be what caused all of this. There are two certificates of property tax rates in mills attached to the BCC order, and at the end of all this, DFA may issue a third certificate in November's general election. That's because of NMSA 1978, Section 7-38-36 to A.2, which is part of the laws of 2019, Chapter 2012. It was called the Election Laws 50-year tune-up bill. In that statute, two property tax rates are set for those local governments in the county who have no levy questions on the November 2019 ballot; that has not happened before. The two entities are the Española Board of Education and the Northern New Mexico Community College.

Since the outcome of the election on those questions will not be known until November, the rate setting process and the rate setting process happens in September, the laws require that DFA and the Board of County Commissioners set two sets of rates for those two entities. Those two sets of rates are two certificates attached to the BCC's order are described as follows: Certificate number 1, Exhibit A to the order: this is the status quo, which assumes that no mill levy questions will be approved by the majority of voters in November. Certificate 2, which is Exhibit B to the order, this assumes that all mill levy questions will be approved by a majority of the voters.

After the results of the November election have been confirmed the County will receive another letter from DFA indicating one of the following outcomes: confirmation of which certificate attached to the BCC order is the final to be imposed; or they will issue an amended certificate number 3 in the event that not all mill levy questions are approved by the voters.

So the BCC's order is written so as to address all of these scenarios. In other words, no further action by the BCC to impose property tax rates is contemplated in the future. The Finance Division is requesting the BCC issue its written order setting property tax rates for the county for property tax year 2019.

So let me tell you a little bit more. I believe you have a handout in front of you. We have two sets of certificates that are these documents here and on the top one will say status quo and the other one will be the second option.

We have taken this document and put it in a separate format for you that shows all of the entities so that you can see on an annual basis what the changes will be because of the tax. So I will – for example, on Certificate 1 – status quo, take you to page 3 and look at Española School District. In that first four areas it says that there'll be an increase to the tax rates – a decrease in what is due by a property owner by \$200. However, their question is in front of the voters in November. If their question passes, there will be actually no change for the people in that community; it would stay the same. They already have two mills out there; they would have two mills if it passes.

So it's been fairly complicated. The one that is probably more significant is out there. It's not our question to answer but it is information, is Northern New Mexico Community College has questions out there that will add \$200 of cost for a typical house worth \$300,000 if that is passed. And I believe that question is to pay for operations,

maintenance and capital improvements and to reopen the El Rito campus. And with that I will stand for questions. In between the three of us I think we can answer them.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Are there any questions from the Commissioners?
Commissioner Hansen.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: So on this last issue, so since we have a small portion of Española in Santa Fe County that is why this is on our docket, so to speak.

MR. GIRON: Madam Chair, Commissioner, that is correct.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: It's also accepted and approved by the Rio Arriba County Commission.

MR. GIRON: Madam Chair, Commissioner, that's correct. It is for our portion of that community, affected by this tax.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Okay. I just wanted to be clear. And we don't have any ability to change or set the rate.

MR. GIRON: Madam Chair, Commissioner Hansen, that is correct. We are to approve the certificate but we don't have the ability to change or modify the certificate. It is in response to what comes from the Department of Finance and Administration and also from Tax & Revenue Department.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: And what would happen if we didn't approve it?

MR. GIRON: I believe, Madam Chair, Commissioner, what would happen is that last year's tax rates would stay in effect and we would miss this opportunity.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR HAMILTON: So I have just a technical question that admittedly is partly just curiosity. These are the two possibilities and if they end up with the third option, which is some of these passed and some didn't, and they give you a third certificate, that still doesn't have to come back before us?

MR. GIRON: Madam Chair, what I understand from the document we received from DFA it says by taking – this is dated September 13th – By taking actions on both certificates, any other possible combination of election results, for example, only one of the two no-levy questions on the ballot is approved and the other isn't, those will be covered.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Okay. Because there are only four permutations and whatever is correct is fine with me. I was just curious. So thank you. Is there any other discussion? If not, what's the pleasure of the Board?

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Madam Chair, I move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MORENO: Second.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. I have a motion and a second.

The motion carried by unanimous [3-0] voice vote. [Commissioner Garcia was not present for this action.]

5. MATTERS OF PUBLIC CONCERN

CHAIR HAMILTON: Is there anybody here from the public who wishes

to address the Board? Once again, is there anybody here from the public who wishes to say something to the BCC? Seeing none, I will close Matters of Public Concern.

6. PRESENTATIONS

No presentations were made.

7. MATTERS FROM THE COUNTY MANAGER

A. Request for Direction on Dues for and Membership in the Coalition of Sustainable Communities New Mexico

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, I'm actually going to have Greg do the dues and membership for the Coalition for Sustainable Communities.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Shaffer.

GREG SHAFFER (Deputy County Manager): Madam Chair, Commissioners, staff is requesting that you provide some direction to us with regard to the dues that have been proposed for the Coalition of Sustainable Community New Mexico. As a little bit of background, in Resolution No. 2019-18 the Board of County Commissioners authorized the County to seek to join the Coalition as a founding member until membership fees were assigned. The Coalition has determined that the County's membership dues should be \$13,300 and we're coming back before you now per the resolution to seek your guidance as to whether or not the County shall allocate funds to pay those dues.

I set forth in the memorandum how the dues structure is set forth. Generally it is based upon population with cities being charged more than counties and Native American communities per year based upon population. In addition, the dues structure contemplates that you could be an affiliate, non-voting member for a lesser amount and as I understand the proposal from the Coalition you could have that non-voting status of affiliate member for up to a year.

With regard to the dues that are proposed for Santa Fe County, given our population size, it's \$13,300 per year. County staff does not support dues in excess of \$10,000 for the County, given the Coalition's relatively narrow focus, the range of services that they anticipate providing and the overlap with the work of some other entities in this area. That said, it's a decision for the Board of County Commissioners to make and we're requesting direction to either communicate to the Coalition that the County is only willing to pay \$10,000 in dues to be a member of the community with voting privileges or such other amount that the Board directs, or to reduce our membership status to affiliate member/community, and pay the \$6,700 in dues for that status, and again, that's a non-voting status, or direct us, three, to withdraw from the Coalition because of the high dues, or four, direct staff to pay the proposed dues of \$13,300 to be a member/community.

