

**SANTA FE COUNTY**

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**SPECIAL BCC MEETING**

**May 1, 2026**

Justin Greene, Chair - District 1  
Adam Johnson, Vice Chair - District 4  
Camilla Bustamante - District 3  
Hank Hughes - District 5  
Lisa Cacari Stone - District 2

SFC CLERK RECORDED 05/27/2026

**SANTA FE COUNTY**  
**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**  
**SPECIAL MEETING**

**May 1, 2026**

1. A. This special meeting of the Santa Fe Board of County Commissioners was called to order at 3:00 p.m. by Chair Justin Greene in the County Commission Chambers, 102 Grant Avenue, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

**B. Roll Call**

Roll was called by Deputy County Clerk Jennifer Wilson and indicated the presence of a quorum as follows:

**Members Present:**

Commissioner Justin Greene, Chair  
Commissioner Adam Johnson, Vice Chair  
Commissioner Camilla Bustamante  
Commissioner Hank Hughes  
Commissioner Lisa Cacari Stone

**Members Excused:**

None

**C. Approval of Agenda**

Upon motion by Commissioner Bustamante and second by Commissioner Cacari Stone, the agenda, as published, was approved by unanimous [5-0] voice vote.

2. **Public Hearing** – Proposed Joint Powers Agreement Between the Town of Edgewood and Santa Fe County for Fire and EMS Services

A. **Request Approval of a Joint Powers Agreement for Fire Suppression, Fire Prevention, Rescue and Emergency Medical Services Between the Town of Edgewood, New Mexico and the County of Santa Fe, New Mexico**

CHAIR GREENE: We have County Manager Greg Shaffer, and County Attorney Walker Boyd, and our Chief Black here. Thank you. Take it away.

MANAGER SHAFFER: Thank you, Chair Green and Commissioners. We're here before you today requesting approval of a joint powers agreement between the Town of Edgewood and Santa Fe County for fire suppression, fire prevention, rescue, and emergency medical services. If approved by the Board of County Commissioners and by

SFC CLERK RECORDED 05/27/2026

the Town Commission the steps that would have to take place for the JPA to go into effect would be for the Town to adopt an ordinance formally pledging its local option gross receipts tax, and the agreement would have to be approved by the Secretary of Finance and Administration. Your approval today would be one of three steps to ultimately have the joint powers agreement effective before July 1<sup>st</sup> of this year.

With that, by way of procedural overview we all know how we got here. Ultimately, after the Town Commission submitted a formal written notice of termination to the County of the previous joint powers agreement that had been in effect since July 1 of 2005- Pursuant to a settlement agreement the Town Commission asked to open negotiations with the County for a new joint powers agreement. The Board of County Commissioners authorized County staff to enter into non-binding negotiations on April 20, 2026. And ultimately Town representatives and County staff agreed to principles of agreement on that same day. Those principles of agreement are reflected in and carried forward into the joint powers agreement that is before you for approval.

I'm going to simply summarize the most significant provisions of the 2026 JPA, as I know that you are all familiar with the packet material and its contents. First and foremost the 2026 JPA would provide for the continued provision of fire suppression, fire prevention, rescue, and EMS services in the County portion of the town by Santa Fe County after June 30, 2026.

Significantly, the 2026 JPA would preserve the historic payment structure that has existed since 2005 through the period of time when the Town stopped making agreed-upon payments. And specifically, that structure is as follows: the Town would pay to the county the equivalent of the quarter cent county area fire excise tax, adjusted to include food and medical service deductions, that applies in the unincorporated areas of the County, and also would remit to the County impact fees equivalent to what the County would collect on new development in the unincorporated areas of the County.

In addition to that historic payment structure, the JPA includes a \$2.27 million amount to be paid in installments beginning with an initial installment of \$50,000, followed by 222 monthly payments of \$10,000 with no interest and no prepayment penalty. That payment represents consideration for a variety of things. From the County's perspective, it is primarily a buyback in provision, if you will, into continued services and represents the payments estimated payments that were not made during the current and previous two fiscal years. I think from the Town's perspective, there is also other consideration that it's receiving in respect to that payment to include technical support from the County as it considers whether to stand up its own fire department, as well as potential donation of surplus fire and EMS apparatus.

