

MAY
2023

2023 SANTA FE COUNTY SAN MARCOS CDO COMMERCIAL CANNABIS SURVEY RESULTS

PRESENTED TO:

SANTA FE COUNTY
100 CATRON ST.
SANTA FE, NM 87501

PRESENTED BY:

Southwest Planning & Marketing
RESEARCH | MARKETING | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT | PLANNING

P.O. Box 1506
SANTA FE, NM 87504
505.989.8500
SOUTHWESTPLANNING.COM

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	3
Methodology	3
Summary of Key Findings.....	4
Data Tables	6
INTRODUCTORY QUESTIONS	6
CANNABIS RETAILERS/DISPENSARIES	7
COMMERCIAL CANNABIS INDOOR GROWTH FACILITIES (GREENHOUSES).....	8
COMMERCIAL CANNABIS OUTDOOR GROWTH FACILITIES	9
CANNABIS MANUFACTURING BUSINESSES	10
CANNABIS TESTING FACILITIES	11
COMMUNICATION PREFERENCES.....	13
Appendix A: Survey Instrument.....	15
Appendix B: Unedited Responses to Open-Ended Questions.....	21
CANNABIS RETAILERS/DISPENSARIES	22
COMMERCIAL CANNABIS INDOOR GROWTH FACILITIES (GREENHOUSES).....	29
COMMERCIAL CANNABIS OUTDOOR GROWTH FACILITIES	35
CANNABIS MANUFACTURING BUSINESSES	41
CANNABIS TESTING FACILITIES	46
COMMUNICATION PREFERENCES.....	53

Introduction

This report presents the findings from the 2023 Santa Fe County San Marcos Commercial Cannabis Public Opinion Survey.

Following the legalization of cannabis in New Mexico, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) of Santa Fe County passed Ordinance 2021-03 (Cannabis Ordinance), which established County-wide regulations for cultivation, retail sales, and production of commercial cannabis and cannabis products. The Cannabis Ordinance includes a provision that allows Community Districts within the County to consider different commercial cannabis regulations that reflect their community's individual needs, goals, and character.

To assist with determining the San Marcos community's individual needs, goals, and character as they pertain to commercial cannabis regulations, the county contracted with Southwest Planning & Marketing (SWPM) to conduct a public opinion survey. Working in collaboration with county staff, SWPM identified research objectives, developed a survey instrument and administration methodology, administered the survey, and processed, analyzed and summarized the data.

The findings from the survey are presented in the following sections: 1) Methodology, 2) Summary of Key Findings, 3) Data Tables, 4) Appendix A: Survey Instrument, and 5) Appendix B: Unedited Responses to Open-Ended Question.

Methodology

The survey was administered in April-May 2023 via mail. Each mailing address (list provided by county) within the San Marcos Community District was mailed a postcard each week for three weeks with an invitation to complete the survey; the postcard included both a link and QR code to the survey. English and Spanish versions of the survey were available.

A total of 194 survey responses were received (22.3% response rate based on the number of addresses provided by the county). The data presented in this report are for these 194 respondents.

Upon completion of surveying, SWPM processed and analyzed the data, and created this report.

Summary of Key Findings

- ✓ 56.1% of the respondents were not aware that Santa Fe County had developed a Cannabis Ordinance prior to receiving the survey.
- ✓ Cannabis Retailers/Dispensaries (should they be allowed in the following areas)
 - Commercial/retail areas: 67.7% of respondents stated that cannabis retailers/dispensaries should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 27.4% said they should not be allowed.
 - Residential areas: 25.4% of respondents stated that cannabis retailers/dispensaries should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 74.6% said they should not be allowed.
 - Agricultural areas: 46.7% of respondents stated that cannabis retailers/dispensaries should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 53.3% said they should not be allowed.
- ✓ Commercial Cannabis Indoor Growth Facilities (Greenhouses) (should they be allowed in the following areas)
 - Commercial/retail areas: 66.1% of respondents stated that commercial cannabis indoor growth facilities (greenhouses) should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 33.9% said they should not be allowed.
 - Residential areas: 30.9% of respondents stated that commercial cannabis indoor growth facilities (greenhouses) should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 69.1% said they should not be allowed.
- Agricultural areas: 68.3% of respondents stated that commercial cannabis indoor growth facilities (greenhouses) should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 31.7% said they should not be allowed.
- ✓ Commercial Cannabis Outdoor Growth Facilities (should they be allowed in the following areas)
 - Commercial/retail areas: 49.1% of respondents stated that commercial cannabis outdoor growth facilities should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 50.8% said they should not be allowed.
 - Residential areas: 16.7% of respondents stated that commercial cannabis outdoor growth facilities should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 73.3% said they should not be allowed.
 - Agricultural areas: 62.7% of respondents stated that commercial cannabis outdoor growth facilities should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 37.4% said they should not be allowed.
- ✓ Cannabis Manufacturing Businesses (THC extraction, food, textiles and related products) (should they be allowed in the following areas)
 - Commercial/retail areas: 69.4% of respondents stated that cannabis manufacturing businesses should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 30.6% said they should not be allowed.

- Residential areas: 22.7% of respondents stated that cannabis manufacturing businesses should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 77.3% said they should not be allowed.
- Agricultural areas: 52.5% of respondents stated that cannabis manufacturing businesses should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 47.5% said they should not be allowed.
- ✓ Cannabis Testing Facilities (should they be allowed in the following areas)
 - Commercial/retail areas: 67.2% of respondents stated that cannabis testing facilities should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 32.8% said they should not be allowed.
 - Residential areas: 25.8% of respondents stated that cannabis testing facilities should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 74.2% said they should not be allowed.
 - Agricultural areas: 53.1% of respondents stated that cannabis testing facilities should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 46.9% said they should not be allowed.
- ✓ Cannabis Consumption Establishments (should they be allowed in the following areas)
 - Commercial/retail areas: 63.9% of respondents stated that cannabis consumption establishments should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 36.1% said they should not be allowed.
 - Residential areas: 15.5% of respondents stated that cannabis consumption establishments should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 74.6% said they should not be allowed.
 - Agricultural areas: 40.3% of respondents stated that cannabis consumption establishments should be allowed or should be allowed with limitations; 59.7% said they should not be allowed.
- ✓ The methods most preferred by respondents to get information from Santa Fe County are email (71.1% of respondents), mail (32.2%), and website (20.6%). Social media (10.6%) and phone (5.0%) are the least preferred communication methods.
- ✓ 98.9% of respondents prefer to communicate via English; 0.6% prefer Spanish.
- ✓ Respondents typically learn about Santa Fe County planning efforts, activities, ordinances, and regulations from the Santa Fe County website (50.6% of respondents) and the Santa Fe New Mexican (43.1%).