If the pleasure of the Board is to have the County pay any dues to the Coalition we would request that you specifically find that the Coalition serves a public purpose and that the amount of dues to be paid is in line with the services that the Coalition is anticipated to provide. So I'd stand for any questions.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. Commissioner Hansen, do you want to start us off?

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Sure. So I have Gary Payton here from the Coalition and if he would like to say a few words. But I would like to frame this as I have been very clear with the Coalition of what we could afford and what we could recommend is to pay the \$10,000 for this year's membership. And with that I think that it is really important in light of the fact that we passed the Climate Strike Resolution that this falls in line with supporting Coalition goals, of community solar, working towards a more sustainable community throughout northern New Mexico and as a leader in the community and the County it gives us the opportunity to work and bring in other counties to join the Coalition, which I feel like is incredibly important for this organization to survive. And as a leader in that regard I think that it would be to our benefit to use the Coalition and their resources, especially when we come to these discussions about community solar, which are coming up in the legislature, and use some of the research that they have done on our behalf. And Mr. Payton, if you would like to say a few words.

GARY PAYTON: Madam Chair, Commissioners, my name is Gary Payton, a citizen here in Santa Fe County, and I'm in a volunteer role as the research and technical advisor on the staff of the Coalition for Sustainable Communities New Mexico. Just to underscore the objective, the mission of the organization, it's really about aligning the goals and objectives of cities, counties, tribes and pueblos in issues of sustainability and climate action in ways that while an individual county or city can speak with its own voice, when those voices are raised in coalition and in cooperation, it raises the impact of that when we are communicating with other elected officials, particularly within the legislature.

The actions that we envision for ourselves and have taken to date have to do with proposed legislation, the potential for administrative actions, the potential for rule making. And as Santa Fe County was one of the four founding members, adding its voice to the City of Santa Fe, the City of Albuquerque, and the City of Las Cruces, looking back over the legislative session in January, February March of this year, it gave us the regular opportunity to lift up the unified voice of the Coalition representing 40 percent of the population of the State of New Mexico in support of – as one example – the Community Solar Act that was moving through the House and the Senate at that time.

As the organization has matured over the course of the last year, the first annual meeting was held in August of this year. Commissioner Hansen represented Santa Fe County at that meeting. The members elected Mayor Webber as the Chair, Mayor Keller as the Vice Chair, and since that August meeting there's been intensive work in order to be able to draw from the membership their sense of priorities for the remainder of 2019 and looking at 2020.

We've heard from Commissioner Hansen. We've heard from staff representatives here at the County, and the three top priorities, from the County's perspective, are included as the three top priorities for the Coalition. That includes information and advancement on electric vehicle infrastructure and access, continued work on the issue of community solar, particularly as the bill is being reshaped for the upcoming 202 session, and membership expansion.

To the point that Commissioner Hansen made a moment ago, we're in active conversation with Bernalillo County. We have begun conversation with the tribal leadership, about the leadership within Picuris Pueblo, so we are undertaking actively the idea of expanding beyond the four initial members. So at this juncture, at the one-year mark, the Coalition is alive and well and it would be – if the Commission approved the dues as have been suggested it creates the opportunity for us to be able for us to both continue our work but expand it over the course of the next several months. I'm open to any questions that you have may have.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you very much. Just to preface my question with the strong support with what the Coalition does and what we've been trying to do at the County and recognizing that there's an advantage to binding together and doing things jointly that each of those entities that are potential members of the Coalition are certainly pursuing separately. So there's no question about that in my mind. My question, or at least my first question is how did the Coalition come up with these particular rates? Because I have to tell you, I really agree with County staff's assessment that if you – and perhaps Mr. Shaffer might be able to give a few examples to give some bounds. If you look at the kinds of dues rates that we pay organizations, this is way on the high side on a comparative basis, in my perception. So how did you establish these rates?

MR. PAYTON: The rate structure looked at comparable organizations, if you will, where counties come together. We looked at the rate structure that is used by a sister coalition organization in the state of Colorado and then in significant back and forth between our executive director, Beth Beloff, and representatives of the membership. The rate structure was drafted as you have seen, as you have it before you.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. that only partially answers my question, because what I'm partially curious about is what the rates are intended to pay for. So it's great to know that Colorado has a certain rate structure and we just copied it, but this Coalition might be a lot smaller. It might at this point have lesser staff. Are we paying for staff? Are we paying for the director and the assistant director? There's that kind of economic analysis of what the dues cover.

MR. PAYTON: The answer to your question is yes. At the moment there is no paid staff for the Coalition and as we have built the budget and presented it to the membership, the budget for 2019 and 2020, it would include as membership dues are paid in by the multiple members, as additional grant proposals are developed and submitted it would include for the opportunity or hiring a part-time executive director to exist with the leadership in the organization. It would provide for travel expenses as we go to different communities and counties across the state in order to be able to have conversations about the benefit of the Coalition and their potential membership. We would anticipate that there would be opportunities for supporting expert witnesses, as for an example, if the Community Solar Bill goes forward this next upcoming legislative session for addition consultative resources.

So those are a handful of the examples within a budget break-out where the dues structure for Santa Fe County would go to assist with the payment of all the above.

CHAIR HAMILTON: So was that sort of budget spreadsheet provided to all the participants to see what the dues contribute to and what would be covered by grants and what not.

MR. PAYTON: Yes, it was.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Madam Chair, I apologize that you did not get a copy of that. I thought I had sent everything to staff for this to be included and maybe that didn't get included. But I have copies that I'm happy to share with you.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Are there other questions?