As for the security of the town payments both the equivalent quarter county area fire excise tax, quarter percent county area fire excise tax, as well as the \$2.27 million, the JPA requires the County to formally pledge its local option gross receipts tax revenue and municipal distribution of statewide gross receipts tax revenue creating a special fund for those purposes. In essence, what that means is that it has legally pledged and committed those resources to pay the County the amounts that are due under the JPA in the same way that if we were to issue revenue bonds and we pledged revenue for the repayment of those bonds, it's a legally binding obligation that is enforceable by the county against the special revenue or the special fund and revenue so pledged.

So it's not a situation in which the Town could simply say, We're not paying, and we're not going to appropriate the money to pay. So it is a significant security provision, again, on par with the same type of security that we provide to bondholders when we issue revenue bonds.

In addition, the agreement requires that the Town's fiscal agent bank immediately transfer to the County upon receipt of revenue, gross receipts tax revenue, and the municipal distribution the estimated amount due based upon the most recent calculated amount or other agreed-upon amount. But again, money hits their bank, it immediately goes out to Santa Fe County without necessity of there being an actual calculation of the true amount due. That's true up over time, but in terms of minimizing the risk that funds will be diverted to another purpose, that's another security mechanism built into the agreement.

Finally, the Town agrees in the JPA to provide the County with a confession of judgment which after any necessary consultation or mediation, if required, would allow the County to file that judgment with the court and pursue all available collection activities in the event that there was a future default.

So those are the payment terms, and that's the security therefore. The other provisions that we would highlight is that the agreement builds into it stability and a lengthy transition period. Under the old agreement, either party could terminate the joint powers agreement with 180 days notice for any reason. Under the proposed 2026 JPA except for material breaches or a change in gross receipts tax structure that materially impacts the County, the parties' relationship may only be terminated on five years notice. So that ensures continuity of service for the residents of the Town as well as allows sufficient time for the parties to pursue alternative arrangements in the event that the agreement is in fact terminated.

As I indicated and as was discussed by the Town Commission, there is some thought that the Town may ultimately want to establish its own fire department in order to serve all of the town or parts of the town. Under the agreement, the County agrees to provide the town with technical support in evaluating whether and how to develop its own fire department. And in addition, subject to State Fire Marshal approval and any potential approval that is required by the local government division of the Department of Finance & Administration, the County agrees to offer to the Town serviceable surplus apparatuses during the term of the new agreement. So that means if the County is prepared to donate apparatus to another fire department, the County would offer it to the Town in the first instance, and subject to the approval of the appropriate state oversight agency or agencies, the Town would have the right of first refusal to accept that serviceable surplus apparatus.

The final point that I would highlight is that the joint powers agreement before you for approval reconfirms that Santa Fe County's primary service area does not include the portions of the town that lie in other counties. That doesn't mean that the County would not honor its mutual aid agreements with Sandoval County or Bernalillo County in the event that there was a wildfire in that undeveloped portion of the town but what it does mean is that it's not our primary service area. And in the event that there is future development on those largely undeveloped parcels, like the very large subdivision that

has some level of conceptual plan approval or at least submission that the Town would have to make alternative arrangements in order to serve that new development. The JPA contemplates that it could do so either by contracting with one of the counties that I mentioned, Bernalillo or Sandoval County, creating its own fire department, or it could contract via an amendment with the County for the County to provide services to that area. But in that event, the town would be responsible for 100 percent of those costs, capital, human, as well as fixed assets.

So those are the primary deal points that I would highlight. And I'd be happy to stand for any questions, and I would also turn the microphone over to Chief Black or Attorney Boyd if they have anything that they would like to add.

CHAIR GREENE: Chief and Attorney Boyd.

CHIEF BLACK: Chair Greene, Commissioners, thank you. As you have evaluated the packet material that's before you today, there's a lot of sub-substance, and I think it is a reflection of the work that the County team and the Town of Edgewood entered into as a result of the work of both parties through the non-binding negotiations. So thank you for your consideration today.

CHAIR GREENE: Thanks.

ATTORNEY BOYD: Chair Greene and Commissioners, the only thing I would add is that as Manager Shaffer mentioned at the beginning of this presentation, this represents the culmination of negotiations following the Town of Edgewood's termination of the earlier Joint Powers Agreement. And I think that through hard and careful review by Manager Shaffer, Chief Black, myself, the Town's attorneys, and the Town's staff we've made a better agreement that I think will head off some of the claims of ambiguity that led to what caused these negotiations in the first place.

And so I think the agreement is legally sound. DFA has tentatively suggested that the agreement is compliant with the law. And so I would I would encourage your approval.

CHAIR GREENE: Thank you, team. Commissioners? Commissioner Bustamante.