Data Tables

INTRODUCTORY QUESTIONS

Do you live in and/or own property in the San Marcos area?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Yes						99.5%
No						0.5%

Do you own a business located in the San Marcos area?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Yes						18.8%
No						81.2%

Prior to receiving this survey, were you aware that Santa Fe County had developed a Cannabis Ordinance?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Yes						43.9%
No						56.1%

CANNABIS RETAILERS/DISPENSARIES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Cannabis Retailers/Dispensaries should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						40.3%
Should be allowed with limitations						32.3%
Should not be allowed						27.4%

Residential areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						13.0%
Should be allowed with limitations						12.4%
Should not be allowed						74.6%

Agricultural areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						21.7%
Should be allowed with limitations						25.0%
Should not be allowed						53.3%

COMMERCIAL CANNABIS INDOOR GROWTH FACILITIES (GREENHOUSES)

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Commercial Cannabis Indoor Growth Facilities (Greenhouses) should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						36.1%
Should be allowed with limitations						30.0%
Should not be allowed						33.9%

Residential areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						11.6%
Should be allowed with limitations						19.3%
Should not be allowed						69.1%

Agricultural areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						36.1%
Should be allowed with limitations						32.2%
Should not be allowed						31.7%

COMMERCIAL CANNABIS OUTDOOR GROWTH FACILITIES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Commercial Cannabis Outdoor Growth Facilities should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						27.6%
Should be allowed with limitations						21.5%
Should not be allowed						50.8%

Residential areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						13.9%
Should be allowed with limitations						12.8%
Should not be allowed						73.3%

Agricultural areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						36.3%
Should be allowed with limitations						26.4%
Should not be allowed						37.4%

CANNABIS MANUFACTURING BUSINESSES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Cannabis Manufacturing Businesses (THC extraction, food, textiles and related products) should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed	■					40.0%
Should be allowed with limitations	■					29.4%
Should not be allowed	■					30.6%

Residential areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed	■					14.4%
Should be allowed with limitations	■					8.3%
Should not be allowed	■					77.3%

Agricultural areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed	■					29.6%
Should be allowed with limitations	■					22.9%
Should not be allowed	■					47.5%

CANNABIS TESTING FACILITIES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Cannabis Testing Facilities (research and development, quality control, potency testing, safety analysis) should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						46.1%
Should be allowed with limitations						21.1%
Should not be allowed						32.8%

Residential areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						15.9%
Should be allowed with limitations						9.9%
Should not be allowed						74.2%

Agricultural areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed						31.3%
Should be allowed with limitations						21.8%
Should not be allowed						46.9%

CANNABIS CONSUMPTION ESTABLISHMENTS

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Cannabis Consumption Establishments (with regulations similar to those governing onsite alcohol consumption) should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed	■					39.3%
Should be allowed with limitations	■					24.6%
Should not be allowed	■					36.1%

Residential areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed	■					13.3%
Should be allowed with limitations	■					12.2%
Should not be allowed	■					74.6%

Agricultural areas?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Should be allowed	■					22.1%
Should be allowed with limitations	■					18.2%
Should not be allowed	■					59.7%

COMMUNICATION PREFERENCES

How do you prefer to hear from Santa Fe County?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Email						71.1%
Phone						5.0%
Mail						32.2%
Text						16.7%
Paper Newsletter						13.9%
Website						20.6%
Social Media (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, etc.)						10.6%
Other:						1.7%

What is your preferred language?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
English						98.9%
Spanish						0.6%
Other:						0.6%

How do you typically learn about Santa Fe County planning efforts, activities, ordinances, and regulations?

Response	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%	Frequency
Santa Fe County Website						50.6%
Other website(s) - please name:						6.9%
Radio station(s) - please name:						4.6%
Santa Fe New Mexican						43.1%
Rio Grande Sun						1.1%
Other newspaper(s) - please name:						5.7%
TV station(s) - please name:						3.4%
Facebook						13.2%
Twitter						1.1%
Instagram						1.7%
Other:						37.4%

Appendix A: Survey Instrument



San Marcos Santa Fe County Cannabis Ordinance Public Input Survey

As a result of the legalization of cannabis in New Mexico, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) of Santa Fe County passed Ordinance 2021-03 (Cannabis Ordinance), which established County-wide regulations for cultivation, retail sales, and production of commercial cannabis and cannabis products.

The Cannabis Ordinance includes a provision that allows Community Districts within the County to consider different commercial cannabis regulations that reflect their community's individual needs, goals, and character.

The purpose of this survey is to collect input from San Marcos-area residents, business owners, and/or property owners about commercial cannabis regulations. **YOUR OPINION MATTERS!**

Note: Individual cannabis use/growing is not regulated by the County.

< Please only complete this survey if you are 18 or older >

1. Do you live in and/or own property in the San Marcos area? (select one)

- Yes No

2. Do you own a business located in the San Marcos area? (select one)

- Yes No

3. Prior to receiving this survey, were you aware that Santa Fe County had developed a Cannabis Ordinance? (select one)

- Yes No

CANNABIS RETAILERS/DISPENSARIES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Cannabis Retailers/Dispensaries should be allowed in:

4. Commercial/retail areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

5. Residential areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

6. Agricultural areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

COMMERCIAL CANNABIS INDOOR GROWTH FACILITIES (GREENHOUSES)

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Commercial Cannabis Indoor Growth Facilities (Greenhouses) should be allowed in:

7. Commercial/retail areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

8. Residential areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

9. Agricultural areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

COMMERCIAL CANNABIS OUTDOOR GROWTH FACILITIES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Commercial Cannabis Outdoor Growth Facilities should be allowed in:

10. Commercial/retail areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

11. Residential areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

12. Agricultural areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

CANNABIS MANUFACTURING BUSINESSES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Cannabis Manufacturing Businesses (THC extraction, food, textiles and related products) should be allowed in:

13. Commercial/retail areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

14. Residential areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

15. Agricultural areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

CANNABIS TESTING FACILITIES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Cannabis Testing Facilities (research and development, quality control, potency testing, safety analysis) should be allowed in:

16. Commercial/retail areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

17. Residential areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

18. Agricultural areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

CANNABIS CONSUMPTION ESTABLISHMENTS

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Cannabis Consumption Establishments (with regulations similar to those governing onsite alcohol consumption) should be allowed in:

19. Commercial/retail areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

20. Residential areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

21. Agricultural areas? (select one)

- Should be allowed _____ (please explain)
- Should be allowed with limitations _____ (please explain)
- Should not be allowed _____ (please explain)

COMMUNICATION PREFERENCES

22. How do you prefer to hear from Santa Fe County? (select all that apply)

- Email
- Phone
- Mail
- Text
- Other: _____
- Paper Newsletter
- Website
- Social Media (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, etc.)