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: I just want to make a comment that part of the reason why I have suggested that the \$10,000 be what Santa Fe County can afford is that that is the same amount that we pay to the Regional Coalition of LANL Communities, which is also a single-focus organization on cleanup of LANL. And we pay that on a regular basis, so that seemed like a very equal and adequate balance that we could afford. And at the meeting, at the general meeting, I was very clear that \$10,000 was what Santa Fe County could afford and what we had set aside.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Are there no other questions? And what's the position of the Coalition? Because it's not like we can necessarily dictate, if you're asking for \$13,000 and we have committed to \$10,000. There's a gap there. What's the Coalition's position on that? Just to ask the gorilla in the room question, or elephant, whatever species.

MR. PAYTON: An established organization is happy to receive from its members that which the members will pledge. And the delta between the \$13,300 and \$10,000 has the potential for in-kind support or offset that could be provided during the course of the next year. There may be the opportunity to be able to come back and ask for some assistance if we have additional legal fees. There may be the opportunity to share in some of the consultant expenses. There may be the opportunity for conference space that can be made available in in-kind support. So there are in-kind and offset opportunities that allow for the delta.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: That is what Ms. Beloff and I spoke about.

CHAIR HAMILTON: So are there addition questions or additional discussions? Mr. Shaffer, do you have any more to contribute based on any of the questions? Or what's the pleasure of the Board?

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Madam Chair, I would like to move for approval of paying of the \$10,000 in the dues to the Coalition of Sustainable Communities.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Yes, Mr. Shaffer.

MR. SHAFFER: Madam Chair, Commissioner, just to be clear, so that would be \$10,000 in dues to be a member of the community and if I could just confirm with the maker of the motion that the motion includes a finding by the Board that the Coalition serves a public purpose and that the amount of dues to be paid is not incommensurate with the services that the Coalition to anticipated to provide.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Does the motion maker agree with that? I think that was the gist of your comment in comparison with the LANL Communities' dues.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MORENO: I'll second.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Is there any further discussion? If not, there's a motion and a second.

The motion carried by unanimous [4-0] voice vote.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. Thank you for attending.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: I want to thank my fellow Commissioners for supporting this new endeavor and I look forward to more reports and information as we move forward.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Thank you very much.

7. B. Miscellaneous Updates

CHAIR HAMILTON: Manager Miller, are there any miscellaneous updates in your report from the County Manager?

MS. MILLER: Yes, Madam Chair, two quick ones. The New Mexico Fresh Foods, LLC IRBs, that is on hold, and so the consideration of those IRBs by the City are on hold and this item is not on the agenda today. We'll update when we receive additional information from the City. That's their application in with the City and for whatever reason at the City, they're on hold.

Then also I wanted to let you know that we did receive today substantial completion on the interior of the new building at 100 Catron and the punchlist has been completed. The substantial completion will be accepted today, meaning that the County will have the keys and control over the interior of the building and I just want to congratulate the entire team that worked on that, including the contractor, Jaynes, and all their subcontractors, and all the staff at the County that worked to get that project done as quickly as they have.

So this also though marks kind of the beginning of a very critical item which is moving. And I just – we will start moving from this building from 102 Grant into Bokum and also – well, individuals from Bokum first have to move over there and then individuals from here and then we have to move individuals and offices into Bokum and be 100 percent out of this building by November 11th, because the contractor will then take over control of this building and start all the demolition and work on this building.

So we also will need to auction off – as you know, furnishings in the new building are not – they're built into the actual offices so we won't be taking furnishings except we'll be taking computers and files and things like that, but that means we also have to have the auctioneer, we have to surplus items and have the auctioneer come in and auction off everything and have it removed from this building by November 11th as well.

So we just ask for everybody's continued cooperation and patience and flexibility in this. It's challenging to move this many people, and we also can't just close for a week and move everybody. The Clerk has elections to run, the Treasurer has tax bills to deal with, and we have to be available to the public. And so we will be moving into it gradually, an office at a time as Mr. Flores had indicated at our last BCC meeting, kind of giving a schedule. That schedule may change slightly. The team is working to make sure that we're out of this building. And so we may move some items up a little bit if we can, just to make sure that we don't push the schedule for turning this over to the contractor on November 12th. And that's all I have for updates.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Madam Chair.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Yes, Commissioner Hansen.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Can we go over to the new building and see it? I have never been inside the new building.

MS. MILLER: Madam Chair, they are still putting in the furniture, so we will – actually, that was one of the things I was going to work on is making sure that the individuals who have offices, like the elected officials, as soon as their offices are done, that they would – for instance the Assessor's Office will be one of the first ones done, that he would be able to go over with his deputy and walk through the office before we start their move and we don't want a ton of people walking through the building because they're still putting offices together.

When I say we have control, recall that Jaynes is a contractor of the building and the exterior and the interior of the building, but the furnishings are under another contractor and they're still installing the walls and furniture. So we will work in some time for the offices – the people who have offices over there, the leaders of those offices, elected officials their deputies as well as the directors and let them see what they'll be moving into and also try to include you with one of those tours when we do that.

I just want you to know though it's a really tight schedule and we have a lot of people working basically doing two jobs, because they're trying to do their regular jobs as well as making sure this all – this move happens. So it may be a little bit challenging to schedule tours.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Madam Chair, thank you, Manager Miller.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you very much. That's really impressive that this is moving along so well and I'm sure you will have ours and everybody else's cooperation. This is very exciting. Challenging, but exciting.

8. MATTERS FROM COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

A. Commissioner Issues and Comments, Including but not Limited to Constituent Concerns, Recognitions and Requests for Updates or Future Presentations

CHAIR HAMILTON: Commissioner Hansen.

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Thank you, Madam Chair. I want to remind everyone I have Coffee and Tea under the Trees this Saturday at Reunity Resources Community Farm. It's from 10:30 to noon. It's the same time that the farm stand is open. The Reunity Resource Community Farm is at San Ysidro Crossing in Agua Fria right on the river. It's a beautiful place. This last week they hosted bizMIX for the City and the Chamber. There was probably close to 150 people out there for bizMIX. It was really exciting to see such a great community gathering and I'm honored to have such a new, successful enterprise in the traditional village.