COMMISSIONER BUSTAMANTE: Thank you. I want to commend your effort in making this happen. I know that this was a tumble in the rocks, if you will, and I do believe that Santa Fe County has really been working in the truest interest of the community members, the people that we serve, and that there's never been any question in our mind that we can do a good job in assuring them that they have EMS services.

So thank you for your diligence on that and for allowing us to get to this day. Onward, thank you.

CHAIR GREENE: Thank you. Commissioner Cacari Stone.

COMMISSIONER CACARI STONE: Thank you, Chair Green. First, I'd like to recognize and thank those members who are online from the Town of Edgewood. I see about four or five people, so I appreciate you participating. Second, thank you to County Manager Shaffer, Chief Black, Attorney Boyd, and the entire legal team. It's been a tremendous lift for all of you, and I want to say I'm really proud to be part of a really stellar, great team that you are with professionalism and hard work. We couldn't have got here this fast without your efforts.

What you've proposed here and what's put together with the agreement offers

stability, as you noted, security and payments, but that security and payments isn't just about us collecting money. It's about assuring that we have the resources to dedicate it to full-time firefighters and staff and everything we need across the entire county. And when payments aren't made in one area, that cuts short the other community members. So I just want to note that so the public knows it's not that we're greedy and need money. It's that we want to assure services to all, both EMS and fire. It also records accountability, and I really appreciate the part about the community presentations for transparency of communication, so thank you.

CHAIR GREENE: Thank you. Commissioners? Commissioner Hughes.

COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Just real quickly, I do recognize this is a lot of work in a short period of time, and I appreciate that we came to a good result and thank you.

CHAIR GREENE: Commissioner Johnson.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you, Chair. And thank you. I want to echo what my fellow commissioners said especially what Commissioner Cacari Stone said about, this isn't about greed. It's about what services cost and about serving community members. I do have a couple of questions, but I think that I'll hold them until after the public hearing just to see what comes up during that period if any. And thank you, Chair.

CHAIR GREENE: Okay. Thank you. I do have one question, and first, thank you for digging into this, right? We heard from the community, we heard from the firefighters, we heard from all sorts of folks that this was a big concern, and we jumped on it. I want to thank my fellow Commissioners for making themselves available to get this expedited on that Monday just two weeks ago, right? This is pretty amazing. So we jumped at it when given the opportunity. Commissioner Bustamante paid a lot of attention to this. I know that I wanted to make this happen as fast as possible as well.

I do have one question into the surplus apparatus donation. We're going to give them first right of refusal over everything that we surplus out, correct?

MANAGER SHAFFER: Chair Green and Commissioners, that is correct. Any apparatus that we're surplus means we are ready to donate it to another fire department, they would have the right of first refusal.

CHAIR GREENE: Okay. They could take – if we have six vehicles one year, they could take all six?

MANAGER SHAFFER: Chair Greene, Commissioners, yes, subject to State Fire Marshal approval and approval of the Department of Finance and Administration. That's important because we would not anticipate the State Fire Marshal approving something that wasn't based upon documented need and the fact that they are in actively working towards or have stood up their fire department.

In other words, we wouldn't anticipate that if there's no realistic plan of a town fire department materializing, that the State Fire Marshal would allow them to accumulate fire apparatus that other fire departments, could use. That's our expectation, but ultimately that would be subject to state fire marshal approval.

CHAIR GREENE: Okay. I ask that because in the past, we donated a piece to our neighbors up in Truchas, and they've been super thankful for this, and they put it right into service. And so I don't want to necessarily see that we give away

equipment that just sits in a warehouse. And so I would hope that they work with our regional neighbors, right? Great. Okay.

This is a public hearing and is there anybody in the chambers that would like to speak in regards to this? There are not. Okay. And Daniel, who is online?

DANIEL FRESQUEZ (Media Coordinator): Mr. Chair, we do have one person that pre-registered using the Diligent platform, as well as four other attendees online. I just want to invite those people to raise their digital hands if they'd like to speak, and I will unmute Mr. Mechels. Would you like me to display a timer?

CHAIR GREENE: Yes. You can give three minutes to everybody on this. Thank you.

ATTORNEY BOYD: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR GREENE: Yes. Hold on. Mr. Mechels. One second. Yes, sir.

ATTORNEY BOYD: Because this is a public hearing it's not on an ordinance or a quasi-judicial matter, but it's within the Board's discretion to require folks who are giving comment require them to swear an oath to tell the truth as it would do in another public hearing. So that's up to you all.