23. What is your preferred language? (select one)

- English
- Spanish
- Other: _____

24. How do you typically learn about Santa Fe County planning efforts, activities, ordinances, and regulations? (select all that apply)

- Santa Fe County Website
- Other website(s) - please name: _____
- Radio station(s) - please name: _____
- Santa Fe New Mexican
- Rio Grande Sun
- Other newspaper(s) - please name: _____
- TV station(s) - please name: _____
- Facebook
- Twitter
- Instagram
- Other: _____

Appendix B: Unedited Responses to Open-Ended Questions

CANNABIS RETAILERS/DISPENSARIES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Cannabis Retailers/Dispensaries should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

There already is one off Bisbee and that's a good thing

All property owners should be treated equally as far as commercial grows instead of only allotting licenses to huge corporations. Leave our profits to the small canopy growers

I need it for medicine. This neighborhood has an aging population. I'm sure I'm not the only one that could benefit from a dispensary in the neighborhood.

As a medical prescription

Few places available- it's working ok in Madrid

It's not my thing, but legalizing Cannabis makes sense. No different than alcohol

It's available all over the state and I think as a citizen I'd appreciate local stores

Why not, it's legal like alcohol

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

we are a residential

Employment opportunities

Limits based on population

Licensed. Professional. Limited to how many businesses withing a square mile; not 1 on every corner.

Limit the number of dispensaries to 1

Distance from schools etc comparable to liquor stores

Given adequate security infrastructure - and should close early evening

limit density of dispensaries so other businesses can thrive

Not be allowed in a residential area. Existing commercial area would be fine

Only retail in areas and a limited number per square mile

Locations not near schools. Churches

Not too big

There should be established limits on the number to avoid proliferation of such stores. It would be awful to have a cannabis shop every mile or so. The area needs grocery and farmer's market much more than cannabis dispensaries.

Limited numbers and limited proximity to homes nearby

not within 200 feet of schools
not near schools
Yes on a limited basis
Limit on number. 1-2
Like in a shopping center or warehouse areas
Only next to existing commercial areas. No outdoor lighting at night. No night time business.
Should not be allowed if private roads are the only access
There should be limited hours and limits of how many dispensaries are allowed in the area.
Sales but no onsite consumption
With regs similar to licenses for beer & wine retailers
Consistent with alcohol retailers for density, hours & other regulations

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Residential families, not commercial businesses.
we are residential in
Very little commercial space available. Should be for restaurants and art spaces
We do not need the poverty and crime dope brings
City commercial zone only. We are mostly rural residential. Commercial nodes are small.
The drug situation in New Mexico is horrible. My family loses a God-son to drug addiction and it tears our heart to think more families will have to face this pain.
Bad enough we have corner liquor store so close. If you had to pick up bottles toss from windows on your property you would feel the same. Let's not make being stoned too easy.
The county doesn't have the resources to handle these businesses and their special effect upon the area. This includes traffic and cash handling.
Well water is a huge issue!
there is a very limited footprint of retail in the San Marcos area which should not be expanded.
Ours is a rural area. Highway 14 is a designated National Scenic Byway, the Turquoise Trail. The last thing we need is for it to become a destination for Cannabis purchases.
THC and Marijuana are still Schedule I Federally controlled substances
Very rural community and not enough law enforcement in area. We already have enough Drunk driver issues.
Individuals can travel to Santa Fe
Increase in traffic and burglary
Potholes attract problems
It is a rural area - there is no need.

This is a rural horse country area, putting a dispensary would bring in unwanted persons to the community, those dispensaries are best placed inside the physical City limits where they can be properly monitored by local enforcement

There are plenty of dispensaries in the Santa Fe area none are needed in the San Marcos area.

Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks

increase traffic in an area that already has a lot of traffic and is the main thoroughfare for the Turquoise Trail Charter School

concerned about traffic, cannabis-related crimes

This is a rural area and does not need a business like that.

Residential areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

All property owners should be treated equally as far as commercial grows instead of only allotting licenses to huge corporations. Leave our profits to the small canopy growers

It's our personal space to do whatever we want

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

Need more definition. Do you mean next to or in a neighborhood? They should be allowed where commercial development is allowed

"Limitations" meaning a commercial carve-out in residential/agricultural areas (ie like the farm store, beer hall, and gas station in the San Marcos area which are all in residential areas but have commercial carve-outs)

Limits based on population

not within 200 feet of schools

hours of operation.

Quantity of businesses, size, etc.

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Residential means RESIDENTIAL NOT COMMERCIAL

Minimize traffic and theft problems

Residential is defined as residential as opposed to commercial/retail where businesses are desired to be.

Rise in crime. Night sky disruption from security lights. Strong odors from mature plants affects quality of life. Takes too much water to grow crops. Private roads cannot handle the impact of continuous large heavy water trucks.

Cerrillos and Madrid are already our Espanola

Because it is not a commercial area.

Additional traffic, lighting and people can damage the quality of life in residential areas.

Water shortage, irrigation issues. Chemicals infiltrate ground water/wells. Odor from crops. Hazardous to wildlife. Lighting - night sky protection ordinances violated. Security; too much drug crime from Arroyo Coyote Rd etc; nothing being done about it.

they are not zoned for it

We have limited amount of water in our area. Many wells have gone dry and our neighbors are having to truck in water to their homes.