I also attended the National Drive Electric at Santa Fe Place and it was great to see all the different kinds of electric cars that are available. One of my strong feelings is the more charging stations we have the better and one of the things that Claudia handed out was a map where all the charging stations are in Santa Fe County and in the city and it was great to see. We are definitely growing. We don't have enough yet but every day we have more, so that was really exciting.

This last week I had been in Alcalde, New Mexico twice. The first was on Monday for our grant selection which the Rio Grande Heritage Area gives out grants to local non-profits and communities and normally they're around \$5,000 apiece. We gave out one of \$10,000 for the Town of Taos for the celebration and return of Blue Lake to the Taos Pueblo and in all the Rio Grande Heritage Area gave out around \$76,000 in grants to local communities in Taos, Rio Arriba and Santa Fe County. That was very exciting.

And then we had our annual meeting on Saturday. I have the honor of serving as the Vice President of the Northern Rio Grande Heritage Area and that was a very good meeting. We had a lot of discussion about how to build the heritage area and also the annual National Heritage Area conference is going to be in Santa Fe in October from the 15th to the 18th and it will be held at the Lodge and if any of the Commissioners would like to join me in any of the festivities I would be happy to share the schedule about what's happening. I think that it's a wonderful event. And I think those are just the highlights of the last two weeks. I want to thank you for the opportunity to share that with everyone and once again, I look forward to seeing everyone on Saturday, Coffee and Tea under the Trees at Reunity Resource Community Farm.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. Commissioner Garcia.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, I'd just like to congratulate the Village of Cerrillos. This weekend they had their annual fiestas on Saturday. The fiesta just seems to be getting larger and larger and larger every year. Great venue down there.

Also, perhaps the County Clerk is actually going to bring this up but today is – I have a lot of these people in my district and with the next two or three years, today is National Voter Registration Day, I believe, and so I'm sure the Clerk could actually talk a little bit more about that but all those voters in my district will soon be registered, as I will work on that.

In regard to the new office, Manager Miller, like I've told Director Flores if we need to take an extra month of so, I'm okay with that personally as to keep moving, having the transition, move in and what we need to do there. So whatever we need to do.

Just in regards to the monthly reports, I know Mr. Giron, you're actually going to be having some shoes to fill, so on monthly reports here, if you can actually ask the Road Department and a few others individuals as to what's happening regarding roads or regarding community centers or what's happening with some of the stuff. I would appreciate that. Thank you. And thank you for stepping into those shoes.

And one of the questions I would like to have answered, Commissioner Hansen, if you could actually – the charging stations, is there a way to realize or to see how many times they're used? Are they used 24 hours a day? Is the charging station used once a week, once a month? I'm just curious to see how –

COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Some of them are at private locations or at malls. Like there's one out at – I'll share that information with you and I think that's a good question for Claudia and the Sustainability Division.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And that's all I have, Madam Chair. Thank you.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Excellent. Commissioner Moreno.

COMMISSIONER MORENO: Thank you, Madam Chair. We had the annual barbecue at ECIA offices on Labor Day and there was a nice thunderstorm to start it and we had a really nice rain and then they played music. It was a fun thing.

Me and my liaison Olivia, we met with the superintendent, Susan at the ATC School and we're working with them to get a field where they can play more sports. And so we're going to be working with Warren Thompson who is donating the land and it's going to be a really nice deal when it's finished. So watch for developments. Thank you.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. I just wanted to mention a few things. A week ago the County participated in what was one of those benchmarks in the Aamodt progress, the signing of the 611(g) agreement between the federal agencies and this County and all of the pueblos, and it was hosted in Pojoaque. It was an incredibly well attended event because it really was sort of an important – not quite a watershed event but that is such an important settlement and development process and every highlight that we've achieved is really worth celebrating.

I had the honor of speaking for and signing for the County. Commissioner Hansen was there. Clerk Salazar was there and got to not only set up there but I guess witness all of the signatures. Kind of a big deal. Assistant Manager Shaffer was there. Hvtce Miller was there. A number of our – Anjali Bean, who has participated in doing the real work of getting all of that agreement done. So that was kind of a big deal.

And I also want to – because in a week and a half I will have the third Coffee with the Commish, at Hondo Station #2 from 9:00 to 11:00. That's been incredibly well attended and there is not another BCC meeting between now and then So in a week and a half I will look forward to seeing everybody.

And lastly, I want to send out a heartfelt get well to my liaison, Tina Salazar, who had quite a little incident and is recovering very well. And as part of that get well, she has been doing everything with me by text, but I really also want to give a shout-out to a number of people at the County who have been contacting me and trying to keep me in line, even though I don't have my liaison to keep me in line, including Tessa Jo and Sara, Olivia, Ambra, Daniel Fresquez, you guys have all been really wonderful and have been pitching in to try to keep Tina informed of things and to keep me with whatever help I need and I really can't tell you how much I appreciate it and respect the fact that we work here at the County as a family.

And so I should thank my fellow Commissioners for sharing with me in this time of need. I really appreciate that. Yes, Commissioner Garcia.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Madam Chair, just really quick. I didn't realize Ambra was helping out so much. It's interesting, but nonetheless, that's really good. Just really quick, I know sometimes, regarding the article in the paper today, sometimes government, or when you're an elected official, you're a policy maker up here and you deal with a lot of individuals out there that have different questions as to what's happening, what's going on. By you bringing that up that everybody needs to work together as a team, I think Manager Miller is stepping up to the plate as to what she needs to, what we all need to do and just everybody that has stepped into the different shoes that we need to step in. It might be a little bit challenging but nonetheless we've just got to move forward and keep our stuff going. So just thanking everybody that has stepped up to the plate to say, let's go. We've got to go. This ship has to keep moving, right? Thank

you.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. And just for clarity, it may be nothing more than Ambra, or Tessa Jo or anybody else stepping up and saying, listen, if there's anything I can do, let me know, to make it really meaningful.

9. MATTERS FROM OTHER ELECTED OFFICIALS

CHAIR HAMILTON: Clerk Salazar, do you have something?

