

**Henry Roybal**  
*Commissioner, District 1*

**Anna Hansen**  
*Commissioner, District 2*

**Rudy N. Garcia**  
*Commissioner, District 3*



**Anna T. Hamilton**  
*Commissioner,  
District 4*

**Hank Hughes**  
*Commissioner,  
District 5*

**Katherine Miller**  
*County Manager*

**ADDENDUM #1**  
**RFP# 2021-0111-PW/KE**  
**PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT AND**  
**INSPECTION SERVICES**

Dear Proponents,

This addendum is issued to reflect the following immediately. It shall be the responsibility of interested Offerors to adhere to any changes or revisions to the RFP as identified in this Addendum No. 1. This documentation shall become permanent and made part of the departmental files.

- 
1. Proposal Format – Does the 15-page limit include the Certificate of Insurance and Campaign Contribution Disclosure Statement? If not, should they be included in an Appendix?

**ANSWER: No. The 15-page limit does not include the Certificate of Insurance and Campaign Contribution Disclosure Statement. They can be included in the Appendix.**

2. Proposal Format – The proposal format indicates “Proposals shall be bound with tabs delineating each section.” Is this still a requirement since Proposal will be submitted electronically via the Dropbox? If tabs are still required, will they count against the 15-page limit?

**ANSWER: Proposals will not be bound in electronic format. Each section needs to be identified. The tabs are not counted against the page limit, unless the Offeror uses the tab to provide part of their response or for additional information.**

3. What are typical route lengths, widths and allowable speeds? Are there neighborhoods? Will roadways accommodate heavy traffic? Task 2c – On a given route, is data collection 1 direction?

**ANSWER: Typical County Roads are 24’ but vary throughout the County. Lengths all vary. Speeds are typically 25 MPH – 30MPH. Typical ADT volumes are 100 – 400. Our most congested roads are 5,000 ADT or less. The direction of**

data collection will depend on the unit's ability to capture the width of the roadway. If one pass can accommodate all lanes and pavement surface data needed, then 1 direction would be all that's needed.

4. Can you please define block? Is this neighborhood specific?

ANSWER: Standard Subdivision areas for housing, typically 500'-1000' in length, but may vary. Need more clarification on what's meant by "neighborhood specific?"

5. Is consultant required to interpret GPR? Is coring allowed to "truth" or "validate" GPR data.

ANSWER: Yes. Also, coring would be allowed, but would require a ROW permit from the County.

6. What is typical engineer section? Entire route length? 2, 5, 10 miles?

ANSWER: Varies

7. We're trying to quantify the number of blocks in the scope of work. Can the county provide a shapefile of the roads to be tested?

ANSWER: Most of the County is very segregated, with limited subdivision blocks. The County will provide the Shapefile of all roads to be collected, once the contract is awarded. For deflection testing purposes, the roadway segments are specific to arterial and collect classifications.

8. Should the Offeror budget for coring activities? If so, will the County provide any as built drawings or cores for the GPR calibration?

ANSWER: Coring would fall under the additional services scope. The County will not provide as-built drawings or provide existing core information, due to limited data.

9. Our understanding is that the County has asset data already in the Lucity database. Will the awarded contractor only be asked to migrate the existing data to GIS or also update the inventory of assets based on the new survey ROW images? Or will the update only be performed if optional Task 9 is selected? Please clarify.

ANSWER: Yes, the County has begun collecting assets. The current asset system Lucity would need to be able to migrate all data collected from the contractor to the geodatabase (GIS). Asset Collection would be classified under addition services, should the County chose to select that task under Task 2 (c). Task ( is separate and should be addressed accordingly.

10. What categories of assets are included in this task? Are they the same as those mentioned in Task9?

**ANSWER:** Task 2 (c) should be assumed as all verifiable assets that can be collected with the mobile equipment, such as signs, culverts, light poles, signal poles, etc. This would be different from task 9.

11. What is the estimate of the number of test-miles for arterials and collectors?

**ANSWER:** To be determined

12. Will GPR be used to test all the 290 test-miles or only arterials and collectors?

**ANSWER:** Only roads that fall under that are arterials and collectors.

13. Does the County have an updated GIS file of the roads? The GIS file our firm located online has limited data, and it does not include information on road type and classification.

**ANSWER:** Yes. This information is limited, as it relates to classification and road type.

---

Please add this Addendum #1 to the original proposal documents and refer to proposal documents, hereto as such. This and all subsequent addenda will become part of any resulting contract documents and have effects as if original issued. All other unaffected sections will have their original interpretation and remain in full force and effect.

Offerors are reminded that any questions or need for clarification must be addressed to Karen K. Emery, Senior Procurement Specialist at [kkemery@santafecountynm.gov](mailto:kkemery@santafecountynm.gov).