The county doesn't have the resources to handle these businesses and their special effect upon the area. This includes traffic and potential crime from large cash handling.

Consistent with zoning practices

Water

retail of any type should not be allowed in residential areas

Uphold commercial vs residential zoning

Affected property value for friend trying to sell her land; deal cancelled
It's a business that will have all night lighting, and trucks, and a distinct smell. We have way too much traffic already, and every year more all night lights, it's effecting the ability to see the night sky and stars. Nighttime light must be regulated.
I don't think residential areas are appropriate for these kinds of operations. Liquor stores are not allowed. No!
Schedule I
Has absolutely no business in residential areas
Residential areas are for residences not retailers or dispensers of anything.
As has been shown in other states property values have dropped. And if this is such a safe commodity why do you need lights, video cameras and 8 foot tall fencing too secure the grow?
Only in retail areas, keep the scenic byways free beautiful
Traffic and late night business
Not with little children
Increase in traffic and burglary
This presents numerous potential issues, such as increase traffic in crime just to begin with
Oh, along with fentanyl too?
Doesn't require an explanation..
Residences should be buffered from this use by acreage or at least 500 feet
Retail businesses create traffic & noise and should not be located next to residencies.
Private roads need maintenance. Security issues.
Don't want businesses of any sort in residential areas
See explanation noted above
This area already has a lot of people that speed or are inattentive while driving possibly
It is a residential area not commercial
Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks
Increase traffic in a rural area
Not appropriate to live near cannabis production.
To assure no exposure to children
Limit to commercial/retail areas.
Opens up residents to crime and lowered property values
traffic problem, growing over the limit cannabis in their homes and using too much water. I will lower the value of homes in this area.

Agricultural areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Treat every business equally!!!!

As long as it's not in or near Residential areas.

Again, why not?

So long as there are no residence within a quarter mile radius

What is an agricultural area???

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

Agricultural?

"Limitations" meaning a commercial carve-out in residential areas (ie like the farm store, beer hall, and gas station in the San Marcos area which are all in residential/agricultural areas but have commercial carve-outs)

No pesticides

Limits based on population

How does agricultural differ from residential?

Off paved roads or places far from other residences so that smell and light pollution not impacting neighbors

Should only be allowed with proper security measures-private armed security

Not sure what 'agricultural' actually means, but if a grower wants a small storefront? Might be ok depending on how close it is to homes

No negative impact on water or infrastructure unless adequately compensated.

This industry will peak and flatten. It is not the golden goose every thinks it is do not get rid of food production land to grow pot. Food is more important.

Not too big

Must be limited in the scope of the project, the amount of water used for the project, and not visible by adjoining neighbors

I'm agreeing on a limited basis

Cannabis growing is very water intensive and must be regulated as to how much water can be used. It needs to be metered and checked.

Again, how many, how large

Water on well restrictions and limitations. Private roads are the only access and need maintenance, but I have none.

Grow food not pot.

Water is scarce in our area. San Marcos is not an agricultural area.

See above

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Not enough water in this area. Crime would increase and I would hold the county liable for increased crime in my residential neighborhood

Because it is not a commercial area.

Should be allowed to be grown, but not dispensed.

Water shortage. Drought. Chemicals contaminate groundwater wells. Attracts more drug crimes.

We don't have enough water out here.

Again the water issue and increase in crime.

The county doesn't have the resources to handle these businesses and their special effect upon the area. This includes traffic and cash handling.

Retailing is not agriculture

retail of any type should not be allowed in agricultural areas

we do not allow commercial businesses in ag areas, do we?

Growing is one thing, selling is another. No.

Schedule I

Has absolutely no business in agricultural areas of our community

Water issues could be an issue ?

Water issues, concerns

NOT Allowed, Unless zoned commercial

Water issues in this area

Who got paid off to even ask?

Incompatible

The water table would be negatively affected.

see explanation noted above

Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks

Potential for chemical contamination of residential wells

Commercial growing uses too much water which may lower water table for residents.

Limit to commercial/retail areas.

Water, crime, property values

NM does not have the water resource to produce crops of cannabis. It will hurt the water table in this area

COMMERCIAL CANNABIS INDOOR GROWTH FACILITIES (GREENHOUSES)

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think **Commercial Cannabis Indoor Growth Facilities (Greenhouses)** should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Already are allowed. There's one in the commercial area on Bisbee

Better growing environment and uses less water. STOP THE CONTRACTORS BUILDING better grow with less water. STOP DEVELOPERS WHICH DEPLETES MORE WATER THAN

That is what commercial areas are for.

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

It will draw a criminal element

Limits based on population

Water

water usage limits should be enforced

excess traffic, water use, heavy water trucks, excessive lighting are all problems that must be addressed

number of outlets limited. proximity to schools churches etc.

These need to be in industrial areas.

Light pollution and water use are concerns

Maximum # of 200 plants; water usage monitored by SFCo and not exceed legal limits for that particular property; I am assuming this use is for growing plants to maturity for commercial sale to processing plants elsewhere - not for retail or personal sales

not near residential homes (smell), only with purchased water

Not too big

Water usage concerns

Same as previous

Only if they buy and truck in their water from outside sources - so that they do not affect the water supply in the overall San Marcos District.

Should be in a warehouse area

same as previous answer

No use of well water

Limitation in water usage.

With regs similar to distilleries and breweries

Consistent with regs for distillers & breweries

Should not be allowed (please explain):

No enough WATER, wells are going dry. Will bring in traffic and criminals.

Not enough water or secure facilities.

Uses too much water, electricity and fertilizer that flows out towards the Rio Grande.

the water supply is already stretched tight - no commercial plant growing operations should be allowed

San Marcos does not have the water resources needed for commercial Cannabis operations. I have had two wells over 45 years, the most recent was drilled in 1998. I am now having to have water delivered. No commercial growing!

What City, County, State, or Federal agency has jurisdiction over odors? Ask communities where indoor grow operations are currently allowed.

Large eyesore with large greenhouses

They are not allowed now for regular agriculture so why for pot? No!

Require large commercial buildings and higher water usage

It is a huge waste of water which is in short supply. New apartment complexes and residential developments have already been approved with not evidence of concern for water..

Water area issues

Potheads & cash attract crime

Not enough water.

Where is the water coming from? The county needs to do a serious study on water use.

Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks

Water issues mainly & concern for area wells

Too much water usage

No, large greenhouse with traffic and water concerns.

Water is a valuable item in this area with several properties having to haul water. Cannabis needs a lot of water to grow.

Residential areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Better growing, less water. STOP THE DEVELOPERS WHICH DEPLETES MORE WATER THAN

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

As defined in the law

Should be allowed where commercial businesses are allowed

Smell *could* be taken into consideration....even if the gasses and flies on "horse properties" are not regulated.

Limits based on population

Water

water usage limits should be enforced

Water availability. Water rights

Not to exceed micro grower limits

Water usage concerns

Only if water is monitored, and it does not affect neighbors whatsoever. The scope of this has to be very small, as it may very well lead to increased traffic, crime, light pollution.

depending on size of the acreage and water availability

Water and private roads, being the only access without maintenance

No use of well water

Should not be allowed (please explain):

No enough water, wells are dry or going dry. Too much traffic. An excuse for the drug cartels to move and operate in residential areas.

excess traffic, water use, heavy water trucks, excessive lighting are all problems that must be addressed

Should only be allowed in commercial areas

Because it is not a commercial area.

Same as answer before... Extra traffic, people, lights, noise will degrade the quality of life.

Too much drug crime in area already. It would attract more crime. Groundwater contamination from chemicals. Water shortage. Hazardous to wildlife. Light pollution-violates night sky programs.

Not enough water

The crime rate has increased in Santa Fe County. My neighbor just had his truck stolen right out of his front yard.

Residential areas are particularly meant for residences not commercial operations. How is that not obvious.

These could bring crime as a theft target.

Odor
Commercial business of any kind does not belong in residential areas
Maintain commercial vs residential vs ag zoning distinctions
the smell is overwhelming, well water should not be used for growing
Domestic wells are going dry. This will become worse. Protect our water. We have precious little.
What agency has authority over odor?
Absolutely not in any residential area
Residential areas should be for residences not greenhouses.
Most definitely not. As stated in previous question why now allow it for pot and not food production? And what about water? Has anyone actually studied the amount of water used to grow cannabis?
Not in line with the spirit of keeping the byways beautiful
Goes against the spirit of the overlay
See above. Water shortage. Growing marijuana consumes large amounts of water.
Water area issues
Are you nuts? Kids can play there?
Traffic and overwhelming odor
Not in residential but in rural residential if at least 40 areas and 500 feet from a residence for security purposes
same as previous answer
Not enough water
Because it is residential. Property values will drop and crime will increase
Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks
Absolutely not
Too much water usage.
Limit to commercial / retail and or agricultural areas.
No, not where there are families with children. Again water concerns, we need to be growing gardens and food we can eat with our water, not cannabis in our neighborhood.

Agricultural areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Better growing, less water. STOP THE CONTRACTORS BUILDING SUBDIVISIONS WHICH DEPLETES MORE WATER THAN

Water

That is what it is for.

So long there is no residence within a quarter mile radius

Within guidelines created by the district... such as, again, water, usage, and size of the project.

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

Should be allowed where commercial businesses are ally

Limits based on population

If odor and security do not effect neighbors

water usage limits should be enforced

excess traffic, water use, heavy water trucks, excessive lighting are all problems that must be addressed

No smell allowed nor excess traffic well off main roads

Should have proper security measures. armed private security

water usage

Water recycling and fertilizer outflow regulated.

These need to be carefully isolated and neighbors should be allowed to veto any operation.

Need substantial setback

Light pollution and water use are concerns

if it is not adjoining a residential area and has adequate security

Maximum # of 200 plants; water usage monitored by SFCo and not exceed legal limits for that particular property; I am assuming this use is for growing plants to maturity for commercial sale to processing plants elsewhere - not for retail or personal sales

not near residences due to smell, only grown with purchased/reclaimed water

I do not believe we have actual "Agricultural areas" in San Marcos.

Limited to size and access

No negative impact on water or infrastructure like unmaintained roads.

Food first cannabis later. Just not worth it.

Not too big

Water usage concerns
Cannot be close to residences
Overwhelming odors
Limited number - and only if they buy and truck in their own water, i.e., do not affect other properties' water supply.
Must be far enough away from other areas so security can be ensured
same as previous answer
Only if they agree to maintain private roads for access
Water usage needs to be considered
Grow food before pot
Again water table concerns
Limitation in water usage.
See above

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Not enough water. Too much traffic on dirt roads. Crime will go up
Drought. Hazardous chemicals in groundwater. Attracts crime. Too much crime already. Hazardous to wildlife. Light pollution.
A neighbor tried to open a commercial green house to grow vegetables an was denied because of concerns with water. The pot also uses big amounts of water. Not good for the neighborhood.
Odor, water use of cannabis cultivation is not compatible with our aquifer limitations
The water supply is limited and should not be diverted to use growing cannabis in this area
The amount of water usage issues will harm the aquifer
Higher water usage and potentially highly visible
See above
Water issues in area
Same as above
Not enough water
No water
Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks
Too much water usage.
No, we do not need large greenhouses in our community. We do not have the water resources. It will devalue our homes.
Again, water use should be considered

COMMERCIAL CANNABIS OUTDOOR GROWTH FACILITIES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Commercial Cannabis Outdoor Growth Facilities should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Because it's already established! Equal opportunities!

Only if animals and birds are protected from consuming

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

Limit water usage

Limits based on population

water usage limits should be enforced

Oder.

Water limitations

These need to be limited and taxed to provide their own security.

Maximum # of 200 plants; water usage monitored by SFCo and not exceed legal limits for that particular property; I am assuming this use is for growing plants to maturity for commercial sale to processing plants elsewhere - not for retail or personal sales

It would depend on what the established businesses think about it.

Not too big

Only if they buy and bring in their own water supply - and do not harm the environment in any other way.

No use of well water

Limitation in water usage.

Consistent with regs for nurseries

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Not enough water. Crime and too much traffic.

Possibly interfere with property availability

Uncontrollable odors that affect neighbors. Possibility of theft of product increasing local crime

Retail areas are not appropriate for growing crops.