CLERK SALAZAR: Yes, thank you, Chair Hamilton. Today, September 24, 2019, National Voter Registration Day. But don't stop today. If you need to register to vote or update your voter registration file, you can go to the County's website, into the Clerk's webpage, and there are links to update your voter registration or register to vote. In addition, I want to remind everyone that we will be having an election. The regular local election is November 5, 2019, this year, which complicates some of our moving but I want you to know that Tony Flores worked with us very well to make sure that we can continue with an election here in this building and the transition to the new building. So we really appreciate all the work that he conducted with our office.

In addition to that I want to remind everybody that voter registration for this regular local election, the date that it will end for you to be able to vote in this election is October 8, 2019. Thank you.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you so much.

11. INFORMATION ITEMS/MONTHLY REPORTS

- A. Community Services Department Monthly Report**
- B. Finance and Purchasing Monthly Report**
- C. Growth Management Department Monthly Report**
- D. Human Resources Monthly Report**
- E. Public Safety Monthly Report**
- F. Public Works Monthly Report**

CHAIR HAMILTON: I want to thank everybody for the monthly reports. Do any of the Commissioners have any questions about monthly reports before the department directors leave? Seeing none, I just want to thank everybody for doing these reports and giving us all this information and for sticking around for questions. Thank you so much.

10. MATTERS FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY

- A. Executive Session. Limited Personnel Matters, as Allowed by Section 10-15-1(H)(2) NMSA 1978; Board Deliberations in Public Hearing(s) on the Agenda, as Allowed by Section 10-15-1(H)(3) NMSA 1978; Discussion of Bargaining Strategy Preliminary to Collective Bargaining Negotiations Between the Board of County Commissioners and Collective Bargaining Units, as Allowed by Section 10-15-1(H)(5); Discussion of Contents of Competitive Sealed Proposals Pursuant to the Procurement Code During Contract**

Negotiations as Allowed by Section 10-15-1(H)(6); Threatened or Pending Litigation in which Santa Fe County is or May Become a Participant, as Allowed by Section 10-15-1 (H)(7) NMSA 1978; and, Discussion of the Purchase, Acquisition or Disposal of Real Property or Water Rights, as Allowed by Section 10-15-1 (H)(8) NMSA 1978, including:

1. Executive Management Personnel Issues

RACHEL BROWN (Deputy County Manager): Madam Chair, if we could go into closed session under NMSA 1978 10-15-1(H)(2) for the sole purpose of discussing executive management personnel issues.

CHAIR HAMILTON: Thank you. So I would entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER MORENO: So moved.

CHAIR HAMILTON: So we have a motion to go into executive session as per Attorney Brown.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Second.

CHAIR HAMILTON: And now I have a second. Thank you. Can I have a roll call please?

The motion to go into executive session pursuant to NMSA Section 10-15-1-H (2) to discuss the matters delineated above passed by unanimous roll call vote as follows:

Commissioner Garcia	Aye
Commissioner Hamilton	Not Present
Commissioner Hansen	Aye
Commissioner Moreno	Aye
Commissioner Roybal	Not Present

[The Commission met in executive session from 4:12 to 5:09.]

Commissioner Hansen moved to come out of executive session where the only things discussed were those identified on the agenda and at the time of moving to go into executive session. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Moreno and approved by 3-0 voice vote. Commissioners Roybal and Garcia were not present.

CONCLUDING BUSINESS

A. Announcements

B. Adjournment

Upon motion by Commissioner Hansen and second by Commissioner Moreno, and with no further business to come before this body, Chair Hamilton declared this meeting adjourned at 5:10 p.m.



Approved by:

Anna Hamilton
Board of County Commissioners
Anna Hamilton, Chair

ATTEST TO:

Geraldine Salazar
GERALDINE SALAZAR
SANTA FE COUNTY CLERK

Respectfully submitted:

Karen Farrell
Karen Farrell, Wordswork
453 Cerrillos Road
Santa Fe, NM 87501

COUNTY OF SANTA FE)
STATE OF NEW MEXICO) ss

BCC MINUTES
PAGES: 36

I Hereby Certify That This Instrument Was Filed for
Record On The 30TH Day Of October, 2019 at 03:41:09 PM
And Was Duly Recorded as Instrument # 1900716
Of The Records Of Santa Fe County

Deputy *Estrella Mastino* Witness My Hand And Seal Of Office
Geraldine Salazar
County Clerk, Santa Fe, NM



CLERK RECORDED 10/30/2019

SFC CLERK RECORDED 10/30/2019

Revenue Distribution Rates, by Entity
 Tax Year 2019
 Certificate 1 (status quo)
 (based on total mil rates by District provided by DFA, 9/13/2019)



Certificate #1 (status quo)	TAX YEAR		Difference	\$ / Year For 300,000
	2018	2019		
Entity Description (Indicates NEW Entity this tax year)				Market Val
State Debt - Santa Fe City In Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Santa Fe City Out Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Pojoaque City Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Moriarty School Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Espanola City In Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Espanola City In Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Espanola City Out Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Moriarty City In Edgewood Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
State Debt - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$ -
Santa Fe County Operations - Santa Fe City In Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$ (2.80)
Santa Fe County Operations - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$ -
Santa Fe County Operations - Santa Fe City Out Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$ (2.80)
Santa Fe County Operations - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$ -
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Santa Fe City Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Pojoaque City Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$ (2.80)
Santa Fe County Operations - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$ -
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Pojoaque City Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty City Out Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$ (2.80)
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$ -
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty City Out Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Espanola City In Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$ (2.80)
Santa Fe County Operations - Espanola City In Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$ -
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Espanola City In Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Espanola City In Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Espanola City Out Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$ (2.80)
Santa Fe County Operations - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$ -
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Espanola City Out Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty City In Edgewood Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$ (2.80)
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$ -
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty City In Edgewood Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$ (2.80)
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$ -
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Santa Fe City Municipal Operations - Santa Fe City In Resident	1.6160	1.6060	(0.0100)	\$ (1.00)
Santa Fe City Municipal Operations - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	3.1830	3.1830	-	\$ -
Santa Fe City Municipal Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Resident	0.5830	0.4870	(0.0960)	\$ (9.60)
Santa Fe City Municipal Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	0.5830	0.4870	(0.0960)	\$ (9.60)
Espanola Municipal Operations - Espanola City In Resident	3.5030	3.5970	0.0940	\$ 9.40
Espanola Municipal Operations - Espanola City In Non-Resident	5.9350	6.2710	0.3360	\$ 33.60
Town of Edgewood Municipal Operations - Edgewood City In Resident	2.9910	2.9780	(0.0130)	\$ (1.30)
Town of Edgewood Municipal Operations - Edgewood City In Non-Resident	3.0000	3.0000	-	\$ -