CHAIR GREENE: I will defer to the Board if – yeah, let's let people swear in because they have an opinion on this and let them do that. County Clerk Deputy, would you do us the honors of swearing everybody in as they come on in?

MS. WILSON: Yes, sir.

[The oath was read.]

CHRIS MECHEL'S: I would ask the question, why isn't the – why aren't the other, the County staff being sworn also? If they're being sworn, then I would consent to being sworn.

ATTORNEY BOYD: I know that we don't normally engage in dialogue like this. Chair Greene, I think we're happy to be sworn in. I know we can swear and affirm that our statements earlier were true. That's fine with us.

MR. MECHEL'S: I just think you're, I just think you're using the wrong protocol.

CHAIR GREENE: I appreciate that, and --

MR. MECHEL'S: No, sir, I have no – sir, I'll tell – I always tell the truth and the whole truth, so I have no problem being sworn.

CHAIR GREENE: Thank you.

MS. WILSON: Then for the record, please state your name and your address, and that you understand you're under oath.

MR. MECHEL'S: My name is Chris Mechels. That's spelling of that is on my picture, 1336 Bishops Lodge Road in Tesuque. And what else am I supposed to swear to?

MS. WILSON: Just that you understand you're under oath, sir.

MR. MECHEL'S: Oh, I understand that I'm under oath.

MS. WILSON: Thank you.

MR. MECHEL'S: The first comment I would have to make is that, again you're invoking a three-minute rule. That's totally inappropriate. But you're doing it nonetheless because you don't like getting public input. For a hearing, you should not be using the three minutes. You should not be using the three minutes. That simply implies

that you don't want to get public input and you don't want to have discussion. So what – the question is, you're tying, you're tying everybody's hands right up front. This is not open to the public.

The other thing I would say is I totally oppose this settlement that you've produced. It seems to me that it's being imposed by Santa Fe County on Edgewood. It should have been arrived at in an open meeting. It wasn't. What you've done is you went off and had a secret meeting, and then you produced this output, which looks like it's been dominated by Santa Fe County. I think you should, you should just scrap this whole process, go back and have an open meeting between Santa Fe County and Edgewood where the public could be at least there when you're having the discussion.

As far as the terms of it, I think the – I've looked into this for a bit, and it turns out that the problem was created not by Edgewood, it was created by Santa Fe County, and now you're letting Santa Fe County dictate the terms of a problem that they created. It was created in the following way. If you actually look at the – if you actually take the trouble to look at the audits on that time, the annual reports, you'll find out that Santa Fe County lost, they lost this JPA. It's not in their records. It shows up in the records of those audits on one year, which is 2012. Basically, Santa Fe County dropped the ball totally and lost this JPA. That's what led to the current confusion. And then letting – and then, and also the financial data that was produced in the lawsuit by Walker Boyd, the County Attorney, the numbers seem like they're grabbed out of the air. I can find no support for any of his numbers.

This whole thing seems rather fraudulent to me. So I would just say, go back. You've got a problem. Go back, have an open meeting where you don't get to have secret little agreement side agreements made as and actually act like you care about open government.

The other thing that I oppose, is I oppose that \$2.2 million what am I going to say – that you've extorted from the agreement? There is – with your past record on losing JPA information, we're to just hand you \$2.2 million? For what? To buy each other lunch? That \$2.2 million is simply extortion.

So please, the manager shouldn't have done this, the attorney shouldn't have gone for it, and the BCC should not approve it. You should go back and actually act like you believe in open government, openly arrived at because this has not been.

CHAIR GREENE: Thank you, Mr. Mechels. We appreciate your position on this. Are there any other folks online that would like to speak?

MR. FRESQUEZ: Mr. Chair, nobody else online is raising their digital hands.

CHAIR GREENE: Just to make sure. Anybody online would like to speak, please take this moment before we close public comment. All right. We appreciate those that are watching from afar and here. So thank you so much, everybody.

Commissioners, further comments and questions? Commissioner Johnson.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you, Chair. So I do have a question, and forgive me for not knowing, there may be some elements that you can't share, and I'll understand that.

It seems like the 2.27 million that is owed to the County by Edgewood, we are sort of loaning that to them interest-free, it's a payback scheme. Are there costs that the

County will incur because there is no interest attached to that payment?