Smell

Drought. Hazardous chemicals. Attracts more crime; already too much drug crimes. Hazardous to wildlife life. Lights = Night Sky pollution.
Water, electricity and effluent issues
Security
There is not enough water
It's a commercial area- keep things direct
uses too much water, should only be grown under cover.
Lack of water. There's a pattern here!
No! This is not the area for such grows! Where is the water coming from?
Water issue
Goes against the visual spirit paid out the overlay
Water shortage. Drought
Water usage and security concerns
No place for pothead business
Not compatible
Water shortage
Where is the water coming from? You need to do a water use study before greenlighting ANY grows
Not enough water
Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks
Too much water usage
No, we do not want the traffic in our community.
Water use

Residential areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Already established. Equal opportunities!

But only if animals and birds can be protected from consuming the plants

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

As defined by law

Smell *could* be regulated, but only if horse properties get the same considerations.

Limits based on population

water usage should be enforced

Same as any ag in a neighborhood here. Keep it small and monitor water use and other disturbances

depends on acreage proximity to neighbors and water availability

No use of well water

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Families do not need their residential home that they purchased knowing it was residential and not commercial when we all purchased our properties.

By definition it's too large of an operation

Same as above. Also, children play in these areas and sun and wind outdoors means much more water needed for crop

Residential areas are not appropriate for growing crops.

Residential is Rural here

Should not be allowed in residential areas

Oder

Smell

Attracts crime. Too much drug crimes in neighborhood already. Drought. Too much water usage. Hazardous chemicals contaminate wells, groundwater. Hazardous to wildlife.

Crime would increase

Same as above

These would bring crime as a theft target.

Increased crime, vast water use, light pollution, road damage, severe odor

Commercial ventures do not belong in residential areas

smell, water use

I already answered this.
Absolutely not! Lack of water, first of all. The plants have a terrible odor. Residential areas are for residents, not commercial farming.
This has absolutely no place in residential anything
Smelly, water intense, traffic intense activities should not be allowed in residential areas.
As your question states residential area is off limits. Even though the county have already grandfathered in a cannabis farm on Southfork ext. and dismissing any concerns of the neighbors...
Water issue
Never they are residential use not both commercial and residential
See above
Water usage and security concerns
For all of the aforementioned reasons! It can negatively affect the neighborhood in so many ways!
No place for pothead business
Not compatible
Should not be allowed if the only access is private roads
Water shortage
It is residential not commercial or ag. These are residence where folks want to live in peace and quite. Introducing commercial cannabis into residential areas is a horrible idea.
Too dry, drought,
Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks
Too much water usage. Possible contamination of children.
Limit to commercial / retail and / or agricultural areas.
No, I do not want neighborhoods growing cannabis and using up the water. There could be people stealing or producing over the limits.

Agricultural areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Already established. Equal opportunities!

It's an agricultural product

That is what agricultural areas are for.

They need to have some place to plant and harvest.

As long as they are setbacks like in middle of 40 acres

If there's county roads with county maintenance

Only if animals and birds are protected from consuming

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

Monitor water use

Limits based on population

water usage should be monitored

excess traffic, water use, heavy water trucks, excessive lighting are all problems that must be addressed

Should be allowed with armed security guards

Water usage concerns

These need to be limited and taxed to provide their own security.

Water, light pollution, security are concerns

if it is not adjoining residential area and has adequate security

Maximum # of 200 plants; water usage monitored by SFCo and not exceed legal limits for that particular property; I am assuming this use is for growing plants to maturity for commercial sale to processing plants elsewhere - not for retail or personal sales

only with purchased/reclaimed water - enforced!

I do not think San Marcos has agricultural areas. No.

So long as there is no residence within a quarter mile residence

Food production before cannabis

Limited number - and only if they buy and truck in their own water - and do not harm the environment and do not tear up private roads.

Water usage needs to be considered

Grow food not pot and where is the water coming from?

Limitation in water usage.

Should not be allowed (please explain):

No water!!!

Too much water usage for the area

Can't control odor. Too much water usage. Water trucks will damage non county maintained roads

Rural is Residential here

Smell

Attracts drug crimes. Hazardous chemicals contaminates groundwater. Hazardous to people and wildlife. Emits odors. Night sky pollution against night sky protection ordinances.

Not enough water

Same as above. We don't have water to spare.

Security, odor, excessive water usage

There is not enough water

Seed spread and allergies would be an issue outdoors

Water issue

Water table usage concerns

See above

Water usage and security concerns

Water issues

No place for pothead business

Water shortage

The plants take too much water

Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks

Too much water usage for something that is not food for individual use. No commercial agriculture should be allowed in our area.

No, we do not want the spread of seeds or the smell of cannabis. There could be more crime related incidents. And a large agricultural area would devalue our homes.

Water use and amount of water needed to grow that crop

CANNABIS MANUFACTURING BUSINESSES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think **Cannabis Manufacturing Businesses** (THC extraction, food, textiles and related products) should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

With the regulations in place No danger to ANYONE

Where else can they do it in the three zones referenced here?

Because that is what a commercial area is for.

It's industrial

This is more of an inside activity, I don't see how it would interfere with other businesses.

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

As long as the odor and road use does not interfere with neighbors

water usage concerns

Not one on every block. Seems to be enough locations already.

size

Only if they do not require large quantities of water for their purpose

Just as in Santa Fe city limits

Comparable to breweries and distilleries

Has adequate security and is limited in operating hours

reasonable distance from residences if smell is a factor

Visually same looking buildings ? Water usage ?

Traffic and odors

Limited number

Like in a warehouse area

Only if the Hr county roads maintained by the county for access

Smell & crime related to theft

No consumption on site which could cause traffic accidents.

Limitation in water usage.

On all of these questions, allow commercial operations with same considerations for density/quantity of operators as for similar operations, e.g. alcohol businesses, firearms businesses, etc.

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Too many families with children. Not enough water

Manufacturing should be kept out of retail areas.

These need to be in industrial areas only.

It's a rural area, not a manufacturing district.

Please take the time to locate the closest Hemp "textile" facility to Santa Fe, NM. Check with the NM Dept of Agriculture and determine the quantity of Cannabis that is used/sold for textiles or related products. Zero.

In addition to the issue of water shortage, pot is addictive and does damage to the brain.

No place for pothead business

Our water has been taken and our apple orchards are dying. No way do I want cannabis to be grown with precious water.

Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks

No, this is a residential area and we do not need the traffic and more people.