Revenue Distribution Rates, by Entity
Tax Year 2019
Certificate 1 (status quo)
(based on total mil rates by District provided by DFA, 9/13/2019)

Certificate #1 (status quo)

TAX YEAR

Entity Description (Indicates NEW Entity this tax year)	TAX YEAR		Difference	\$ / Year For
	2018	2019	2019-2018	Market Val \$ 300,000
Town of Edgewood Municipal Operations - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	2.9910	2.9780	(0.0130)	\$ (1.30)
Town of Edgewood Debt Service - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	3.0000	3.0000	-	\$ -
Town of Edgewood Debt Service - Edgewood City In Resident	2.2530	2.2970	0.0440	\$ 4.40
Town of Edgewood Debt Service - Edgewood City In Non-Resident	2.2530	2.2970	0.0440	\$ 4.40
Santa Fe School District Operations - Santa Fe City In Resident	0.1490	0.1480	(0.0010)	\$ (0.10)
Santa Fe School District Operations - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe School District Operations - Santa Fe City Out Resident	0.1490	0.1480	(0.0010)	\$ (0.10)
Santa Fe School District Operations - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe School District Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Resident	4.2850	4.2800	(0.0050)	\$ (0.50)
Santa Fe School District Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	4.2850	4.2800	(0.0050)	\$ (0.50)
Santa Fe School District Debt Service - Santa Fe City Out Resident	4.2850	4.2800	(0.0050)	\$ (0.50)
Santa Fe School District Debt Service - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	4.2850	4.2800	(0.0050)	\$ (0.50)
Santa Fe School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Santa Fe City In Resident	2.0000	1.9850	(0.0150)	\$ (1.50)
Santa Fe School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Santa Fe City Out Resident	2.0000	1.9850	(0.0150)	\$ (1.50)
Santa Fe School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe HB-33 School Building - Santa Fe City In Resident	1.4330	1.4220	(0.0110)	\$ (1.10)
Santa Fe HB-33 School Building - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	1.5000	1.5000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe HB-33 School Building - Santa Fe City Out Resident	1.4330	1.4220	(0.0110)	\$ (1.10)
Santa Fe HB-33 School Building - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	1.5000	1.5000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe School District Education Technical Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Resident	1.5220	1.5270	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Santa Fe School District Education Technical Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	1.5220	1.5270	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Santa Fe School District Education Technical Debt Service - Santa Fe City Out Resident	1.5220	1.5270	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Santa Fe School District Education Technical Debt Service - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	1.5220	1.5270	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Pojoaque City District Operations - Pojoaque City Resident	0.2150	0.2160	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Pojoaque City District Operations - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Pojoaque City District Debt Service - Pojoaque City Resident	9.3080	9.3120	0.0040	\$ 0.40
Pojoaque City District Debt Service - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	9.3080	9.3120	0.0040	\$ 0.40
Pojoaque City District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Pojoaque City Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Pojoaque City District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Moriarty School District Operations - Moriarty City Out Resident	0.3890	0.3940	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Moriarty City District Operations - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Moriarty School District Debt Service -Moriarty City Out Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty City District Debt Service - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Moriarty City Out Resident	1.9880	2.0000	0.0120	\$ 1.20
Moriarty City District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Moriarty City District Operations - Edgewood City In Resident	0.3890	0.3940	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Moriarty City District Operations - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Moriarty School District Operations - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	0.3890	0.3940	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Moriarty School District Operations - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Moriarty City District Debt Service - Edgewood City In Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty City District Debt Service - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty School District Debt Service -Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty School District Debt Service - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty City District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Edgewood City In Resident	1.9880	2.0000	0.0120	\$ 1.20
Moriarty City District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Moriarty School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	1.9880	2.0000	0.0120	\$ 1.20
Moriarty School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Espanola School District Operations - Espanola City In Resident	0.1790	0.1840	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Espanola School District Operations - Espanola City In Non-Resident	0.2680	0.2790	0.0110	\$ 1.10
Espanola School District Operations - Espanola City Out Resident	0.1790	0.1840	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Espanola School District Operations - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	0.2680	0.2790	0.0110	\$ 1.10
Espanola School District Debt Service - Espanola City In Resident	4.5430	4.5820	0.0390	\$ 3.90
Espanola School District Debt Service - Espanola City In Non-Resident	4.5430	4.5820	0.0390	\$ 3.90
Espanola School District Debt Service - Espanola City Out Resident	4.5430	4.5820	0.0390	\$ 3.90
Espanola School District Debt Service - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	4.5430	4.5820	0.0390	\$ 3.90

Revenue Distribution Rates, by Entity
 Tax Year 2019
 Certificate 1 (status quo)
 (based on total mil rates by District provided by DFA, 9/13/2019)

Certificate #1 (status quo)