MANAGER SHAFFER: Chair Greene, Commissioner Johnson, if the question is will the County realize the full value of the 2.2 and change million dollars, the answer is no, because there's no interest associated with it. So being paid back over time when you look at the actual value of the payments in terms of their current value it would be less. And so that was part of the negotiations. Again, simply you're getting paid back in dollars in five, 10, 15 years. Those dollars are going to be worth less than dollars today.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. So in a way, there's a discount to the repayment that is owed to the County by the Town of Edgewood.

MANAGER SHAFFER: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. This is a question that's a hypothetical but if the Town were to disincorporate, what would happen to the two-point-plus million?

MANAGER SHAFFER: I would really defer that question to the County Attorney relative to making provision for payment of outstanding obligations. But again, I defer that question to the County Attorney.

ATTORNEY BOYD: Chair Greene and Commissioner Johnson, under the statutory process for disincorporation of a municipality, outstanding debts of the municipality have to be dealt with as part of the process for disincorporation. And so ultimately, the body that would deal with those and other debts would be the Board of County Commissioners if the town voted to disincorporate, and that would be part of the process that the board would have to go through if a disincorporation petition was put on a ballot and won at an election.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you, Attorney Boyd. So is it fair to consider the 2.2 million because they're going to pay 50,000 right away a debt or is it a – it says at least in the memo version, 2,270,000 paid in installments as consideration for technical consultation, technical support, capital for continued services, et cetera, et cetera.

Doesn't use the word debt. So I'm wondering if that is if it, how do I think about that?

ATTORNEY BOYD: Chair Greene and Commissioner Johnson, I think that the statute encompasses not just the sort of classic debt that you're thinking of, but also, sundry contractual obligations. So if the town has contracts, obligations to pay on a contract those would need to be dealt with in accordance with the terms of that contract and whatever the law provides for resolution of those obligations after a municipality disincorporates. And so the same would apply for the obligation to pay under this joint powers agreement. The statutes governing disincorporation and the terms of this JPA would dictate what could be done with this obligation and how it could be resolved post disincorporation if that's what occurred.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you, Attorney Boyd. That's helpful to think about it, for me at least, as a contractual obligation that stands the sort of winds of the future of Edgewood.

ATTORNEY BOYD: Chair Greene and Commissioner Johnson, that's correct. And again, to, I think reiterate the point that Manager Shaffer covered in his initial presentation, the Town is pledging its gross receipts tax revenues to the satisfaction

of its payment, at least part of its payment obligations under this debt, and then under this JPA. But then further, with respect to the 222 months' worth of payments the JPA provides that those obligations will continue throughout the term and beyond even any termination of the JPA.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR GREENE: Can I do a follow-up really quickly – and

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Sure. Go ahead.

CHAIR GREENE: -- then pass it back to you. Let me get this straight. If they go through – if disincorporation happens, who would be paying for that?

ATTORNEY BOYD: Chair Greene, I don't want to, speak specifically to this, to the obligations created under this agreement because we haven't studied where this obligation would fall among any other set of obligations. But as I said in response to Commissioner Johnson's question, ultimately the Board of County Commissioners would have to preside over the, handling of these debts. I think that the answer to this is that it would be a situation where the Board of County Commissioners is resolving how to deal with the, this debt to itself.

CHAIR GREENE: Okay. And just to fill in a little blank for Commissioner Johnson, based on a 2 percent interest rate their payment over 220 payments would be \$12,325. So they're getting a \$2,300 discount off on a 2 percent interest rate. Who knows where interest rates are going to go and, but it's government money in the 2 percent range, that's, maybe it's 3 percent, maybe it's 1.5 percent, maybe it's a grant, but just to give you an idea. Back to you.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you, Chair, and that's helpful. I just have one further question, which is could you spell out or remind us what the next steps are just so that we're clear as to, we agree to this today, then Edgewood agrees to it next Tuesday, or what have you?

I think it'd be helpful for myself, fellow Commissioners, and those listening to understand what the next steps are and then when does this contract come into effect?

MANAGER SHAFFER: Chair Greene, Commissioner Johnson so the JPA needs to be approved by the Town Commission. The JPA would need to be approved by the Secretary of Finance and Administration. And in order to effectuate the pledging of gross receipts tax revenue and the municipal distribution of state gross receipts tax, our understanding is that the Town Commission would separately have to adopt an ordinance making that so. And there is sufficient time for all of those things to occur by June 30.