Residential areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

With the regulations in place No danger to ANYONE

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

Limits based on population

None of the dangerous procedures

only processes that do not generate air pollution, smell to neighboring residences

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Never. Not enough water. Even if water were trucked in, our private dirt roads can't handle the traffic.

Manufacturing should be kept out of residential areas.

Should not be allowed in residential areas

Oder, explosions, traffic

It's industrial

Attracts crime. Too much drug crime already. Water issues; drought. Chemicals infiltrate groundwater/wells. Odors from fields. Hazardous to wildlife.

not zoned

No commercial operations in out residential area.

These need to be in industrial areas only.

Commercial pursuits do not belong in residential areas

San Marcos area already has a problem with Meth dealers, not legal. I'm not excited about having a manufacturing business for Cannabis here.

Why would manufacturing be allowed in a residential neighborhood. No.

Please research Butane Honey Oil and the associated illicit problems with extraction procedures.

Residential areas are for residents not commercial cannabis businesses

Would change the spirit of the San Marcos Overlay

Water usage and potentially visual harm to the landscape

Too much traffic And potential crime, as numerous employees may be coming and going

No place for pothead business

Overwhelming odors

Not compatible

Can be dangerous.
Residential areas are for housing not extracting THC using hexanes and other incredibly dangerous chemicals...
Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks
Do not want commercial enterprises in residential areas.
Limit to commercial / retail and / or agricultural areas.
No, I would like to keep it residential and not for a business because of traffic and crime

Agricultural areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

With the regulations in place No danger to ANYONE
Again, it's not in a residential area, it would be fine.

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

water usage limitation should be in place
Because it is an agricultural area not a manufacturing area
size
Security and safety should be addressed. Extraction using flammable solvents is a fire hazard
Only if they do not require large quantities of water for their purpose
Safety and other disturbances like traffic, lighting, security fencing etc should always be considered- compare to other existing ag businesses like canning, processing, etc of any ag product
distant from neighboring residences
So long as there is no residence within a quarter mile radius
Traffic and odors
Only if the traffic they generate does not affect the surrounding area - or harm the environment.
Not compatible especially if there is a waste product
Only if they're a county roads maintained for access
Limitation in water usage.

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Not enough water, we are in a drought, and will be unfortunately. There is increasing crime, crime will just go up
We can't afford to lose more land to businesses

Manufacturing should be kept out of agricultural areas. Manufacturing is not agriculture.
Because it is an agricultural area, not a manufacturing area.
Drought. Odors from fields. Chemicals contaminate ground water/nearby wells. Hazardous to wildlife. Lights = violated night sky protection ordinances.
Same as above.
These need to be in industrial areas only.
No. That should be in an industrial area.
Water usage
Water usage could be an issue ? I don't know the processing needs
Water issues
No place for pothead business
Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks
Too much water use.
water, crime, property values
No, we do not need big buildings, traffic with workers. Again, we do not have the water and space for agricultural areas.
Water usage

CANNABIS TESTING FACILITIES

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think **Cannabis Testing Facilities** (research and development, quality control, potency testing, safety analysis) should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Why stifle one area of business? Already in existence! Give locals a place to work close to home!

It's a business

That is what commercial areas are for

If the buildings look appropriate to what's around them

As long as it is contained to commercial buildings, without possibility of children, or the public accidentally being involved.

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

Highly secured areas due to high crime in NM. Limited facilities.

These need to be carefully reviewed and taxed since security is a concern.

Only if they do not require large quantities of water for their purpose

again, if smell is an issue, not near residences or in areas that could disrupt neighboring businesses

Who will be overseeing the use of chemicals for the testing of cannabis?

If the buildings blend in to what is around them

Security available in a strip mall or warehouse situation

Limitation in water usage.

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Keep that in the town of Santa Fe, not the county

We are a low-density rural area. Testing facilities are not appropriate.

Does the NM Department of Public Safety quantitate Cannabis? No.

No place for pothead business

It is a rural area generally.

Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks

No, we do not need facilities that would give way to more traffic and workers.

Residential areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Why stifle one area of business? Already in existence. Give locals work!!

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

Where commercial businesses are allowed

Limits based on population

No increased traffic

As long as it is not a detriment to the area.

Properly controlled

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Never. Families don't want drug dealers, drugs, traffic, trafficking

Residential areas are not appropriate for testing and research facilities.

Should not be allowed in residential areas

Attracts crime. Already explained in previous questions.

not zoned

Residential areas are not set up for businesses like this.

Commercial pursuits do not belong in residential areas

not an appropriate home based business

same answer as previous question

Once again residential areas is not commercial.

It's residential usage, not commercial or both

No place for pothead business

Not compatible

Residential areas again are for peace and quite

Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks

No commercial enterprises wanted.

Limit to commercial / retail and / or agricultural areas.

No, we do not need testing facilities around family homes. It would devalue the homes.

Agricultural areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Why stifle one area of business? Already in existence. Locals NEED WORK

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

No land development

As long as it is not a detriment to the area.

Veto authority should be given to neighbors for such a project.

Only if they do not require large quantities of water for their purpose

Maximum # of 200 plants; water usage monitored by SFCo and not exceed legal limits for that particular property

not near residences

So long as there is no residence within a quarter mile radius

Limited number

Not so compatible as other areas would be

If no significant water usage.

Limitation in water usage.

Should not be allowed (please explain):

NOT ENOUGH WATER.

Agricultural areas are not appropriate for testing and research facilities.

Attracts crime etc. Already explained in previous questions.

Should be in areas with other commercial buildings that they blend in to

Water issues

No place for pothead business

All this type of business is going on all over new Mexico. do we really need any more

Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks

No, we do not need to have testing of cannabis in a residential areas. There would be concerns for potential danger with testing.

CANNABIS CONSUMPTION ESTABLISHMENTS

Thinking of the San Marcos community, please indicate whether you think Cannabis Consumption Establishments (with regulations similar to those governing onsite alcohol consumption) should be allowed in:

Commercial/retail areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Why not??? Better than alcohol! Gives local businesses opportunities to hire locals and make profits. The American dream should not be stifled.

Same as or better than bars

That is what they are for.

Who know how much is safe to consume if

If appropriate to building/business codes

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

Limits based on population

Increased driving, and driving while intoxicated, are likely to be problems.