TAX YEAR

Entity Description (Indicates NEW Entity this tax year)	TAX YEAR			\$ / Year For	
	2018	2019	Difference 2019-2018	\$	300,000 Market Val
Espanola School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Espanola City In Resident	2.0000	-	(2.0000)	\$	(200.00)
Espanola School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Espanola City In Non-Resident	2.0000	-	(2.0000)	\$	(200.00)
Espanola School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Espanola City Out Resident	2.0000	-	(2.0000)	\$	(200.00)
Espanola School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	2.0000	-	(2.0000)	\$	(200.00)
Espanola School District Education Technical Debt Service - Espanola City In Resident	0.5690	0.5940	0.0250	\$	2.50
Espanola School District Education Technical Debt Service - Espanola City In Non-Resident	0.5690	0.5940	0.0250	\$	2.50
Espanola School District Education Technical Debt Service - Espanola City Out Resident	0.5690	0.5940	0.0250	\$	2.50
Espanola School District Education Technical Debt Service - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	0.5690	0.5940	0.0250	\$	2.50
Santa Fe Community College - Santa Fe City In Resident	3.0400	3.0170	(0.0230)	\$	(2.30)
Santa Fe Community College - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	3.3440	3.3350	(0.0090)	\$	(0.90)
Santa Fe Community College - Santa Fe City Out Resident	3.0400	3.0170	(0.0230)	\$	(2.30)
Santa Fe Community College - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	3.3440	3.3350	(0.0090)	\$	(0.90)
Santa Fe Community College Building - Santa Fe City In Resident	0.6500	0.6500	-	\$	-
Santa Fe Community College Building - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	0.6500	0.6500	-	\$	-
Santa Fe Community College Building - Santa Fe City Out Resident	0.6500	0.6500	-	\$	-
Santa Fe Community College Building - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	0.6500	0.6500	-	\$	-
Edgewood Soil & Water Conservation District - Resident	1.0000	1.0000	-	\$	-
Edgewood Soil & Water Conservation District - Non-Resident	1.0000	1.0000	-	\$	-
Eldorado Area Water & Sanitation District Operations	2.0800	2.0650	(0.0150)	\$	(1.50)
Eldorado Area Water & Sanitation District Debt Service	1.9320	1.8260	(0.1060)	\$	(10.60)
Total (actual addition of mil amts.)	405.3010	397.4300			

SEC. CLERK RECORDED 10/30/2019

Revenue Distribution Rates, by Entity
 Tax Year 2019
 Certificate 2 (all pass)
 (based on total mil rates by District provided by DFA, 9/13/2019)



Certificate #2 (all pass)

TAX YEAR

Entity Description (Indicates NEW Entity this tax year)	TAX YEAR		Difference 2019-2018	\$ / Year For	
	2018	2019		Market Val	300,000
State Debt - Santa Fe City In Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Santa Fe City Out Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Pojoaque City Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Moriarty School Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Espanola City In Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Espanola City In Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Espanola City Out Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Moriarty City In Edgewood Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
State Debt - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	1.3600	1.3600	-	\$	-
SEC					
Santa Fe County Operations - Santa Fe City In Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$	(2.30)
Santa Fe County Operations - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$	-
Santa Fe County Operations - Santa Fe City Out Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$	(2.30)
Santa Fe County Operations - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$	-
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Santa Fe City Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Pojoaque City Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$	(2.30)
Santa Fe County Operations - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$	-
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Pojoaque City Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty City Out Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$	(2.30)
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$	-
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty City Out Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Espanola City In Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$	(2.30)
Santa Fe County Operations - Espanola City In Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$	-
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Espanola City In Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Espanola City In Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Espanola City Out Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$	(2.30)
Santa Fe County Operations - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$	-
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Espanola City Out Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty City In Edgewood Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$	(2.30)
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$	-
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty City In Edgewood Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	5.8220	5.7990	(0.0230)	\$	(2.30)
Santa Fe County Operations - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	11.8500	11.8500	-	\$	-
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
Santa Fe County Debt Service - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	2.1230	2.1240	0.0010	\$	0.10
2019					
Santa Fe City Municipal Operations - Santa Fe City In Resident	1.6160	1.6060	(0.0100)	\$	(1.00)
Santa Fe City Municipal Operations - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	3.1830	3.1830	-	\$	-
Santa Fe City Municipal Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Resident	0.5830	0.4870	(0.0960)	\$	(9.60)
Santa Fe City Municipal Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	0.5830	0.4870	(0.0960)	\$	(9.60)
2018					
Espanola Municipal Operations - Espanola City In Resident	3.5030	3.5970	0.0940	\$	9.40
Espanola Municipal Operations - Espanola City In Non-Resident	5.9350	6.2710	0.3360	\$	33.60
2017					
Town of Edgewood Municipal Operations - Edgewood City In Resident	2.9910	2.9780	(0.0130)	\$	(1.30)
Town of Edgewood Municipal Operations - Edgewood City In Non-Resident	3.0000	3.0000	-	\$	-

Revenue Distribution Rates, by Entity
Tax Year 2019
Certificate 2 (all pass)
(based on total mil rates by District provided by DFA, 9/13/2019)