The Town Commission, as I understand it, has a special meeting scheduled for today at 4:00 p.m. in which it could take the step of approving the joint powers agreement. That could be submitted to DFA shortly thereafter. As Attorney Boyd mentioned we have already submitted the draft that is in front of you to the General Counsel for the Department of Finance and Administration, who has reviewed it.

Again, not speaking for the secretary, but has indicated in his opinion that it is compliant with the Joint Powers Agreement Act and state law. The timing issue then would really become the adoption of the ordinance. And again, presumably the Town Commission, if they approve this, will act with all due haste to make that so as to

guarantee that, the agreement is in fact in place before June 30 for the continuation of fire, EMS, and other services for the residents of the town.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay.

CHAIR GREENE: Commissioner Hughes, anything else?  
Commissioners, on this side? No? Fabulous. What is the pleasure of the Board?

COMMISSIONER CACARI STONE: I would like –

CHAIR GREENE: Let me give one second. I personally, well we could do this later, but I do want to give a big thank you to, to the three of you for stepping into this. This was a lot of brain damage and time spent. Maybe not damage, maybe it was a learning experience. But it was it was definitely a time-consuming. If April had 31 days, it would've taken us a month. Instead, it took us a month and a day, which was very impressive, actually. This is about as fast as humanly possible. I want to thank everybody here for coming in on a Friday afternoon on May Day to make this happen, and this is what we do as County Commissioners to do this. I want to thank the mayor of Edgewood, who personally reached out to me. He stepped into this, into the breach to make sure that his community got back on track with Santa Fe County. And I want to thank the firefighters who have been put through a little bit of stress, feeling like the community was, I don't know – there was a lot of concern within the firefighting community. And lastly, this, to the Edgewood community, welcome back, right? Let's hope that the Town Commission does what they need to do, but we're ready to continue to serve them.

COMMISSIONER BUSTAMANTE: Thank you, Chair. I would like to make the motion to request approval of a joint powers agreement for fire suppression, fire prevention, rescue, and emergency medical services between the Town of Edgewood, New Mexico, and the County of Santa Fe, New Mexico.

COMMISSIONER CACARI STONE: I second it.

CHAIR GREENE: Fabulous. We have a motion from Commissioner Bustamante, a second from Commissioner Cacari Stone. Let's voice vote this for all of us. So please.

**The motion passed by unanimous [5-0] roll call vote as follows:**

Commissioner Bustamante	Aye
Commissioner Cacari Stone	Aye
Commissioner Hughes	Aye
Commissioner Johnson	Aye
Chair Greene	Aye

CHAIR GREENE: Yes. We could have done it by acclamation. But anyway, thank you so much, guys. We have the beginnings of a JPA back there, so thank you very much for getting this taken care of. Thank you, everybody.

**3. Concluding Business**  
**A. Announcements**

CHAIR GREENE: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER CACARI STONE: Thank you, Chair Greene. I just want to remind everyone that everyone's invited. Somos un Pueblo Unido is having the May Day march and rally this afternoon. The march starts at 4:30. You can park behind Greer Garson Theater, and there's music and a speech and food at 6:00 p.m. I believe Commissioner Hughes is going and I'll be going, and one of our bilingual community navigators from Community Services will be speaking on my behalf and on the County's behalf. So I hope people can make it.

And tomorrow is an open house. It's the annual anniversary of the Pride Center in District 2, but for the whole County and region, and that is from 1:00 to 5:00. Please join us. It is in the industrial park area on the south side. You just have to Google, Pride Center, and you can just pop in. Thank you.

CHAIR GREENE: Fabulous. Any other things, Commissioners? I too will be at the march. I promise not to talk to either of you, so we don't have a quorum. Anything else?


**B. Adjournment**


Upon motion to adjourn by Commissioner Johnson and second by Commissioner Bustamante, and with no further business to come before this body, Chair Greene declared this meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.

Approved by:

  
Justin Greene, Chair  
Board of County Commissioners


ATTEST TO:


  
KATHARINE E. CLARK  
SANTA FE COUNTY CLERK

  
Respectfully submitted:  
Karen Farrell, Wordswork

COUNTY OF SANTA FE )  
STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) ss  
I, Katharine E. Clark, County Clerk, do hereby certify that this instrument was filed for record on the 27th day of May, 2026 at 02:14:10 PM and was duly recorded as instrument # 2084338 in the records of Santa Fe County.

BCC MINUTES  
PAGES: 12

Deputy  Witness My Hand And Seal Of Office  
Katharine E. Clark  
County Clerk, Santa Fe, NM



SFC CLERK RECORDED 05/27/2026