Security due to increase in drug crimes.

exposure to neighboring properties

Alcohol does not produce second hand smoke, cannabis does

Within legal constraints similar to those applied to alcohol use in public

Who knows how much is safe to consume if you are driving?

Only at currently existing locations

It's really no different than a bar that sells alcohol, except less likely to have brawls and bad behavior.

Security to prevent driving while impaired

In a strip mall or warehouse area

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Too close to residential homes

Not federally legal...

Regulations on alcohol what a joke! New Mexico has one of the worst drunk driving in the country.

We already have problems with alcoholics here. Thanks but no Thx.

This activity should be isolated in the city.
as this is a rural, driving area, cannabis consumption should be limited to personal residences. driving high is stupid
What is the current quantitative limit for THC in an individual's blood or breath while operating a motor vehicle? ZERO.
Water shortage. Health damage. Impairs driving
Cannabis consumption should not be allowed
No place for pothead business
This area has a lot of speeders, possible DWI and inattentive drivers.
Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks
Many already in town!
A danger to traffic.
No, the smell of cannabis is worse than cigarettes. It is hard to regulate. Then if it is mixed with alcohol would be dangerous in a residential areas with families and children. We need to keep our rural area safe for families.

Residential areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Why not??? Better than alcohol. Gives local businesses opportunities to hire locals and make profits. The American dream should not be stifled.

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

Whatever regulations there are in place for the beer hall on hwy 14 could be used as a guide

Limits based on population

Watching out for children and any odors emanating into neighborhood

Isn't that called a party?

Properly zoned

Should not be allowed (please explain):

We live in the county for a reason, it's not to be commercial businesses.

Too much increase in commercial traffic

Residential areas are not for businesses.

Should not be allowed in residential areas

Why would you want to?

Attracts crime. Water shortage. Groundwater contamination from chemicals. Hazardous to wildlife. Odors from crops. Lighting: Night Sky Ordinances Violated.

Not enough police to stop drug abuse now.
Residential areas do not allow businesses like this and that should remain the case.
Commercial businesses do not belong in residential areas
No way, we don't need folks driving high through our neighborhoods
Cannabis isn't usually associated with restaurants, but it could be. We have two restaurants here, but they are in a commercial space.
Once again residential areas should not be included
Late evening traffic issues? Residential use not both
see above
The response I have to all of these issues in a residential area is black and white Dash it's a residential area, not a business zone.
No place for pothead business
Not compatible
Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks
A danger to traffic and accidental childhood exposure.
No, Cannabis would not be good for a neighborhood.

Agricultural areas?

Should be allowed (please explain):

Why not??? Better than alcohol! Gives local businesses opportunities to hire locals and make profits. The American dream should not be stifled.
 If they want to have a consumption establishment next to their manufacturing, ie Santa Fe Brewery, it seems fine.

Should be allowed with limitations (please explain):

Whatever regulations there are in place for the beer hall on hwy 14 could be used as a guide
 Limits based on population
 Just so land doesn't get developed for the purpose.
 So long as there is no residence within a quarter mile radius

Should not be allowed (please explain):

Not enough water, too much traffic if you bring water in.
 These are not agricultural activities.
 Not Federally legal
 Attracts crime. Drought/Water shortages. Chemical Contamination in water. Hazardous to wildlife. Lighting: Night sky pollution ordinances violated. Odor from crops.



Do not want it in my neighborhood
Agricultural areas do not allow businesses like this and that should remain the case.
Consumption establishments would only be appropriate in commercial-zoned areas
again, driving. duh
Should only be allowed in commercial areas and blend in to the other buildings
Water and crime issues We can't even get speed control out here never mind crime issues
No place for pothead business
Not compatible
Unsafe, crime, decreases home values, it stinks
A danger to traffic and accidental childhood exposure.
No, we do not need an open field and allowing consumption with more traffic and people in our neighborhood. We need to keep our neighborhood and San Marcos safe and more consumption of fresh vegetables to use our water for.

COMMUNICATION PREFERENCES

How do you prefer to hear from Santa Fe County?

Response

New Mexican news articles.

None

What is your preferred language?

Response

American Sign Language

How do you typically learn about Santa Fe County planning efforts, activities, ordinances, and regulations?

Other - Websites

San Marcos association

San Marcos website

San Marcos Association, Next Door

Nextdoor.com

San Marcos Association

TheSanMarcosAssociation.org

San Marcos Association

San Marcos Assoc.

Next door

New Mexico One Source

San Marcos Association

How do you typically learn about Santa Fe County planning efforts, activities, ordinances, and regulations?

Other - Radio
1260
KSFR, KMRD
KANW
KANW
KSFR
KANW, KUNM, KSFR,
KSFR

How do you typically learn about Santa Fe County planning efforts, activities, ordinances, and regulations?

Other - Newspaper
Santa Fe Reporter
Reporter
Santa Fe Reporter
Reporter
Reporter
Santa Fe Reporter
Santa Fe Reporter
Santa Fe reporter
Reporter

How do you typically learn about Santa Fe County planning efforts, activities, ordinances, and regulations?

Other - Television
Local broadcast stations
ABC, CBS, NBC
KOB 4 KRQE
KOB 4
KOB

How do you typically learn about Santa Fe County planning efforts, activities, ordinances, and regulations?

Other
Local area blog
My mail box
Neighborhood text/phone tree
word of mouth
Internet posts
Direct mail
Email newsletter
regular email notifications from the county commissioners
Word of mouth
Word of mouth.
Next door
Word of Mouth
email
mail
Word of mouth
Neighbors
San Marcos association
Commissioner's emails

Neighbors
I don't hear about County efforts...Information appears siloed
Notified because of RO status
email from county and San Marcos Association
Neighborhood email
Senator Liz Stefanics
Friends, posters, neighborhood sites.
San Marcos Association
I'm on the county email list and wish everyone was!
Mail
Nextdoor
Through a friend
Friends
email
Neighbors
nextdoor app
Neighborhood News
Mail
Email from planning committee
Community Blogs
Don't usually hear unless it's mailed
snail mail works for us
e newsletters
Mail
Mail
Mail
Email
Mail
I got three flyers
Mailing

Organizations I belong to
Don't typically hear anything and when problems occur, they do NOTHING to resolve the problem anyway
Mail
Friends
neighbors
Mailing.
Mailings
NextDoor app
Emails from the county
Email blasts from the county
word of mouth