Entity Description (indicates NEW Entity this tax year)	TAX YEAR			
	2018	2019	Difference 2019-2018	\$ / Year For
				Market Val
Town of Edgewood Municipal Operations - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	2.9910	2.9780	(0.0130)	\$ (1.30)
Town of Edgewood Debt Service - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	3.0000	3.0000	-	\$ -
Town of Edgewood Debt Service - Edgewood City In Resident	2.2530	2.2970	0.0440	\$ 4.40
Town of Edgewood Debt Service - Edgewood City In Non-Resident	2.2530	2.2970	0.0440	\$ 4.40
Santa Fe School District Operations - Santa Fe City In Resident	0.1490	0.1480	(0.0010)	\$ (0.10)
Santa Fe School District Operations - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe School District Operations - Santa Fe City Out Resident	0.1490	0.1480	(0.0010)	\$ (0.10)
Santa Fe School District Operations - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe School District Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Resident	4.2850	4.2800	(0.0050)	\$ (0.50)
Santa Fe School District Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	4.2850	4.2800	(0.0050)	\$ (0.50)
Santa Fe School District Debt Service - Santa Fe City Out Resident	4.2850	4.2800	(0.0050)	\$ (0.50)
Santa Fe School District Debt Service - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	4.2850	4.2800	(0.0050)	\$ (0.50)
Santa Fe School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Santa Fe City In Resident	2.0000	1.9850	(0.0150)	\$ (1.50)
Santa Fe School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Santa Fe City Out Resident	2.0000	1.9850	(0.0150)	\$ (1.50)
Santa Fe School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe HB-33 School Building - Santa Fe City In Resident	1.4330	1.4220	(0.0110)	\$ (1.10)
Santa Fe HB-33 School Building - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	1.5000	1.5000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe HB-33 School Building - Santa Fe City Out Resident	1.4330	1.4220	(0.0110)	\$ (1.10)
Santa Fe HB-33 School Building - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	1.5000	1.5000	-	\$ -
Santa Fe School District Education Technical Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Resident	1.5220	1.5270	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Santa Fe School District Education Technical Debt Service - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	1.5220	1.5270	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Santa Fe School District Education Technical Debt Service - Santa Fe City Out Resident	1.5220	1.5270	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Santa Fe School District Education Technical Debt Service - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	1.5220	1.5270	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Pojoaque City District Operations - Pojoaque City Resident	0.2150	0.2160	0.0010	\$ 0.10
Pojoaque City District Operations - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Pojoaque City District Debt Service - Pojoaque City Resident	9.3080	9.3120	0.0040	\$ 0.40
Pojoaque City District Debt Service - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	9.3080	9.3120	0.0040	\$ 0.40
Pojoaque City District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Pojoaque City Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Pojoaque City District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Moriarty School District Operations - Moriarty City Out Resident	0.3890	0.3940	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Moriarty City District Operations - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Moriarty School District Debt Service -Moriarty City Out Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty City District Debt Service - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Moriarty City Out Resident	1.9880	2.0000	0.0120	\$ 1.20
Moriarty City District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Moriarty City Out Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Moriarty City District Operations - Edgewood City In Resident	0.3890	0.3940	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Moriarty City District Operations - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Moriarty School District Operations - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	0.3890	0.3940	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Moriarty School District Operations - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	0.5000	0.5000	-	\$ -
Moriarty City District Debt Service - Edgewood City In Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty City District Debt Service - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty School District Debt Service -Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty School District Debt Service - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	8.2050	8.1880	(0.0170)	\$ (1.70)
Moriarty City District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Edgewood City In Resident	1.9880	2.0000	0.0120	\$ 1.20
Moriarty City District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Moriarty City Edgewood Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Moriarty School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Resident	1.9880	2.0000	0.0120	\$ 1.20
Moriarty School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Moriarty Annex Edgewood Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Espanola School District Operations - Espanola City In Resident	0.1790	0.1840	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Espanola School District Operations - Espanola City In Non-Resident	0.2680	0.2790	0.0110	\$ 1.10
Espanola School District Operations - Espanola City Out Resident	0.1790	0.1840	0.0050	\$ 0.50
Espanola School District Operations - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	0.2680	0.2790	0.0110	\$ 1.10
Espanola School District Debt Service - Espanola City In Resident	4.5430	4.5820	0.0390	\$ 3.90
Espanola School District Debt Service - Espanola City In Non-Resident	4.5430	4.5820	0.0390	\$ 3.90
Espanola School District Debt Service - Espanola City Out Resident	4.5430	4.5820	0.0390	\$ 3.90
Espanola School District Debt Service - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	4.5430	4.5820	0.0390	\$ 3.90

Revenue Distribution Rates, by Entity
 Tax Year 2019
 Certificate 2 (all pass)
 (based on total mil rates by District provided by DFA, 9/13/2019)

Certificate #2 (all pass)

TAX YEAR

Entity Description (Indicates NEW Entity this tax year)	2018	2019	Difference 2019-2018	\$ / Year For Market Val 300,000
Espanola School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Espanola City In Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Espanola School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Espanola City In Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Espanola School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Espanola City Out Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Espanola School District Capital Improvement (SB-9) - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	2.0000	2.0000	-	\$ -
Espanola School District Education Technical Debt Service - Espanola City In Resident	0.5690	0.5940	0.0250	\$ 2.50
Espanola School District Education Technical Debt Service - Espanola City In Non-Resident	0.5690	0.5940	0.0250	\$ 2.50
Espanola School District Education Technical Debt Service - Espanola City Out Resident	0.5690	0.5940	0.0250	\$ 2.50
Espanola School District Education Technical Debt Service - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	0.5690	0.5940	0.0250	\$ 2.50
Santa Fe Community College - Santa Fe City In Resident	3.0400	3.0170	(0.0230)	\$ (2.30)
Santa Fe Community College - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	3.3440	3.3350	(0.0090)	\$ (0.90)
Santa Fe Community College - Santa Fe City Out Resident	3.0400	3.0170	(0.0230)	\$ (2.30)
Santa Fe Community College - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	3.3440	3.3350	(0.0090)	\$ (0.90)
Santa Fe Community College Building - Santa Fe City In Resident	0.6500	0.6500	-	\$ -
Santa Fe Community College Building - Santa Fe City In Non-Resident	0.6500	0.6500	-	\$ -
Santa Fe Community College Building - Santa Fe City Out Resident	0.6500	0.6500	-	\$ -
Santa Fe Community College Building - Santa Fe City Out Non-Resident	0.6500	0.6500	-	\$ -
Northern New Mexico Community College - Pojoaque City Resident	-	2.0000	2.0000	\$ 200.00
Northern New Mexico Community College - Pojoaque City Non-Resident	-	2.0000	2.0000	\$ 200.00
Northern New Mexico Community College - Espanola City In Resident	-	2.0000	2.0000	\$ 200.00
Northern New Mexico Community College - Espanola City In Non-Resident	-	2.0000	2.0000	\$ 200.00
Northern New Mexico Community College - Espanola City Out Resident	-	2.0000	2.0000	\$ 200.00
Northern New Mexico Community College - Espanola City Out Non-Resident	-	2.0000	2.0000	\$ 200.00
Edgewood Soil & Water Conservation District - Resident	1.0000	1.0000	-	\$ -
Edgewood Soil & Water Conservation District - Non-Resident	1.0000	1.0000	-	\$ -
Eldorado Area Water & Sanitation District Operations	2.0800	2.0650	(0.0150)	\$ (1.50)
Eldorado Area Water & Sanitation District Debt Service	1.9320	1.8260	(0.1060)	\$ (10.60)
Total (actual addition of mil amts.)	405.3010	417.4300		

SFC - CLERK RECORDED 0/30/2019